

## Erratum: Spin-averaged effective Hamiltonian of orders $m\alpha^6$ and $m\alpha^6(m/M)$ for hydrogen molecular ions [Phys. Rev. A **98**, 032502 (2018)]

Zhen-Xiang Zhong , Wan-Ping Zhou, and Xue-Song Mei



(Received 14 May 2020; published 5 June 2020)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.101.069901](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.069901)

In Eq. (21) of our paper, the term  $\vec{r}_a$  in the exponential function should read  $\vec{r}_e$  as shown below

$$\langle \phi | \Sigma(E_0) | \phi \rangle = -z_a z_e e^2 \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} G_{\mu\nu}(k_0 = 0, \vec{k}) \langle \phi | j_e^\mu(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r}_e - \vec{R}_a)} j_a^\nu(-\vec{k}) | \phi \rangle. \quad (21)$$

In Eq. (26), an exponential factor is missing. The corrected form is

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu}(\vec{r}) &= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} G_{\mu\nu}(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{r}, & \mu = \nu = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2r} \left( \delta_{ij} + \frac{r_i r_j}{r^2} \right), & \mu = i, \quad \nu = j. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

In Eq. (32), according to Eqs. (28) and (29) of Ref. [1], the sign of the first and second terms should be minus and the factor of 1/2 in the first and third terms is fixed. The corrected form reads

$$e\mathcal{A}_a^i = -\frac{z_e \alpha}{2r_a} \left( \delta_{ij} + \frac{r_a^i r_a^j}{r_a^2} \right) \frac{p_e^j}{m_e} - \frac{z_e \alpha}{2m_e} \frac{(\vec{\sigma}_e \times \vec{r}_a)^i}{r_a^3} - \frac{z_b \alpha}{2r_{ab}} \left( \delta_{ij} + \frac{r_{ab}^i r_{ab}^j}{r_{ab}^2} \right) \frac{p_b^j}{m_b}. \quad (32)$$

These changes of  $\mathcal{A}_a^i$  do not affect the results of our paper.

The commutator identity of Eq. (49) of our paper has a misprint in the first term of the right-hand side. The corrected form should be

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_e} (H_0 - E_0)^2 e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}_a} - (E_0 - H_0)^2 \\ &= (H_0 - E_0) (e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_a} - 1) (H_0 - E_0) + (H_0 - E_0) \left[ \frac{p_a^2}{2m_a}, e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_a} - 1 \right] \\ &+ \left[ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_a} - 1, \frac{p_e^2}{2m_e} \right] (H_0 - E_0) + \left[ \frac{p_a^2}{2m_a}, \left[ e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_a} - 1, \frac{p_e^2}{2m_e} \right] \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

There is a factor of  $z_e z_a$  missing from  $\delta H_6$  of Eq. (50) and  $\delta E_6$  of Eq. (63) in our paper. They should be

$$\delta H_6 = \sum_a -\frac{z_e z_a \alpha}{m_e m_a} \left\{ [p_e^i, V] \mathcal{X}^{ij}(r_a) [V, p_a^j] + p_e^i \left[ \mathcal{X}^{ij}(r_a), \frac{p_e^2}{2m_e} \right] [V, p_a^j] \right\}, \quad (50)$$

$$\delta E_6 = \sum_a -\frac{z_e z_a}{8m_a} \left\{ 7 \left\langle (\vec{p}_e \cdot \vec{r}_a) \frac{V_a^2}{r_a^2} (\vec{r}_a \cdot \vec{p}_e) \right\rangle - 3 \langle \vec{p}_e V_a^2 \vec{p}_e \rangle \right\}. \quad (63)$$

There is a term  $-V_{12}V^2/2$  missing from  $\delta E_1$  in Eq. (58) of the original paper. It should be

$$\begin{aligned} \delta E_1 &= \frac{1}{16} \left\{ E_0 [2\langle p_e^4 \rangle - 4\langle (V_1 + V_2) p_e^2 \rangle + 16\langle V_{12} V \rangle] - 8E_0^2 \langle V_{12} \rangle + 8\vec{\varepsilon}_1 \vec{\varepsilon}_2 + 4\langle (2V_1 V_2 + V_1 V_{12} + V_2 V_{12}) p_e^2 \rangle \right. \\ &- 8V_{12} V^2 + \sum_{a \neq b} 4 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{m_a} \right) \langle \varepsilon_a^2 \rangle - 8 \left( 1 - \frac{3}{m_a} \right) [\langle V_a^3 \rangle + \langle V_a^2 (V_b + V_{12}) \rangle - E_0 \langle V_a^2 \rangle] \\ &+ \frac{4}{m_a} \left[ \langle \varepsilon_{12}^2 \rangle + 3(-1)^a \langle \vec{\varepsilon}_a \vec{\varepsilon}_{12} \rangle + E_0 \langle (2V + V_1 + V_2) p_a^2 \rangle + 2E_0 \langle V_{12}^2 p_a^2 \rangle - E_0^2 \langle p_a^2 \rangle \right. \\ &\left. - 3\langle V_a^2 (p_a^2 - p_e^2) \rangle - \langle (4V_1 V_2 + 5V_1 V_{12} + 5V_2 V_{12} + 3V_b^2 + 3V_{12}^2) p_a^2 \rangle \right] \left. \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

The fourth term in the curly braces of  $\delta E_2$  in Eq. (59) of our paper is redundant. The corrected form is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta E_2 = & \frac{1}{128} \sum_{b \neq a} \left\{ -4 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m_a} \right) \langle \varepsilon_a^2 \rangle - 4 \langle \bar{\varepsilon}_a \bar{\varepsilon}_b \rangle - \frac{4}{m_a} (-1)^a \langle \bar{\varepsilon}_a \bar{\varepsilon}_{12} \rangle + \frac{2}{m_a} \langle V_b V p_a^2 \rangle \right\} \\ & + \frac{3\pi}{8} \sum_{b \neq a} z_a z_e \left\{ 2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m_a} \right) [E_0 \langle \delta(\vec{r}_a) \rangle - \langle (V_b + V_{12}) \delta(\vec{r}_a) \rangle - \langle V_a \delta(\vec{r}_a) \rangle] \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{m_a} \langle \delta(\vec{r}_a) (p_a^2 - p_e^2) \rangle - \frac{1}{m_b} \langle \delta(\vec{r}_a) p_b^2 \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

The authors of this Erratum would like to thank J.-Ph. Karr of LKB for his comments about  $\delta H_6$  and  $\delta E_6$ .

- 
- [1] V. Patkóš, V. A. Yerokhin, and K. Pachucki, Higher-order recoil corrections for triplet states of the helium atom, [Phys. Rev. A \*\*94\*\*, 052508 \(2016\)](#).