


Dynamical quantum phase transitions in interacting atomic interferometers

Changyuan Lyu^{*} and Qi Zhou[†]*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA*
 (Received 25 July 2018; revised manuscript received 11 February 2020; accepted 9 March 2020; published 9 April 2020)

Particle-wave duality has allowed physicists to establish atomic interferometers as celebrated complements to their optical counterparts in a broad range of quantum devices. In particular, interactions give rise to multiparticle correlations unavailable in linear interferometers. Here, we show that interactions lead to dynamical quantum phase transitions (DQPTs) between NOON states in an atomic interferometer. These transition points result from zeros of the Loschmidt echo, which approach the real axis of the complex time plane in the large-particle-number limit, and signify pair condensates, another type of exotic quantum states featured with prevailing two-body correlations. Such DQPTs thus provide us with a new angle to understand many-body states emergent from quantum nonequilibrium dynamics. Our work also suggests interacting atomic interferometers as a new tool for creating highly entangled states to beat the standard quantum limit.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.101.043605](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.043605)

I. INTRODUCTION

Applications of atomic interferometers span a wide spectrum of problems, ranging from measuring the gravitational acceleration and the fine-structure constant to detecting gravitational waves [1–5]. Ultracold atoms prompt a precise control of atomic interferometers, including realizing highly tunable atomic beam splitters [6–10] and accessing an atomic Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer using optical tweezers [11–14]. Whereas mutual interactions between particles may induce decoherence [15–17], they could also generate squeezing and multiparticle correlations unattainable in linear interferometers [18].

Dynamical quantum phase transition (DQPT) [19–22] has recently invoked enthusiasm in multiple disciplines. A particular type of Loschmidt echo, $|G(t)|^2 = |\langle \psi(0) | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H} t} | \psi(0) \rangle|^2$, where $|\psi(0)\rangle$ is the initial state, is considered as the temporal analog of the partition function. When $|\psi(0)\rangle$ is an equal superposition of all energy eigenstates, $|G(t)|^2$ is exactly the partition function with an imaginary temperature $T = \frac{1}{ik_B t}$. t is therefore identified as the tuning parameter analogous to the temperature in phase transitions at equilibrium. When $G(t) = 0$, the dynamic free energy $\lambda(t) = -\frac{1}{N} \ln |G(t)|^2$, which is the rate function of the probability of the system's returning to its initial state, manifests nonanalyticities and defines a critical time t_c . N is the number of degrees of freedom. Similar to conventional phase transitions triggered by Lee-Yang zeros or Fisher zeros in the complex plane of certain parameters or the temperature [23,24], DQPTs can also be understood from zeros of $G(t)$ in the complex plane by extending the real time t to the complex domain, $t \rightarrow z \equiv t + i\tau$. With increasing N , discrete zeros merge to continuous manifolds and eventually touch the real t axis, making physical observables nonana-

lytic. Whereas observations of DQPTs have been reported in certain spin systems, showing deep connections with equilibrium quantum phase transition and order parameter dynamics [19,25–30], this novel concept well deserves both theoretical and experimental studies in a much broader range of systems.

In this paper, we show that interacting interferometers host DQPTs between highly entangled quantum states. Starting from a Fock state, pair condensates, which are featured with a vanishing one-body correlation and prevailing two-body correlations [31–33], arise in nonequilibrium quantum dynamics. In the large- N limit, their appearance at critical times, t_c , are triggered by zeros of $G(z)$ in the complex time plane that approach the real axis, signifying DQPTs at which the many-body wave function becomes orthogonal to the initial state [19,25,27–30,34–36]. t_c characterizes transitions between NOON states, a particular type of Schrödinger's cat state formed by two Fock states. Such dynamically generated NOON states are much more stable than those at equilibrium. The energy mismatch between the two single-particle states only needs to be suppressed as a power law of N , unlike the equilibrium case, where the energy mismatch has to be exponentially small.

Moreover, NOON states arise from an intriguing interplay between interactions and the symmetry. When the Hamiltonian remains unchanged after swapping the two single-particle states, the dynamical phase induced by interactions directly leads to a superposition of the initial state and its counterpart created by the symmetry operator, say $|N, 0\rangle$ and $|0, N\rangle$. Therefore, the NOON states are protected by the symmetry in the sense that any perturbations respecting this symmetry are no longer important. For instance, adding weak multiparticle interactions to the ordinary two-particle interactions does not affect any qualitative results. Thus, our scheme applies to a large class of models and suggests a new mechanism to access highly entangled states, which could be used to beat the standard quantum limit and improve quantum sensing [37–40].

*clyu@purdue.edu

†zhou753@purdue.edu

II. HAMILTONIAN

We consider N bosonic atoms in an interferometer consisting of two quantum states. The Hamiltonian reads

$$\hat{H} = -J(\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1) + g(\hat{n}_1^2 + \hat{n}_2^2) + 2g_{12}\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2, \quad (1)$$

where $J > 0$ is the coupling strength between the two quantum states, \hat{a}_i^\dagger is the creation operator in the i th state, and $\hat{n}_i = \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i$. g and g_{12} are the intra- and interstate interactions, respectively. This Hamiltonian remains unchanged with two modes swapped. If we consider two spatial modes, this is the inversion symmetry. Though our results apply to generic models respecting this symmetry, we focus on two-particle interactions to concretize discussions. Multiparticle interactions, which may arise from multiband effects [41], are discussed in Appendix F.

The Hamiltonian can be rewritten as

$$\hat{H} = -J(\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1) + \frac{\bar{U}}{2}(\hat{n}_1 + \hat{n}_2)^2 + \frac{U}{4}(\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2)^2, \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{U} = g + g_{12}$, $U = 2(g - g_{12})$. Due to the conservation of the total particle number $N = n_1 + n_2$, \bar{U} only contributes a trivial total phase of the wave function in the dynamics. We thus focus on interaction effects caused by U . Though this Hamiltonian has been well studied [15,18,42–46], our results, including zeros of $G(z)$ in the complex plane, DQPTs, symmetry-protected NOON states, and pair condensates, elude the literature. We solidify the discussion for repulsive interactions, $U > 0$. Attractive interactions lead to similar results (Appendix B).

III. ZEROS IN THE COMPLEX PLANE

We consider an initial state, $|\psi(0)\rangle = |N, 0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}\hat{a}_1^{\dagger N}|0\rangle$.

The dynamical evolution, $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t}|\psi(0)\rangle$, is computed by expanding $|\psi(0)\rangle$ using exact eigenstates of \hat{H} . Whereas this can be done for any parameter, we consider $UN^2 \ll J$. This energy scale separation leads to a time scale separation,

$$T \equiv \frac{\pi\hbar}{J} \ll t^* \equiv \frac{\pi\hbar}{U}, \quad (3)$$

which allows us to access quantum dynamical evolutions exhibiting extraordinary features. When U vanishes, the quantum dynamics is governed by

$$\hat{a}_1^\dagger \rightarrow \cos \frac{Jt}{\hbar} \hat{a}_1^\dagger + i \sin \frac{Jt}{\hbar} \hat{a}_2^\dagger, \quad (4a)$$

$$\hat{a}_2^\dagger \rightarrow i \sin \frac{Jt}{\hbar} \hat{a}_1^\dagger + \cos \frac{Jt}{\hbar} \hat{a}_2^\dagger. \quad (4b)$$

Thus, $|\psi^o(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}(\cos(Jt/\hbar)\hat{a}_1^\dagger + i \sin(Jt/\hbar)\hat{a}_2^\dagger)^N|0\rangle$, where the superscript o represents the result of a noninteracting system. Extending t to the complex plane, we find that all zeros of $G(z)$ are located on the real axis with multiplicity N . When $z = t_k^o \equiv (k + 1/2)T$, where k is an integer, the quantum state becomes $|0, N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}\hat{a}_2^{\dagger N}|0\rangle$, and $G(t_k^o) = 0$. One can view each identical boson as a spin-1/2. All spin-1/2's initially at the north pole of the Bloch sphere move to the south pole at the same times t_k^o , leading to a vanishing $G(z)$.

As shown in Fig. 1(c), a weak interaction satisfying $UN^2 \ll J$ has negligible effects at small times. A given multiple zero with multiplicity N splits into N simple zeros, all of which are close to zeros of noninteracting systems. Indeed, $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is very similar to that of a noninteracting case, as shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(d). For instance, at time $t = t^o \pm T/4$, $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is well represented by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N N!}}(\hat{a}_1^\dagger \pm i\hat{a}_2^\dagger)^N|0\rangle$, corresponding to a binomial distribution when expanded by Fock states $|l\rangle \equiv |N/2 + l, N/2 - l\rangle$. To simplify notations, we consider even N here. See Appendix C for results for odd N . However, at large times, even a weak interaction has profound effects. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the separation between different zeros of $G(z)$ becomes amplified greatly. Near t^* , these zeros deviate greatly from those of noninteracting systems. Whereas such zeros have finite imaginary parts, they intrinsically affect physical observables on the real-time axis, as shown later.

IV. DYNAMICALLY GENERATED ENTANGLED STATES

We evaluate s -body correlation functions on the real-time axis, $g_s = \langle \psi(t) | \hat{a}_1^{\dagger s} \hat{a}_2^s | \psi(t) \rangle$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. At $t = 0$, the Fock state has vanishing g_s for any s . As time goes on, g_s increases as a result of tunnelings between the two quantum states. When $U = 0$, the dynamics is fully captured by Rabi oscillations. When $U \neq 0$, Fig. 1(b) shows that the one-body correlation function, $g_1(t)$, decays due to interaction-induced decoherence. However, normalized two-body and N -body correlation functions, $\frac{4g_2(t)}{N(N-1)}$ and $\frac{2g_N(t)}{N!}$, reach their maxima around $t = t^*$. In the vicinity of t^* , both $|g_2|$ and $|g_N|$ oscillate with a period $T/2$. This indicates the rise of highly entangled states with multiparticle correlations. As shown in Figs. 2(e)–2(h), the four states showing up alternatively near t^* are well captured by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_0 = kT, \quad |C_-\rangle &= \frac{\hat{a}_1^{\dagger N} - i\hat{a}_2^{\dagger N}}{\sqrt{2N!}}|0\rangle, \\ \tilde{t}_1 = kT + \frac{T}{4}, \quad |P_-\rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{i^{N-n} - i^{n+1}}{p_n} \hat{a}_1^{\dagger n} \hat{a}_2^{\dagger N-n}|0\rangle, \\ \tilde{t}_2 = kT + \frac{2T}{4}, \quad |C_+\rangle &= \frac{\hat{a}_1^{\dagger N} + i\hat{a}_2^{\dagger N}}{i^{1-N}\sqrt{2N!}}|0\rangle, \\ \tilde{t}_3 = kT + \frac{3T}{4}, \quad |P_+\rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{i^{N-n} + i^{n+1}}{i^{1-N}p_n} \hat{a}_1^{\dagger n} \hat{a}_2^{\dagger N-n}|0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{t} = t - t^*$ and $p_n = n!(N-n)!\sqrt{\frac{2^{N+1}}{N!}}$. $|C_\pm\rangle$ are NOON states with vanishing $g_{s < N}$ and $|g_N| = N!/2$. We have verified that any $g_{s < N}$ does vanish when NOON states arise. For clarity of the plots, $g_{2 < s < N}$ values are not shown in Fig. 1.

$|P_\pm\rangle$ are called pair condensates, since their one-body correction function g_1 vanishes, and their two-body correlation function g_2 is of the order of N^2 . Correspondingly, their two-body reduced density matrix, $\langle a_i^{\dagger 2} a_i^2 \rangle$, has only one macroscopic eigenvalue proportional to N^2 . Therefore, $|P_\pm\rangle$ and $|C_\pm\rangle$ have distinct properties. Equation (4), which can be regarded as a rotation of the quantization of axis,




FIG. 1. Dynamics of eight bosons for $U/J = 0.001$. (a) Each blue dot represents a simple zero of $G(z)$ in the complex plane, where z is the complex time $z = t + it$. (b) Normalized s -body correlations $\frac{2|g_1|}{N}$, $\frac{4|g_2|}{N(N-1)}$, $\frac{2|g_N|}{N!}$ as functions of the real time t . Enlarged regimes of (a) and (b) near (c, d) $t = 0$ and (e, f) t^* . Each red circle in (c) and (e) is a zero of $G(z)$ of the noninteracting systems described by $z = (k + 1/2)T$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Each of them has multiplicity 8. The legend in (b) also applies to (d) and (f).

swaps $|P_{\pm}\rangle$ and $|C_{\pm}\rangle$. There are always two types of such different entangled states in any reference frame. As shown later, when studying $|G(t)|^2 = |\langle\psi(0)|e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t}|\psi(0)\rangle|^2$, which characterizes the quantum memory of the initial state, the chosen $|\psi(0)\rangle$ fixes the quantization axis such that $|P_{\pm}\rangle$ in Eq. (5) becomes orthogonal to $|\psi(0)\rangle$ when $N \rightarrow \infty$.

The energy spectrum in the limit $UN^2 \ll J$ (Appendix A), which is written as

$$E_n = An + Bn^2, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N, \quad (6)$$

$$B = -\frac{U}{2}, \quad A = \frac{UN}{2} + 2J, \quad r \equiv \frac{A}{B}. \quad (7)$$

For any initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^N c_n |E_n\rangle$, the wave function at a later time is given by $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^N c_n e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}E_n t} |E_n\rangle$. Tuning J and U , when $r = r_m$ is satisfied, where $r_m = 4m + 2$ or $4m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|C_{\pm}\rangle$ can be easily identified. If $r = 4m$, we obtain

$$|\psi(t^*)\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^N c_n e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}E_n t^*} |E_n\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^N c_n \frac{1 - i(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2}} |E_n\rangle. \quad (8)$$

Because of the aforementioned symmetry of H in Eq. (2), the energy eigenstates have a well-defined parity,

$$\hat{P}|E_n\rangle = (-1)^n |E_n\rangle, \quad (9)$$

where \hat{P} is the inversion operator, $\hat{P}|n_1, n_2\rangle = |n_2, n_1\rangle$, and $[\hat{H}, \hat{P}] = 0$. Using Eqs. (8) and (9), we conclude that $|\psi(t^*)\rangle = (|\psi(0)\rangle - i\hat{P}|\psi(0)\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. Whereas this result is valid for any initial state, the initial state we chose gives rise to $|C_{-}\rangle$ emerging at $t = t^*$. Meanwhile, interaction effects are negligible on the short time scale of a few T 's. The time evolution on this time scale is well captured by Eq. (4) if we replace t with $t - t^*$. Applying this transformation to $|C_{-}\rangle$, it is straightforward to show that the other three states in Eq. (5) show up at corresponding times. If $r = 4m + 2$, the same discussion applies and the four states, $|C_{+}\rangle$, $|P_{+}\rangle$, $|C_{-}\rangle$, and $|P_{-}\rangle$, show up at times \tilde{t}_0 , \tilde{t}_1 , \tilde{t}_2 , and \tilde{t}_3 in Eq. (5). It is also noteworthy that, for odd particle numbers, the pair condensates are described by another type of wave function $\sim \sum_l \psi_l \hat{a}_1^{\dagger 2l} \hat{a}_2^{\dagger N-2l} |0\rangle$ (Appendix C).

When $r \neq r_m$, Eq. (8) cannot be satisfied. Nevertheless, the states near $t = t^*$ are well approximated by NOON states in the weakly interacting regime. We calculate the fidelity,

$$P(t) = \max(|\langle C_{+}|\psi(t)\rangle|^2, |\langle C_{-}|\psi(t)\rangle|^2). \quad (10)$$




FIG. 2. (a–d) The wave function expanded by Fock states $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum \psi_l |N/2 + l, N/2 - l\rangle$ at four times: $t = 0, T/4, 2T/4$, and $3T/4$. (e–h) Results at four times near t^* . Numbers above bars represent relative phases of ψ_l . All parameters are identical to those in Fig. 1.




FIG. 3. (a) Zeros of $G(z)$ near t^* for $N = 40$ particles ($\tilde{t} \equiv t - t^*$). (b) Distances between the real-time axis and the nearest zeros around t^* as a function of $1/N$. The solid blue line is the analytical result from Eq. (14) and red circles are numerical results. (c) Rate function $\lambda(t)$. (d) $\lambda(t)$ near \tilde{t}_c . $UN^2/J = 0.01$ has been used.

Near t^* , we obtain (Appendix E)

$$P(t) \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \frac{N^2}{4} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{U}{2\hbar}t\right)^2}} \times \sum_k \left| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\frac{2}{N} + i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{U}{2\hbar}t\right)} \left(\frac{k\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi N}{4} - \frac{Jt}{\hbar}\right)^2\right)\right|^2. \quad (11)$$

Near t^* , $P(t)$ consists of Gaussian peaks centered at a series of discrete times with a separation of $T/2$. Since the width of these peaks is about $\frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{N}J}$, only one peak contributes to $P(t)$ significantly at any t in the large- N limit. $P(t)$ reaches

its maximum at $t^{*'} = \frac{k_0\pi\hbar}{2J} - \frac{\pi N\hbar}{4J}$, and

$$\max[P(t)] = \left(1 + \left(\frac{N\pi U d}{8J}\right)^2\right)^{-1/2}, \quad (12)$$

where k_0 is the integer nearest to $\frac{2J}{U} + \frac{N}{2}$, $k_0 \equiv \text{Int}(\frac{2J}{U} + \frac{N}{2})$, and $d \equiv |\frac{2J}{U} + \frac{N}{2} - k_0| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. When $r = r_m$, previous results are recovered because $\frac{2J}{U} + \frac{N}{2} = -\frac{r_m}{2}$ is an integer and $\max[P(t)] = 1$. For generic $r \neq r_m$, the lower bound of $\max[P(t)]$ is written as $(1 + (\frac{N\pi U}{16J})^2)^{-1/2}$. Thus, in the weakly interacting limit, NOON states well represent $|\psi(t^*)\rangle$. Away from $t = t^*$, we have numerically computed the overlaps between $|\psi(t)\rangle$ and the four states in Eq. (5), and these overlaps reach their maxima near t^* (Appendix D).

V. DQPT IN THE LARGE- N LIMIT

On the short time scale of a few T s, the dynamics near t^* is well captured by Eq. (4) with the substitution $\tilde{t} = t - t^*$. Zeros of $G(z)$ are obtained analytically. For instance, when $r = 4m$,

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\left(\cos \frac{J(z - t^*)}{\hbar} \right)^N - i \left(i \sin \frac{J(z - t^*)}{\hbar} \right)^N \right). \quad (13)$$

Figure 3(a) shows that real parts of these zeros are given by $\text{Re}z = t^* + (\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{m}{2}\pi)\frac{\hbar}{J}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., they are aligned in vertical lines in the complex plane. When N is odd, some zeros reside on the real axis (Appendix C). However, for a generic finite N , all zeros are away from the real axis. With increasing N , zeros become denser and gradually approach the real axis. The distance between the real axis and the nearest zero is bounded by

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \text{arccosh} \frac{1}{\left| \cos \frac{\pi}{2N} \right|}. \quad (14)$$




FIG. 4. (a, b) Zeros of $G(z)$ in the complex plane of time for seven particles and the corresponding normalized correlation functions. (d–g) The wave functions at four times picked up from (a). (c) The rate function $\lambda_-(t)$. (h, i) Details of $\lambda_-(t)$ near t_c . The open circle in (h) represents the discontinuity of $\lambda_-(t)$ at \tilde{t}_{c1} , where it approaches ∞ . In all panels U and J are fine-tuned such that $|\psi(t^*)\rangle = |C_-\rangle$.

In the large- N limit, $\Gamma \approx \frac{\pi}{4N}$. This scaling behavior is verified by numerical calculations, as shown in Fig. 3(b). When $N \rightarrow \infty$, straight lines formed by continuous zeros intersect with the real axis and lead to a vanishing $G(z)$ in the real axis. Correspondingly, $\lambda(t)$ becomes nonanalytic, signifying DQPTs. As shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), near the transition point, $\lambda(t) = \ln 2 - 2\frac{J}{\hbar}|\tilde{t} - \tilde{t}_c|$ when $N \rightarrow \infty$, where $\tilde{t}_c = (\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{m}{2}\pi)\frac{\hbar}{J}$. Comparing DQPT points and the times given in Eq. (5), we conclude that pair condensates, $|P_{\pm}\rangle$, reside at DQPT points and characterize the DQPT between two types of NOON states, $|C_{\pm}\rangle$. This is also shown in Figs. 1(e) and 1(f). Zeros of $G(z)$ near t^* are aligned in a vertical line, directly corresponding to maximized g_2 .

VI. EFFECTS OF PERTURBATIONS

Whereas essentially all parameters in Eq. (2) can be fine-tuned, it is useful to consider the effects of perturbations. We consider two types of important perturbations. (a) With increasing U , Eq. (6) includes high-order terms $n^{s>2}$. (b) An energy mismatch $\Delta(n_1 - n_2)$ breaks the inversion symmetry.

Considering (a), the lowest-order contribution is a cubic term and we have $E_n = An + Bn^2 + Cn^3$, where $Cn^3 = -n^3U^2/(8J)$. The wave function is written as

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^n c_n e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(An+Bn^2-\frac{U^2}{8J}n^3)t}, \quad (15)$$

where $c_n = (\frac{2}{\pi N})^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{1}{N}(n-\frac{N}{2}-\frac{U}{16J}N^2)^2}$. If $\frac{U^2}{8J}n^3t^* \ll 1$, then the extra phase introduced by the cubic term is negligible within the time scale that is relevant to the emergent NOON states and DQPTs. Since c_n is a Gaussian with a width \sqrt{N} , which provides a natural cutoff of n in the sum in Eq. (15), we replace n in the above inequality with \sqrt{N} and obtain $UN^{\frac{3}{2}} \ll J$. Thus, when $UN^2 \ll J$ is satisfied, all these corrections are negligible. Similar conclusions apply to $n^{s>2}$ caused by multibody interactions (Appendix F).

For symmetry-breaking terms in (b), our calculation shows that a finite Δ suppresses g_N by a factor

$$\frac{g_N}{g_N^0} = 1 - \left(\frac{\Delta^2 N}{2J^2} + \frac{U \Delta N(N-1)}{16J^2} \right), \quad (16)$$

where $g_N^0 = N!/2$ is the N -body correlation function of a NOON state. Thus, when

$$8\Delta^2 N + \Delta U N(N-1) \ll 16J^2 \quad (17)$$

all characteristic features of NOON states are retained.

We compare Eq. (17) to the criterion for a stable NOON state at equilibrium [47], where a finite Δ strongly suppresses the superposition of $|N, 0\rangle$ and $|0, N\rangle$, as a large N amplifies the energy penalty. Meanwhile, the effective tunneling between $|N, 0\rangle$ and $|0, N\rangle$ is exponentially small, as it requires N steps of single-particle tunneling to couple them. $\Delta N \ll J e^{-N}$ is then required, i.e., an exponentially small Δ with increasing N . Here, such a constraint does not apply in nonequilibrium dynamics. Equation (17) shows that, with increasing N , Δ only needs to be suppressed as a power law. The dynamically generated NOON states are much more stable than their counterparts at equilibrium. Thus, our results suggest a new

route to access NOON states that can be potentially used in precision measurements.

VII. EXPERIMENTAL REALIZATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Whereas our results apply to generic atomic interferometers with any particle number, we comment on possible sceneries directly related to current experiments. Optical tweezers have recently been used to create an atomic Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer [13]. Each single tweezer corresponds to a quantum state in Eq. (2), and both interaction U and tunneling J can be tuned. Trapping multiple atoms is also possible [48,49]. Using realistic experimental parameters, $J/2\pi = 262(4)$ Hz, in [13], when $U/J = 0.022$ and $N = 8$, NOON states and DQPTs emerge around a critical time of about 86 ms (Appendix G). Besides optical tweezers, other systems ranging from double-well optical lattices to mesoscopic traps [50–53], in which the total particle number can be controlled precisely, are also suitable for testing our theoretical results. In addition, H in Eq. (2) can be mapped to a spin-1/2 model with all-to-all interactions [54], which offers another realization of our schemes in spin systems.

We have studied DQPTs in interacting atomic interferometers and shown that dynamically generated entangled states have deep connections with zeros of the Loschmidt echo in the complex plane. DQPTs provide us with a new angle for understanding nonequilibrium dynamics. We hope that our work will stimulate more interest in using interacting interferometers to explore DQPTs and to produce novel entangled quantum states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by DOE DE-SC0019202, W. M. Keck Foundation, and startup funds from Purdue University. C.L. also acknowledges the support of the F. N. Andrews Fellowship from Purdue University.

APPENDIX A: EIGENSTATES AND ENERGY SPECTRUM OF THE HAMILTONIAN

We consider the Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = -J(\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1) + \frac{U}{2}(\hat{n}_1 + \hat{n}_2)^2 + \frac{U}{4}(\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2)^2 + \Delta(\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2)$. When $U = \Delta = 0$, the eigenenergies E_n^0 and eigenstates $|E_n^0\rangle$ are

$$E_n^0 = 2J \left(n - \frac{N}{2} \right), \quad (A1)$$

$$|E_n^0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!(N-n)!}} \left(\frac{\hat{a}_1^\dagger + \hat{a}_2^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^{N-n} \left(\frac{\hat{a}_1^\dagger - \hat{a}_2^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^n |0\rangle. \quad (A2)$$

When $U, \Delta \ll J$, the first- and second-order corrections to the eigenenergies are

$$E_n^1 = \frac{U}{4}(2nN - 2n^2 + N), \quad (A3)$$

$$E_n^2 = \frac{U^2}{32J}(2n - N)(N - 1 + 2Nn - 2n^2) + \frac{\Delta^2}{2J}(2n - N). \quad (A4)$$

The eigenstates are

$$\begin{aligned}
 |E_n\rangle &= |E_n^0\rangle - \frac{\Delta}{2J} \sqrt{(n+1)(N-n)} |E_{n+1}^0\rangle \\
 &+ \frac{\Delta}{2J} \sqrt{n(N-n+1)} |E_{n-1}^0\rangle + O(\Delta^3) \\
 &- \frac{U}{4} \frac{\sqrt{(N-n)(N-n-1)(n+1)(n+2)}}{4J} |E_{n+2}^0\rangle \\
 &+ \frac{U}{4} \frac{\sqrt{(n-1)n(N-n+1)(N-n+2)}}{4J} |E_{n-2}^0\rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

APPENDIX B: ATTRACTIVE INTERACTIONS

As discussed in the text, when $U > 0$, $t^* = \frac{\pi\hbar}{U}$, and $r_m = 4m$, $|C_-\rangle$, $|P_-\rangle$, $|C_+\rangle$, and $|P_+\rangle$ show up in order starting from t^* . In contrast, $r_m = 4m + 2$, $|C_+\rangle$, $|P_+\rangle$, $|C_-\rangle$, and $|P_-\rangle$ show up in order starting from t^* .

Here we discuss $U < 0$ and $t^* = \frac{\pi\hbar}{|U|}$. (i) $r_m = 4m$, $|C_+\rangle$, $|P_+\rangle$, $|C_-\rangle$, and $|P_-\rangle$ show up in order starting from t^* , and $G(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}((\cos J(z - t^*)/\hbar)^N + i(i \sin J(z - t^*)/\hbar)^N)$. (ii) $r_m = 4m + 2$, $|C_-\rangle$, $|P_-\rangle$, $|C_+\rangle$, and $|P_+\rangle$ show up in order starting from t^* , and $G(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}((\cos J(z - t^*)/\hbar)^N - i(i \sin J(z - t^*)/\hbar)^N)$.

If r_m is not an even integer, Eq. (11) can be generalized to

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(t) &\approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \frac{N^2}{4} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{|U|t}{2\hbar}\right)^2}} \\
 &\times \sum_k \left| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\frac{2}{N} + i\frac{U}{|U|} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{|U|t}{2\hbar}\right)}\right)} \right. \\
 &\times \left. \left(\frac{k\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi N}{4} - \frac{Jt}{\hbar}\right)^2 \right|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

APPENDIX C: RESULTS FOR AN ODD NUMBER OF PARTICLES

The zeros of $G(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}((\cos J(z - t^*)/\hbar)^N \pm i(i \sin J(z - t^*)/\hbar)^N)$ are written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Re} \frac{J(z - t^*)}{\hbar} &= \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{l}{2}\pi, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{C1} \\
 \text{Im} \frac{J(z - t^*)}{\hbar} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{arccosh} \frac{1}{\left| \cos \pi \left(\frac{1+2k \mp 1/2}{N} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right|} \\
 &\times \text{sgn} \sin \pi \left(\frac{1+2k \mp 1/2}{N} - \frac{1}{2} \right), \\
 k &= 1, 2, \dots, N. \tag{C2}
 \end{aligned}$$

For a finite even N , zeros have finite imaginary parts. For a finite odd N , some zeros reside on the real-time axis, as shown in Fig. 4.

In the large- N limit, (i) if N is even, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(t) = -2 \ln[\max(|\cos J\tilde{t}/\hbar|, |\sin J\tilde{t}/\hbar|)]$, which is analyzed in the text; and (ii) if N is odd, $\lambda_{\pm}(t) = -\frac{1}{N} \ln(\frac{1}{2} |\cos^N J\tilde{t}/\hbar \pm \sin^N J\tilde{t}/\hbar|^2)$. The sign \pm is determined by the sign before i

in $G(t)$ and whether $N = 4p + 1$ or $4p + 3$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\lambda_{\pm}(t)$ is nonanalytic at $\tilde{t}_c = \frac{\hbar}{J}(\frac{\pi}{4} + k\frac{\pi}{2})$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, when $N \rightarrow \infty$. Especially, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_-(t) = -2 \ln[\max(|\cos J\tilde{t}/\hbar|, |\sin J\tilde{t}/\hbar|)]$ except at $\tilde{t}_{c1} = \frac{\hbar}{J}(\frac{\pi}{4} + k\pi)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As shown in Fig. 4(d), $\lambda_-(t)$ diverges at \tilde{t}_{c1} for any finite odd N . Similar conclusions apply to $\lambda_+(t)$.

The emerged pair condensates near t^* for odd N are also different from those for even N . Using Eq. (5), for $N = 2m + 1$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |P_-\rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{i^{N-n} - i^{n+1}}{p_n} \hat{a}_1^{\dagger n} \hat{a}_2^{\dagger N-n} |0\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{i^{n+1}((-1)^{m+n} - 1)}{p_n} \hat{a}_1^{\dagger n} \hat{a}_2^{\dagger N-n} |0\rangle, \tag{C3}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |P_+\rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{i^{N-n} + i^{n+1}}{p_n} \hat{a}_1^{\dagger n} \hat{a}_2^{\dagger N-n} |0\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{i^{n+1}((-1)^{m+n} + 1)}{p_n} \hat{a}_1^{\dagger n} \hat{a}_2^{\dagger N-n} |0\rangle. \tag{C4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, some Fock states are suppressed by the factor $(-1)^{m+n} - 1$. For instance, when $N = 7$, $|P_-\rangle$ only contains $|0, 7\rangle$, $|2, 5\rangle$, $|4, 3\rangle$, $|6, 1\rangle$. Apparently both the one-body correction g_1 and $G(t) = \langle 7, 0|P_-\rangle$ vanish.

APPENDIX D: OVERLAPS BETWEEN $|\psi(t)\rangle$ AND $|C_{\pm}\rangle, |P_{\pm}\rangle$

Away from t^* , there is no simple analytical expression for the overlap between $|\psi(t)\rangle$ and the NOON states or pair condensates. We thus evaluate such overlaps numerically, as shown in Fig. 5. Near $t^* = \frac{\pi\hbar}{U}$, the four states defined in Eq. (5) show up alternatively. The overlaps reach maxima near t^* .

APPENDIX E: DETAILED ANALYSES OF PERTURBATIONS

When $\Delta = 0$, the initial state $|N, 0\rangle$ can be expanded by energy eigenstates and the coefficients c_n are

$$\begin{aligned}
 |c_n|^2 &= |\langle E_n | N, 0 \rangle|^2 \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{2^{N/2}} \sqrt{\frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!}} \left(1 - (N-2n) \frac{U(N-1)}{16J} \right) \right|^2 \\
 &\approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi N}} e^{-\frac{2}{N} \left((n - \frac{N}{2}) - \frac{UN(N-1)}{16J} \right)^2}. \tag{E1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Assuming $E_n = Cn^3 + Bn^2 + An$ and $B < 0$, the overlap between $|\psi_t\rangle$ and the NOON state $(|N, 0\rangle + i|0, N\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle C_+ | \psi(t) \rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^N |c_n|^2 e^{-i(\frac{\pi}{2}n^2 + n\pi)} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} E_n t} \\
 &= \sum_m \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi N}} e^{-\frac{2}{N} m^2 - \frac{iC}{\hbar} m^3 - i(\frac{\pi}{2} - H_2 t) m^2 + i(G_1 - \pi - H_1 t) m}, \tag{E2}
 \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 5. Overlaps between state $|\psi_t\rangle$ and four entangled states defined in the text as a function of time. We have used $N = 8$ bosons and $U/J = 0.001$.

where $m = n - \frac{N}{2} + \frac{UN^2}{16J}$ and

$$H_2 = \frac{|B|}{\hbar} - \frac{3CN^2U}{16\hbar J} - \frac{3CN}{2\hbar}, \quad (\text{E3})$$

$$H_1 = \frac{A}{\hbar} - \frac{|B|N^2U}{8\hbar J} - \frac{|B|N}{\hbar} + \frac{3CN^4U^2}{256\hbar J^2} + \frac{3CN^3U}{16\hbar J} + \frac{3CN^2}{4\hbar}, \quad (\text{E4})$$

$$G_1 = -\frac{\pi N^2U}{16J} - \frac{\pi N}{2}. \quad (\text{E5})$$

What is required is that the phase contributed by the cubic term is negligible when $t \sim \frac{\pi\hbar}{U}$. Since the width of the Gaussian factor is \sqrt{N} , we require that

$$\left| \frac{Ct}{\hbar} m^3 \right| = \left| \frac{U^2 \pi}{8J U} N^{3/2} \right| \ll 1 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{UN^{3/2}}{J} \right| \ll 1, \quad (\text{E6})$$

where we have used the energy spectrum obtained from second-order perturbation. The cubic term is then dropped and we employ the Poisson summation formula to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle C_+ | \psi(t) \rangle &= \sum_k \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + i\frac{N}{2}(G_2 - H_2t)}} \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\frac{2}{N} + i(G_2 - H_2t)}\right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{(2k-1)\pi + G_1}{H_1} - t\right)^2 \frac{H_1^2}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E7})$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle C_- | \psi(t) \rangle &= \sum_k \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + i\frac{N}{2}(G_2 - H_2t)}} \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\frac{2}{N} + i(G_2 - H_2t)}\right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{(2k)\pi + G_1}{H_1} - t\right)^2 \frac{H_1^2}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E8})$$

When Eq. (E6) is satisfied, $H_2 \approx \frac{|B|}{\hbar} \approx \frac{U}{2\hbar}$, $H_1 \approx \frac{A}{\hbar} \approx \frac{2J}{\hbar}$, and $G_1 \approx -\frac{\pi N}{2}$. We define the probability of finding a NOON state as $P(t) = \max(|\langle C_+ | \psi(t) \rangle|^2, |\langle C_- | \psi(t) \rangle|^2)$. Near

$t = \frac{G_2}{H_2}$, $P(t)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} P(t) &\approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \frac{N^2}{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{U}{2\hbar}t\right)^2}} \\ &\times \sum_k \left| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\frac{2}{N} + i\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{U}{2\hbar}t\right)}\right) \right. \\ &\times \left. \left(\frac{k\pi\hbar}{2J} - \frac{\pi N\hbar}{4J} - t\right)^2 \frac{J^2}{\hbar^2} \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E9})$$

$P(t)$ consists of multiple Gaussian functions whose peaks are located at $t = \frac{k\pi\hbar}{2J} - \frac{\pi N\hbar}{4J}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and their separation is $\frac{\pi\hbar}{2J}$. There is also a factor $(1 + \frac{N^2}{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{U}{2\hbar}t\right)^2)^{-1/2}$, which suppresses peak heights. If the parameters are fine-tuned such that an integer k_0 satisfies $\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{U}{2\hbar}\left(\frac{k_0\pi\hbar}{2J} - \frac{\pi N\hbar}{4J}\right) = 0$, then $P(t) = 1$ at $t = \frac{k_0\pi\hbar}{2J} - \frac{\pi N\hbar}{4J}$. We thus obtain a perfect NOON state. Without fine-tuning the parameters, we consider $t = \frac{\pi\hbar}{U}$, which lies in the middle of two peaks. The two peaks get a suppression of $(1 + (\frac{\pi NU}{16J})^2)^{-1/2}$. Again, because of Eq. (E6), this factor is negligible when N is large.

If the energy mismatch Δ is finite, we separate the eigenstates into two parts according to their spatial parity:

$$|E_n\rangle = \alpha_n |E_n\rangle_s + \beta_n |E_n\rangle_a, \quad (\text{E10})$$

$$\hat{P}|E_m\rangle = \alpha_n (-1)^n |E_n\rangle_s + \beta_n (-1)^{n+1} |E_n\rangle_a. \quad (\text{E11})$$

The time evolution of the wave function is written as $|N, 0\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_t\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^N c_n \alpha_n e^{-iE_n t} |E_n\rangle_s + c_n \beta_n e^{-iE_n t} |E_n\rangle_a$. From Eq. (A4), we see that, up to the second order of Δ , the quadratic term in E_n remains unchanged. Thus, when $t^* = \frac{\pi\hbar}{U}$, $e^{-iE_n t^*} = \frac{1+i(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2}}$ is satisfied, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t^*)\rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n c_n \frac{1+i(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2}} |E_n\rangle_s \\ &+ \beta_n c_n \frac{1+i(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2}} |E_n\rangle_a \\ &= |\text{cat}\rangle + |\text{err}\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E12})$$

where $|\text{err}\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^N i\sqrt{2}(-1)^n c_n \beta_n |E_n\rangle_a$ is the correction to the NOON state at t^* , and

$$\begin{aligned} g_N &= \langle \psi_{t^*} | \hat{a}_1^{\dagger N} \hat{a}_2^N | \psi_{t^*} \rangle \\ &= g_N^0 + \frac{N!}{\sqrt{2}} \langle 0, N | \text{err} \rangle + i \frac{N!}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \text{err} | N, 0 \rangle \\ &+ \langle \text{err} | \hat{a}_1^{\dagger N} \hat{a}_2^N | \text{err} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E13})$$




FIG. 6. Zeros of $G(z)$ in the complex plane and normalized correlation functions for two particles in optical tweezers. $U/J = 0.22$ and $J/2\pi = 262$ Hz.

Using Eq. (A5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n |E_n\rangle_a = & -\frac{\Delta}{2J} \sqrt{(n+1)(N-n)} |E_{n+1}^0\rangle \\ & + \frac{\Delta}{2J} \sqrt{n(N-n+1)} |E_{n-1}^0\rangle + O(\Delta^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E14})$$

Up to the first order of U and Δ ,

$$|\text{err}\rangle^{(1)} = \frac{\Delta}{2J} \sum_{n=0}^N i\sqrt{2} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2^{N/2}} \sqrt{\frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!}} (N-2n) |E_n^0\rangle. \quad (\text{E15})$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\langle 0, N | \text{err}\rangle^{(1)}$, $\langle \text{err}\rangle^{(1)} | N, 0 \rangle$, and $\hat{a}_1^{\dagger N} \hat{a}_2^N |\text{err}\rangle^{(1)}$ vanish.

Up to the second order of U and Δ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{err}\rangle^{(2)} = & \frac{\Delta}{2J} \left(\frac{\Delta}{2J} + \frac{U(N-1)}{16J} \right) \\ & \times \sum_{n=0}^N i\sqrt{2} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2^{N/2}} \sqrt{\frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!}} (N-2n)^2 |E_n^0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E16})$$

So,

$$\langle 0, N | \text{err}\rangle^{(2)} = -i\sqrt{2} \frac{\Delta}{2J} \left(\frac{\Delta}{2J} + \frac{U(N-1)}{16J} \right) \frac{N!}{(N-1)!}, \quad (\text{E17})$$

$$\langle \text{err}\rangle^{(2)} | N, 0 \rangle = 0. \quad (\text{E18})$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} g_N = & \langle \psi(t^*) | \hat{a}_1^{\dagger N} \hat{a}_2^N | \psi(t^*) \rangle \\ = & i \frac{N!}{2} - i \left(\frac{\Delta}{2J} \left(\frac{\Delta}{2J} + \frac{U(N-1)}{16J} \right) \right) \frac{N!^2}{(N-1)!} \\ = & g_N^0 \left(1 - 2N \left(\frac{\Delta}{2J} \left(\frac{\Delta}{2J} + \frac{U(N-1)}{16J} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E19})$$

APPENDIX F: MULTIBODY INTERACTIONS

Interaction-induced interband couplings may lead to virtual transitions of particles from the lowest-energy band to higher bands [41]. When the band gap is small compared

to the interaction strength, there exist effective multibody interactions. As for the three-body interaction, $U_3(n_1^3 + n_2^3)$, it can be rewritten as $U_3(n_1 + n_2)^3 - 3U_3 n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2)$. Because of the conservation of the total particle number, the first term is not relevant to the dynamics. The second term turns the two-body interaction, U , discussed in the text into $U \rightarrow U + 3U_3 N$ and does not change any qualitative results.

Other multibody interactions do not change our results either, provided that they respect the inversion symmetry. As discussed in the text, NOON states emergent in the dynamics are protected by the inversion symmetry. Any multibody interactions, $U_{s>2}(n_1^s + n_2^s)$, still respect this symmetry. Thus, the only effect that they have on the dynamics is to add corrections, $n^{s>2}$, to the energy spectrum in Eq. (5) in the text. Any such small corrections would not affect the qualitative results of the dynamics at short times, similar to the discussion of Eq. (15) in the text.

APPENDIX G: CORRELATION FUNCTIONS AND ZEROS OF $G(z)$ IN OPTICAL TWEEZERS

Two coupled optical tweezers have been used to create an atomic Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer [12,13]. Starting from an initial state, $|2, 0\rangle$, i.e., two bosons occupying the same optical tweezer, the time evolution of the correlation functions can be calculated analytically,

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 = & -\frac{2U}{\sqrt{16J^2 + U^2}} \alpha \beta \sin^2 \frac{\sqrt{16J^2 + U^2} t}{2\hbar} \\ & + i2\alpha \beta \sin \frac{\sqrt{16J^2 + U^2} t}{2\hbar} \cos \frac{Ut}{2\hbar} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 = & \frac{\alpha^4 + \beta^4 - 1}{2} + \alpha^2 \beta^2 \cos \frac{\sqrt{16J^2 + U^2} t}{\hbar} \\ & + i \left(\sin \frac{Ut}{2\hbar} \cos \frac{\sqrt{16J^2 + U^2} t}{2\hbar} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{U}{\sqrt{16J^2 + U^2}} \cos \frac{Ut}{2\hbar} \sin \frac{\sqrt{16J^2 + U^2} t}{2\hbar} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G2})$$




FIG. 7. (a, c, d) Zeros of $G(z)$ in the complex plane and (b, e) normalized correlation functions for eight particles in optical tweezers. $J/2\pi = 262$ Hz. (a, b) For $U/J = 0.22$ and (c–e) for $U/J = 0.022$.

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{1 - \frac{U}{\sqrt{16J^2+U^2}}}$, $\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{1 + \frac{U}{\sqrt{16J^2+U^2}}}$. If the parameters are fine-tuned such that $\frac{\sqrt{16J^2+U^2}}{U} = 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, at $t^* = \frac{\pi\hbar}{U}$, we obtain $g_1 = 0$, $g_2 = i(-1)^k$, and a small NOON state $\frac{|2,0\rangle + i(-1)^k|0,2\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$. Using realistic experimental parameters in Ref. [13], $J/2\pi = 262(4)$ Hz and $U/J = 0.22(2)$, the correlation functions and the zeros of $G(z)$ are shown in Fig. 6. When $U \ll J$, $\frac{\sqrt{16J^2+U^2}}{U} = 2k$ corresponds to $r = r_m$ in the text. Without fine-tuning the experimental parameters, there are corrections to the small NOON state at t^* , similar to the results discussed in the text. It is noteworthy that, starting from $|1, 1\rangle$, the current experiment has shown that a small NOON

state can be produced in a Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer. However, this is only true when interactions are ignored. We have verified that, in the presence of interactions, $|1, 1\rangle$ cannot produce a small NOON state. Instead, $|2, 0\rangle$ should be used, as shown by the previous discussion.

It is possible that optical tweezers could trap multiple particles. For eight particles, $UN^2 \ll J$ is no longer satisfied if $U/J = 0.22(2)$. Nevertheless, the qualitative results remain unchanged. As shown in Fig. 7, g_8 is maximized near t^* while other correlation functions are suppressed. With U/J decreased down to 0.022, all results in the text are recovered and the predicted NOON states and DQPTs can be observed around $t = 86$ ms.

- [1] J. B. Fixler, G. T. Foster, J. M. McGuirk, and M. A. Kasevich, Atom interferometer measurement of the Newtonian constant of gravity, *Science* **315**, 74 (2007).
- [2] S. Fray, C. A. Diez, T. W. Hänsch, and M. Weitz, Atomic Interferometer with Amplitude Gratings of Light and its Applications to Atom Based Tests of the Equivalence Principle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 240404 (2004).
- [3] P. Cladé, E. de Mirandes, M. Cadoret, S. Guellati-Khélifa, C. Schwob, F. Nez, L. Julien, and F. Biraben, Determination of the Fine Structure Constant Based on Bloch Oscillations of Ultracold Atoms in a Vertical Optical Lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 033001 (2006).
- [4] P. W. Graham, J. M. Hogan, M. A. Kasevich, and S. Rajendran, New Method for Gravitational Wave Detection With Atomic Sensors, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 171102 (2013).
- [5] S. Dimopoulos, P. W. Graham, J. M. Hogan, M. A. Kasevich, and S. Rajendran, Atomic gravitational wave interferometric sensor, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 122002 (2008).
- [6] J. Lawall and M. Prentiss, Demonstration of a Novel Atomic Beam Splitter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 993 (1994).
- [7] S. Glasgow, P. Meystre, M. Wilkens, and E. M. Wright, Theory of an atomic beam splitter based on velocity-tuned resonances, *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 2455 (1991).
- [8] T. Pfau, C. Kurtsiefer, C. S. Adams, M. Sigel, and J. Mlynek, Magneto-Optical Beam Splitter for Atoms, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 3427 (1993).
- [9] O. Houde, D. Kadio, and L. Pruvost, Cold Atom Beam Splitter Realized With Two Crossing Dipole Guides, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 5543 (2000).
- [10] R. Grimm, J. Söding, and Y. B. Ovchinnikov, Coherent beam splitter for atoms based on a bichromatic standing light wave, *Opt. Lett.* **19**, 658 (1994).
- [11] C. K. Hong, Z. Y. Ou, and L. Mandel, Measurement of Subpicosecond Time Intervals Between Two photons by Interference, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 2044 (1987).
- [12] A. M. Kaufman, B. J. Lester, and C. A. Regal, Cooling a Single Atom in an Optical Tweezer to its Quantum Ground State, *Phys. Rev. X* **2**, 041014 (2012).
- [13] A. M. Kaufman, B. J. Lester, C. M. Reynolds, M. L. Wall, M. Foss-Feig, K. R. A. Hazzard, A. M. Rey, and C. A. Regal, Two-particle quantum interference in tunnel-coupled optical tweezers, *Science* **345**, 306 (2014).
- [14] R. Lopes, A. Imanaliev, A. Aspect, M. Cheneau, D. Boiron, and C. I. Westbrook, Atomic Hong-Ou-Mandel experiment, *Nature* **520**, 66 (2015).
- [15] A. Buchleitner and A. R. Kolovsky, Interaction-Induced Decoherence of Atomic Bloch Oscillations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 253002 (2003).
- [16] J. T. Chalker, Y. Gefen, and M. Y. Veillette, Decoherence and interactions in an electronic Mach-Zehnder interferometer, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 085320 (2007).

- [17] A. O. Jamison, J. N. Kutz, and S. Gupta, Atomic interactions in precision interferometry using Bose-Einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 043643 (2011).
- [18] B. Juliá-Díaz, T. Zibold, M. K. Oberthaler, M. Melé-Messeguer, J. Martorell, and A. Polls, Dynamic generation of spin-squeezed states in bosonic Josephson junctions, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 023615 (2012).
- [19] M. Heyl, A. Polkovnikov, and S. Kehrein, Dynamical Quantum Phase Transitions in the Transverse-Field Ising Model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 135704 (2013).
- [20] M. Heyl and J. C. Budich, Dynamical topological quantum phase transitions for mixed states, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 180304(R) (2017).
- [21] A. A. Zvyagin, Dynamical quantum phase transitions (review article), *Low Temp. Phys.* **42**, 971 (2016).
- [22] M. Heyl, Dynamical quantum phase transitions: A review, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **81**, 054001 (2018).
- [23] C. N. Yang and T. D. Lee, Statistical theory of equations of state and phase transitions. I. Theory of condensation, *Phys. Rev.* **87**, 404 (1952).
- [24] M. E. Fisher, Yang-Lee Edge Singularity and ϕ^3 Field Theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40**, 1610 (1978).
- [25] M. Heyl, Dynamical Quantum Phase Transitions in Systems With Broken-Symmetry Phases, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 205701 (2014).
- [26] P. Jurcevic, H. Shen, P. Hauke, C. Maier, T. Brydges, C. Hempel, B. P. Lanyon, M. Heyl, R. Blatt, and C. F. Roos, Direct Observation of Dynamical Quantum Phase Transitions in an Interacting Many-Body System, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 080501 (2017).
- [27] S. Smale, P. He, B. A. Olsen, K. G. Jackson, H. Sharum, S. Trotzky, J. Marino, A. M. Rey, and J. H. Thywissen, Observation of a transition between dynamical phases in a quantum degenerate fermi gas, *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaax1568 (2019).
- [28] S. Sharma, U. Divakaran, A. Polkovnikov, and A. Dutta, Slow quenches in a quantum ising chain: Dynamical phase transitions and topology, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 144306 (2016).
- [29] U. Bhattacharya, S. Bandyopadhyay, and A. Dutta, Mixed state dynamical quantum phase transitions, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 180303(R) (2017).
- [30] L. Piroli, B. Pozsgay, and E. Vernier, Non-analytic behavior of the Loschmidt echo in xxz spin chains: Exact results, *Nucl. Phys. B* **933**, 454 (2018).
- [31] P. Nozières and D. Saint James, Particle vs. pair condensation in attractive Bose liquids, *J. Phys. France* **43**, 1133 (1982).
- [32] U. R. Fischer and B. Xiong, Robustness of fragmented condensate many-body states for continuous distribution amplitudes in Fock space, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 053602 (2013).
- [33] K.-J. Chen, H. K. Lau, H. M. Chan, D. Wang, and Q. Zhou, Unfolding multi-particle quantum correlations hidden in decoherence, [arXiv:1711.04105](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.04105).
- [34] M. Heyl, Scaling and Universality at Dynamical Quantum Phase Transitions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 140602 (2015).
- [35] A. Kosior and K. Sacha, Dynamical quantum phase transitions in discrete time crystals, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 053621 (2018).
- [36] C. Karrasch and D. Schuricht, Dynamical quantum phase transitions in the quantum Potts chain, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 075143 (2017).
- [37] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, Quantum-enhanced measurements: Beating the standard quantum limit, *Science* **306**, 1330 (2004).
- [38] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, Quantum Metrology, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 010401 (2006).
- [39] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, Advances in quantum metrology, *Nat. Photon.* **5**, 222 (2011).
- [40] D. Leibfried, M. D. Barrett, T. Schaetz, J. Britton, J. Chiaverini, W. M. Itano, J. D. Jost, C. Langer, and D. J. Wineland, Toward Heisenberg-limited spectroscopy with multiparticle entangled states, *Science* **304**, 1476 (2004).
- [41] S. Will, T. Best, U. Schneider, L. Hackermüller, D.-S. Lühmann, and I. Bloch, Time-resolved observation of coherent multi-body interactions in quantum phase revivals, *Nature* **465**, 197 (2010).
- [42] G. J. Milburn, J. Corney, E. M. Wright, and D. F. Walls, Quantum dynamics of an atomic Bose-Einstein condensate in a double-well potential, *Phys. Rev. A* **55**, 4318 (1997).
- [43] T.-L. Ho and C. V. Ciobanu, The Schrödinger cat family in attractive Bose gases, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **135**, 257 (2004).
- [44] M. Albiez, R. Gati, J. Fölling, S. Hunsmann, M. Cristiani, and M. K. Oberthaler, Direct Observation of Tunneling and Non-linear Self-Trapping in a Single Bosonic Josephson Junction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 010402 (2005).
- [45] A. N. Salgueiro, A. de Toledo Piza, G. B. Lemos, R. Drumond, M. C. Nemes, and M. Weidemüller, Quantum dynamics of bosons in a double-well potential: Josephson oscillations, self-trapping and ultralong tunneling times, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **44**, 537 (2007).
- [46] T. Zibold, E. Nicklas, C. Gross, and M. K. Oberthaler, Classical Bifurcation at the Transition from Rabi to Josephson Dynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 204101 (2010).
- [47] A. Micheli, D. Jaksch, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Many-particle entanglement in two-component Bose-Einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 013607 (2003).
- [48] M. Li and J. Arlt, Trapping multiple particles in single optical tweezers, *Opt. Commun.* **281**, 135 (2008).
- [49] C. A. Regal (private communication).
- [50] J. Sebby-Strabley, M. Anderlini, P. S. Jessen, and J. V. Porto, Lattice of double wells for manipulating pairs of cold atoms, *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 033605 (2006).
- [51] Y. Shin, M. Saba, T. A. Pasquini, W. Ketterle, D. E. Pritchard, and A. E. Leanhardt, Atom Interferometry With Bose-Einstein Condensates in a Double-Well Potential, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 050405 (2004).
- [52] T. Schumm, S. Hofferberth, L. M. Andersson, S. Wildermuth, S. Groth, I. Bar-Joseph, J. Schmiedmayer, and P. Krüger, Matter-wave interferometry in a double well on an atom chip, *Nat. Phys.* **1**, 57 (2005).
- [53] R. Islam, R. Ma, P. M. Preiss, M. Eric Tai, A. Lukin, M. Rispoli, and M. Greiner, Measuring entanglement entropy in a quantum many-body system, *Nature* **528**, 77 (2015).
- [54] A. Russomanno, F. Iemini, M. Dalmonte, and R. Fazio, Floquet time crystal in the Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 214307 (2017).