Magic wavelength of the ¹³⁸Ba⁺ 6s ${}^{2}S_{1/2}$ – 5d ${}^{2}D_{5/2}$ clock transition

S. R. Chanu,¹ V. P. W. Koh,² K. J. Arnold,¹ R. Kaewuam,¹ T. R. Tan,^{1,2} Zhiqiang Zhang,¹ M. S. Safronova,^{3,4} and M. D. Barrett ^{1,2,*}

¹Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 3 Science Drive 2, 117543 Singapore

²Department of Physics, National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 3, 117551 Singapore

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, USA

⁴ Joint Quantum Institute, National Institute of Standards and Technology and the University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

(Received 28 October 2019; revised manuscript received 5 March 2020; accepted 26 March 2020; published 22 April 2020)

The zero crossing of the dynamic differential scalar polarizability of the $S_{1/2} - D_{5/2}$ clock transition in ¹³⁸Ba⁺ has been determined to be 459.1614(28) THz. Together with previously determined matrix elements and branching ratios, this tightly constrains the dynamic differential scalar polarizability of the clock transition over a large wavelength range (\gtrsim 700 nm). In particular, it allows an estimate of the blackbody radiation shift of the clock transition at room temperature.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.101.042507

I. INTRODUCTION

Singly ionized barium has been well studied over the years with a wide range of precision measurements [1–8] that have provided valuable benchmark comparisons for theory [9–14]. It was recently proposed that some of these measurements, specifically high accuracy measurements of transition matrix elements and branching ratios, could be used to construct an accurate representation of the dynamic differential scalar polarizability, $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega)$, of the $S_{1/2} - D_{5/2}$ clock transition over a large wavelength range [15]. Crucial to that proposal was a determination of a zero crossing $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega_0) = 0$ near 653 nm, which bounds significant contributions to $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega)$ from the ultraviolet (uv) spectrum. Here we determine ω_0 with an inaccuracy of a few GHz.

Together with measurements of the $P_{3/2}$ branching ratios [16] and reduced matrix elements [4], our result allows us to construct a model of $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega)$ for the $S_{1/2} - D_{5/2}$ clock transition that is accurate at the sub-% level for wavelengths above 700 nm. This will allow accurate measurement of differential polarizabilities in other ions through comparison with Ba⁺. In particular, it will enable more than an order-of-magnitude improvement in the polarizability assessments for the ¹⁷⁶Lu⁺ clock transitions [17].

II. METHOD

To find ω_0 , a linearly polarized laser beam near 653 nm is focused onto the ion to induce an ac Stark shift of the clock transition and the shift measured as a function of the laser frequency ω . The ac Stark shift, $\delta_s(M_J)$, induced by the 653-

```
2469-9926/2020/101(4)/042507(4)
```

nm laser is given by

$$\delta_s(M_J) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\alpha_0(\omega)\langle E^2 \rangle -\frac{1}{4}\alpha_2(\omega)\frac{3M_J^2 - J(J+1)}{J(2J-1)}(3\cos^2\theta - 1)\langle E^2 \rangle,$$
(1)

where M_J denotes the applicable eigenstate of $D_{5/2}$, $\alpha_2(\omega)$ is the dynamic tensor polarizability of $D_{5/2}$, and θ is the angle between the 653-nm laser polarization and the quantization axis. The tensor component can be eliminated by determining the average ac Stark shift:

$$\delta_0(\omega) = \frac{1}{3} [\delta_s(1/2) + \delta_s(3/2) + \delta_s(5/2)]$$
(2)

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\alpha_0(\omega)\langle E^2\rangle. \tag{3}$$

Hence, with the laser intensity fixed, $\delta_0(\omega)$ is directly proportional to $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega)$, which is approximately linear in a neighbourhood of ω_0 . Consequently, ω_0 can be determined from a linear fit to measurements of $\delta_0(\omega)$ as a function of ω with an accuracy limited by the projection noise of the measurements, and a small nonlinearity in $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega)$, which can be estimated from theory.

Although the laser power is actively stabilized outside the experiment chamber, étalon effects and uncalibrated frequency response of the detector can give rise to a frequency dependence of the resulting laser intensity at the ion. This would alter the frequency dependence in Eq. (3) and potentially influence the accuracy of interpolation to find the zero crossing. Pointing stability of the laser can have a similar effect if it causes the intensity to drift on a timescale comparable to the measurement time at multiple frequencies. To compensate these effects, one can make use of the weighted average

$$\delta_2(\omega) = \frac{25}{42} \left(\delta_s(5/2) - \frac{1}{5} \delta_s(3/2) - \frac{4}{5} \delta_s(1/2) \right) \tag{4}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4}\alpha_2(\omega)(3\cos^2\theta - 1)\langle E^2\rangle, \qquad (5)$$

^{*}phybmd@nus.edu.sg



FIG. 1. (a) Level structure showing the Doppler cooling and detection transitions at 493 and 650 nm, the clock transition at 1762 nm, and the repumping transition at 614 nm. (b), (c) Laser configurations used in the experiment. The σ^+ (σ^-) beams at 493 nm facilitate optical pumping into $|S_{1/2}, \pm 1/2\rangle$ ($|S_{1/2}, -1/2\rangle$). The 653-nm laser is used to induce an ac Stark shift and is linearly polarized orthogonal to the magnetic field. Orientation and polarization of the 1762-nm clock laser permits efficient coupling to the $|S_{1/2}, \pm 1/2\rangle$ to $|D_{5/2}, \pm M_J\rangle$ transitions for $M_J = 1/2, 3/2, \text{ and } 5/2$.

which is a measure of the tensor polarizability. The ratio $\delta_0(\omega)/\delta_2(\omega)$ is then independent of slow variations in the intensity but has the same zero crossing. In addition, setting $\theta \approx \pi/2$ minimizes the influence of magnetic-field pointing stability, which would compromise the stability of $\delta_2(\omega)$.

III. MEASUREMENTS

Measurements are carried out in a linear Paul trap with axial end caps, the details of which have been given elsewhere [17,18]. The rf potential at a frequency near $\Omega_{\rm rf} = 2\pi \times 20.7$ MHz is delivered via a quarter-wave helical resonator. Together with static end-cap and bias potentials, the measured trap frequencies of a single ¹³⁸Ba⁺ are \approx (810, 925, 245) kHz, with the lowest frequency along the trap axis.

The relevant level structure of ${}^{138}\text{Ba}^+$ is illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Doppler cooling is provided by driving the 493- and 650-nm transitions, with light scattered at 650 nm collected onto a single-photon counting module for detection. The $D_{5/2}$ level is populated by driving the $S_{1/2} - D_{5/2}$ clock transition at 1762 nm and depopulated by driving the $D_{5/2} - P_{3/2}$ transition at 614 nm. State preparation into the $m = \pm 1/2$ states of the $S_{1/2}$ levels is facilitated by two additional 493-nm beams that are σ^{\pm} polarized.

Laser configurations are illustrated in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). Cooling and repumping light at 493, 614, and 650 nm are all collinear and propagate at 45° to the \hat{z} axis. All three beams are linearly polarized with the 650- and 614-nm lasers polarized orthogonal to the 493-nm laser, which is polarized along the imaging axis (\hat{x}). The magnetic field lies in the horizontal (*xz*) plane at an angle of approximately 33° to the \hat{x} axis with a magnitude of ≈ 0.2 mT, which is sufficient to lift the Zeeman degeneracy and prevent dark states when driving the $D_{3/2} - P_{1/2}$ and $D_{5/2} - P_{3/2}$ transitions. The clock laser at 1762 nm propagates almost colinearly with the imaging axis and is linearly polarized in the horizontal plane, which permits efficient coupling to the $|S_{1/2}, \pm 1/2\rangle$ to $|D_{5/2}, \pm M_J\rangle$ transitions for $M_J = 1/2$, 3/2, and 5/2. A Stark-shifting laser near 653 nm propagates along \hat{y} and is linearly polarized orthogonal to the magnetic field. All lasers are switched by acousto-optic modulators (AOMs).

The 653-nm laser is provided by a tunable extended-cavitydiode laser (ECDL) together with an injection locked slave laser to boost the power. The laser is locked to a wavemeter with 10-MHz accuracy (high finesse WS8-10), which is routinely calibrated to a caesium-locked 852-nm diode laser. Control of the rf power driving a switching AOM is used to stabilize the beam power, which is sampled by a beam pick-off before the vacuum chamber.

The 1762-nm clock laser is an ECDL, which is phase locked to an optical frequency comb (OFC). The short term (<10 s) stability of the OFC is derived from a \approx 1-Hz linewidth laser at 848 nm which is referenced to a 10-cm-long ultralow expansion cavity with finesse of \approx 4 × 10⁵. For longer times (\gtrsim 10 s), the OFC is steered to an active hydrogen maser reference. The 1762-nm laser is switched with an AOM, but frequency shifting is achieved via a wide-band electrooptic modulator (EOM) with the lower sideband driving the transition of interest. Control of the rf power driving the EOM enables equal π times for each clock transition.

A typical experiment consists of four steps: 1 ms of Doppler cooling, optical pumping for 50 μ s to either $|S_{1/2}, m = \pm 1/2 \rangle \equiv |S, \pm \rangle$, clock interrogation (Rabi) to $|D_{5/2}, \pm M_J \rangle$ for 1 ms, and finally detection for 1 ms. The initial Doppler cooling step includes the 614-nm beam to facilitate repumping from the upper clock state. When including the 653-nm beam to Stark shift the transition, the beam is switched on 200 μ s before the clock laser, to ensure the beam power has stabilized.

The clock transition is realized by steering the clock laser to the average of the Zeeman pair $|S_{1/2}, \pm 1/2\rangle \Leftrightarrow$ $|D_{5/2}, \pm |M_J|\rangle$ to cancel linear Zeeman shifts of both levels. Using an interleaved servo in which the optical transition is alternately interrogated both with and without the 653-nm laser, the shift is determined from the difference frequency in the two configurations. In a single clock cycle, all three Zeeman pairs are measured: each of the six transitions $|S_{1/2}, \pm 1/2\rangle - |D_{5/2}, \pm M_J\rangle$ for $M_J = 1/2, 3/2$, and 5/2 is interrogated on



FIG. 2. Ratio of scalar to tensor shifts as a function of frequency difference relative to 459.1 THz. Error bars are smaller than the points and have been omitted. Measurements at $\Delta f \approx -0.2$ and -0.6 THz were measured three times giving 16 measurements in total. The dashed line is from a χ^2 fit.

both sides of each transition, both with and without the 653-nm Stark shift beam. All 24 measurements are repeated N = 50 times. From these measurements error signals are derived to track the center and splitting for each Zeeman pair $|S_{1/2}, \pm 1/2\rangle - |D_{5/2}, \pm M_J\rangle$, both with and without the Stark shift. The ratio $\delta_0(\omega)/\delta_2(\omega)$ is inferred at each step from the appropriate frequency differences. The servo is first run for several clock cycles, to ensure each shifted and unshifted Zeeman pair is being tracked, and $\delta_0(\omega)/\delta_2(\omega)$ is inferred from a further 1000 cycles.

Measurements of $\delta_0(\omega)/\delta_2(\omega)$ were made over a frequency range of approximately ±1 THz and are plotted in Fig. 2. Measurements at $\Delta f \approx -0.2$ and -0.6 THz were measured three times giving 16 measurements in total. The fit is a linear regression using the projection noise expected from the 1000 measurements as uncertainties. The fit has $\chi^2_{\nu} = 1.15$ and an estimated zero crossing of $\Delta f = 59.2(1.8)$ GHz. However, this does not account for bias arising from a linear fit to $\delta_0(\omega)/\delta_2(\omega)$.

To estimate the bias, we use theory to calculate $\delta_0(\omega)/\delta_2(\omega)$ at the frequencies used in the measurements, fit the resulting points to a straight line, and compare the interpolated zero crossing to that calculated from theory. This procedure indicates that a straight line fit would underestimate the zero crossing by ≈ 2.2 GHz. This does not change significantly if one offsets the frequencies used in the calculation by the small difference between the experimentally measured and calculated zero crossing. Consequently we apply 2.2 GHz as a correction, but we add, in quadrature, the full size of the correction to the uncertainty to obtain the final value of $\omega_0 =$ $2\pi \times 459.1614(28)$ THz. Note that, near to ω_0 , the 614-nm transition has a significant contribution to the curvature of both $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega)$ and $\alpha_2(\omega)$, but these contributions tend to cancel in the ratio. This explains the excellent linearity in Fig. 2 and the correspondingly small bias correction.

IV. DISCUSSION

The measured ω_0 is in excellent agreement with the theoretical value of 459.10(92) THz given in Ref. [15]. The theory used more accurate, experimentally determined values of $\langle 6P_{1/2} || r || 6S_{1/2} \rangle$ and $\langle 6P_{3/2} || r || 6S_{1/2} \rangle$ from [4], but $\langle 6P_{3/2} || r || 5D_{5/2} \rangle$ and all uv contributions were determined from atomic structure calculations. Thus, confirmation of the theoretically estimated zero crossing strongly supports the accurate theoretical assessment of the uv contributions.

As discussed in Ref. [15], the zero crossing of $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega)$, 455- and 493-nm transition matrix elements, and branching ratios for $6P_{3/2}$ decays can be combined to provide an accurate model of $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega)$ over a wide wavelength range. Accurate matrix elements have already been reported [4], and we have recently carried out improved measurements of $6P_{3/2}$ branching ratios [16]. Thus the measurement here now allows us to make an accurate assessment of the room-temperature blackbody radiation (BBR) shift for the Ba⁺ clock transition. More generally, we give a parametrized model for $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega)$ for wavelengths above 700 nm.

Applying the formalism in Ref. [15], we approximate $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega)$ by

$$\Delta \alpha_0(\omega) = \Delta \alpha_0^{\text{vis}}(\omega) - \frac{\Delta \alpha_0^{\text{vis}}(\omega_0)[1 - (\omega_0/\omega_a)^2]}{1 - (\omega/\omega_a)^2} \quad (6)$$

where $\omega_a = 0.2049(42)$ a.u. is the position of an effective pole that approximates uv contributions and valence-core corrections [15], and $\Delta \alpha_0^{vis}(\omega)$ is the contribution from the three transitions at 455, 493, and 614 nm. Explicitly,

$$\Delta \alpha_0^{\text{vis}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{9} \frac{\mu_{455}^2}{\omega_{614}} \frac{1}{1 - (\omega/\omega_{614})^2} \left(\frac{\omega_{455}}{\omega_{614}}\right)^3 \frac{1 - p}{p} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\mu_{455}^2}{\omega_{455}} \frac{1}{1 - (\omega/\omega_{455})^2} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\mu_{493}^2}{\omega_{493}} \frac{1}{1 - (\omega/\omega_{493})^2},$$
(7)

where $\omega_{614}, \omega_{455}$, and ω_{493} are the frequencies of the associated transitions expressed in atomic units. The parameters μ_{455} and μ_{493} are the reduced dipole matrix elements

$$\mu_{455} = \langle 6P_{3/2} \| r \| 6S_{1/2} \rangle = 4.7017(27) \text{ a.u.}, \tag{8}$$

$$\mu_{493} = \langle 6P_{1/2} \| r \| 6S_{1/2} \rangle = 3.3251(21) \text{ a.u.}$$
(9)

reported in Ref. [4], and

$$p = 0.763104(65) \tag{10}$$

is from recent branching ratio measurements [16]. From the model we get $\Delta \alpha_0(0) = -73.56(21)$ a.u., consistent with -73.1(1.3) a.u. from theory. Similarly, $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega_{1762}) =$ -78.51(21) a.u., where ω_{1762} is the clock transition frequency. For both values given, uncertainties from μ_{455} and μ_{493} are assumed correlated and added absolutely, with the result added in quadrature with the uncertainty contributions from p, ω_0 , and ω_a . A summary of the uncertainty contributions for $\Delta \alpha_0(0)$ is given in Table I and those for $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega_{1762})$ are very similar.

TABLE I. Uncertainty contributions to $\Delta \alpha_0(0)$. Contributions from μ_{455} and μ_{493} are added together with the result added in quadrature to the rest. All uncertainties are the usual 1σ values.

Contribution	Uncertainty
Branching ratio, <i>p</i>	0.06
455-nm matrix element, μ_{455}	0.13
493-nm matrix element, μ_{493}	0.05
Zero crossing, ω_0	0.02
Effective uv pole placement, ω_a	0.08
Total	0.21

The BBR shift of a clock transition can be written in the form

$$h\delta\nu = -\frac{\Delta\alpha_0(0)E_{\rm BBR}^2}{2} \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^4 \left[1 + \eta\left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^2\right],\qquad(11)$$

where $T_0 = 300$ K, $E_{\rm BBR} = 831.945$ V m⁻¹, and η is a dynamic correction factor [19]. From the model we estimate the fractional BBR shift at 300 K to be $3.724(11) \times 10^{-15}$ with a dynamic correction factor $\eta = 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$. Thus η contributes less than the uncertainty due to the determination of $\Delta \alpha_0(0)$. We note that all uncertainties quoted are 1σ . As discussed in

- G. Marx, G. Tommaseo, and G. Werth, Precise g_J- and g_I-factor measurements of Ba⁺ isotopes, Eur. Phys. J. D 4, 279 (1998).
- [2] K. H. Knöll, G. Marx, K. Hübner, F. Schweikert, S. Stahl, Ch. Weber, and G. Werth, Experimental g_J factor in the metastable $5D_{3/2}$ level of Ba⁺, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 1199 (1996).
- [3] M. R. Hoffman, T. W. Noel, C. Auchter, A. Jayakumar, S. R. Williams, B. B. Blinov, and E. N. Fortson, Radio-frequency-spectroscopy measurement of the Landé g_J factor of the $5D_{5/2}$ state of Ba⁺ with a single trapped ion, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 025401 (2013).
- [4] S. L. Woods, M. E. Hanni, S. R. Lundeen, and E. L. Snow, Dipole transition strengths in Ba⁺ from Rydberg fine-structure measurements in Ba and Ba⁺, Phys. Rev. A 82, 012506 (2010).
- [5] J. A. Sherman, A. Andalkar, W. Nagourney, and E. N. Fortson, Measurement of light shifts at two off-resonant wavelengths in a single trapped Ba⁺ ion and the determination of atomic dipole matrix elements, Phys. Rev. A 78, 052514 (2008).
- [6] N. Kurz, M. R. Dietrich, G. Shu, R. Bowler, J. Salacka, V. Mirgon, and B. B. Blinov, Measurement of the branching ratio in the 6P_{3/2} decay of Ba II with a single trapped ion, Phys. Rev. A 77, 060501(R) (2008).
- [7] D. De Munshi, T. Dutta, R. Rebhi, and M. Mukherjee, Precision measurement of branching fractions of ¹³⁸Ba⁺: Testing manybody theories below the 1% level, Phys. Rev. A 91, 040501(R) (2015).
- [8] T. Dutta, D. De Munshi, D. Yum, R. Rebhi, and M. Mukherjee, An exacting transition probability measurement-a direct test of atomic many-body theories, Sci. Rep. 6, 29772 (2016).
- [9] C. Guet and W. R. Johnson, Relativistic many-body calculations of transition rates for Ca⁺, Sr⁺, and Ba⁺, Phys. Rev. A 44, 1531 (1991).

Ref. [16], we would recommend taking a 2σ uncertainty in the assessment of clock performance, for example.

V. SUMMARY

In summary we have provided an accurate determination of the zero crossing in the dynamic differential scalar polarizability of the $S_{1/2} - D_{5/2}$ clock transition in ¹³⁸Ba⁺. Measurements utilized a ratio of scalar to tensor components of the differential polarizability so as to eliminate intensity variations in the Stark-shifting laser. The value of 459.1614(28) THz is in excellent agreement with theory. Following the proposal in Ref. [15] we have provided a representation of $\Delta \alpha_0(\omega)$ that is accurate at the 0.5% level for wavelengths above 700 nm. In principle the model also applies for shorter wavelengths but care would be needed in the vicinity of the zero crossing. The model will allow accurate calibration of polarizabilities for other clock transitions via comparison with shifts induced on the clock transition in Ba⁺.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Research Foundation, Prime Minister's Office, Singapore and the Ministry of Education, Singapore under the Research Centres of Excellence program. M.S.S. acknowledges the sponsorship of ONR Grant No. N00014-17-1-2252.

- [10] V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, and J. S. M. Ginges, Calculations of parity-nonconserving s d amplitudes in Cs, Fr, Ba⁺, and Ra⁺, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 062101 (2001).
- [11] E. Iskrenova-Tchoukova and M. S. Safronova, Theoretical study of lifetimes and polarizabilities in Ba⁺, Phys. Rev. A 78, 012508 (2008).
- [12] U. I. Safronova, Relativistic many-body calculation of energies, lifetimes, hyperfine constants, multipole polarizabilities, and blackbody radiation shift in ¹³⁷Ba II, Phys. Rev. A 81, 052506 (2010).
- [13] B. K. Sahoo, B. P. Das, R. K. Chaudhuri, and D. Mukherjee, Theoretical studies of the $6s^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow 5d^2D_{3/2}$ parity-nonconserving transition amplitude in Ba⁺ and associated properties, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 032507 (2007).
- [14] G. Gopakumar, H. Merlitz, R. K. Chaudhuri, B. P. Das, Uttam Sinha Mahapatra, and Debashis Mukherjee, Electric dipole and quadrupole transition amplitudes for Ba⁺ using the relativistic coupled-cluster method, Phys. Rev. A 66, 032505 (2002).
- [15] M. D. Barrett, K. J. Arnold, and M. S. Safronova, Polarizability assessments of ion-based optical clocks, Phys. Rev. A 100, 043418 (2019).
- [16] Z. Zhang, K. J. Arnold, S. R. Chanu, R. Kaewuam, M. S. Safronova, and M. D. Barrett, Branching fractions for $P_{3/2}$ decays in Ba⁺, arXiv:2003.02263.
- [17] K. J. Arnold, R. Kaewuam, A. Roy, T. R. Tan, and M. D. Barrett, Blackbody radiation shift assessment for a lutetium ion clock, Nat. Commun. 9, 1650 (2018).
- [18] R. Kaewuam, A. Roy, T. R. Tan, K. J. Arnold, and M. D. Barrett, Laser spectroscopy of ¹⁷⁶Lu⁺, J. Mod. Opt. 65, 592 (2018).
- [19] S. G. Porsev and A. Derevianko, Multipolar theory of blackbody radiation shift of atomic energy levels and its implications for optical lattice clocks, Phys. Rev. A 74, 020502 (2006).