COMMENTS AND ADDENDA

The Comments and Addenda section is for short communications which are not of such urgency as to justify publication in Physical Review Letters and are not appropriate for regular Articles. It includes only the following types of communications: (1) comments on papers previously published in The Physical Review or Physical Review Letters; (2) addenda to papers previously published in The Physical Review or Physical Review Letters, in which the additional information can be presented without the need for writing a complete article. Manuscripts intended for this section may be accompanied by a brief abstract for information-retrieval purposes. Accepted manuscripts will follow the same publication schedule as articles in this journal, and galleys will be sent to authors.

Antiferromagnetic Exchange Interaction in Solid He³[†]

R. T. Johnson and J. C. Wheatley

Department of Physics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California 92037 (Received 6 October 1969)

A previously unpublished analysis of nuclear-magnetic-susceptibility data on high-molarvolume bcc solid He³ obtained by Anderson, Reese, and Wheatley shows that nuclear antiferromagnetism is to be expected in the ordered solid at low enough temperatures.

In work recently published by Pipes and Fairbank,¹ by Kirk, Osgood, and Garber,² and by Sites, Osheroff, Richardson, and Lee³ the sign (and magnitude) of the exchange interaction in high-molar-volume bcc solid He³ has been determined by measurements of nuclear magnetic susceptibility. The result is that a state of nuclear antiferromagnetism is to be expected at low enough temperatures. As noted in Refs. 2 and 3, the above work confirmed the results of an analysis we made⁴ of measurements on the high-molarvolume solid performed by Anderson, Reese, and Wheatley.⁵ In view of the interest in the subject and the importance of independent determinations of the sign of the interaction, we present the analysis of the data of Ref. 5 as reported in Ref. 4.

The data in question are those in Fig. 1 of Ref. 5 and labeled "35 atm, solid". The 35 atm refers to the pressure applied to the He³ cell when the solid was being formed. There was considerable plug slippage in the formation of the solid. The resultant solid molar volume is somewhat in excess of 24.0 cm³/mole, but the exact molar volume is not known. However, for purposes of determining the *sign* of the interaction, some uncertainty in molar volume is not serious. The He³ contained about 30 ppm He⁴, but this impurity should not affect our conclusions, ¹ since the measurements were for high molar volumes.

Experimental results are shown in Fig. 1 in the form of the reciprocal of the nuclear magnetic

susceptibility plotted against the magnetic temperature of a right circular cylinder (with diameter equal to height) of powdered cerium magnesium



FIG. 1. Reciprocal of the nuclear magnetic susceptibility (arbitrary normalization) plotted as a function of the magnetic temperature of powdered CMN. The data are from Ref. 5. The straight line shown is a leastsquares fit to Eq. (3).

1836

1

nitrate (CMN). Data extend over the range 22-110 m°K.

Assuming an effective spin Hamiltonian

$$\Im C = -J \sum_{\{ij\}} \vec{\mathbf{I}}_i \cdot \vec{\mathbf{I}}_j \quad , \tag{1}$$

a bcc lattice, and nearest-neighbor interactions only, the susceptibility χ is expected⁶ to be given by

$$\chi = (C/T) \left[1 - \theta/T + \frac{3}{4} (\theta/T)^2 + \cdots \right], \qquad (2)$$

where C is the Curie constant and $\theta \equiv -2 J/k$, k being Boltzmann's constant.

At high enough temperatures, one has

$$C/\chi \simeq T + \theta$$
 (3)

The straight line shown on Fig. 1 is a fit of the data to this equation, in which case we find θ = + (3.5 ± 0.4) m°K. The data are somewhat better fitted by the expression

$$\chi T = C \left[1 - a(1/T) + b(1/T)^2 \right]$$
(4)

[†]Work supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under Contract No. AT(11-1)-34-P.A. 143.

¹P. B. Pipes and W. M. Fairbank, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>23</u>, 520 (1969).

²W. P. Kirk, E. B. Osgood, and M. Garber, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>23</u>, 833 (1969).

 3 J. R. Sites, D. D. Osheroff, R. C. Richardson, and D. M. Lee, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>23</u>, 836 (1969).

⁴R. T. Johnson, R. Rosenbaum, O. G. Symko, and

PHYSICAL REVIEW A

VOLUME 1, NUMBER 6

JUNE 1970

Fine Structure of the $2^{3}P$, $3^{3}P$, and $4^{3}P$ States of Li⁺

B. Schiff

Department of Applied Mathematics, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Israel

and

Y. Accad and C. L. Pekeris

Department of Applied Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute, Rehovot, Israel (Received 30 December 1969)

The fine structure of the $2^{3}P$, $3^{3}P$, and $4^{3}P$ states of Li⁺ has been computed, and the results are given in a table.

In view of experiments which are being planned to measure the fine structure of the triplet levels of Li⁺, we have computed theoretical values for the fine-structure splitting of the $2^{3}P$, $3^{3}P$, and $4^{3}P$ levels of the Li⁷ positive ion. The methods used in the calculation are basically the same as those which were employed for the case of helium,¹ and the results are given in Table I. The factor $\alpha^2 R_{\text{Li}}^7 = 5.843099$ has been used in converting to cm^{-1} , and the values in the table are estimated to be subject to an error of not more than ±1 in the last figure quoted. This estimate of the error

1

obtained from Eq. (2) with $a = \theta$ and $b = \frac{3}{4} \theta^2$. The fit gives

$$a = \theta = + (4.6 \pm 0.2) \text{ m}^{\circ}\text{K} \text{ and } b = (35.2 \pm 12.7) \text{ m}^{\circ}\text{K}^{2}$$

= $\frac{3}{4}((6.9 \pm 1.3) \text{ m}^{\circ}\text{K})^{2}$,

where the indicated errors represent the rms deviation of $\chi T^2/C$ for *a* and $\chi T^3/C$ for *b*. Since these rms deviations represent only the relationship of the data to a smooth curve they no doubt do not correctly assess the accuracy of the value of θ for the solid He³ sample. The above values of $|\theta|$ are somewhat greater than the value of 2.9 m°K expected for a molar volume of 24.1 cm³/mole from measurements of $(\partial P/\partial T)_V$ by Panczyk, Scribner, Straty, and Adams.⁷ However, the determination of the sign of θ to be positive appears to be definitive. Thus at low enough temperatures a state of nuclear antiferromagnetism is to be expected in the solid.

J. C. Wheatley, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>22</u>, 449 (1969). ⁵A. C. Anderson, W. Reese, and J. C. Wheatley, Phys.

Rev. Letters $\underline{7}$, 366 (1961).

⁶G. A. Baker, Jr., H. E. Gilbert, J. Eve, and G. S. Rushbrooke, Phys. Rev. <u>164</u>, 800 (1967).

⁷M. F. Panczyk, R. A. Scribner, G. C. Straty, and E. D. Adams, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>19</u>, 1102 (1967). See also M. F. Panczyk and E. D. Adams, Phys. Rev. <u>187</u>, 321 (1969).