

where $C_{l, l+2}$ is as in Thaler, Bengston, and Breit and $Q_{l+1}(l)$, $Q_{l+1}(l+2)$ are modified by replacing them by $Q_{l+1}(l)+C_{l-}$, $Q_{l+1}(l+2)+C_{l+2, l+2}$. The matrix

$$(T) = \begin{pmatrix} Q_- + C_{--} & C_{-+} \\ C_{+-} & Q_+ + C_{++} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

can then be related to (S) by

$$(T) = [(S) - 1]/(2i), \quad (12)$$

and (S) is a general unitary symmetric matrix expressible by means of 3 parameters. The subscripts $-$ and $+$ are used to indicate the smaller and larger of the two L . The Q as used in Thaler, Bengston, and Breit have a generic meaning in connection with the special model used by them. In calculations, however, the diagonal elements of (T) enter instead in the equations for $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5$.

Substitution of Eqs. (2), (3) \dots , (7) into Eq. (1) enables one to collect coefficients of a product involving two Q 's such as Q_1, Q_2^* . These combine with terms in $Q_2 Q_1^*$ which can be converted under the Im sign to the order $Q_1 Q_2^*$ by taking the complex conjugate and changing the sign. For uncoupled terms,

$$\text{Im}(Q_2 Q_1^*) = \sin \delta_2 \sin \delta_1 \sin(\delta_2 - \delta_1)$$

then gives readily the forms needed for numerical work.

In Eq. (1) the sums over L are supposed to be taken over odd values only. The formula can be used for the calculation of $(P\sigma)_{p-n}$ provided a coefficient $\frac{1}{4}$ is inserted on the right side, thus changing the 2 in front of $\sin \theta$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ and provided the sum is made to extend over odd and even L employing all triplet states. In both cases the singlet states do not enter.

* Assisted by the Office of Ordnance Research, U. S. Army.

¹ Oxley, Cartwright, Rouvina, Baskir, Klein, Ring, and Skillman, Phys. Rev. **91**, 419 (1953); L. F. Wouters, Phys. Rev. **84**, 1069 (1951); Marshall, Marshall, Nagle, and de Carvalho, Phys. Rev. **93**, 1431 (1954); Chamberlain, Donaldson, Segrè, Tripp, Wiegand, and Ypsilantis, Phys. Rev. **95**, 850 (1954); Kane, Stallwood, Sutton, Fields, and Fox, Atomic Energy Commission Report NYO-6569, July 6, 1954 (unpublished), to cite some of the many recent papers.

² J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **69**, 681 (1946); **73**, 407 (1948); L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. **75**, 1664 (1949); **76**, 541 (1949); L. J. B. Goldfarb and D. Feldman, Phys. Rev. **88**, 1099 (1952); D. R. Swanson, Phys. Rev. **89**, 749 (1953); R. H. Dalitz, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **A65**, 175 (1952); A. Simon and T. A. Welton, Phys. Rev. **90**, 1036 (1953).

Formula for Polarization in p - p Scattering for P and F Waves*

M. H. HULL, JR., AND A. M. SAPERSTEIN

Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut

(Received September 7, 1954)

AN expression for the calculation of polarization of protons produced by single scattering taking into account P and F waves has been worked out and is

given below. Coupling between 3P_2 and 3F_2 is neglected, but otherwise the most general condition describable by a set of phase shifts for states of definite orbital as well as total angular momentum is considered. The result is written in a form convenient for numerical work.

If P is the polarization, defined as twice the expectation value of the y component of the spin,¹ then

$$\begin{aligned} k^2(P\sigma)_{p-p} = & (a + b \cos^2 \theta + c \cos^4 \theta) \sin \theta \cos \theta \cos \varphi \\ & - 2 \text{Im} \{ \alpha_c^* [\frac{5}{2} e_{10} (Q_2(P) - Q_1(P)) \\ & - \frac{1}{8} e_{30} (-8Q_2(F) - 7Q_3(F) + 15Q_4(F))] \\ & - \alpha_c [e_{10} (Q_2(P) - Q_0(P))^* - \frac{3}{2} e_{30} (Q_4(F) - Q_2(F))^*] \} \\ & \times \sin \theta \cos \varphi - 2 \text{Im} \{ \alpha_c^* [\frac{5}{8} e_{30} (-8Q_2(F) - 7Q_3(F) \\ & + 15Q_4(F))] - \alpha_c [(15/2) e_{30} (Q_4(F) - Q_2(F))^*] \} \\ & \times \sin \theta \cos^2 \theta \cos \varphi, \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where σ is the single scattering cross section, k the wave number of the incident protons. Other quantities are: $e_{L0} = \exp(2i\sigma_{L0})$, where σ_L is the Coulomb phase shift and $\sigma_{L0} = \sigma_L - \sigma_0$; $Q_J(L) = \exp(i\delta_J^L) \sin \delta_J^L$, where δ_J^L is the phase shift in a state of orbital angular momentum $L\hbar$ and total angular momentum $J\hbar$;

$$\alpha_c = (\eta/4) [-\mathbf{s}^{-2} \exp(-i\eta \ln \mathbf{s}^2) + \mathbf{c}^{-2} \exp(-i\eta \ln \mathbf{c}^2)], \quad (2)$$

where $\eta = e^2/\hbar v$, $\mathbf{s} = \sin(\theta/2)$, $\mathbf{c} = \cos(\theta/2)$, θ is the scattering angle in the center-of-mass system, v the relative velocity;

$$\begin{aligned} a = & 9 \{ (P_1, P_2) + \frac{2}{3} (P_0, P_2) + (7/12) (F_3, F_2) \\ & - (77/12) (F_4, F_2) - (35/24) (F_4, F_3) + (F_2, P_1, 31) \\ & + \frac{2}{3} (F_2, P_0, 31) - (10/3) (F_2, P_2, 31) - (7/12) (F_3, P_2, 31) \\ & + (5/3) (F_4, P_0, 31) + (5/2) (F_4, P_1, 31) \\ & - (23/12) (F_4, P_2, 31) \}; \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b = & (1/16) \{ -420 (F_3, F_2) + 6020 (F_4, F_2) + 1540 (F_4, F_3) \\ & + 1200 (F_2, P_2, 31) - 840 (F_4, P_1, 31) + 420 (F_3, P_2, 31) \\ & - 220 (F_4, P_2, 31) - 560 (F_4, P_0, 31) \}; \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

$$c = (25/8) \{ 140 (F_2, F_4) + 49 (F_3, F_4) \}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (L_J, L_{J'}) = & \sin \delta_J^L \sin \delta_{J'}^{L'} \sin(\delta_J^L - \delta_{J'}^{L'}), \\ (L_J, L_{J'}, 31) = & \sin \delta_J^L \sin \delta_{J'}^{L'} \sin(\delta_J^L - \delta_{J'}^{L'} + 2\sigma_{31}), \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_{LL'} = \sigma_L - \sigma_{L'}, \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma_L - \sigma_{L-1} = \tan^{-1}(\eta/L).$$

This result agrees with that of Goldfarb and Feldman¹ up to second order in $\cos \theta$ if coupling is neglected in their work and Coulomb terms are neglected in Eq. (1). It has been checked throughout with the zero-coupling limit of the result of Breit and Ehrman.²

* Assisted by the Office of Ordnance Research, U. S. Army.

¹ L. J. B. Goldfarb and D. Feldman, Phys. Rev. **88**, 1099 (1952).

² G. Breit and J. B. Ehrman, preceding Letter [Phys. Rev. **96**, 805 (1954)].