oscillator strength and summed over all q shells, gives easily and quickly the anomalous dispersion for any atom.

Sample calculations for the K region of copper and for the L region of tungsten have been made, using in each case only one term in the oscillator distribution for

PHYSICAL REVIEW

each q shell. Comparison with experiment shows less satisfactory agreement than with the previous less exact calculations. This disagreement is believed to be due to (a) the difficulties inherent in the experimental measurements, and (b) neglect of parts of the calculations in previous comparisons.

VOLUME 94, NUMBER 6

JUNE 15, 1954

# Studies of Atomic Self-Consistent Fields. II. Interpolation Problems\*

Per-Olov Löwdin

Physics Department, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts and Institute of Mechanics and Mathematical Physics, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden (Received November 27, 1953)

By studying the self-consistent-field (SCF) functions tabulated in the literature, it is shown that a quantity, which for a hydrogenlike function would equal the atomic number Z, for SCF functions will represent a form of "effective nuclear charge"  $Z_{eff}$ , which for a series of consecutive atoms or ions appears to be an almost linear function of the atomic number, convenient for interpolation purposes. The positions and magnitudes of maxima and minima, nodes, derivatives in origin, eigenvalues, etc., are investigated by considering the corresponding effective nuclear charges. It is important that the nodes may be interpolated separately, since the logarithm of an SCF function, divided by a polynomial having the same zero points as the function itself, may be used for interpolating SCF functions as a whole. This logarithm may also be used for defining a continuously varying effective charge  $Z_{eff}(r)$ , which is convenient for interpolation purposes or for estimating fields with exchange from those without exchange.

HE numerical work involved in each cycle of the integration of the Hartree-Fock equations for atomic self-consistent fields (SCF) with exchange by using the technique developed by Hartree<sup>1</sup> is quite large, and, in order to secure a rapid convergence of the process, it is therefore important to have as good "initial" functions as possible. For this purpose it is now possible to utilize the properties of already tabulated fields; Hartree has shown that rather good estimates of the initial functions could be obtained simply by interpolating their departures from properly scaled hydrogenlike wave functions. A function F(r) is here said to be obtained from another f(r) by change of a scale factor  $\lambda$ , if

$$F(r) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\lambda r), \qquad (1)$$

which transformation leaves the normalization integral invariant.

Brown, Bartlett, and Dunn<sup>2,3</sup> have shown that the SCF wave functions can be approximately transformed into each other by using scale factors  $\lambda$ , which are obtained from the reciprocals of the positions of the maxima and minima of the tabulated functions and which appear to be almost linear functions of the atomic number Z. Hartree and Hartree<sup>4</sup> have used a similar idea for scaling the departure of the SCF functions with exchange from those without exchange. In a slightly modified form, the scaling method has recently been used also by Scherr<sup>5</sup> in Chicago.

Using a somewhat different approach, Arnot and McLauchlan<sup>6</sup> and Manning and Millman<sup>7</sup> have shown that, instead of the wave functions themselves, the effective nuclear charges associated with the SCF potentials may be used for interpolation purposes. On a large-scale basis, these total charges have recently been tabulated by Freeman<sup>8</sup> for all fields available.

In the first paper of this series,<sup>9</sup> it was shown that SCF wave functions could be interpolated (and to some extent extrapolated) with a surprisingly high accuracy by means of analytic expressions of Slater type. The purpose of the present paper is to investigate whether it is possible to carry out similar interpolations directly in the numerical tables of the functions involved, without the help of the analytic forms. We will first show the existence of some simple regularities for quantities like the positions and the magnitudes of maxima and minima, derivatives in origin, nodes,

<sup>4</sup> D. R. Hartree and W. Hartree, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A166, 450 (1938). <sup>5</sup> C. W. Scherr, J. Chem. Phys. 21, 1237, 1241 (1953).

<sup>6</sup> F. L. Arnot and J. C. McLauchlan, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A146, 662 (1934).

M. F. Manning and J. Millman, Phys. Rev. 49, 848 (1936).

 <sup>8</sup> A. J. Freeman, Phys. Rev. **91**, 1410 (1953).
 <sup>9</sup> P. O. Löwdin, Phys. Rev. **90**, 120 (1953), in the following referred to as Part I.

<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported in part by the U. S. Office of Naval Research, in part by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council, and in part by the Elizabeth Thompson Science Fund. <sup>1</sup> For a survey, see D. R. Hartree, Repts. Progr. in Phys. 11, 113 (1946).

Brown, Bartlett, and Dunn, Phys. Rev. 44, 296 (1933).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>See also Hartree, reference 1, p. 126, Fig. 1, for another example.

TABLE I. Properties of the hydrogenlike radial wave functions  $f_{nl}(Z|r) = Z^{\frac{1}{2}}NQ_{nl}(\rho) \exp(-\rho/2)$ , expressed in the variable  $\rho = 2Zr/n$ . The values of  $\rho_m$  correspond to the maxima and minima; the positions  $r_m$  and the magnitudes  $f_m$  of these extreme values are given by the relations  $r_m = c_m/Z$  and  $f_m = d_m Z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . The values of  $\rho_0$  correspond to the nodes.

nl	$N^{-1}$	$Q\left(  ho ight)$	$\rho_m$	Cm	$d_m$	$\rho_0$
1 <i>s</i>	1	ρ	2	1	0.73576	
2s1	$2\sqrt{2}$	$2\rho - \rho^2$	$3-\sqrt{5}$	0.76393	0.22786	2
$2s_2$		• •	$3 + \sqrt{5}$	5.23607	-0.43700	
$2\bar{p}$	$2\sqrt{6}$	$\rho^2$	4	4	0.44200	• • •
3ŝ1	6 <b>√3</b>	$6\rho - 6\rho^2 + \rho^3$	0.49336	0.74004	0.12179	1.26795
3s2			2.79063	4.18595	-0.19667	4.73205
353			8.71602	13.07403	0.31864	
3p1	6√6	$4\rho^2 - \rho^3$	2	3	0.20025	4
$\bar{3p_2}$			8	12	-0.31903	
3d	6√30	$\rho^3$	6	9	0.32723	•••

eigenvalues, etc., and we will then describe the general interpolation rule for the numerical wave functions themselves.

#### I. INTERPOLATION PROPERTIES OF THE MAXIMA AND MINIMA, THE DERIVATIVES IN ORIGIN, THE NODES, AND THE ENERGY EIGENVALUES OF THE SCF FUNCTIONS

If (n,l,m) is a fixed triple of atomic quantum numbers, there is a close connection between the normalized radial wave function  $f_{nl}(SCF|r)$  for a self-consistent field (SCF) with or without exchange and the corresponding hydrogen function  $f_{nl}(H|r)$ . With increasing atomic number Z, the attraction from the nucleus on an electron in the orbital (n,l,m) will dominate entirely over the repulsion from the other electrons, and the function  $f_{nl}(SCF|r)$  will therefore tend to be more and more hydrogenlike. In the limit, we obtain

$$\lim_{Z \to \infty} Z^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_{nl}(\operatorname{SCF} | r/Z) = f_{nl}(\operatorname{H} | r).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

A more rigorous proof<sup>10</sup> of this relation can be derived by considering the Hartree-Fock equations in greater detail. For large Z, we will therefore have

$$f_{nl}(SCF|r) = Z^{\frac{1}{2}} \{ f_{nl}(H|Zr) + (Z^{-1}) \}, \qquad (3)$$

where the remainder  $(Z^{-1})$  can be expressed asymptotically as a power series in  $Z^{-1}$ . In a similar way, each characteristic SCF quantity can be expressed in the corresponding hydrogenlike quantity and a power series in  $Z^{-1}$ . The explicit form of this asymptotic expansion may be useful in interpolation problems, where only one or two SCF data are available, but otherwise it seems to be simpler to use the numerical informations in a more direct way, as described below.

As basic interpolation rule, we will here use the principle that a quantity, which for a hydrogenlike function equals the atomic number Z, for a series of SCF functions for consecutive atoms or ions will be an almost linearly varying function of the atomic number Z. The function obtained in this way will be called the *effective nuclear* charge with respect to the SCF quantity under consideration and will be denoted by Z(SCF|) plus a suffix indicating the quantity, which is investigated. Numerically, this function is very convenient for interpolation purposes, and, physically, it will give us an idea of the effect of the screening of the electrons around the nucleus in the various atoms under consideration.

## (a) The Extreme Values

In order to investigate, for instance, the properties of the maxima and minima of the SCF functions, we will start with considering the scaled hydrogenlike functions  $f_{nl}(Z|r)$  having the explicit form

$$f_{nl}(Z|r) = Z^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{nl}(\mathbf{H}|Zr) = Z^{\frac{1}{2}} N Q_{nl}(\rho) \exp(-\rho/2), \quad (4)$$

where  $\rho = 2Zr/n$ ; N is a normalization constant, and  $Q_{nl}$  is a polynomial in  $\rho$  of degree *n*, which is explicitly given for the lowest quantum numbers<sup>11</sup> in Table I. The numerical values  $\rho_m$ , corresponding to the extreme values of  $f_{nl}(Z|r)$ , are obtained by solving the alegbraic equation  $Q(\rho) = 2dQ(\rho)/d\rho$ . The positions  $r_m$  and the magnitudes  $f_m$  of the extreme values are then given by

$$r_m = c_m/Z, \quad f_m = d_m Z^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
 (5)

where the coefficients  $c_m$  and  $d_m$  are listed in Table I, too.

In investigating the numerically given SCF functions we should now, according to the analogy rule stated above, study the quantities

$$Z(\operatorname{SCF}|r_m) = c_m/r_m, \quad Z(\operatorname{SCF}|f_m) = (f_m/d_m)^2, \quad (6)$$

where the coefficients  $c_m$  and  $d_m$  are taken from Table I, whereas  $r_m$  and  $f_m$  have to be determined from the SCF functions under consideration. In order to compute  $r_m$ with some accuracy from the numerical tables, one can utilize the behavior of "quotient series" of the same type as described in Part I. We will start from the assumption that, in the neighborhood of an extreme value belonging to the slope number L in order from origin, the SCF function is of the form

$$f_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r) = \text{const} r^{p} e^{-ar}, \quad p = l + L, \tag{7}$$

TABLE II. Calculation of  $r_m$  for the first maximum of  $f_{3s}(r)$  for Cl<sup>-</sup> with exchange according to Eq. (12); k = number of iterations.

r	Given pro $f_{3s}(r)$	perties of th $f_{38}/r$	e function $f_{3e}$ q(r)	. Soluti k	tion of Eq. (12). $r_m$	$q_m$
0.03	0.393	13.10	1 001 4	0	· · ·	1.243
0.04	0.429	10.73	1.2214	1	0.04596	1.2435
0.05	0.432	8.640	1.2413	2	0.04589	1.2434
0.06	0.410	6.833	1.2044	3	0.04590	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Extensive tables of hydrogenlike radial wave functions  $R_{nl}=f_{nl}\cdot r$  are given in L. Pauling and E. B. Wilson, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1935), p. 135.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> D. R. Hartree and W. Hartree, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A166, 450 (1938).

TABLE III. Positions  $r_m$  and magnitudes  $f_m$  of maxima and minima for SCF functions with exchange for some Ne-like ions.

		15		2.5	251		°2	2 <i>p</i>	
Ζ	Element	rm	$f_m$	r <sub>m</sub>	$f_m$	$r_m$	$f_m$	$r_m$	$f_m$
10	Ne	0.10295	2.268	0.08088	0.4752	0.6848	1.087	0.6342	0.965
11	Na <sup>+</sup>	0.09343	2.382	0.07333	0.5190	0.6119	1.169	0.5478	1.070
12	$Mg^{+2}$	0.08550	2.492	0.06672	0.5607	0.5483	1.246	0.4833	1.157
13	$Al^{43}$	0.07875	2.598	0.06140	0.6019	0.4972	1.320	0.4310	1.243
14	Si <sup>+4</sup>	0.07309	2.700	0.05675	0.6400	0.4555	1.390	0.3893	1.321

		· ·			r 00		• • 1	1	~		4 1**	•
<b>IABLE IV</b> Positions r., and magnitudes	Ť	of maxima	anc	minima	tor St	E functions	with	exchange	tor o	some	A-hke	nons.
THE TABLE TO DECIDING THE HILL HELLED	Jm	or meanine	unc		101 0 0	a ranceioni	, ,,,,,,,,,	onchange	TOT	Joine	TT THE	10110

			15		23	51		252		2	b
Ζ	Elemen	t	$r_m$	$f_m$	r <sub>m</sub>	$f_m$	rn	i i	f <sub>m</sub>	rm	$f_m$
17	Cl-	0.	05992	2.982	0.04672	0.7427	0.30	536 -1	.575	0.3033	1.5188
18	Α	0.	.05651	3.069	0.04390	0.7722	0.34	12 -1	632	0.2825	1.5805
19	K+	0.	.05351	3.157	0.04142	0.8035	0.33	196 -1	.687	0.2637	1.6407
20	Ca+2	0.	.05083	3.240	0.03944	0.8341	0.30	)14 -1	.743	0.2477	1.6979
		35	1	3	52	33	53	3	<b>þ</b> 1	3	p2
Ζ	Element	¥ т	$f_m$	Ϋ́m	$f_m$	1°m	$f_m$	rm	$f_m$	$r_m$	$f_m$
17	Cl	0.04590	0.2168	0.3026	0.4036	1.3021	0.8635	0.2430	0.3175	1.4825	0.7340
18	Ā	0.04314	0.2397	0.2825	0.4430	1.1767	0.9242	0.2247	0.3712	1.3010	0.8208
19	K+	0.04078	0.2621	0.2652	0.4812	1.0851	0.9813	0.2099	0.4180	1.1641	0.8933
20	Ca <sup>+2</sup>	0.03877	0.2841	0.2500	0.5176	1.0010	1.036	0.1961	0.4622	1.0527	0.9578

where a=a(r) is a function of r, which, in the actual computations, is found to be rather slowly varying. The extreme value is then situated in a point  $r=r_m$  satisfying the relation

$$r = p/(a + ra'). \tag{8}$$

In order to determine the denominator without the explicit evaluation of the function a(r), we will introduce the auxiliary functions

$$g(r) = f_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r)/r^p, \qquad (9)$$

$$q_h(\mathbf{r}) = g(\mathbf{r} - h/2)/g(\mathbf{r} + h/2).$$
 (10)

The functions  $q_h(r)$  are called the "quotient functions" associated with the interval h, and a table for them is easily formed by taking the successive quotients between consecutive values of g(r) in equidistant points having the interval h. Using a Taylor-series expansion of the exponent ra(r) in (7) and Eqs. (9) and (10), we obtain

$$\log_{e}q_{h}(r) = h(a + ra') + h^{3}(3a'' + ra''')/24 + \cdots$$
(11)

For the SCF functions under consideration, we have found that the function  $q_h(r)$  is so slowly varying that one may use linear interpolations, and, consequently, the higher terms in the expansion (11) may be neglected in comparison to the first term. By using (11), the relation (8) may then be written in the form

$$r = ph/\log_e q_h(r). \tag{12}$$

From this equation, the position  $r=r_m$  may be determined in a few steps by iteration by using only the quotient table. A typical example of the procedure is given in Table II.

The magnitude  $f=f_m$  of the extreme value of the

SCF function is then given by  $f_m = r_m^p g(r_m)$ , where

$$\log_{e}g(r_{m}) = \log_{e}g(r_{1}) + \frac{r_{1} - r_{m}}{h} \log_{e}q_{h}\left(\frac{r_{1} + r_{m}}{2}\right).$$
(13)

Two isoelectronic series have been investigated in this way, namely some Ne-like ions (Ne, Na<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>+2</sup>, Al<sup>+3</sup>, and Si<sup>+4</sup>) and some A-like ions (Cl<sup>-</sup>, A, K<sup>+</sup>, and Ca<sup>+2</sup>), for which self-consistent-field functions with exchange are already available in the literature,<sup>12</sup> calculated by D. R. and W. Hartree, and others. Tables III and IV contain the results of our computations of  $r_m$  and  $f_m$ ; we note the existence of such regularities as make these tables directly suitable for interpolation purposes. The corresponding effective nuclear charges Z(SCF|) with respect to  $r_m$  and  $f_m$ , calculated from Eqs. (6), are then given in Tables V and VI. These quantities may be written in the form

$$Z(\operatorname{SCF}|r_m) = Z - s_1, \quad Z(\operatorname{SCF}|f_m) = Z - s_2, \quad (14)$$

where the quantities  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  may be interpreted as the "shielding constants" with respect to the positions and the magnitudes of the extreme values, respectively. From the tables, we note that, at least for the inner electrons, the quantities  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are approximately constant for fixed quantum numbers (nl) in each series, but also that they differ appreciably from each other:  $s_1 \neq s_2$ . The last fact indicates a result of importance for understanding the special character of the SCF functions in comparison to the hydrogenlike functions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The proper references to the original articles used in the calculations in this paper may be found in the extensive bibliography given by Hartree in our reference 1. However, the data for Ne and  $Al^{+3}$  are taken from our own SCF calculations (to be published).

TABLE V. Effective nuclear charges Z(SCF|) for the positions  $r_m$  and the magnitudes  $f_m$  of some Ne-like ions with exchange.

		1	s	2:	51	2	\$2	24	5
Ζ	Element	$Z(r_m)$	$Z(f_m)$	$Z(r_m)$	$Z(f_m)$	$Z(r_m)$	$Z(j_m)$	$Z(r_m)$	$Z(f_m)$
10	Ne	9.713	9.502	9.445	4.349	7.646	6.184	6.307	4.771
11	$Na^+$	10.704	10.481	10.417	5.188	8.557	7.161	7.302	5.860
12	$Mg^{+2}$	11.696	11.472	11.450	6.055	9.549	8.134	8.277	6.852
13	$\mathrm{Al}^{+_3}$	12.699	12.464	12.441	6.979	10.531	9.124	9.281	7.908
14	Si <sup>+4</sup>	13.683	13.471	13.461	7.889	11.497	10.122	10.276	8.932
Averag	ge difference :	0.993	0.992	1.004	0.885	0.963	0.985	0.992	1.040
	-						······		

<b>FABLE VI.</b> Effective nuclear charges $Z(S)$	CF	) for the positions	$r_m$ and the magnitudes	$f_m$ of some	A-like ions with exchan	ge
---	----	---------------------	--------------------------	---------------	-------------------------	----

			15			251		250		2.6	
Ζ	Element	Z	$(r_m)$	$Z(f_m)$	$Z(r_m)$	$Z(f_m)$	$Z(r_m$	) $Z_{232} Z(f$	<i>m</i> )	$Z(r_m)$	$Z(f_m)$
17	Cl-	16	.688	16.426	16.351	10.624	14.40	12.9	90	13.188	11.807
18	Α	17	.695	17.399	17.401	11.485	15.34	4 13.9	47	14.160	12.786
19	$K^+$	18	.687	18.411	18.442	12.435	16.38	31 14.9	03	15.168	13.779
20	$Ca^{+2}$	19	.673	19.392	19.368	13.400	17.37	1 15.9	09	16.146	14.756
Avera	ge difference:	0	.995	0.989	1.006	0.925	0.99	0.9	73	0.986	0.983
		351			352		353	31	51	3	$p_2$
Ζ	Element	$Z(r_m)$	$Z(f_m)$	$Z(r_m)$	$Z(f_m)$	$Z(r_m)$	$Z(f_m)$	$Z(r_m)$	$Z(f_m)$	$Z(r_m)$	$Z(f_m)$
17	Cl-	16.122	3.169	13.832	4.211	10.040	7.344	12.480	2.514	8.094	5.293
18	Α	17.147	3.873	14.818	5.074	11.023	8.412	13.352	3.436	9.224	6.619
19	K <sup>+</sup>	18.147	4.631	15.783	5.987	12.049	9.484	14.294	4.357	10.309	7.840
20	Ca+2	19.089	5.441	16.748	6.943	13.061	10.571	15.296	5.312	11.400	9.073
Avera	ge difference:	0.989	0.757	0.972	0.911	1.007	1.076	0.939	0.933	1.102	1.260

In the first attempts to treat atomic wave functions, it was natural to consider them as hydrogenlike functions having an effective nuclear charge of the form (Z-s), where the single shielding constants *s* were determined, e.g., by the rules given by Slater.<sup>13</sup> The results concerning the SCF functions, contained in Tables V and VI, show now that, in order to describe such a function around one of its extreme values, it is necessary to use *two* independent screening constants,  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , and a relation of the form

$$f_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r) \approx (Z - s_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} N Q_{nl}(\rho) \exp(-\rho/2), \qquad (15)$$
  
$$\rho = 2(Z - s_1)r/n,$$

which actually corresponds to Eq. (3) with part of the remainder taken into account. This formula gives the values of the SCF function under consideration only within a small range, and, in order to describe the same function around another extreme value or in another region, different values of  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are necessary. We note that, if the values of  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  would be kept constant from r=0 to  $r=\infty$ , the resulting function (15) would no longer be properly normalized.

As a typical example of the limited validity of formula (15), we have in Table VII listed the values of the right-hand side of this expression for the region around the maximum of  $f_{2p}$  for Na<sup>+</sup> with exchange in comparison to the actual values. If two effective nuclear charges  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ , taken from Table V, are used, the SCF function will be correctly represented in the maximum  $r=r_m$  and with the accuracy desired within

<sup>13</sup> J. C. Slater, Phys. Rev. 36, 57 (1930).

a small interval  $(r_m-0.05; r_m+0.05)$  around this point, whereas the errors, e.g., in the interval (0;  $r_m-0.05$ ), will be appreciable and amount to as much as -0.070. However, if only one effective nuclear charge  $Z_{eff}$  is used, for instance the compromise value  $Z = (Z_1Z_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , the position and the magnitude of the maximum show errors of about 0.05 and 0.070, respectively, whereas the errors in the interval (0;  $r_m$ ) amount to as much as -0.110. The example shows that, even if two effective nuclear charges are used, it is desirable to have these quantities *continuously varying* in order to describe a SCF function with complete accuracy over a larger region. This idea will be further developed in Sec. II.

We have also investigated the interpolation properties of the extreme values of the SCF functions for a series of *neutral* atoms, namely C, N, and O. These atoms can

TABLE VII. Approximate representation of the 2p function of Na<sup>+</sup> with exchange around its maximum according to Eq. (15) and Table V.

Ŷ	SCF Hartree- Hartree	Eq. (15) $Z_1 = 7.302$ $Z_2 = 5.860$	Eq. (15) Compromise $Z = (Z_1Z_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
0	0.000	0.000	0.000
0.1	0.231	0.183	0.161
0.2	0.578	0.508	0.465
0.3	0.842	0.793	0.753
0.4	0.997	0.978	0.966
0.5	1.061	1.061	1.088
0.6	1.061	1.061	1.130
0.7	1.021	1.002	1.109
0.8	0.956	0.908	1.044
0.9	0.880	0.798	0.953
1.0	0.799	0.684	0.848

1604

TABLE VIII. Positions  $r_m$ , magnitudes  $f_m$ , and the corresponding effective nuclear charges  $Z(\text{SCF} \mid )$  for the maxima of the 2p functions of some neutral atoms, namely carbon ( ${}^{1}D$ ,  $\beta = 0.04$ ), nitrogen ( ${}^{2}P$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ), and oxygen ( ${}^{1}S$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ).

Ζ	Element	State	$r_m$	$f_m$	$Z(SCF r_m)$	$Z(SCF f_m)$
6	С	$^{1}D$	1.221	0.7134	3.276	2.605
7	N	$^{2}P$	0.994	0.7813	4.024	3.124
8	0	$^{1}S$	0.837	0.8430	4.779	3.637
			Average d	ifference:	0.7515	0.516

occur in several different states, characterized by Slater's<sup>14</sup> parameter  $\beta$ , and we have here treated the actual or interpolated functions belonging to  $\beta = 0$ . The results for the 2p functions are given in Table VIII; again it is found that the *linearity rule* for the quantities Z(SCF|) holds with a surprisingly high accuracy. The average differences in each series are now appreciably smaller than 1.000, as one could expect from physical reasons for a sequence of neutral atoms, since one outer electron with some shielding effects is added to the system when the nuclear charge is increased by one.

### (b) The Region Around r=0

In order to investigate the SCF functions in greater detail in the neighborhood of the point r=0, we will again start from the hydrogenlike functions given in Table I. The quantity  $f_{nl}(Z|r)/r^{l+1}$  is nonvanishing for r=0, and, according to (4), we have

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{f_{nl}(Z|r)}{r^{l+1}} = N Z^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \frac{Q_{nl}(2Zr/n)}{r^{l+1}} \right\}_{r=0}.$$
 (16)

From this relation we can then solve the atomic number Z and obtain

$$Z = \left[ \alpha_{nl} f_{nl}(Z|r) / r^{l+1} \right]_{r=0}^{2/(2l+3)}, \tag{17}$$

where  $\alpha_{nl}$  is a constant which for the lowest quantum numbers has the following values:

According to the analogy rule, stated above, the corresponding quantities for the SCF functions will then represent the effective nuclear charges Z(SCF|) with respect to the first nonvanishing derivative in origin, and, for the sake of simplicity, we will denote these quantities by the symbol  $K_{nl}$ , introduced in Part I:

$$K_{nl} = \left[ \alpha_{nl} f_{nl} (\text{SCF} | r) / r^{l+1} \right]_{r=0}^{2/(2l+3)}.$$
(19)

In publishing the results of their calculations of selfconsistent fields, Hartree and Hartree have usually given the value of  $f_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r)/r^{l+1}$  for r=0, and the evaluation of  $K_{nl}$  is then straightforward. In other cases, one has to study the function  $f_{nl}(r)/r^{l+1}$  in the

14 J. C. Slater, Phys. Rev. 34, 1293 (1929).

neighborhood of r=0 and to extrapolate its value for r=0 by means of "quotient analysis" or logarithmic extrapolation.

The results of our calculations of  $K_{nl}$  for the CNO series, the Ne-like ions, and the A-like ions are condensed in Table IX, where the upper values refer to fields with exchange and the lower to fields without exchange. From this table, we conclude that the effective nuclear charge  $K_{nl}$  with striking accuracy is a *linear function* of the atomic number Z, and that therefore interpolations and extrapolations to neighboring atoms are possible as soon as two SCF data are available; the existence of additional data will, of course, increase the accuracy. A table of  $K_{1s}$  for the He-like ions was also given in Part I.

According to (19), one can determine the first nonvanishing derivative in origin for a SCF function from the corresponding effective nuclear charge  $K_{nl}$  by the relation

$$c_{l+1} = \frac{f^{(l+1)}(\text{SCF}|0)}{(l+1)!} = K_{nl}^{(2l+3)/2} / \alpha_{nl}.$$
 (20)

TABLE IX. Effective nuclear charges  $K_{nl}$  for SCF functions belonging to the CNO series, the Ne series, and the A series. The upper values refer to fields with exchange, the lower to fields without exchange.

7		CNO s	eries (SCF w	vith exchang	e)	V
<u></u>	Element	State	A 18		A 28	<u> </u>
6	С	$^{1}D$	5.76	50	4.115	3.85
7	N	$^{2}P$	6.75	57	4.971	4.66
8	0	-S	1.13	) [ ) [	5.181 0.926	5.50
P	verage di	lerence:	0.99			0.025
			Ne serie	es		~~
Z	Ele	ment	K18		£28	K <sub>2p</sub>
9	$\mathbf{F}$	-	 8.746	6	 .516	 5.913
			0 726	7	102	7 1 2 0
10	Ν	e	9.730		.403	7.139
			10.725	8	.382	8.168
11	N	a <sup>+</sup>	•••	•	••	•••
4.2		1.1.0	12.718	10	.364	10.198
15	А	1.0	12.737	10	.160	10.022
14	<u> </u>	+4	13.735	11	.368	11.206
14	51	11	13.743	11	.176	11.014
А	verage dif	ference	1.000	0	.991	1.017
1.	.verage un	terence.	0.999	0	.932	1.202
			A serie	s		
Ζ.	Element	$K_{1s}$	$K_{2s}$	$K_{2p}$	$K_{3s}$	$K_{3p}$
17	CI-	16.701	14.301	14.163	9.527	9.976
11	CI	16.722	14.024	13.968	9.981	9.817
18	Δ	17.690	15.284	15.150	10.561	11.217
10	11	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
10	17+	18.698	16.271	16.145	11.645	12.385
19	К	18.712	15.979	15.946	11.898	12.273
20	$C_{2}^{+2}$	19.691	17.266	17.141	12.717	13.523
20	Ca	19.706	16.955	16.925	12.888	13.420
Ave	erage	0.997	0.988	0.993	1.063	1.182
a	merence:	0.995	0.977	0.980	0.909	1.201

This is of particular importance in the calculations of self-consistent fields with exchange by solving the Hartree-Fock equations, since, in this case, it is desirable to have as good estimates as possible of the initial conditions in origin in order to obtain the solutions directly in correctly normalized form. The interpolation rule based on (19) may therefore be of value for SCF calculations in the future.

We may conclude that, in a close neighborhood of r=0, it is possible to describe a SCF function as a hydrogenlike function with  $Z_{eff}=K_{nl}$ . However, the range of validity of such a representation is rather short, as is shown by the example for  $f_{2p}$  of Na<sup>+</sup> with exchange in Table X, where the function (4) is compared to the actual SCF function, given by Hartree and Hartree.

Table IX gives also a comparison between the values of  $K_{nl}$  for fields with and without exchange, and we note that, except for (3s), the inclusion of exchange corresponds to a slight increase of the effective nuclear charge, which confirms an earlier observation by Hartree. However, a much stronger tool for investigating the influence of the inclusion of exchange will be described in a following section.

In order to give a detailed description of the SCF functions in a longer range around r=0, one should investigate also the next derivative of order (l+2), writing the function in the form

$$f_{nl}(SCF|r) = c_{l+1}r^{l+1}(1 - ar + \cdots).$$
(21)

By substituting this expression into the Hartree-Fock equations, one finds that a=Z/(l+1), where Z is the atomic number of the nucleus. This is a general result which is valid both for the hydrogenlike functions and the SCF functions. However, in their papers concerning self-consistent fields, Hartree and Hartree have usually given tables also of the quantities  $f_{nl}/r^{r+1}$  for the range around r=0, and by making a "quotient analysis" of this material, we have found that, in the nearest neighborhood of origin, the SCF functions are better expressed under the form

$$f_{nl}(SCF|r) = c_{l+1}r^{l+1}e^{-ar}.$$
 (22)

TABLE X. Comparison between the SCF function  $f_{2p}$  for Na<sup>+</sup> with exchange, given by Hartree and Hartree, and the corresponding hydrogenlike function (4) with  $Z_{eff} = K_{2p}$  in the neighborhood of r=0. The numerical value of  $K_{2p}=8.168$  is taken from Table IX.

r	SCF	Eq. (4)	r	SCF	Eq. (4)
0.00	0.000	0.000	0.2	0.578	0.688
0.01	0.004	0.004	0.3	0.842	1.029
0.02	0.014	0.014	0.4	0.997	1.216
0.03	0.030	0.031	0.5	1.061	1.263
0.04	0.050	0.053	0.6	1.061	1.209
0.06 0.08 0.10 0.12	$\begin{array}{c} 0.102 \\ 0.163 \\ 0.231 \\ 0.302 \end{array}$	0.110 0.180 0.259 0.343	0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.021 \\ 0.956 \\ 0.880 \\ 0.799 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.094 \\ 0.949 \\ 0.799 \\ 0.654 \end{array}$

TABLE XI. Example of "quotient analysis" of the numerically given functions  $f_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r)/r^{l+1}$  for  $K^+(3s)$  and (3p) with exchange.

r	$f_{3s}/r$	Qh	$f_{3p}/r^2$	Qh
0.000	15.295		65.30	
0.005	13.895	1.1008	62.28	1.0485
0.010	12.595	1.1032	59.41	1.0483
0.015	11.39	1.1058	56.68	1.0482
0.020	10.27	1.1091	54.09	1.0479

TABLE XII. Quotients  $q_h(0)$  for h=0.005 and corresponding effective nuclear charges  $Z_0$ , defined by (24), for SCF functions of some A-like ions with exchange.

Ζ	Element	15	25	2 <i>p</i>	35	3 <i>p</i>
17	Cl-	1.0885	1.0887	1.0427	1.0929ª	1.0429
18	Ă	1.0941	1.0941	1.0462	1.0937	1.0461
19	K+	1.0997	1.0993	1.0488	1.0996	1.0486
20	$Ca^{+2}$	1.1053	1.1049	1.0514	1.1045	1.0509
17	Cl-	16.960	16.997	16.726	17.767ª	16.802
18	Ā	17.986	17.986	18.066	17.913	18.028
19	$K^+$	19.008	18.935	19.058	18.989	18.982
20	$Ca^{+2}$	20.023	19.951	20.050	19.879	19.858
	17 18 19 20 17 18 19 20	$\begin{array}{cccc} 2 & \text{Element} \\ 17 & \text{Cl}^- \\ 18 & \text{A} \\ 19 & \text{K}^+ \\ 20 & \text{Ca}^{+2} \\ 17 & \text{Cl}^- \\ 18 & \text{A} \\ 19 & \text{K}^+ \\ 20 & \text{Ca}^{+2} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

<sup>a</sup> The SCF data for  $Cl^-(3s)$  contain apparently some slight error.

Here a=a(r) is constant or extremely slowly varying over the interval (0; 0.02) under consideration, as is shown by the example in Table XI. A comparison between (21) and (22) shows then that, for r=0, we should simply have

$$a(0) = h^{-1} \log_e q_h(0) = Z/(l+1), \tag{23}$$

where Z is the atomic number of the nucleus, and  $q_h$  is the quotient function defined by (10).

Let us now consider the actual numerical material, given by Hartree and Hartree, from this point of view. The result of our quotient analysis for the A-like ions with exchange is condensed in Table XII, where we also have listed a quantity,

$$Z_0 = (l+1)h^{-1}\log_e q_h(0), \tag{24}$$

obtained from the Hartree-Hartree quotient. Except for the case of  $Cl^{-}(3s)$ , where the SCF data apparently contain some accidental error, the quantities  $Z_0$  are all very close to the real atomic number Z, in agreement with (23). In the following, we may, therefore, simply get an approximate idea of the behavior of  $f_{nl}(SCF|r)r^{l+1}$ by starting from the value of  $c_{l+1}$ , found from (20), and by using a quotient  $q_h$ , given by the three-term formula

$$q_h = 1 + hZ/(l+1) + \frac{1}{2} \{hZ/(l+1)\}^2, \qquad (25)$$

for, e.g., h=0.005. We note that the values in the example in Table XIII are in excellent agreement with the SCF data given in Table XII.

TABLE XIII. The quotient  $q_h(0)$  for h=0.005 for the A-like ions according to the three-term formula (25). Compare the SCF data in Table XII.

Ζ	l = 0	l = 1	
17	1.0886	1.0434	
18	1.0941	1.0460	
19	1.0995	1.0486	
20	1.1050	1.0513	

TABLE XIV. Example of the procedure of evaluating the position  $r_0$  of the node for the 2s function of Na<sup>+</sup> with exchange;  $r_0^{(k)}$ gives the successive approximations for  $r_0$  in Aitken's iteration scheme.

$f_{2s}$	r	* k = 1	$r_0^{(k)}$ $k = 2$	k=3
1.0.215	0.16			
+0.213 +0.102	0.10	0.198 053		
-0.015	0.20	0.197 391	$0.197\ 476$	
-0.301	0.25	0.197 500	0.197 913	0.197 45

### (c) The Nodes

Another characteristic property of the SCF functions is the position  $r_0$  of their eventual nodes. For the hydrogenlike functions, the nodes are given by the zero points  $\rho_0$  of the polynomials  $Q(\rho)$  in Table I; and by solving the atomic number Z, we obtain, therefore,  $Z = n\rho_0/2r_0$ . According to the analogy rule, the corresponding effective nuclear charges for the SCF functions are then defined by

$$Z(\mathrm{SCF}|r_0) = n\rho_0/2r_0. \tag{26}$$

The zero points  $r_0$  of the numerically given SCF functions may be determined by solving the equation  $f_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r)=0$  by inverse interpolation, and, for this purpose, we have used the Aitken iteration scheme.<sup>15</sup> An example of the procedure is given in Table XIV.

The final results of our calculations of the nodes of of the 2s functions, associated with fields with and without exchange, are condensed in Table XV. We note that the linearity rule for  $Z(\text{SCF}|r_0)$  holds with the same striking accuracy as before, and that therefore this auxiliary quantity is very convenient for interpolation purposes. The nodes of the 3s and 3p functions have been evaluated only for the fields with exchange, and the results are given in Table XVI.

Let us finally consider the possibility of expressing a SCF function in the form (15) in the neighborhood of a node. The quantity  $\rho$  is defined by  $\rho = 2Z_{\text{eff}}r/n$ , but it is not a priori evident that the value of  $Z_{\text{eff}}$  in the polynomial  $Q(\rho)$  is identical with the value of  $Z_{\text{eff}}$  in  $\exp(-\rho/2)$ , particularly not in the region around a zero point  $r=r_0$ , where the former is sharply defined. In order to describe an SCF function in such a region, it may therefore be necessary to use *three* independent shielding factors in formula (15). In considering a single

continuously varying scale factor, we will meet this problem again, since the nodes will be a little bit "out of scale" and lead to sudden discontinuities.

In general, the nodes cause such complications in the interpolation problem as to make it highly desirable to transform them away. As we shall see in the next section, such a transformation can be carried out even for the numerically given SCF functions, if the nodes are evaluated with sufficient accuracy. The possibility of a separate interpolation of the nodes, based on (26), will in this way prove to be of essential importance for the solution of the interpolation problem for the function as a whole.

### (d) The Energy Eigenvalues

The "tails" of the SCF functions for large r may be interpolated simply by considering the outmost Slater exponential in the analytic expressions, described in Part I. This single exponential term is quickly found by a "quotient analysis" without evaluating the whole expression, and we note that the exponents satisfy the

TABLE XV. The positions  $r_0$  and the effective nuclear charges  $Z(\text{SCF} | r_0)$  of 2s functions belonging to self-consistent fields with and without exchange.

			r	0	Z(SC)	$F r_0$
		State	With	Without	With	Without
<i>Z</i>	Element	<b>β</b> value	exchange	exchange	exchange	exchange
3	Li		0.829 96	,	2.4098	
4	Be		0.589 57	0.679 76	3.3923	2.9422
5	В			$0.504\ 45$		3.9647
6	С	$^{1}D \ 0.04$	0.375 41		5.3275	
	$C^{+2}$		0.370.75	0.400 45	5.3945	4.9944
7	$N^{-}$	<sup>1</sup> S 0	0.318 15		6.2863	
	Ν	$^{2}P \ 0$	0.317 98		6.2897	
8	0-	$^{2}P - 0.8$	0.276 36		7.2369	
	0	<sup>1</sup> S 0	$0.276\ 11$	0.289.94	7.2435	6.8980
	$O^+$	$^{2}P \ 0$	$0.275\ 54$	0.289 48	7.2585	6.9089
	$O^{+2}$	intp. 0	0.27425	0.288 57	7.2926	6.9307
	$O^{+3}$	$^{2}P$ 0	0.272 53	0.287 10	7.3386	6.9662
9	F			0.254 02		7.8734
10	Ne		0.218 36		9.159	
11	Na <sup></sup>		$0.197\ 45$		10.129	
	$Na^+$		0.197 45		10.129	
12	$Mg^{+2}$		$0.180\ 14$	0.18503	11.102	10.809
13	Al <sup>¥3</sup>		0.165 42	0.169 57	12.090	11.795
14	Si <sup>+2</sup>			0.156 54		12.776
	Si <sup>+3</sup>			0.156 51		12.779
	Si <sup>+4</sup>		0.15304	0.15654	13.068	12.776
17	Cl-		$0.124\ 80$	0.127 06	16.027	15.741
18	A		$0.117\ 65$		17.000	
19	$K^+$		0.111 07	0.112 91	18.007	17.713
20	Ca			0.106 91		18.707
-	$Ca^{+2}$		0.105 30		18.993	
29	$Cu^+$		0.071 612	0.072 302	27.928	27.662

The positions  $r_0$  and the effective nuclear charges  $Z(\text{SCF} | r_0)$  for 2s functions of some heavier elements for self-consistent fields without exchange.

 	5			
Ζ	Element	ro	$Z(SCF r_0)$	
30	Zn	0.069 719	28.687	
31	Ca	0.067 510	29.625	
32	Ge.	0.065 210	30.670	
33	As	0.063 236	31.628	
37	Rb	0.056 155	35.616	
55	Cs	0.037 342	53.559	
80	Hg	$0.025\ 454$	78.573	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See, for instance, W. E. Milne, *Numerical Calculus* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1949), p. 69.

			:	3 <i>s</i>			3p
Ζ	Element	r0'	ro''	$Z(SCF r_0')$	$Z(SCF r_0'')$	<i>r</i> 0	$Z(SCF r_0)$
17	Cl-	0.121 60	0.554 99	15.641	12.790	0.531 53	11.288
18	Α	0.114 37	0.515 72	16.630	13.763	0.488 69	12.278
19	K+	0.108 00	0.481 89	17.610	14.730	0.451 99	13.275
20	$Ca^{+2}$	0.102 33	0.451 62	18.586	15.717	0.420 42	14.271
29	$Cu^+$	0.069 238	0.292 38	27.469	24.277	0.262 63	22.846

TABLE XVI. The positions  $r_0$  and the effective nuclear charges  $Z(\text{SCF} | r_0)$  for 3s and 3p functions belonging to self-consistent fields with exchange.

linearity rule, whereas the coefficients satisfy Eq. (I, 7). Typical examples may be found in Part I.

Another quantity characteristics for the asymptotic behavior is the eigenvalue parameter  $\epsilon_{nl}$ . For hydrogenlike functions we would have  $\epsilon_{nl}=Z^2/n$ , and, for SCF functions, the corresponding effective nuclear charge is then defined by

$$Z(\text{SCF} | \epsilon_{nl}) = n \sqrt{\epsilon_{nl}}.$$
(27)

Table XVII gives a survey of this quantity for some Ne-like and A-like ions, and we note that, for the outer electrons, the electronic shielding is so strong that the linearity rule for  $Z(\text{SCF} | \epsilon_{nl})$  holds only with a rather moderate accuracy as long as this quantity is comparatively large, whereas there are considerable deviations from this rule when  $Z(\text{SCF} | \epsilon)$  tends to zero on the side of the negative ions. However, if only two SCF data are available, the interpolation based on (27) gives at least a first estimate of the eigenvalue parameter, and, in actual computations, we have found that this value might be just as good as, e.g., the approximate eigenvalue obtained from the average value of the energy operator with respect to an interpolated SCF function.

If three of more SCF data are available, it is usually simpler to carry out the interpolations directly in the quantity  $\epsilon_{nl}$  itself, which is then considered as being a polynomial of at least the second degree in the atomic number Z.

In our investigation of the SCF functions, we have found that the hydrogenlike behavior decreases when the point under consideration moves outwards from origin, which depends on the simple fact that the electronic screening gets an increasing importance in comparison to the nuclear attraction. The idea of the "effective nuclear charge" must consequently lose some of its validity when it is applied to the asymptotic behavior, and it is therefore probable that a more detailed description of quantities like, e.g., the eigenvalues can be given first after a closer investigation of the basic Hartree-Fock equations.

#### II. INTERPOLATION OF SELF-CONSISTENT-FIELD WAVE FUNCTIONS

In the previous section we have investigated the interpolation of certain point properties of the SCF functions, and will now study the problem of the interpolation of the SCF functions as a whole. Our different attempts to treat this question have shown us that the eventual nodes in the SCF functions cause considerable complications in this connection, and we will therefore take advantage of the fact that these nodes may be interpolated separately by using (26).

Let us start by dividing the function  $f_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r)$  by a polynomial  $r^{l+1}(r_0'-r)(r_0''-r)\cdots$ , where  $r_0', r_0'', \cdots$ are the (n-l-1) zero points of the function itself; the quotient is nodeless and monotonously decreasing with increasing r. Let us also form a table of the quantity

$$\phi_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r) = \log_{e} \{ f_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r)/r^{l+1}(r_{0}'-r)(r_{0}''-r)\cdots \}.$$
(28)

We observe that, except for the normalization constant, the quantity  $\phi_{nl}(Z|r)$  for a hydrogenlike function is linear in rZ/n, and, according to the analogy rule, we may then consider  $\phi_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r)$  as being the same linear function of  $rZ_{\text{eff}}(r)/n$ :

$$\phi_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r) = \phi_{nl}(\text{SCF}|0) - rZ_{\text{eff}}(r)/n.$$
(29)

We postulate that the effective charge  $Z_{\text{eff}}(r)$  should be an almost linear function of the atomic number, convenient for interpolation purposes.

TABLE XVII. The effective nuclear charge  $Z(\text{SCF} | \epsilon_{nl})$  corresponding to the eigenvalue parameters  $\epsilon_{nl}$  for some Ne-like and some A-like ions.

		Ne s	eries, with o	exchange.		
Ζ	Element		15	25	-	2¢
10	N	Te	8.093	3.92	25	2.598
11	N	la <sup>+</sup>	9.026	4.9	60	3.796
12	$\mathbf{N}$	$1g^{+2}$	9.973	5.9	81	4.895
13	A	1 <sup>¥3</sup>	10.94	7.0	19	5.985
14	S	i <sup>+4</sup>	11.90	8.04	42	7.046
Α	verage diff	ierence :	0.952	1.02	29	1.112
A-se	ries, upper	values with	exchange,	lower values	without	exchange.
Ζ	Element	15	25	2 <i>p</i>	35	3p
17	Cl-	14.46	9.049	7.846	3.617	1.635
		14.46	8.567	7.582	3.116	1.013
18	Α	15.40	9.930	8.752	4.795	3.260
		•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
19	K+	16.36	10.85	9.693	5.947	4.590
		16.35	10.33	9.374	5.268	4.096
20	Ca <sup>+2</sup>	17.32	11.79	10.65	7.072	5.814
		17.31	11.27	10.32	6.390	5.330
Ave	rage					
di	ifference :	0.953	0.914	0.935	1.152	1.393
		0.950	0.901	0.913	1.091	1.439

The problem of interpolating an SCF function as a whole may now simply be solved by performing linear interpolations in the functions  $\phi_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r)$  given by (28) for each r value under consideration, with an eventual renormalization of the first preliminary result as to the entire wave function. In order to test this rule, we have applied it to the series of A-like ions, where we have interpolated the SCF functions with exchange for K<sup>+</sup> from the tabulated functions for Cl<sup>-</sup> and Ca<sup>+2</sup> and compared them with the correct ones. The results in Table XVIII show that the errors for the 1s, 2s, and 2p functions are hardly of the order  $\pm 0.002$ , whereas the errors for the 3s and 3p functions are slightly larger.

Let us now study in greater detail the continuously varying effective charge which, according to (29), is defined by the formula

$$Z_{\text{eff}}(r)/n = \{\phi_{nl}(\text{SCF}|0) - \phi_{nl}(\text{SCF}|r)\}/r.$$
 (30)

By using (20) and (22), we obtain

$$\phi_{nl}(\text{SCF}|0) = \frac{2l+3}{2} \log_e K_{nl} - \log_e \alpha_{nl} r_0' r_0'' \cdots, \quad (31)$$

where all quantities in the right-hand member may be interpolated according to rules given in the previous section. We note, however, that the value of  $\phi_{nl}(\text{SCF}|0)$ is in all events rather unimportant since it corresponds only to a normalization constant in the wave function, which can always be determined afterwards. If there is an uncertainty of  $\Delta\phi_0$  in the value of  $\phi_{nl}(\text{SCF}|0)$ , there will be a corresponding uncertainty of  $\Delta\phi_0/r$  in the quantity  $Z_{\text{eff}}(r)/n$ , which is particularly large in the vicinity of r=0. Using (22), (23), and (28), we obtain for the point r=0 in particular

$$Z_{\rm eff}(0)/n = \frac{Z}{l+1} - \frac{1}{r_0'} - \frac{1}{r_0''} - \cdots.$$
(32)

TABLE XVIII. The error in the SCF functions for  $K^+$  with exchange obtained from the given functions for  $Cl^-$  and  $Ca^{+2}$  by linear interpolation in the auxiliary function (28). Unit= $10^{-3}$ .

<b>7</b> interval	15	2 <i>s</i>	2 <i>p</i>	<b>3</b> s	3p
0.00		0	<u>^</u>		
0.02	$\pm 0.5$	0	0	+2	+0.5
0.02	-1	$\pm 1$	+0.5	+3	+3
0.08	-1	-2	+1.5	-6	+6
0.30	+1	+1	-2	$\pm 4$	$\pm 5$
0.60		1.1	1	15	
1.20		$\pm 1$	-1	73	-,
		-0.5	-1	-6	+8
4.00				-1	+1

In addition to  $Z_{eff}(r)$ , we have also studied the quantity

$$\omega_{nl}(r) = Z_{\text{eff}}(r) / Z_{\text{eff}}(0). \tag{33}$$

This function is monotonously decreasing with increasing r from the value  $\omega(0) = 1$ , and it describes, therefore, in a simple way some of the essential properties of the SCF functions, particularly their deviations from the hydrogenlike form. Practically we have found that this function is very slowly varying with the atomic number and that the accuracy of the interpolations may be improved by performing them in  $\omega(r)$  instead of in  $Z_{\rm eff}(r)$  or in (28). In this way, the error in, for instance, the 3p function of K<sup>+</sup> with exchange derived from Cl<sup>-</sup> and Ca<sup>+2</sup> may be reduced by about 50 percent in comparison to the values given in Table XVIII.

As an example, we have given the  $\omega$  functions for the series of Ne-like ions with exchange in Table XIX. The  $\omega$  functions for some A-like ions (Cl<sup>-</sup>, A, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>+2</sup>) and Cu<sup>+</sup> with and without exchange have also been calculated and are available on special request.<sup>16</sup> The tables are all affected by small normalization constant uncertainties  $\Delta \phi_0/r$  of the type described above, and it is, therefore, remarkable that they still fit rather smoothly together in spite of the fact that the tables are given in their original form without being adjusted to each other.

In the results for the A-like ions and Cu<sup>+</sup>, one can observe another characteristic feature of the  $\omega$  functions, namely, that for an isoelectronic series the difference between the two  $\omega$  functions for fields with and without exchange seems to be approximately the same function of r for all elements of the series. This property may be used for estimating wave functions with exchange from those without exchange in case only one field with exchange is known in the series.

A comparison of the  $\omega$  functions for the 1s, 2s, and 2p functions with exchange for the Ne-like and the A-like ions, respectively, shows further the effect of adding a complete outer electronic shell.

Finally, with respect to the tables published here, we would like to emphasize that, in order to utilize the full accuracy of the given material and to avoid rounding-off errors, we have in general kept at least one figure more in the tables than is actually valid. This is particularly true for the "tails" of the wave functions.

Up till now, several fields with exchange have been determined for atoms and ions up to  $Z=39(Cu^+)$ , whereas quite a few additional fields without exchange have been calculated up to Z=80 (Hg). However,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Tables of the  $\omega$  functions for some A-like ions (Cl<sup>-</sup>, A, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>+2</sup>) and Cu<sup>+</sup>, supplementary to this article, have been deposited as Document number 4222 with the ADI Auxiliary Publications Project, Photoduplication Service, Library of Congress, Washing-ton 25, D. C. A copy may be secured by citing the Document number and by remitting \$1.25 for photoprints, or \$1.25 for 35-mm microfilm. Advance payment is required. Make checks or money orders payable to: Chief, Photoduplication Service, Library of Congress.

TABLE XIX. The characteristic functions  $\omega_{nl}(r)$  for the SCF functions of some Ne-like ions with exchange. These quantities, defined by  $\omega(r) = Z_{eff}(r)/Z_{eff}(0)$ , describe the relative decrease in the specific effective nuclear charge under consideration here. In addition, the first nonvanishing derivative in origin, the position  $r_0$  of the node of the 2s function, and the values of  $Z_{eff}(0)$  are tabulated for the calculation of the wave functions themselves.

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Si <sup>+4</sup>
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.6191
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.6133
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.6078
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.15304
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27.10
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7.4658
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C:+4
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1.0000
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.9444
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.8996
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.8054
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.8304
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.8117
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.7902
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.7713
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.7549
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.7401
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.7270
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.7133
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.7047
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.6866
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.6715
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.6588
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.6469
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.6351
$1.6 \qquad 0.6708 \qquad 0.6756 \qquad 0.6822 \qquad 0.6921 \qquad 0.7016 \qquad 2.6 \qquad 0.5362 \qquad 0.5588 \qquad 0.5806 \qquad 0.6041$	0.6276
	0.6184
$1.8 \qquad 0.6522 \qquad 0.6588 \qquad 0.6670 \qquad 0.6794 \qquad 0.6890 \qquad   \ 2.8 \qquad 0.5240 \qquad 0.5490 \qquad 0.5721 \qquad 0.5967$	0.6083
2.0 0.6358 0.6445 0.6530 0.6677 0.6781 3.0 0.5129 0.5391 0.5648 0.5904	0.6016
2.2         0.6214         0.6311         0.6400         0.6577         0.6685         3.2         0.5030         0.5307         0.5851	0.5918
2.4         0.6083         0.6191         0.6278         0.6490         0.6599         3.4         0.4935         0.5218         0.5784	
2.6 0.5959 0.6090 0.6163 0.6414 0.6520 3.6 0.4852 0.5143 0.5737	
2.8 0.5857 0.5992 0.6051 0.6348 0.6446 3.8 0.4776 0.5072 0.5675	
3.0  0.5754  0.5900  0.5943  0.6275  0.6377  4.0  0.4697  0.5014	
3.2 0.5659 0.5829 0.5839 0.6237 0.6312 $f_0''/2$ 27.79 38.92 52.00 67.81	85.80
3.4 0.5583 0.5730 0.5737 0.6184 0.6245 $Z_0/2$ 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5	7.0

there are also a rather large number of atoms and ions left out in the first treatment of the periodic system where the interpolation methods described here may prove to be useful. Further applications of the theory will be given in a later paper of this series.

In conclusion, I should like to express my sincere gratitude to Professor John C. Slater for his generous support of my work and for the great hospitality I have enjoyed during my stay at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, where I also have had the advantage of many inspiring discussions with him and with the members of the Solid-State and Molecular Theory Group.

I am further greatly indebted to *Fil. Kand.* Klaus Appel, Uppsala, for his skillful cooperation in leading the computational group, and to Miss U. Areskog, Miss K. Berger, B. Anderberg, A. Fröman, R. Nilsson, E. Tenerz, M. Tideman, and L. Wik for their kind assistance in carrying out the numerical computations involved in various parts of the work.