rule out the possibility of a simple rotational interpretation.¹³ In addition, we find 5 cases where the excited state spin exceeds that of the ground state (the latter being $\frac{3}{2}$ or greater), Ta¹⁸¹ being a well-known example, plus 8 cases having ground state spin $\frac{1}{2}$.

We are planning to study the excitation functions of some additional nuclei in this survey in the hope that higher multipoleorder contributions might show up as deviations from the theoretical shape predicted for quadrupole excitation.³

We are greatly indebted to a long list of people from the National Bureau of Standards who so generously supplied us with samples of most of the rare substances appearing in the tables of this paper and reference 2.

¹G. M. Temmer and N. P. Heydenburg, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 29, 10 (1954). ²G. M. Temmer and N. P. Heydenburg, Phys. Rev. 93, 351 (1954),

² G. M. Temmer and N. P. Heydenburg, Phys. Rev. 93, 351 (1954), hereafter referred to as I.
³ K. Alder and A. Winther, Phys. Rev. 91, 1578 (1953).
⁴ This nucleus was reported in I as showing no lines below 500 kev. We are indebted to Professor Clark Goodman for private communication concerning work of his group on proton excitation of platinum, tantalum, tungsten, and lead. They find lines in platinum at 150, 215, and 330 kev.
⁴ F. Asaro and I. Perlman, Phys. Rev. 87, 393 (1952); 91, 763 (1953). We are indebted to Professor Perlman for informing us that his group has some tentative evidence [F. Asaro, University of California Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-2180 (unpublished)] for a level in U²³⁸ at around 45 kev from alpha-ray spectrography of plutonium.
⁶ S. Rosenblum and M. Valadares, Compt. rend. 235, 711 (1952).
⁷ K. Way et al., "Nuclear Data," Natl. Bur. Standards Circ. 499 (1950), and supplements.

K. Way et al., INUCLEAL Data, Nath. Build Standards Carlo and and supplements.
 Hollander, Perlman, and Seaborg, Revs. Modern Phys. 25, 469 (1953).
 One strange exception is the case of Ge⁷³(?) which will be studied with an enriched sample (see reference 2).
 K. Alder and A. Winther (private communication).
 C. J. Mullin and E. Guth, Phys. Rev. 82, 141 (1951).
 K. A. Ter-Martirosyan, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 22, 284 (1952).

¹³ A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, Kgl. Danske Videnskab. Selskab, Mat.-fys. Medd. 27, No. 16 (1953).

A New Spinor Theory of Elementary Particles

FERDINAND CAP Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria (Received December 4, 1953)

HE usual spinor theory of elementary particles¹ uses spinors of the kind

$$a_{\nu_1\cdots\nu_N}^{\dot{\mu}_1\cdots\dot{\mu}_M}, \quad b_{\nu_2\cdots\nu_N}^{\dot{\mu}_0\dot{\mu}_1\dot{\mu}_2\cdots\dot{\mu}_M}$$

which possess dotted and undotted indices. The rank of these spinors is r=M+N=2s, where s is the spin of the particle. The spinors obey the generalized Dirac equations:

$$\partial^{\mu_0\nu_1} a^{\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_M}_{\nu_1\nu_2...\nu_N} = i \frac{mc}{\hbar} b^{\mu_0\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_M}_{\nu_2...\nu_N},$$

$$\partial_{\mu_0\nu_1} b^{\mu_0\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_M}_{\nu_2\dots\nu_N} = i \frac{mc}{\hbar} a^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_M}_{\nu_1\nu_2\dots\nu_N}.$$

These are 2×2^{2s} equations to which must be added the conditions of symmetry for all spinor indices as all spinors used have to be totally symmetric.¹ So the number of independent components is (M+1)(N+1) for the *a* spinor and N(M+2) for the *b*-spinor. One can see that the number of all spinor components used, namely 2MN+3N+M+1, is greater than the number of states of the elementary particle. An elementary particle possesses two degrees of freedom: charge and spin. The charge contains two possibilities, +e and -e, and the spin has 2s+1 possibilities. Measurements made on an elementary particle distinguish, therefore, 2(2s+1) different states of a quantized spinor wave field of spin s. It must therefore be possible to describe an elementary particle sufficiently by only 2(2s+1) spinor components. As will be seen, this new method² has several advantages-for instance any problem can be solved regardless of the value of the spin. Furthermore, bosons and fermions differ only after quantization. So general electromagnetic (or other) interactions³ or the scattering of particles with spin s by a Coulomb field have to be calculated only once for the spin s and not separately for s=0,4 $s=\frac{1}{2}$, and s=1.6 Furthermore, it might be that the slightly different transformation properties of spinors (vis à vis tensors, used now for the description of bosons) give other results7 for interacting particles.

By multiple application of formulas of the kind

$$\partial_{\mu M\nu N+1} a_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{N-1}\nu_N}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{M-1}\mu_M} = i \frac{mc}{\hbar} a_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{N-1}\nu_N\nu_{N+1}}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{M-1}}$$

one arrives finally at the spinors $a^{\dot{\mu}_1 \cdots \dot{\mu}_{2s}}$ and $a_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{2s}}$ which contain only indices of one kind and exactly 2(2s+1) components. These new spinors obey second-order differential equations:

$$(\underline{\ }-m^2c^2/\hbar^2)a^{\dot{\mu}_1\cdots\dot{\mu}_{2s}}=0, \quad (\underline{\ }-m^2c^2/\hbar^2)a_{\nu_1\cdots\nu_{2s}}=0,$$

which describe the propagation of the unquantized spinor wave field. There are no longer any first-order differential equations which, according to Pauli,⁸ are not at all necessary.

It is possible to build up a relativistically- and gaugeinvariant theory which is also invariant against inversions $x_k \rightarrow -x_k$ (k=1, 2, 3), $x_4 \rightarrow x_4$. After quantization,⁹ the new theory reproduces for the free particle the well-known results of the usual theory¹⁰ in a generalized manner, so that, for instance, the whole charge Q or the whole energy H is given by:

$$Q_{B,F} = e \sum_{r,k}^{\infty} (\mathfrak{N}^{(+)}{}_{r,k}{}^{B,F} - \mathfrak{N}^{(-)}{}_{r,k}{}^{B,F}),$$

$$H_{B} = \sum_{k,r}^{\infty} E_{k} (\mathfrak{N}^{(-)}{}_{k,r}{}^{B} + \mathfrak{N}^{(+)}{}_{k,r}{}^{B}) + \sum_{k}^{\infty} E_{k},$$

$$H_{F} = \sum_{k,r}^{\infty} |E_{k}| \mathfrak{N}^{(-)}{}_{k,r}{}^{F} + \sum_{k,r}^{\infty} |E_{k}| \mathfrak{N}^{(+)}{}_{k,r}{}^{F},$$

where B, F means boson or fermion, where $\hbar k$ is the momentum and r the spin number $r=0\cdots 2s+1$. The matrices $\mathfrak{N}^{(+)}_{r,k}B, F$ $\mathfrak{N}^{(-)}_{k,r^{B,F}}$ are the well-known diagonalized matrices with infinite rows for bosons and with two rows for particles subject to the Pauli principle (fermions), depending on k and r and giving the number of particles present. Besides the possibility of giving other results for interacting particles, the new theory has the advantage of being a consistent general theory without superfluous components or accessory conditions, able to give the basis for a proposed unified nonlinear spinor theory of all elementary particles.11

particles."
P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A155, 447 (1936); M. Fierz, Helv. Phys. Acta 12, 3 (1939).
F. Cap, Z. Naturforsch. 8a, No. 11 (1953).
F. Cap, Z. Naturforsch. 8a, No. 11 (1953).
F. Cap, "Electromagnetic interactions in the new spinor theory of elementary particles" (to be published).
G. Wentzel, Quantum Theory of Fields (Deuticke, Vienna, 1943).
N. F. Mott, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A124, 425 (1929); 135, 429 (1932); F. Sauter, Ann. Physik 18, 61 (1933).
O. Laporte, Phys. Rev. 54, 905 (1938); J. Gunn, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A193, 559 (1938); Massey, and Corben, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 35, 463 (1939); N. F. Mott and H. S. W. Massey, The Theory of Alomic Collisions (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949), second edition.
H. Donnert, "Über geladene Elementarteilchen mit Spin 1," Z. Physik (to be published).
W. Pauli, Phys. Rev. 58, 716 (1940).
F. Cap, Z. Naturforsch. 8a, No. 12 (1953).
A. March, Quantum Theory of Particles and Wave Fields (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1951); see also reference 4.
W. Heisenberg, Z. Naturforsch. 5a, 251 (1950).