## Total Neutron Cross Sections of Chlorine and Carbon\*

R. M. KIEHN, CLARK GOODMAN, AND K. F. HANSEN Laboratory for Nuclear Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Received March 2, 1953)

Using CCl<sub>4</sub> in good geometry, Li<sup>7</sup>(p,n) neutrons and a pressurized hydrogen counter,  $\sigma_t$ (Cl) has been measured by the transmission method from 0.4 to 1 Mev at 30-kev intervals and from 0.15 to 0.75 Mev at 2-key intervals.

HE total neutron cross section of chlorine has been measured at Columbia by time-of-flight techniques up to about 300-ev neutron energy.<sup>1</sup> Aoki<sup>2</sup> obtained values of 2.66 to 2.84 barns in the energy range from 2.2 to 2.85 Mev. Zinn et al.<sup>3</sup> obtained 3.42

barns at 2.85 Mev, and Sherr,<sup>4</sup> using  $C^{12}(n,2n)$  as a threshold detector, obtained 1.88 barns at 25 Mev. Snowdon<sup>5</sup> has recently made measurements from about 100 kev to 500 kev with 40-kev resolution.

Using the  $Li^{7}(p,n)$  reaction and the Rockefeller



FIG. 1. Total neutron cross section of chlorine and carbon vs incident neutron energy in the laboratory coordinate system (up-per scale) and vs frequency of proton resonance magnet control in megacycles (lower scale). Carbon tetrachloride contained in a thin-walled iron cylinder  $(7.5 \times 2.6)$ cm) was used in the chlorine measurements. Correction for carbon content was made from similar measurements for a number of the same frequency settings using a graphite cylinder  $(2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ cm})$  as a scatterer. The three resonance peaks of sulfur, plotted at  $\frac{1}{10}$  scale for  $\sigma_t$ , were used as secondary energy calibrations and as an over-all check on the experimental method.

\* This work was supported by the Bureau of Ships and the U. S. Office of Naval Research. <sup>1</sup> Neutron Cross Sections, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Report 2040 (Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C., 1952). <sup>2</sup> H. Aoki, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan **21**, 232 (1939).

<sup>3</sup> Zinn, Seely, and Cohen, Phys. Rev. 56, 260 (1939).
<sup>4</sup> R. Sherr, Phys. Rev. 68, 240 (1945).

<sup>5</sup> S. C. Snowdon and W. D. Whitehead, Phys. Rev. 90, 615 (1953).



FIG. 1 (continued).

generator as a neutron source, and CCl<sub>4</sub> as a scatterer,  $\sigma_t$ (Cl) was measured in good geometry at approximately 2-kev intervals from 150 kev to 750 kev. The targets used were 2 to 4 kev thick at the lower neutron energies. From 750 kev to 1.1 Mev, the resolution was approximately 25 kev.

The detector was a pressurized (70-psi) hydrogen recoil counter,<sup>6</sup> the bias voltage of which was changed continuously to cut out low energy neutron background. Two BF<sub>3</sub> counters, at 15° and 90°, served to monitor the neutron beam, in addition to proton current integration. Corrections were made for the carbon in the CCl<sub>4</sub> by measuring the total cross section of carbon, using graphite as a scatterer. Corrections have also been made for the thin-walled iron tube used as a container.

Assuming isotropic scattering in the center-of-mass system, the scattering-in correction was less than one percent. Figure 1 summarizes the results. Though it is impossible to distinguish between the different isotopes of Cl (24.6 percent Cl<sup>37</sup>, 75.4 percent Cl<sup>35</sup>) the average level spacing is ~14 kev, in accord with the high level density expected for odd-odd compound nuclei. It is felt that many of the resonances are not yet resolved, and a few small energy regions need more work. The average cross section observed,  $\sigma_t(\text{Cl}) \approx 2.5$  barns, is relatively low compared to neighboring elements.

Energy calibration of the proton resonance controlled magnetic analyzer was made using the  $\text{Li}^7(p,n)$  threshold at  $E_p=1.882 \text{ Mev.}^7$  As an operational check, a few of the resonances of sulfur were done simultaneously with the chlorine. The sharp resonances in sulfur<sup>8</sup> not only lend themselves as secondary energy calibrations, but also give a measure of the experimental resolution,



FIG. 2. Repeated measurements on a major resonance of sulfur (observed as 588 kev relative to the  $\text{Li}^{7}(p,n)$  threshold which was reported by Peterson *et al.* (reference 8) as 585 kev). During an interval of 2 months, the data fall on the same resonance curve within the statistical uncertainty of the measurements.

see Fig. 1. The sixth resonance in sulfur was also used to test the experimental reproducibility and as a guage of the thickness of the targets as shown in Fig. 2.

The assistance of the other members of the Neutron Physics Group and of Donald C. Thompson is gratefully acknowledged.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> F. S. Replogle, Servomechanisms Engineering Memo No. 29, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, March 18, 1952 (unpublished).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Herb, Snowdon, and Sala, Phys. Rev. **75**, 246 (1949).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Peterson, Barschall, and Bockelman, Phys. Rev. 79, 593 (1950).