The Absorption Mean Free Path of the High-**Energy Nucleonic Component of Cosmic** Radiation*

M. F. KAPLON, J. Z. KLOSE, D. M. RITSON, AND W. D. WALKER University of Rochester, Rochester, New York (Received July 27, 1953)

WO similar emulsion cloud chambers¹ consisting of 24 alternate 3-mm lead plates and 250μ G-5 emulsions were exposed to the cosmic radiation. One stack was exposed for 30 days at 10700 feet at Echo Lake, Colorado with the planes of the emulsions and lead plates at an angle of 45° with the vertical, the other was exposed for 6 hours at 90 000 feet at White Sands, New Mexico with the planes of the emulsions and lead plates horizontal. These two emulsion cloud chambers were used to obtain flux measurements of the nuclear interacting component of the cosmic radiation for energies $\gtrsim 10^{12}$ ev; the data obtained was used to determine the absorption mean free path in the atmosphere (λ) for this energy region.

Since the emulsion cloud chamber is not a unidirectional detector, a Gross transformation must be used in evaluating the data. Five nuclear interactions were observed in a systematic survey of 106 cm^2 of emulsion in the mountain stack, and fifteen such interactions were observed in a systematic survey of 45 $\rm cm^2$ of emulsion in the high-altitude stack. In each case the survey was made in an emulsion midway in the stack. The solution of the Gross transformations for λ was obtained by forming a ratio of the transformation expressions for each plate assembly. Since the energy selection characteristics of these two stacks are the same, the ratio is not dependent upon the energy of the interactions if the energy spectrum is independent of depth. Energy determinations of the interactions were made by methods previously used for this type of exposure.¹ The expression involving the Gross transformations of the two stacks is

$$\frac{N_{o}A_{c}T_{c}\left[1-\exp\left(-\frac{t_{c}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\right]2\pi}{N_{sm}(X_{m})} = \frac{\times \int_{\theta=\min}^{\pi/2}\sin\theta\cos\theta d\theta\exp\left(-\frac{X_{c}}{\lambda\cos\theta}\right)}{N_{o}A_{m}T_{m}\left[1-\exp\left(-\frac{t_{m}}{\lambda_{1}\cos\alpha}\right)\right]2\pi\sin\alpha} \times \int_{0}^{\theta=\max}\sin\theta\cos\theta d\theta\exp\left(-\frac{X_{m}}{\lambda\cos\theta}\right)$$

In the expression all quantities with subscript c refer to the highaltitude stack, and all those with subscript *m* refer to the mountain stack. $N_{sc}(X_c)$ and $N_{sm}(X_m)$ represent the number of interactions observed in the two stacks at effective atmospheric depths X_c and X_m , respectively. N_0 is the primary interacting flux at the top of the atmosphere, the A's refer to the area of plate scanned for showers, and the T's are the times of exposure of the two stacks. t_c and t_m are the depths in the stacks of the point of observation, λ_1 represents the interaction mean free path of the combination of materials of the stacks, and $\alpha = 45^{\circ}$, the angle with the vertical of the mountain stack. $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is the zenith angle of the incoming interacting particle, and θ_{\min} and θ_{\max} are limits imposed by the minimum observable track length in the plates. Solution of this expression with $\theta_{min} = 15^{\circ}$ and $\theta_{max} = 45^{\circ}$ gives $\lambda = 129 \pm 15$ grams/ cm². The errors were determined from the statistical fluctuations in $N_{sc}(X_c)$ and $N_{sm}(X_m)$. Taking into account the possibility of a transition effect by means of a simple diffusion equation, it was found that the mean value of the absorption mean free path could not be less than ~ 115 grams per cm².

The result for λ obtained here agrees with the majority of previous results obtained by other experimenters at lower energies.² (Contradictory results are given by Gottlieb³ and Stinchcomb⁴). Our result taken with the generally accepted results at lower energies indicates that the absorption mean free path in the atmosphere is independent of energy from $\sim 10^9$ ev to $\sim 10^{12}$ ev. Our result is inconsistent with the value calculated by Milford and

Foldy⁵ assuming completely inelastic nucleon collisions. Greisen and Walker⁶ have suggested that a possible interpretation of such a long mean free path is that the fundamental act in the high-energy nucleonic component cascade is rather elastic.

Substituting the value of λ obtained here in the Gross transformation for the high-altitude stack, a value of the primary nucleonic flux at the top of the atmosphere of $N_0 = 0.22 \pm 0.075$ per meter² per second per steradian was obtained. This result is in good agreement with that given by Kaplon and Ritson¹ for a somewhat higher energy. For a distribution of nucleons $\propto \cos^6\theta$, the integral primary flux of nucleons at mountain altitude was determined to be $N_0 = 0.0009 \pm 0.0004$ per meter² per second per steradian. For comparison the integral primary flux of nucleons determined at mountain altitude assuming an isotropic distribution of nucleons was $N_0 = 0.0004 \pm 0.0002$ per meter² per second per steradian. (This represents a lower limit on N_0 at mountain altitude.)

The authors are indebted to the Aero Medical Field Laboratory, Holloman Air Force Base and to Professor Mario Iona of the University of Denver for assistance in obtaining successful exposures. They would also like to thank Miss Barbara Hull for her assistance in scanning the plates.

* This research was supported in part by the United States Air Force under a contract monitored by the Office of Scientific Research, Air Research and Development Command.
¹ M. F. Kaplon and D. M. Ritson, Phys. Rev. 88, 386 (1952).
² B. Rossi, Revs. Modern Phys. 20, 537 (1948); John Tinlot, Phys. Rev. 74, 1197 (1948); M. A. Clark, Phys. Rev. 87, 87 (1952); H. K. Ticho, Phys. Rev. 83, 236 (1952).
³ M. B. Gottlieb, Phys. Rev. 82, 349 (1951).
⁴ T. G. Stinchcomb, Phys. Rev. 83, 422 (1951).
⁶ K. Greisen and W. D. Walker, Phys. Rev. 90, 915 (1953).

Many-Nucleon Forces

GREGOR WENTZEL Institute for Nuclear Studies, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois (Received July 13, 1953)

N pseudoscalar meson theory (PS coupling), the saturation character of nuclear forces is accounted for by the cooperative action of many-body forces.¹ In particular, the repulsive 3-, 5-, 7-, \cdots body forces keep the nucleus from collapsing into a very small volume. These nuclear interactions result mainly from matrix elements describing virtual nucleon-pair creation and annihilation processes, and, therefore, they can also be derived, and more easily so, from the equivalent (pseudo-) scalar pair theory,² if one grants a nonrelativistic treatment of the nucleons and adopts, accordingly, a "cutoff" in the interaction with highenergy mesons.

Considering the importance of the saturation problem, it may be worth while to outline a short derivation of the many-body potentials from the scalar pair theory, at the same time pointing out the approximations involved.

Let \mathbf{x}_s (s=1, 2, ..., n) be the (fixed) locations of n nucleons. The normal modes of the meson field oscillators are then obtained by solving the eigenvalue problem of the quadratic form

$$\sum_k \omega_k^2 |q_k|^2 + \lambda V^{-1} \sum_s |\sum_k u_k q_k e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}_s}|^2$$

 $(\omega_k^2 = \mu^2 + k^2; u_k = \text{form-factor}; \lambda = \text{coupling parameter } G^2/M;$ V = normalization volume). The corresponding linear equations are

$$(\zeta - \omega_k^2)q_k = \lambda V^{-1}u_k^* \sum_s e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}_s} \sum_{k'} u_{k'}q_{k'}e^{i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{x}_s}$$

They are solved by setting

$$q_k = \lambda V^{-1} (\zeta - \omega_k^2)^{-1} u_k^* \sum_s e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_s} c_s,$$

with the n coefficients c_s subjected to the n linear equations:

$$\sum_{t} c_t \left[\delta_{st} - \lambda V^{-1} \sum_k (\zeta - \omega_k^2)^{-1} |u_k|^2 e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_s - \mathbf{x}_t)} \right] = 0.$$
(1)

The eigenvalues ζ are the roots of the $n \times n$ determinant $\varphi_n(\zeta)$ of (1).

The potential energy of the system, in the absence of free mesons, is the zero-point energy of the field oscillators, minus its vacuum