powers absorbed in the plasma at 100, 300, 500, and 800 μ sec are 83.5, 12, 4, and 1.3 milliwatts, respectively.

At this low pressure in neon the return to the original trace after removal of the microwave signal is relatively slow, probably caused by the poor thermal contact between the electron gas and the gas of the plasma. Note that since the loss of electrons by diffusion is not negligible here as it was in the high pressure case (Fig. 1), the intensity of the recombination light does not return to the value it had before the beginning of the microwave pulse.

It appears that the phenomenon here described will be applicable to the study of electron-positive ion recombination processes which result directly or indirectly in light emission. In addition, the quenching of afterglow by absorption of low level microwave signals seems to be a valuable new method of detection of electromagnetic energy.

+Supported by Air Force Cambridge Research Center and Wright Air

Development Center.

¹ Goldstein, Anderson, and Clark, Phys. Rev. 90, 151 (1953).

² V. A. Bailey and D. F. Martyn, Phil. Mag. 18, 369 (1934).

² V. A. Biondi and S. C. Brown, Phys. Rev. 76, 1697 (1949); Holt,

Richa

Superconducting Compounds of Nonsuperconducting Elements

B. T. MATTHIAS Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey (Received March 17, 1953)

HE superconducting molybdenum, tungsten, and bismuth compounds indicate that the metals Mo, W, and Bi themselves are on the borderline of being superconductors.

Thus far the only superconducting compounds of definitely nonsuperconducting elements have been $CuS¹$ and $CoSi₂$ ² As such they seemed to be in a rather unique position.

The recent discovery of superconductivity in the (NiAs) and (MnP) crystal structures change this situation entirely. In the (NiAs) structure the following compounds of nonsuperconducting elements become superconducting:

In the (MnP) structure it is

IrGe \sim 4.7°K.

PtBi cannot be considered a typical Bi compound, as PtBi₂ should and does not become superconducting. '

The underlying working hypothesis will be detailed in a later publication.

1 W. Meissner, Z. Physik 58, 570 (1930).
? B. T. Matthias, Phys. Rev. 87, 380 (1952).
? N. Alekseyevsky, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 20, 863 (1950).

Reversal of Spontaneous Magnetization as a Function of Temperature in LiFeCr Spinels

E. W. GORTER AND J. A. SCHULKES Philips Research Laboratories, N. V. Philips Gloeilampenfabriek
Eindhoven, Netherlands (Received March 11, 1953)

&HE spontaneous magnetization of the ferromagnetic oxides having spinel structure¹ has been explained by Néel's hypothesis² of ferrimagnetism, or noncompensated antiferromagnetism. A preponderant exchange interaction between the magnetic moments on tetrahedral (8a)³ and octahedral (16d)³ sites, respectively, results in antiparallel alignment of these

FIG. 1. A. Partial magnetization of tetrahedral sites vs temperature; B. partial magnetization of octahedral sites vs temperature; C. resulting spontaneous magnetization vs temperature, {After Neel, see reference 2.)

moments, and the spontaneous magnetization is thus the difference between the (unequal) partial magnetizations on tetrahedral and octahedral sites, respectively. This assumption has been amply verified by measurements of the saturation magnetization of various ferrites. $4 - 6$

The application of the neutron diffraction technique⁷ has provided direct evidence for the correctness of Néel's hypothesis.

Néel pointed out² that the Weiss molecular fields for magnetic ions in the two crystallographic positions will be different because of the difference in numbers and spin orientations of the respective neighboring magnetic ions. Therefore, the curves giving the decrease of the two partial magnetizations with temperature will have different shapes. Thus Néel foresaw the possibility of the existence inter alia of a spontaneous magnetization that changes sign at a certain temperature (see Fig. 1).

We have investigated the series of mixed crystal spinels⁸ $Li_{0.5}{}^{+}Fe_{2.5-a}{}^{3+}Cr_{a}{}^{3+}O_{4}{}^{2-}$ between $a=0$ and 2.0 and have found that this phenomenon occurs between $a=1.0$ and 1.6.

The saturation magnetization of $Li_{0.5}Fe_{1.25}Cr_{1.25}O_4$ vs temperature is shown in Fig. 2, curve I. In order to ascertain whether indeed the spontaneous magnetization changes sign, a rod of the material was saturated at a low temperature: the remanent magnetization was then measured in the absence of a magnetic held (J the earth's 6eld) as ^a function of temperature. Curve II

FIG. 2. I. Saturation magnetization of $Li_{0.4}Fe_{1.25}Cr_{1.25}O_4$ vs temperature. The points given are measured at 8000 Oe. Points measured at 6000 of show no greater deviations from the drawn curve; II. residual magnetiza magnetization.