Certain Explicit Relationships between Phase Shift and Scattering Potential

NORMAN LEVINSON

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts

(Received October 21, 1952)

An intuitive account is given of recent important results of Gelfand and Levitan for the determination of the potential in the one-dimensional Schrodinger equation from the S-phase shift, the bound states, and certain normalizing parameters.

The method is then used to obtain the first variation in the potential corresponding to a small change in the phase shift, bound states, and normalizing parameters.

I.

HE determination of the potential from a given S-phase shift has been considered in a number of recent papers. For particulars see the introduction and references of Jost and Kohn.¹ The method of Jost and Kohn for constructing a potential from a phase shift has much to commend it. However, as the authors point out, it has a limited range of convergence, and of necessity is ambiguous in case bound states occur.

Here methods developed recently by Gelfand and Levitan' for the determination of the potential from the spectral function will be presented in intuitive form. These methods introduce a number of quite new ideas which are of great interest in themselves.

The problem may be described as follows. The Schrödinger equation,

$$
\phi^{\prime\prime} + k^2 \phi = V(r)\phi,\tag{1}
$$

is considered over the interval $0 < r < \infty$. It is assumed that

$$
\int_0^\infty r^j |V(r)| dr < \infty, \quad j=1, 2.
$$

Let $\phi(k, r)$ be the resolution of (1) satisfying $\phi(k, 0)=0$, $\phi'(k, 0) = 1$. It is well known that as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and for real $k>0$

$$
\phi(k,r) \sim \frac{|f(k)|}{k} \sin[kr + \eta(k)], \qquad (2)
$$

where $\eta(k)$ is the phase function and $f(k)$ is $f(k, 0) = g(k)$ as given in Jost and Kohn.¹ Let ik_1, \dots, ik_m denote the values of k for which there are bound states of energy $-k_1^2$, \cdots , $-k_m^2$. That m is finite has been shown by Levinson.³ The eigenfunctions associated with the bound states are $\phi(ik_j, r)$, $j=1, \dots, m$, and will be denoted by $\phi_i(r)$.

The expansion theorem associated with the eigenvalue problem states that, for any suitably restricted function $F(r)$,

$$
F(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \phi_j(r) \left[\int_0^{\infty} F(r) \phi_j(r) dr \right]
$$

+
$$
+ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{k^2}{\left| f(k) \right|^2} \phi(k, r) \left[\int_0^{\infty} F(r) \phi(k, r) dr \right] dk, \quad (3)
$$

where $f(k)$ is as given in Eq. (2) and the c_i^* are the normalizing factors for $\phi_i(r)$.

Let $k^2 = \lambda$ and let $\phi(k, r) = \phi(\sqrt{\lambda}, r)$ be denoted by $y(\lambda, r)$. Let $\rho(\lambda)$ be a monotone nondecreasing function which for $\lambda < 0$ is constant except for jumps at $\lambda = -k_i^2$, the magnitude of each jump being c_i . Let $\rho(0) = 0$ and let

$$
\rho(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|f(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})|^2} d\lambda
$$

for $\lambda > 0$. Then Eq. (3) becomes

$$
F(r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y(\lambda, r) \bigg(\int_{0}^{\infty} F(r) y(\lambda, r) dr \bigg) d\rho(\lambda). \tag{4}
$$

The problem of determining $V(r)$ when $\eta(k)$ is given has been shown by Bargmann⁴ not to have a unique solution. It has been shown by Levinson³ that if no bound states exist $\eta(k)$ does determine $V(r)$ uniquely. Jost and Kohn' showed that this uniqueness proof could be extended to the case where bound states exist, providing the normalizing factors c_1 , $\cdots c_m$ are also given.

Suppose now that $\eta(k)$, ik,, and c_j , $j=1, \dots, m$ are given. Then proceeding as in Jost and Kohn,¹ §2, $f(k)$ may be found. Once $f(k)$ is known, $\rho(\lambda)$ as defined below Eq. (3) is completely determined. The function $\rho(\lambda)$ is known as the spectral function. It will be shown how $\rho(\lambda)$ determines $V(r)$ explicitly and uniquely.

II.

This section will develop the motivation for the method of Gelfand and Levitan.²

^{*}The preparation of this paper is sponsored in part by the U. S. Office of Naval Research.

¹ Res Jost and Walter Kohn, Phys. Rev. 87, 977 (1952).

² I. M. Gelfand and B. M. Levitan, Izvest. Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R., Math. Series 15, 309 (1951).

³ N. Levinson, Kgl. Danske Videnskab Selskab, Mat.-fys.

Medd. 25, No. 9 (1949).

^{&#}x27;V. Sargmann, Phys. Rev. 75, 301 (1949); Revs. Modern Phys. 21, 488 (1949).

The function $\phi(k, r) = \gamma(\lambda, r)$ is written in the form Recalling that $k^2 = \lambda$, and that

$$
\phi(k,r) = \frac{\sin kr}{k} + \int_0^r K(r,\xi) \frac{\sin k\xi}{k} d\xi.
$$
 (5)

It must now be shown that $K(r, \xi)$ exists. Integrating where δ is the Dirac function, it is seen that Eq. (5) by parts twice yields

$$
k^{2}\phi = k \sin kr - K(r, r) \cos kr + K(r, 0)
$$

$$
+ \frac{\partial K(r, r)}{\partial \xi} \frac{\sin kr}{r} - \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial \xi^{2}} \frac{\sin k \xi}{k} d\xi.
$$
 If this is used in Eq. (9) there results for $r >$

Computing ϕ'' directly from Eq. (5) and using the above result, it is found that

sinkr dE(r, r) ("(B2E(r, \$) O'K(r, \$)) sink/ ' Jp & Br' BP) ^k

By Eqs. (1) and (5) the right side above must be equal to

$$
V(r)\frac{\sin kr}{r} + V(r)\int_0^r K(r,\,\xi)\frac{\sin k\xi}{k}d\xi.
$$

This will be true if, for $0 \leq \xi \leq r$,

$$
\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial r^2} - \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial \xi^2} = V(r)K(r, \xi), \tag{6}
$$

and the boundary conditions

$$
K(r, 0) = 0, \quad K(r, r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r V(r) dr,
$$
 (7)

hold. If the range $0 \leq \xi \leq r$ is replaced by $-r \leq \xi \leq r$, $r \geq 0$ and the boundary conditions by

$$
K(r, r) = -K(r, -r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r V(r) dr,
$$
 Let

then the problem is the standard one of solving a linear
hyperbolic partial differential equation with boundary Then hyperbolic partial differential equation with boundary conditions prescribed on two intersecting characteristic curves. Thus $K(r, \xi)$ is determined.

In case $V(r) \equiv 0$ then it is readily seen that the $\rho(\lambda)$ in (4) becomes $2\lambda^3/(3\pi)$ for $\lambda \geq 0$ and zero for $\lambda < 0$. The $\rho(\lambda)$ for (1) is written as

$$
\rho(\lambda) = 2\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}/3\pi + \sigma(\lambda), \quad \lambda \ge 0
$$

\n
$$
\rho(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda), \quad \lambda < 0.
$$
 (8)

In much the same way as Eq. (5) is justified it can be shown that there exists a $K_0(r_1, \xi)$ such that

$$
\frac{\sin kr_1}{k} = \phi(k, r_1) + \int_0^{r_1} K_0(r_1, \xi) \phi(k, \xi) d\xi.
$$
 (9)

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(k, r_1) \phi(k, r) d\rho(\lambda) = \delta(r - r_1),
$$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(k,r)\phi(k,r_1)d\rho(\lambda) = 0, \quad r \neq r_1.
$$

dg. If this is used in Eq. (9) there results for $r > r_1 \ge 0$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin kr_1}{k} \phi(k, r) d\rho(\lambda) = 0.
$$

Then if one refers to Eq. (5) , this implies that for $r > r_1 > 0$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin kr \sin kr_1}{k^2} d\rho(\lambda)
$$

+
$$
\int_{0}^{x} K(r, \xi) d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin k\xi \sin kr_1}{k^2} d\rho(\lambda) = 0.
$$

If Eq. (8) is used in the above equation in conjunction with the fact that

$$
\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin kr \sin kr_1}{k^2} d\left(\frac{2\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3\pi}\right) = \delta(r-r_1),
$$

there results for $r > r_1 \geq 0$,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin kr \sin kr_1}{k^2} d\sigma(\lambda)
$$

+
$$
\int_{0}^{r} K(r, \xi) d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin k\xi \sin kr_1}{k^2} d\sigma(\lambda)
$$

$$
+ K(r, r_1) = 0. \quad (10)
$$

 (11)

 $\frac{1}{2}$ - coski $d\sigma(\lambda) = \Phi(u).$

$$
\Phi(r+r_1) - \Phi(r-r_1) = P(r, r_1)
$$

$$
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin kr \sin kr_1}{k^2} d\sigma(\lambda). \quad (12)
$$

Thus (10) becomes

$$
\rho(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda), \quad \lambda < 0. \tag{8}
$$
\n
$$
P(r, r_1) + \int_0^r K(r, \xi) P(\xi, r_1) d\xi + K(r, r_1) = 0. \tag{13}
$$
\ne same way as Eq. (5) is justified it can

From continuity considerations this holds for $0 \le r_1 \le r$. It is (13) which forms the point of departure for the determination of $V(r)$ from $\rho(\lambda)$. It is clear from (12) that $P(r, r_1) = P(r_1, r)$.

756

III.

Suppose now that $\rho(\lambda)$ is given. Then $\sigma(\lambda)$ is determined by (8), and thus $P(r, r_1)$ from (11) and (12). It is clearly the case that for each fixed $r>0$, Eq. (13) is a Fredholm equation with $K(r, r_1)$ as an unknown function of r_1 .

It will now be shown that the homogeneous equation,

$$
\int_0^r P(r_1, \xi)h(\xi)d\xi + h(r_1) = 0,
$$

has only the null solution, $h = 0$.

Let

$$
I = \int_0^r \int_0^r P(r_1, \xi) h(\xi) h(r_1) d\xi dr_1 + \int_0^r h^2(r_1) dr_1.
$$

Clearly $I=0$. By using (12), it is found that

$$
P(r_1, \xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin kr_1 \sin k\xi}{k^2} d\sigma(\lambda)
$$

=
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin kr_1 \sin k\xi}{k^2} d\rho(\lambda) - \delta(r_1 - \xi).
$$

Thus

where K is the solution of
\n
$$
I = \int_0^r \int_0^r h(\xi)h(r_1) d\xi dr_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin kr_1 \sin k\xi}{k^2} d\rho(\lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= \int_0^r \int_0^r h(\xi)h(r_1) \delta(r_1 - \xi) d\xi dr_1 + \int_0^r h^2(r_1) dr_1.
$$
\nand\n
$$
P_0(r, r_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_0(k, r) \phi_0(k, r_1) d\sigma(\lambda).
$$

Or, since the last two integrals are equal,

$$
I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{r} \frac{h(\xi) \sin k\xi}{k} d\xi \right)^{2} d\rho(\lambda).
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{k} \int_0^r h(\xi) \sin k \xi d\xi \tag{14}
$$

is an entire function of λ and since $\rho(\lambda)$ has a continuous spectrum for $\lambda > 0$, it follows that $I > 0$ unless (14) is identically zero. This last implies $h(\xi) \equiv 0$ so that indeed the homogeneous equation has only the null solution, and thus by the Fredholm theorem the nonhomogeneous integral equation (13) has a unique solution, $K(r, r_1)$. If it is assumed that $\Phi''(u)$ exists, then it can be shown that the first and second partial derivatives of $K(r, r₁)$ exist. As suggested by (7) let $V(r) = 2dK(r, r)/dr$. Then

 $\phi(k, r)$ given by (5) satisfies (1), and $\rho(\lambda)$ is the spectral function of (1) with boundary condition $\phi(k, 0)=0$.

In summarizing, it is found that a knowledge of the phase function $\eta(k)$, the bound states k_1, \dots, k_m , and the normalizing factors $c_1, \cdots c_m$ determines the spectral function $\rho(\lambda)$. By Eq. (8) this determines $\sigma(\lambda)$. From $\sigma(\lambda)$, $\Phi(u)$ is determined in Eq. (11), and $P(r, r_1)$ by Eq. (12). The function $K(r, r_1)$ is then determined from the Fredholm equation (13) for $0 \le r_1 \le r$. The variable r appears in the Fredholm equation as a parameter, and thus the determination of $K(r, r_1)$ computationally may be a long process. Once $K(r, r_1)$ is found, $V(r)$ is given by $2dK(r, r)/dr$.

IV.

Suppose the potential $V(r)$ corresponding to a given spectral function $\rho(\lambda)$ is sought. Let $\rho_0(\lambda)$ be another spectral function, and suppose its potential function $V_0(r)$ is known or has been determined. Then what is the relationship between $V(r) - V_0(r)$ and $\rho(\lambda) - \rho_0(\lambda)$? The case treated above corresponds to $V_0(r) \equiv 0$.

Let $\phi_0(k, r)$ denote the solutions of Eq. (1) where V is replaced by V_0 . Let $\rho(\lambda) - \rho_0(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda)$. Then the same argument as used above, for the case $V_0(r) \equiv 0$, shows that

$$
V(r) - V_0(r) = 2dK(r, r)/dr,
$$
\n(15)

where K is the solution of

$$
\frac{1}{k^2}d\rho(\lambda)
$$
\n
$$
K(r, r_1) + P_0(r, r_1) + \int_0^r K(r, \xi)P_0(\xi, r_1)d\xi = 0, \quad (16)
$$

$$
P_0(r,r_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_0(k,r) \phi_0(k,r_1) d\sigma(\lambda).
$$

If $\rho(\lambda) - \rho_0(\lambda)$ is small, then P_0 is small and as a first approximation, Eq. (16) yields

$$
K(r,r_1)\sim-P_0(r,r_1).
$$

In Eq. (15) this gives

$$
V(r)-V_0(r)\sim -4\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\phi_0(k,r)\phi_0'(k,r)d\sigma(\lambda),
$$

or, if $V - V_0 = \delta V$ and $\rho - \rho_0 = \delta \rho$, then

$$
\delta V(r) \sim -4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(k,r) \phi'(k,r) d(\delta \rho(\lambda)).
$$

These formulas are, of course, only approximations which neglect terms of higher order than the first in $\delta \rho(\lambda)$.