

It is unfortunately not possible to obtain the quadrupole moment of Be⁹ from the observed splitting since the electric field gradient at the nucleus is unknown. Since the observed quadrupole interaction energy $eQ\partial^2V/\partial z^2$ is about ten times smaller for Be than Al, and since the nuclei occupy fairly similar positions in the crystal,² it seems reasonable to suppose that the quadrupole moment of Be⁹ is appreciably smaller than that of Al²⁷ and probably of the order of 0.02×10^{-24} cm².

In addition to the lines due to Al and Be, a very weak resonance was also observed at a field corresponding to a g factor of 1.11. As there was no detectable shift of the resonance on rotation of the crystal axis with respect to the magnetic field it was due to a nucleus with very small or zero quadrupole moment. We have also observed the same resonance in two specimens of glass and we think it is probably the result of Si²⁹. According to the theory of Mayer³ the spin of Si²⁹ should be $\frac{1}{2}$, and experiments by Townes *et al.*⁴ have shown that the quadrupole moment is small or zero. If we assume $I = \frac{1}{2}$, the magnetic moment is 0.55 nuclear magnetons which is in very good agreement with the value predicted for Si²⁹ by the theory of Schawlow and Townes.⁵

¹ N. A. Schuster and G. E. Pake, *Phys. Rev.* **81**, 886 (1951).

² W. L. Bragg and J. West, *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)* **A111**, 691 (1926).

³ M. G. Mayer, *Phys. Rev.* **78**, 16 (1950).

⁴ Townes, Mays, and Dailey, *Phys. Rev.* **76**, 700 (1949).

⁵ A. L. Schawlow and C. H. Townes, *Phys. Rev.* **82**, 268 (1951).

The Effect of Scattering on Angular Correlation Measurements

SHERMAN FRANKEL

Randal Morgan Laboratory of Physics, University of Pennsylvania,
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

(Received June 13, 1951)

THE purpose of this note is to describe a simple method for correcting measurements of angular correlations (involving electrons) for multiple scattering in the source and for the finite solid angle of the detectors, which will allow the experimenter to determine specific activities needed for such measurements.

Consider an e - γ experiment, where $W(\theta)$ is the correlation function and $F(\alpha)$ is the scattering function. The probability that a γ -ray will enter $d\Omega_1$ and that any electron correlated to it by $W(\theta)$ will be scattered through an angle α and enter $d\Omega_2$ is

$$P = d\Omega_1 d\Omega_2 \int d\Omega_0 W(\theta) F(\alpha). \quad (1)$$

The experimental correlation may be determined by the tedious process of evaluating $F(\alpha)$ numerically, expressing α in terms of θ , φ , etc., and carrying out the integral (1) numerically. However, in general,

$$W(\theta) = (1/2\pi) \sum_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2l+1} a_l P_l(\cos\theta) \quad (2)$$

$$F(\alpha) = (1/2\pi) \sum_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2k+1} b_k P_k(\cos\alpha). \quad (3)$$

By expressing $P_k(\cos\alpha)$, via the addition theorem, in terms of θ and of β , the angle between $d\Omega_1$ and $d\Omega_2$, one obtains

$$P = d\Omega_1 d\Omega_2 [(1/2\pi) \sum_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2l+1} a_l b_l P_l(\cos\beta)]. \quad (4)$$

Similar expansions of $P_l(\cos\beta)$ and integrations over $d\Omega_1$ and $d\Omega_2$ yield:

$$P = S_1 S_2 (1/2\pi) \sum_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2l+1} a_l b_l g_l h_l P_l(\cos\theta), \quad (5)$$

where now θ is the angle between the centers of two circular counters of solid angle S_1 and S_2 and half-angles g_0 and h_0 respectively, and

$$g_l \equiv \int_0^{g_0} P_l(\cos\alpha) d(\cos\alpha) / \int_0^{g_0} d(\cos\alpha) \quad (6)$$

with a similar definition for h_l . We note that S_{1g_l} is the coefficient in (3) for $F(\alpha) = 1$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq g_0$; $F(\alpha) = 0$, $g_0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi$, so that the solid angle correction is identical with a scattering correction. The bracket in (4) represents a new correlation function so that similar

treatment extends the result to many "scatterings." Thus, given n events such that the $k+1$ th event is correlated to the k th event by $W_k(\theta_k) = (1/2\pi) \sum_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2l+1} a_{lk} P_l(\cos\theta)$, then the correlation between the first and the n th event is just

$$W_n(\theta_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2l+1} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{lk} \right) P_l(\cos\theta_n) \quad (7)$$

where θ_n is the angle between the first and the n th events.

(1) Equation (7) extends (5) to e - e angular correlations. (This formula is valid only if the correlation between the $k+1$ th and k th events, W_k , is independent of the previous events. Thus it should not give the angular correlation between the first and last events of a cascade transition.)

(2) The form of the correlation is unaffected by the presence of scattering or finite φ -symmetric detectors. For example, if only P_2 appears in the experimental data, (say $W(\theta) = 1 + A \cos^2\theta = 1 + aP_2$) then only P_2 is present in the correlation function. This is of interest since often the highest power of l appearing in $W(\theta)$ is of importance even when the a_l are not accurately known. (Where lens spectrometers are used to detect the electrons the form is still unaltered but g_1 and g_2 , the acceptance angles of the spectrometer, replace 0 and g_0 in the limits in (6).)

(3) The multiple scattering coefficients b_l are just the correction factors for a_l so that one need not evidence $F(\alpha)$ explicitly. Usually only b_2 or b_2 and b_4 are needed. In the Goudsmit and Saunderson¹ treatment of multiple scattering

$$b_l = \exp[-q(1 - C_l)/b_1] \equiv \exp(-mt) \quad (8)$$

where $q = Nst$ (N = atomic density; s = total single scattering cross section; t = effective source thickness) and C_l is just the coefficient of the P_l expansion of $f(\theta)$, the single scattering function. The reader is referred to this treatment for a discussion of assumptions inherent in (8) and for simple expressions for b_l in terms of electron energy, source thickness, atomic number, etc.

(4) Electrons originating near the surface of a source are scattered less than Eq. (8) indicates. (8) applies to a collimated electron beam traversing a foil. A first-order approximation valid when (8) is valid and applicable to thin sources would replace b_l by $(1 - b_l)/mt$.

(5) Order of magnitude results using the above approximations: for a source thickness of 200 micrograms ($Z = 52$; $A = 120$; $E = 100$ kev) $b_2 = 0.9$, $b_4 = 0.77$. For a half-angle of 18° $g_2 = 0.9$, $g_4 = 0.75$.

¹ S. Goudsmit and J. L. Saunderson; *Phys. Rev.* **57**, 24 (1940); **58**, 36 (1940).

Emission of Long-Range Particles in the Fast Neutron Ternary Fission of U-238 and Th-232

E. W. TITTERTON

Research School of Physical Sciences, Australian National University,*
Canberra, Australia

(Received June 19, 1951)

FOLLOWING a detailed investigation of the long-range particles emitted in the slow neutron ternary fission of U-235 by the photographic plate method,¹ experiments were undertaken to determine whether similar phenomena occur in the fast neutron fission of U-238 and Th-232. Although Tsien *et al.*² reported that U-238 gave no such long-range particles when irradiated by neutrons from the bombardment of beryllium by 6.7-Mev deuterons and Tsien and Faraggi³ obtained a similar result for Th-232, yet the observation of such a mode of photofission in uranium by Titterton and Goward⁴ and in thorium by Titterton and Brinkley⁵ threw doubt on the validity of the argument used by the French group to explain the absence of the long-range particles in their experiments.

In the present experiments two sets of plates, each including Ilford C₂ and D₁ emulsions, were loaded with uranium acetate and thorium nitrate respectively, as described elsewhere^{4,5} and were exposed to neutrons of energy 2.5 Mev obtained from the

Harwell 200-kev $D-D$ source. Because of the low values of the fission cross sections concerned, long irradiations (~ 8 hours) were necessary and, as both types of emulsion were used under conditions where they recorded protons of 2.5-Mev energy, a large background of recoil protons and natural radioactive α -particles was present in the processed emulsions. A high rate of chance superposition of tracks was therefore to be expected. However, since the maximum range of the recoil protons was 56μ while the maximum α -particle range in the uranium case was 39μ and in the thorium case 48μ , it was certain that any fission events having light fragments of range in excess of 60μ could not be due to a chance juxtaposition of tracks.

As normal isotopic uranium was used in the experiment, the plates loaded with this material were wrapped in thin cadmium foils to reduce the chance of slow neutron fission in the U-235 component resulting from the slight background of scattered neutrons. The fission cross sections of U-235 and U-238 at 2.5 Mev are such that fission events resulting from the rarer isotope could not be more than a percent or two of those resulting from the U-238. Search of the uranium loaded emulsions yielded 8 cases of ternary fission with the emission of a light, charged fragment of range greater than 60μ among 12,000 binary fissions. The greatest range observed was 202μ . In addition there were 8 events having light particles lying in the range interval 20 to 60μ and which, in the light of experience gained in earlier experiments,^{1,4,5} appeared to be ternary fission.

In the case of the thorium-loaded emulsion 14,000 fission events were examined; all of these were fast neutron induced since the threshold is at 1.1 Mev. Seven events were found having light fragments of range 60μ and five where the range of the light fragment lay between 20 and 60μ . The longest range observed in this case was 243μ .

The general character of the events in all cases was similar to those observed in the slow neutron¹ and photofission experiments^{4,5} i.e., the light particle appears to be an α -particle and is emitted preferentially near to 90° from the heavy fragments.

Deductions as to the relative frequency of binary and ternary fission in these experiments cannot be drawn with confidence since, with such a high background of tracks, it is not certain that the observers would find all ternary events. However, it appears that the frequency of ternary fission is similar to that observed in the slow neutron fission of U-235.

These experiments therefore throw further doubt on the theory advanced by Tsien⁶ which suggests that the emission of the long-range fragments is connected with the excitation of the compound nucleus, being less favored the greater the value of the excitation energy.

* Part of this work was carried out while the author was still at AERE, and thanks are due to the Director, Sir John Cockcroft, for permission to use this material.

¹ E. W. Titterton, *Nature* (to be published).

² Tsien, Ho, Chastel, and Vigneron, *J. phys. et radium* **8**, 165 (1947).

³ Tsien and Faraggi, *Compt. rend.* **225**, 294 (1947).

⁴ E. W. Titterton and F. K. Goward, *Phys. Rev.* **76**, 142 (1949).

⁵ E. W. Titterton and Brinkley, *Phil. Mag.* **41**, 500 (1950).

⁶ S.-T. Tsien, *J. phys. et radium* **9**, 6 (1948).

Energy Storage and Light Stimulated Phosphorescence in Activated NaCl Crystals Induced by Gamma-Rays*

HARTMUT KALLMANN AND MILTON FURST
New York University, New York, New York

(Received June 6, 1951)

IN a previous paper¹ experiments on fluorescence, phosphorescence, and light stimulation in sodium chloride crystals activated with 1 percent silver chloride were described. Three different decay times occur with these crystals. First, immediately after excitation by high energy radiation, the emitted light decays quite slowly with a period (designated by lifetime of instantaneous

phosphorescence) of minutes, hours, or even days, depending on the period, rate, and type of high energy radiation. Second, if such a crystal is then irradiated with light of the near ultraviolet or the visible region, even after its phosphorescent light emission has considerably decreased, a new light emission is stimulated mostly in the ultraviolet region around 2400 to 3000 Å which again decays rather slowly after the stimulating light has been switched off (designated by lifetime of stimulated phosphorescence). Third, if the stimulation of the crystal is deferred for a considerable period of time it should be expected that the amount of stimulated light decreases since the storage qualities of the crystal may not be perfect (designated by lifetime of storage).

This letter is concerned principally with experiments trying to link these processes to the phenomenon of color centers in alkali-halide crystals. It was found that the lifetime of instantaneous phosphorescence and that of stimulated phosphorescence are closely connected to each other and probably originate from the same energy levels. The lifetime of the instantaneous phosphorescence increases considerably with increasing time of irradiation applied at the same rate. This lifetime is also considerably longer if the same total amount of high energy radiation is applied during a long period than during a short period of irradiation. The same is true for the phosphorescence of the stimulated light. If the same total amount of stimulating light is applied during a short period, the decay of the stimulated light is shorter than the decay when the same stimulation is applied during a longer period. For instance, with a short-time gamma-irradiation (5 minutes) the instantaneous phosphorescence decreased to 14 percent of its original value within eight minutes, with a long-time irradiation (12,000 minutes) applied at the same rate the same percentage decrease was reached only after eight thousand minutes.

These increased lifetimes with extended periods of irradiation indicate that electron traps of different depths are responsible for this phosphorescence. The deeper traps which give a longer lifetime are filled to an equilibrium value only after the time of irradiation is extended for a time longer than the lifetime associated with these traps. Thus, with increased times of irradiation the deeper traps are filled to a higher degree and the lifetime is thus extended, whereas the shallower traps are already filled to an equilibrium level after a shorter time of irradiation.

It is noteworthy that the amount of stimulated light, however, is essentially independent of the time of irradiation by high energy radiation but depends mostly on the total dosage. This indicates that in those traps responsible for the observed phenomenon of long-time decay only a relatively small part of the energy which can be released as light is stored.

The third lifetime, namely, that of storage could not yet be determined very accurately. The amount of energy stored, which is indicated by the intensity of the stimulated light, does not decrease by more than two or three percent during one day under normal laboratory conditions according to our observations. This means a lifetime of the order of weeks or longer.

The idea presents itself that this long-time storage is in some way connected to the formation of color centers in alkali-halide crystals since it is known that these persist for long periods of time. It was observed that the crystals of high storage qualities show a considerable coloration after a gamma-dose of 1000 roentgens and more. The color was in this case brownish; the spectrum is similar to the absorption spectrum of the pure colored centered sodium chloride crystals.² This coloration increased with increasing doses and was thus as indicated above parallel to the stimulability of the crystal, and the color disappeared under constant irradiation with visible light as did the stimulability. Other crystals of the same kind but made differently, which also show a considerable fluorescence but a much smaller stimulability, exhibited a much smaller coloration. Repeated gamma-irradiation of the crystal up to 10,000 roentgens makes the crystal quite brown, but this color can be made to disappear by continued irradiation by the stimulating light, at which time the light stimulated intensity is very small.