

## Letters to the Editor

**PUBLICATION** of brief reports of important discoveries in physics may be secured by addressing them to this department. The closing date for this department is five weeks prior to the date of issue. No proof will be sent to the authors. The Board of Editors does not hold itself responsible for the opinions expressed by the correspondents. Communications should not exceed 600 words in length.

### Cross Section for the Reaction $\pi^+ + d \rightarrow p + p$ , and the Spin of the $\pi^+$ Meson\*

D. L. CLARK, A. ROBERTS, AND RICHARD WILSON  
University of Rochester, Rochester, New York  
(Received June 8, 1951)

THE application of detailed balancing to the determination of the spin of the  $\pi^+$  meson from the reaction  $\pi^+ + d \rightarrow p + p$  and its inverse has been suggested by Marshak and Cheston<sup>1</sup> and independently by Johnson.<sup>2</sup> The detailed balancing argument requires the comparison of either differential or total cross sections of both the meson-producing and meson-absorbing reactions at the same energy in the center-of-mass system. The reaction  $p + p \rightarrow \pi^+ + d$  has been studied for 340-Mev protons by Richman and others.<sup>3</sup> The best data are for the differential cross section at 0°, and other data are available at 18°, 30°, and 60°. From these data, limits on the angular distribution can be obtained and the total cross section computed. We have now measured the total cross section for the meson-absorbing reaction.

A beam of 40-Mev  $\pi^+$  mesons was produced from an aluminum target bombarded by the 240-Mev proton beam of the Rochester cyclotron; the mesons were magnetically selected and focused by the fringing field. A threefold scintillation counter telescope<sup>4</sup> in the meson beam counts the mesons and discriminates against other particles by pulse-height measurements in one counter. The transmitted mesons, reduced to 33 Mev by the telescope, enter a D<sub>2</sub>O target just thick enough to stop them. Protons produced in the D<sub>2</sub>O are detected in coincidence by two large NaI scintillation counters. The ratio of 5-fold coincidences of mesons with protons to meson counts alone determines the cross section for the disintegration, averaged over energy and angle.

Backgrounds were assessed by replacing D<sub>2</sub>O by H<sub>2</sub>O. Scattering of mesons out of the target and purity of the meson beam were measured in auxiliary experiments. The meson beam was contaminated by not more than 2 percent protons or 6 percent deuterons. It was also shown that even much greater contamination would produce no significant difference between D<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>O targets.

To find the cross section at 22.7 Mev, which corresponds to 340 Mev in the production experiment, an auxiliary experiment was performed which showed that the average cross section from 23–33 Mev is the same as the average cross section from 0 to 23 Mev, within the statistical error of 10 percent. Since 33-Mev mesons are reduced to 23 Mev after half their range, we conclude that the yield at 23 Mev is equal to the yield averaged from 33 Mev to zero within 5 percent.

The coincidence rate as a function of proton pulse heights in the NaI counters corresponded to those expected for the reaction

from the geometry of the apparatus. The NaI counters were calibrated with fast protons of known energy.

The detector solid-angle correction to the observed counting rate to obtain total cross section depends upon the angular distribution of the reaction products, and has been calculated assuming an angular dependence of the form  $A + \cos^2\theta$  in the c.m. system. The latest data of Cartwright *et al.* (private communication) indicate  $A = 0.2 \pm 0.1$ . Table I shows the value of the meson-absorption cross section predicted by detailed balancing, using the value  $1.3 \times 10^{-28}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/ster for the production cross section at 0° in the laboratory system, assuming different values for  $A$ . It also shows our observed values for comparison. We conclude that the spin of the  $\pi^+$  meson is zero.

Kaplon has pointed out<sup>5</sup> that the principle of detailed balancing would not apply if the spin of the  $\pi^+$  meson were 1, but for some reason only one polarization state appears in both the absorption and production reactions. A statistical weight of 1 would then be observed. For this effect to explain our results, the polarization would have to exceed 75 percent for both reactions, which we regard as very unlikely.

It would be highly desirable to verify further the detailed balancing predictions by a direct comparison of the differential cross sections at several angles. Our meson intensity is too low at present for this to be experimentally feasible.

From the indirect observation of the reaction  $\pi^- + d \rightarrow n + n$  by Panofsky *et al.*,<sup>6</sup> Tamor and Marshak<sup>7</sup> have shown that if the  $\pi^-$  meson possesses spin zero, it cannot be scalar. If we assume that  $\pi^+$  and  $\pi^-$  mesons possess the same spin and parity, we must conclude that the charged  $\pi$ -meson is pseudoscalar.

\* This work was supported by the AEC.

<sup>1</sup> R. E. Marshak, Rochester High Energy Conference, December, 1950; W. Cheston, Phys. Rev. (to be published).

<sup>2</sup> M. H. Johnson, private communication.

<sup>3</sup> Cartwright, Richman, Whitehead, and Wilcox, Phys. Rev. **81**, 652 (1951); Crawford, Crowe, and Stevenson, Phys. Rev. **82**, 97 (1951).

<sup>4</sup> Donald L. Clark, Phys. Rev. **81**, 313 (1951).

<sup>5</sup> M. Kaplon, private communication.

<sup>6</sup> Panofsky, Aamodt, and Hadley, Phys. Rev. **82**, 97 (1951).

<sup>7</sup> S. Tamor and R. E. Marshak, Phys. Rev. **80**, 766 (1950).

### Energy Distribution of the Primary Cosmic Radiation\*

H. V. NEHER

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California  
(Received June 11, 1951)

THE primary cosmic-ray energy spectrum usually assumed,  $N(E) = kE^{-n}$ , where  $n$  has been assigned various values ranging from 2.5 to 2.9, by different writers obviously cannot hold for small values of the particle energy,  $E$ . To assume a cutoff of the primary radiation at an assigned value of, say, 3 or 4 Bev is also unsatisfactory, since a latitude effect at 30,000 ft,<sup>1</sup> as well as at balloon altitudes,<sup>2,3</sup> has been measured down to energies for protons to at least 1 Bev. It therefore appears (at least at the time these experiments were performed) that no definite cutoff occurs, although the energy brought in by these low energy particles must be relatively small.

The B-29 data of Biehl, Neher, and Roesch<sup>3</sup> taken at 310 g cm<sup>-2</sup> from 64° geomagnetic north to the Equator, along longitude 80°W, has given a means of normalizing the balloon flight curves of Neher and Pickering<sup>4</sup> and of Biehl *et al.*<sup>5</sup> Further, by correlating counter telescope and ionization chamber data it is possible to make use of data at smaller latitude intervals than was possible with ionization chambers.<sup>6</sup> A further requirement is to know the minimum momentum *vs* geomagnetic latitude for the primary particles. This has been done with the help of Vallarta *et al.* by correlating the various geomagnetic effects.<sup>7</sup>

The resulting histogram obtained from the differences of the adjusted counter-telescope balloon curves is shown in Fig. 1. Block 5 is obtained from two high altitude points at 45°E over Peru, and a counts-*vs*-altitude curve is then constructed using the

TABLE I. Predicted and observed total meson-absorption cross sections.

Angular dependence (c.m. system)	Predicted total cross section (mb)		Measured total cross section (mb)
	spin 0	spin 1	
$\cos^2\theta$	$2.55 \pm 0.6$	$0.85 \pm 0.2$	$5.0 \pm 0.9$
$0.1 + \cos^2\theta$	$3.0 \pm 0.7$	$1.0 \pm 0.24$	$4.7 \pm 0.9$
$0.5 \pm \cos^2\theta$	$4.2 \pm 1.0$	$1.4 \pm 0.35$	$4.2 \pm 0.8$
$0.2 \pm 0.1 + \cos^2\theta$	$3.4 \pm 0.9$	$1.1 \pm 0.3$	$4.5 \pm 0.8$