

FIG. 1. Fermi plot of beta-ray spectrum of As⁷⁷.

the exception of ${}_{37}\text{Rb}^{85}$ and ${}_{30}\text{Zn}^{67}$ which show $f_{5/2}$ orbits. For example ${}_{33}As^{75}$ has a spin of 3/2 and it is presumed that the odd proton is in a $p_{3/2}$ orbit. On these grounds it is supposed that $_{33}As^{77}$ will likewise have a configuration $p_{3/2}$. In the region from 38 to 50, $p_{1/2}$ and $g_{9/2}$ configurations have nearly the same energy. For example ${}_{32}\text{Ge}^{73}$ has a $g_{9/2}$ orbit and spin of 9/2. If one assumes that As^{π} is a $p_{3/2}$ configuration, these experiments show that the ground state of ${}_{34}$ Se⁷⁷ is $p_{1/2}$ rather than $g_{9/2}$. This follows since no internal conversion line is seen and the ft-value indicates an allowed transition. The evidence on the spin of Se⁷⁷ is confusing.¹⁰ There is conflicting evidence showing a spin of 1/2 or one of 7/2. The present experiments lend support to the view that in Se⁷⁷ the $p_{1/2}$ state lies lower than the $g_{9/2}$ state.

The authors wish to thank Messrs. Alan B. Smith and William H. Cuffey for assistance with the measurements and Miss Elma Lanterman for making the chemical separations.

* This research was assisted by the joint program of the ONR and AEC. ¹ Steinberg, Winsberg, and Engelkemeier, as reported in reference (S151) Seaborg and Perlman, Revs. Modern Phys. 20, 585 (1948).
² J. R. Arnold and N. Sugarman, J. Chem. Phys. 15, 703 (1947).
³ Gideon, Miller, and Waldman, Phys. Rev. 75, 329 (1949).
⁴ M. Goldhaber and C. O. Muehlhause, Phys. Rev. 74, 1248 (1948).
⁸ E. Segré and A. C. Helmholz, Revs. Modern Phys. 21, 271 (1949).
⁶ Mandeville, Woo, Scherb, Keighton, and Shapiro, Phys. Rev. 75, 1528 (1949).

(1949).

(1949).
⁷ G. T. Seaborg and I. Perlman, Revs. Modern Phys. 20, 585 (1948).
⁸ L. W. Nordheim, "Tables for beta-decay systematics," privately circulated.
⁹ M. G. Mayer, Phys. Rev. 78, 16 (1950).
¹⁰ J. E. Mack, Revs. Modern Phys. 22, 64 (1950).

The Neutron Capture Cross Section of Am²⁴¹

G. C. HANNA, B. G. HARVEY, AND N. MOSS Atomic Energy Project, National Research Council of Canada, Chalk River, Ontario, Canada December 14, 1950

HE 16-hr Am²⁴² (Am²⁴²*m*) produced by neutron capture in Am²⁴¹ decays by β -emission to Cm²⁴², by orbital electroncapture to Pu²⁴², and by an isomeric transition to a long-lived ground state (Am²⁴² g.s.). Presumably Am²⁴² g.s. may also be formed directly. Although a tentative decay scheme of Am^{242m} has recently been published,1 the relative amounts formed of the various end products are not known accurately.

In a series of irradiations in the NRX pile we have measured the amount of curium produced and, for two of the samples, the amount of americium destroyed.

The samples of Am²⁴¹ for irradiation were deposited on disks of aluminum by evaporation in vacuum from a tantalum filament. Thus, thin deposits were obtained, so that the amount of Am²⁴¹ before and after the irradiation, and the amount of Cm²⁴² formed, could be measured accurately by α -pulse analysis, using a low geometry proportional α -counter² and a 30-channel pulse analyzer. Since the α -activity of Cm²⁴² after the heavier irradiations was about 100 times as great as that of the Am²⁴¹, accurate estimations of the latter could be achieved in only very thin sources. A typical α -pulse analysis is shown in Fig. 1.

The amount of Am²⁴¹ destroyed was measured with any precision for only the two most heavily irradiated samples (Nos. 3 and 7), since only these would give results of sufficient accuracy. In all cases the Cm²⁴² produced was measured and compared with the initial Am²⁴¹ activity.

The measurement of the residual Am²⁴¹ was complicated by the formation of Pu²³⁸ from the decay of Cm²⁴². The α -particles of Pu²³⁸ are almost identical in energy with those of Am²⁴¹; and, therefore, a correction for Pu²³⁸ has to be made.

A comparison of the Cm²⁴² produced with the Am²⁴¹ destroyed gives a value for f, the ratio of the partial cross section of Am²⁴¹ for Cm²⁴² production to the total cross section σ_1 for Am²⁴¹ destruction. No knowledge of the neutron flux during the irradiation is required for this estimation of f. Samples 3 and 7 gave values of f equal to 0.66 and 0.62, respectively. The amounts of Am^{241} destroyed were 18 and 25 percent for these two samples, while the Pu²³⁸ corrections were 15 and 30 percent of the Am²⁴¹ disintegration rates, respectively. Weighing these two results equally gives a value of 0.64 for f. The various errors which may accumulate are difficult to assess, but we feel that this value is correct within ± 10 percent.

By measuring the Cm²⁴² produced in all the samples, we obtain a value for the partial cross section of Am²⁴¹ for curium production, i.e., $f\sigma_1$, using the known integrated neutron flux for each sample. This was obtained from the pile operating log and the absolute neutron flux distribution in the pile.³ The values of the partial cross section are given in Table I. The mean value is 568 barns. The deviations from the mean are within the over-all experimental error, and the absence of any trend toward lower values at high irradiation levels demonstrates that there is no appreciable neutron destruction of Cm²⁴².

This value of $f\sigma_1$, combined with the value of f obtained above. gives for σ_1 , the total cross section for Am²⁴¹ destruction, a value of 887 barns.



FIG. 1. α -pulse analysis of an irradiated sample showing the resolution obtained between the Am²¹+Pu²²⁸ α -group and a Cm²²³ α -group of about 80-fold greater intensity.

| Sample No. | Integrated flux $\int (\rho v) dt (10^{20} \text{ neutrons/cm}^2)$ | $f\sigma_1 f(\rho v) dt$ from Cm ²⁴² production | fo1 (barns) | Percent deviation from mean |
|---------------|--|--|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| 7 | 3.24 | 0.180 | 555 | -2.1 |
| 3 | 2.12 | 0.123 | 580 | +2.2 |
| 6 | 1.27 | 0.071 | 560 | -1.3 |
| 5 | 0.365 | 0.0212 | 580 | +2.1 |
| 4 | 0.162 | 0.00911 | 562 | -0.9 |

TABLE I. Evaluation of the partial cross section for the production of Cm^{242} . (Mean value $f\sigma_1 = 568$ barns.)

If no Am^{242} g.s. is formed directly, that is, without intermediate formation of 16-hr Am^{242m} , then f will be identical with b, the β -branching ratio of Am^{242m} . A value of about 0.6 for b has been reported;¹ that is, b and f are approximately equal. This suggests that little, if any, Am^{242} g.s. is formed directly.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge our debt to Mr. Philip B. Aitken

for the design of equipment used in the handling of large α -activities.

O'Kelley, Barton, Crane, and Perlman, Phys. Rev. 80, 293 (1950).
 Hanna, Harvey, and Moss, Phys. Rev. 78, 617 (1950).
 B. W. Sargent, Chalk River Report CRP-403, unpublished.

Odd-Even Effect in Neutron Capture Gamma-Ray Spectra BERNARD HAMERMESH

Argonne National Laboratory, Chicago, Illinois November 20, 1950

A DDITIONAL evidence has been obtained showing the dependence of the shapes of the neutron capture gamma-ray spectra on the odd-even character of the compound nuclei formed



FIG. 1. Neutron capture gamma-ray spectra.

after neutron capture. The D_2O -soaked plate method^{1,2} has been applied to two groups of three adjacent elements, namely, the group manganese, iron, and cobalt and the group silver, cadmium, and indium. The spectra are shown in Fig. 1. The spectra of iron and cadmium have been reported previously.²

The manganese spectrum arises from the compound nucleus Mn^{56} , an odd-odd nucleus. The iron spectrum arises almost entirely from Fe⁵⁶, an even-odd nucleus. The cobalt spectrum arises from Co⁶⁰, an odd-odd nucleus.

The silver spectrum arises from Ag¹⁰⁸ and Ag¹¹⁰, both odd-odd

nuclei. The cadmium spectrum is emitted by Cd^{114} , an even-even nucleus. The indium spectrum is emitted mainly by In^{116} , an odd-odd nucleus.

The evidence shown indicates that in elements of nearly the same Z, the mode of decay from the highly excited state formed on thermal neutron capture is different in nuclei of differing oddeven types. This would indicate a possible dependence of level spacings on odd-even characteristics of nuclei.

¹ B. Hamermesh, Phys. Rev. **76**, 182 (1949). ² B. Hamermesh, Phys. Rev. **80**, 415 (1950).