3 Bev of energy is dissipated in one such large star, if the light tracks are mesons and not electrons, and more if they are protons.

Comparing Ilford C2 emulsions on this and on a similar flight at 57° geomagnetic north (vertical cut-off 2.8 Bev),¹ productionrates of stars of 5 or more prongs was found greater by a factor of 3.6 ± 0.4 at the northern latitude; this figure includes production during ascent and descent but not at sea-level.

We take great pleasure in acknowledging our gratitude to the Office of Naval Research for putting the balloon flight at our disposal, and to the officers and men of the USS Saipan and Mr. S. E. Golian of this laboratory, who were responsible for the success of this flight.

* Research carried out at the Brookhaven National Laboratory under the auspices of the AEC. ¹ M. S. Vallarta, Phys. Rev. **74**, 1837 (1948). ² Harding, Lattimore, and Perkins, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **196**, 325 (1949)

Conductivity Changes in Dielectrics during 2.5-Mev X-Radiation

F. C. Armistead, J. C. Pennock, and L. W. Mead Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts August 3, 1949

PRELIMINARY measurements of volume conductivity have been made on three plastic dielectrics during irradiation by 2.5-Mev x-rays.

To measure the volume conductivity, a potential difference was applied across the sample and the resultant current was measured with an FP54 electrometer tube and Penick-type bridge circuit.¹ The sample, the electrometer tube, and the control grid leads were in a vacuum at 1 micron pressure in order to reduce parallel path ion current leakages and to establish easily reproducible pressure and humidity conditions at the sample.

Figure 1 shows the data taken on a sample of Okoseal, polyvinyl chloride manufactured by the Okonite Company. The conductivity of this material was found to increase very rapidly upon the start of irradiation and quickly reached an equilibrium value which was dependent on irradiation rate. This value was approximately thirty times the original conductivity at an irradiation rate of about 400 R/min. Upon stopping the irradiation, the recovery was half complete in thirty minutes, nine-tenths complete in sixteen hours.

A sample of Saran, vinylidene chloride manufactured by Dow Chemical Company, showed somewhat different characteristics. The conductivity increased slowly over a period of about two hours $(2.7 \times 10^5 \text{ R} \text{ total irradiation at 2000 R/min.})$ until it was about three times the original conductivity. At this point the irradiation was stopped because of apparatus difficulty. The initial recovery rate was much lower than for Okoseal. After eighty-two hours recovery was complete to within the precision of measurement.



FIG. 1. Conductivity of Okoseal showing effect of irradiation.

A preliminary measurement on a sample of polystyrene showed that after a total irradiation of 5500 R at 1000 R/min., the conductivity had not risen to as much as 2×10^{-17} (ohm-cm)⁻¹. Assuming an initial conductivity of 10^{-20} (ohm-cm)⁻¹, the change was by less than a factor of 2×10^3 . This result is in contrast to the report by Farmer² of a change by a factor of approximately 107 after a total irradiation of only 4000 R.

The fact that the dielectrics become conducting during irradiation is to be expected by a mechanism such as that described by Mott and Gurney,3 whereby the passage of primary current (due directly to absorbed photons) changes the dielectric in such a way as to reduce the electrical barrier at the electrode-dielectric interface. However, the extent of change and the time constants are not readily predictable, and these measurements show that a considerable spread in the magnitude of these factors is to be expected among the various plastic dielectrics.

The authors are grateful to Professor J. G. Trump and his associates, particularly the late Mr. A. M. Clarke and Mr. K. A. Wright, for use of the x-ray generator and for their cooperation in the experiments.

This work was performed under Contract No. W-7405-eng-175 with the United States Atomic Energy Commission in connection with the M.I.T. Metallurgical Project.

¹ D. B. Penick, Rev. Sci. Inst. 6, 115 (1935). ² F. T. Farmer, Nature 150, 521 (1942). ³ Mott and Gurney, *Electronic Processes in Ionic Crystals* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1940), pp. 185-188.

Heavy Particles in Cosmic-Ray Stars*

M. BLAU, M. M. BLOCK, AND J. E. NAFE Columbia University, New York, New York July 25, 1949

N scanning nuclear emulsions exposed for three hours at an altitude of 93,000 ft. in Minnesota ($\lambda = 55^{\circ}N$) the authors have observed stars with heavy particles. Although we have obtained as yet no statistics on the relative frequency of their occurrence, we have observed at least 6 stars with heavy particles ($Z \ge 3$) originating in stars both in vertical plates with no absorber and in horizontal plates under Pb (11.3 g/cm²) and Cu (11.2 g/cm²) absorber. We believe these to be secondary particles of the kind previously reported by Bonetti and Dilworth1 and by Hodgson and Perkins.²

The star with three heavy particles shown in Fig. 1 is interesting in connection with publications on stars produced by heavy particles³⁻⁵ and stars in which heavy particles are emitted. The three tracks A, B and C have δ -rays and are ascribed to particles with $Z \ge 3$. This star was found in a 70 μ Eastman Kodak NTB emulsion impregnated with Bi in colloidal form.6 The plate was exposed in vertical position without absorber. The orientation of the star in the emulsion is indicated by the arrow pointing to the zenith. Tracks A and B are collinear within the accuracy of our measurements and track C is inclined at about 30° to the direction of B. The track D of a light energetic particle lies almost parallel to B. Extensions of the tracks A and C were found on the adjacent identical plate but none of the tracks ends in the emulsion.

From the point of view of orientation A is the only one of the heavy particles which can be incoming. At first glance, therefore, the star of Fig. 1 resembles one of the type described by Bradt and Peters⁵ where part of the incoming nucleon is sheared off in the collision and the remainder continues with the original momentum as a compact nucleus of reduced charge. However, the presence of the heavy particle C at a large angle (30°) to direction of A, with $Z \cong 5$ and with a minimum energy of 220 Mev indicates that the event of Fig. 1 is different. Particles B and C cannot be primary particles as can be seen from the orientation of the stars. Careful δ -ray counts made independently by two observers show that A cannot be a primary particle but must be leaving the disintegrating nucleus. Although particle A does not end in the emul-

860