It must be added that these experiments were performed independently with two separate sets of equipment which were similar in principle but differed in many details. This procedure helped considerably in making us aware of unsuspected caused of trouble.

The peak of protons in the forward direction is a clear indication of the existence of exchange forces between neutron and proton. A more detailed analysis that takes into account the total scattering cross section and the angular distribution indicates that there might be comparable amounts of ordinary forces.

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Drs. E. M. McMillan, W. Powell, and R. Serber for interesting discussions. This work was done under the auspices of the Atomic Energy Commission under Contract No. W-7405eng-48.

A. C. Helmholz, E. M. McMillan, and D. Sewell, Phys. Rev. 72, 1003 (1947).
 R. Serber, Phys. Rev. 72, 1007 (1947).
 L. F. Cook, E. M. McMillan, J. M. Peterson, and D. C. Sewell, Phys. Rev. 72, 1003 (1947).

## The Spectrum of Locally Isotropic Turbulence

LESLIE S. G. KOVASZNAY Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland March 1, 1948

**R** ECENT theories\* employ a physical picture of the fully developed turbulence at high Revealed and fully developed turbulence at high Reynolds numbers as an "eddy-cascade" where the kinetic energy of the larger scale eddies is transferred to the smaller ones by the Reynolds stresses of the small scale motion.

Assuming similarity in this turbulent energy transport mechanism and neglecting viscosity, several authors\*\* have succeeded in deriving a spectrum for the medium frequency range, which is a "five-thirds-power law."

It follows from Kolmogoroff's first similarity hypothesis that the spectrum of locally isotropic turbulence expressed in a non-dimensional form must be a unique function. This leaves us with only two parameters (characteristic frequency and characteristic intensity), and these can be expressed in terms of  $\epsilon_0$ , the total energy dissipation per unit mass and unit time, and  $\nu$ , the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. The two parameters are not independent, but may be combined into a Reynolds number which is a universal constant. We define the spectrum function F(n):

$$\overline{u}^2 = \int_0^\infty F(n) dn, \qquad (1)$$

(2)

u = velocity fluctuation in the turbulence, n = wave number.

We make two assumptions: first, S(n), the kinetic energy per unit mass and unit time that passes through frequency n, depends only on the values F(n) and n.\*\*\* The energy transport must have dimensions of  $u^{3}l^{-1}$ ; the only possible form is

$$S(n) = k_1 F^{3/2} n^{5/2},$$

where  $k_1$  is a non-dimensional constant.

Second, the mechanism of turbulent energy transport is not affected by the viscosity; therefore  $k_1$  is a universal constant.†

The energy that must be passed to higher frequency becomes less and less as the viscosity dissipates more and more energy into heat. We may define the viscous dissipation between frequencies zero and n as

$$\epsilon v(n) = 60\pi^2 \nu \int_0^n n_1^2 F(n_1) dn_1.$$
(3)



FIG. 1. Spectrum of locally isotropic turbulence.

In steady state the rate of change of energy transport is equal to the negative of the rate of dissipation

$$(dS(n)/dn) = -(d\epsilon v(n)/dn).$$
(4)

From (2), (3), and (4) we get an equation for F(n):

$$dF/dn + (5/3)(F/n) + (40\pi^2\nu)/k_1(F/n)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.$$
 (5)

The solution is

$$F(n) = F_0(n/n_0)^{-5/3} [1 - (n/n_0)^{4/3}]^2, \tag{6}$$

where  $F_0$  and  $n_0$  are constants and

$$R_0 = \frac{1}{\nu} (F_0/n_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7}$$

is a universal constant (Reynolds number).

The spectrum function goes to zero at  $n = n_0$  with a zero slope, and joins the "five-third law" for  $n \ll n_0$ . The only constant in the present theory is  $R_0$ , and this can be obtained from spectrum measurements. Uisng the results of L. F. G. Simons<sup>††</sup> (3-in. grid  $U_0=35$  ft./sec.), it was found that  $R_0 = 11.5$ . Using this value the measured points seem to check the theoretical curve well (Fig. 1).



FIG. 2. Energy balance of turbulence spectrum.

The energy balance of the spectrum is shown in Fig. 2:

- (a) S(n) the turbulent energy transport,
- (b)  $d\epsilon v/dn$  the rate of viscous dissipation per frequency (wave number),
- (c) $n(d\epsilon v/dn)$  the rate of viscous dissipation per turbulent "cascade-stage" (e.g., per octave).

Other formulae have been obtained connecting  $\epsilon_0$ , the total dissipation of energy, with  $F_0$ ,  $n_0$  and, similarly, with  $R_{\lambda}$ , the Reynolds number of the microscale.

Disregarding the lower frequency part of the spectrum, we can compute correlation functions and other statistical properties that could be measured by cutting off the low frequency component.

A more detailed account will be published soon.

Thanks are due Drs. Francis H. Clauser and Stanley Corrsin for their kind help and stimulating discussion.

\* An N. Kolmogoroff, Comptes Rendus (Doklady) U.S.S.R. 30, No. 4, 31, No. 6, 32, No. 1; W. Heisenberg (unpublished, 1945); G. K. Batchelor, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (October 1947).
\*\* A. Obukhoff, Comptes Rendus (Doklady) U.S.S.R. 32, No. 1; L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. 68, 286 (1945); C. F. von Weizsacker (unpublished 1945)

published, 1945).

\*\*\* This assumption is based on the fact that the energy transport is due to the non-linear "inertia terms" in the Navier-Stokes equation. Since the product of two Fourier components of differing frequencies gives zero time average, the different stages of the cascade are uncorre-lated and it is likely that no contribution is made to the energy trans-ort by the frequencies by other frequencies. port

<sup>†</sup> The viscous terms are linear in the Navier-Stokes equation, and the non-linear terms are not affected by viscosity. Therefore, it is natural to assume similarity in the energy transport mechanism.

tt L. F. G. Simons, Proc. Roy. Soc. 165 (1938).

## On the Quantum Theory of Ferromagnetic Resonance

D. POLDER

H. H. Wills' Physical Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, England March 2, 1948

FERROMAGNETIC material, magnetized up to its A saturation value  $M_0$  by means of a constant external field  $H_0$ , shows interesting resonance phenomena when small additional high frequency magnetic fields are applied. Kittel<sup>1</sup> has been able to give an explanation of the effect by using classical equations of motion for the magnetic dipoles. In the special case of a non-conducting ellipsoidal specimen, magnetized in the direction of one of its principal axes (z axis), it is easy to derive an expression for the induced alternating magnetization m when a small external alternating field  $h_x \exp j\omega t$  is applied, provided that the dimensions of the ellipsoid are small in comparison with the wave-lengths of the electromagnetic waves inside the material. Neglecting damping terms and anisotropy effects one finds<sup>2</sup> exponential time factors being omitted):

$$[\gamma^{2} \{H_{0} + (N_{x} - N_{s})M_{0}\} \{H_{0} + (N_{y} - N_{s})M_{0}\} - \omega^{2}]m_{x}$$
  
=  $\gamma^{2}M_{0} \{H_{0} + (N_{y} - N_{s})M_{0}\}h_{z},$ 

$$[\gamma^{2} \{H_{0} + (N_{x} - N_{z})M_{0}\} \{H_{0} + (N_{y} - N_{z})M_{0}\} - \omega^{2}]m_{y}$$
  
=  $j\omega\gamma M_{0}h_{x}$ ,

where  $N_x$ ,  $N_y$  are the demagnetization factors in the x, y directions, and so on, and where  $\gamma$  should be equal to the gyromagnetic ratio of the spins.

In order to justify the use of classical equations of motion, it is our aim to derive these expressions from quantum-mechanical considerations. We use as a starting point a Hamiltonian containing terms describing the interaction of the spins with the external magnetic fields, an isotropic exchange interaction between nearest neighbors, and the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction between the spins in the small ellipsoid. When the constant external field is sufficiently high and the temperature is sufficiently low only those stationary states of Schrödinger's equation will play a role for which there is nearly complete alignment of the spins. Holstein and Primakoff<sup>3</sup> have developed an approximate method for dealing with these states and have determined the corresponding eigenvalues of the energy in a first approximation. Their method can be considered as an improved Bloch spin-wave treatment of ferromagnetism; the improvement consists in including the dipole-dipole terms.

When we now consider the additional alternating field as a small perturbation, we can determine  $m_x$  and  $m_y$  by means of a method analogous to that used in the theory of coherent scattering. Resonance will occur at frequencies for which  $\hbar\omega$  is equal to the difference of the energies of two stationary states between which the alternating magnetic field can cause transitions. We find that in H and P's approximation the energy difference between any two of these states is always equal to  $2\beta [\{H_0 + (N_x - N_z)M_0\}]$  $\times \{H_0 + (N_y - N_s)M_0\}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and that the approximation eventually leads to expressions for  $m_x$  and  $m_y$  which are identical with the classical expressions if we put  $\gamma = 2\beta/\hbar$ . Here  $\beta$  is the magnetic moment of the spins, and thus  $\gamma$ is equal to the gyromagnetic ratio in the case of free spins. By taking into account terms in the Hamiltonian, which are neglected in H and P's approximation, it should also be possible to estimate the width of the resonance line.

Our quantum-mechanical consideration suggests a possible explanation for the observed discrepancy<sup>4</sup> between the value of  $\gamma$  determined by resonance experiments and the gyromagnetic ratio. When we assume that spin-orbit interaction causes a deviation of the effective magnetic moment  $\beta$  of the spins from the spin only value  $\mu_B$ , let us say  $\beta > \mu_B$ , then  $\gamma > 2\mu_B/\hbar$ . At the same time the spinorbit interaction will cause a still larger deviation of the effective angular momentum of the spins from the spin only value 1/2. Therefore the gyromagnetic ratio may be expected to be smaller than  $2\mu_B/\hbar$ . It would be interesting if this connection between the deviations of the angular momentum and  $\gamma$  from spin only values could be verified experimentally. A fuller account of our calculations will be given shortly.

C. Kittel, Phys. Rev. 71, 270 (1947).
 C. Kittel, Phys. Rev. 73, 155 (1948).
 T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. 58, 1098 (1940).
 W. A. Yager and R. M. Bozorth, Phys. Rev. 72, 80 (1947).