# Decay Scheme of Sb<sup>124</sup>

WALTER E. MEYERHOF AND GERTRUDE SCHARFF-GOLDHABER Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois (Received June 23, 1947)

The beta- and gamma-rays emitted by  $Sb^{124}$  (60d) have been investigated with the help of Geiger-counter coincidences. We find that the hard beta-ray of 2.4 Mev is followed by one gamma-ray, while the soft beta-ray of 0.7 Mev is followed by two gamma-rays. The intensity ratio of the hard to the soft beta-rays is found to be about 1:1.

# INTRODUCTION

HE beta- and gamma-radiations of Sb<sup>124</sup> have been studied by a number of investigators, but a few questions deserving further investigation remained. Mitchell, Langer, and McDaniel,<sup>1</sup> who studied these beta- and gammaradiations by means of Geiger-counter coincidences, concluded that it decays with emission of a single  $\beta$ -ray of 1.54-Mev maximum energy, followed by a  $\gamma$ -ray of 1.82 Mev and probably a second  $\gamma$ -ray of  $\leq 0.069$  Mev. A lower value for the energy of the hard gamma-ray, namely,  $1.75 \pm .04$  Mev was obtained by Klaiber and Scharff-Goldhaber,<sup>2</sup> based on a measurement of the energy of the photo-neutrons from  $Sb^{124}$ +Be with a hydrogen-filled ionization chamber. From O'Neal's<sup>3</sup> work on the slowing down of photoneutrons in water, a value of  $1.73 \pm .04$  Mev can be deduced for the Sb<sup>124</sup>  $\gamma$ -ray. Kruger and Ogle<sup>4</sup> determined the gamma-ray energy by observing electron pairs produced in a Wilson cloud chamber and found a value of  $1.70 \pm .02$  Mev. Hales and Jordan<sup>5</sup> resolved the beta-rays with a magnetic spectrograph into two spectra of maximum energies  $0.74 \pm .03$  and  $2.45 \pm .07$  Mev. The energy difference of 1.71 Mev agrees fairly well with the average value for the gamma-ray energy. Hales and Jordan suggested, therefore, that Sb<sup>124</sup> decays partly by emission of a "hard" beta-ray of 2.45 Mev and partly by emission of a "soft" beta-ray of 0.74 Mev followed by a 1.71-Mev gamma-ray. They left the possibility open that in both cases the 0.069-Mev gammaray observed by Mitchell, Langer, and McDaniel may follow in the end. Recently Miller and Curtiss,<sup>6</sup> also using a beta-spectrograph, obtained



FIG. 1. Top: absorption of the radiation from Sb<sup>124</sup> in Al. (A) measured points, (B) "soft" beta-rays, (C) "hard" beta-rays, (D) gamma-ray background (drawn using additional points beyond 1.4 g/cm<sup>2</sup> Al). Bottom: Absorption in Al of beta-gamma coincidences per recorded gamma-ray. (A) measured points, (B) coincidences with "soft" beta-rays, (C) coincidences with "hard" beta-rays, (D) gamma-gamma coincidences.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A. C. G. Mitchell, L. M. Langer, and P. W. McDaniel, Phys. Rev. **57**, 1107 (1940). <sup>2</sup>G. S. Klaiber and G. Scharff-Goldhaber, Phys. Rev. **61**,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> G. S. Klaiber and G. Scharff-Goldhaber, Phys. Rev. **61**, 733A (1942).

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> R. D. O'Neal, Phys. Rev. 70, 1 (1946).
 <sup>4</sup> P. G. Kruger and W. E. Ogle, Phys. Rev. 67, 273

<sup>(1945).</sup> <sup>6</sup> E. B. Hales and E. B. Jordan, Phys. Rev. **64**, 202

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> E. B. Hales and E. B. Jordan, Phys. Rev. **64**, 202 (1943).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>L. C. Miller and L. F. Curtiss, Phys. Rev. **70**, 983 (1946).



FIG. 2. Coincidence-absorption curve for the Compton electrons produced by the gamma-rays of Sb<sup>124</sup>. The measured curve is analyzed into a hard component (A) and a soft component (B).

lower values for the beta-ray energies than Hales and Jordan, namely, 0.53 and 2.25 Mev, but the energy difference again agreed closely with the gamma-ray energy.

### EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

In order to test more explicitly the decay scheme suggested by Hales and Jordan, we carried out  $\beta$ - $\gamma$  and  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence measurements with Sb<sup>124</sup>. This source was obtained by bombarding antimony with 10-Mev deuterons followed by chemical purification. Our coinci-



FIG. 3. Beta-gamma coincidences as function of gammaray absorber thickness. (A) No absorber between source and beta-ray counter. (B) 82 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Al between source and beta-ray counter.

dence circuit had a resolving time of 1  $\mu$ sec. The beta-rays were detected with a mica-window counter, the mica having a thickness of 4 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. For the detection of the gamma-rays a Geiger counter with a cylindrical gold electrode was used. A beryllium block was placed in front of this counter to filter out the beta-radiation. Schematic drawings added to the figures indicate the arrangement used for each type of measurement.

#### RESULTS

The absorption of the beta-rays in aluminum was first studied. An analysis of the absorption curve (Fig. 1, top, curve A) shows two distinct  $\beta$ -ray components (curves B and C) corresponding to the soft and hard beta-rays found with the magnetic spectrograph. The intensity ratio of the hard beta-rays to soft beta-rays was  $1.0\pm0.2$ . To obtain this figure a correction for the mica-window thickness (4 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) and the thickness of the source (15 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) was applied. Curve D represents the gamma-ray background.

We next studied the beta-gamma coincidences for the two beta-ray components (Fig. 1, bottom) with the result that both coincide with gammarays. The coincidence rates found were  $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ per soft beta-ray and  $0.68 \times 10^{-3}$  per hard betaray, i.e., approximately in the ratio 2:1. We can understand this result if we assume that the hard beta-ray is followed by a single gamma-ray, ( $\gamma_1$ ), and that the soft beta-ray is followed by two gamma-rays ( $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_1$ ).

We first believed<sup>7</sup> that  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  were of comparable energy, since we were unable to see any structure in a lead absorption curve taken in "poor" geometry. Drs. Miller, Curtiss, and Feister then drew our attention to the fact that a gamma-ray of 0.61 Mev, which was possibly identical with  $\gamma_1$ , was reported by Rall and Wilkinson<sup>8</sup> and tentatively ascribed to Te<sup>122, 124?</sup> (30 d ?).\*

By measuring the energy of the Compton electrons produced in Al by the gamma-rays from Sb<sup>124</sup>, using the method of coincidence absorption,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> W. E. Meyerhof and Gertrude Scharff-Goldhaber, Bull.
Am. Phys. Soc. May 1947, Washington meeting.
<sup>8</sup> W. Rall and R. G. Wilkinson, Phys. Rev. 71, 321

<sup>•</sup> W. Rall and R. G. Wilkinson, Phys. Rev. 71, 321 (1947). \* Note added in proof: Drs. Curtiss and Feister have

kindly informed us that the 0.6-Mev  $\gamma$ -ray decays with a 60 d half-life.

we confirmed the presence of two gamma-rays of approximately 1.7 and 0.6 Mev (see Fig. 2). This conclusion was reached by analyzing the absorption curve according to Bleuler and Zünti's method.<sup>9</sup> For the measurement, one counter with mica windows on both ends and a conventional mica end-window counter were used, as sketched in Fig. 2. In our previous coincidence absorption measurements, for which two Eck and Krebs counters had been used, the 0.6-Mev component could not be detected.

Figure 3 shows the result of beta-gamma coincidence measurements for varying thicknesses of the gamma-ray absorber. Curve A was meas-

TABLE I.

$\beta_1(Mev)$	$\beta_2(Mev)$	γ(Mev)	Method
1.54		1.82 ≰.069	Absorption in Al and Pb, refer- ence 1.
		$1.75 \pm .04$	Photo-neutrons* detected by pro- ton recoils, refer- ence 2.
		$1.73 \pm .04$	Slowing down of photo-neutrons* in water, refer- ence 3.
		$1.70 \pm .02$	Electron pairs ob- served in Wilson chamber, refer- ence 4.
		$1.72 \pm .03$	Photoelectrons. Magnetic lens spectrometer, reference 8
2.45±.07	$0.74 \pm .03$		Semicircular mag- netic spectro- graph, reference
2.25	0.53		Magnetic lens spec- trometer, refer- ence 6.
		1.67	Photo-neutrons ( <i>n-p</i> scattering cross section),
		1.67	Photo-neutrons de- tected by propor- tional counter, reference‡

† A. Wattenberg, Phys. Rev. 71, 497 (1947).

‡ A. O. Hanson, private communication.

<sup>9</sup> E. Bleuler and W. Zünti, Helv. Phys. Acta 19, 375 (1946).



ured with no absorber between source and betaray counter, while for curve B 82 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> Al were interposed, which absorbed the soft betarays. The slope of curve B, corresponding to a half-value thickness of 6 g/cm<sup>2</sup> Pb, is compatible with a gamma-ray energy of 0.6 Mev. Curve Ahas a half-value thickness of 8.5 g/cm<sup>2</sup> Pb, corresponding to a "weighted" average of the 0.6- and 1.7-Mev gamma-rays.

From these results it can be concluded that the 2.4-Mev beta-ray coincides with the 0.6-Mev gamma-ray, while the 0.7-Mev beta-ray coincides with the 1.7-Mev gamma-ray and the 0.6-Mev gamma-ray.

As we were unable to detect a gamma-ray of < 0.069 Mev, the decay scheme of Hales and Jordan was modified as shown in Fig. 4.

The energies of the beta- and gamma-radiations of Sb<sup>124</sup>, as obtained by the various investigators, are summarized in Table I.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We should like to thank Professor M. Goldhaber for much valuable advice. Our thanks are also due to Professor P. G. Kruger and the cyclotron group of the University of Illinois for producing the antimony source, and to Professor R. B. Duffield and Mrs. Ira Pullman for its chemical purification. Mr. Ira Pullman aided materially by constructing the mica-window counters. This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.