

for the mean life  $\tau_0 = 2.15 \pm 0.07$   $\mu$ sec. by adding all their data collected in various materials. It seems difficult to explain the difference between the two values by a systematic error in the experimental method since the disintegration curve appears linear, and hence the error would have to increase linearly with the time interval which has actually been recorded. A shortening of the mean life, however, may be due to a contribution by negative mesotrons decaying in the absorber. The following letter explains why such a contribution might result in an apparent change of the mean life.

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Dr. Walter O. Roberts of the Fremont Pass Station of the Harvard College Observatory at Climax, and to the Climax Molybdenum Company for making available the facilities required for carrying out this investigation.

<sup>1</sup> N. Nereson and B. Rossi, *Phys. Rev.* **64**, 199 (1943).

<sup>2</sup> H. Ticho, *Rev. Sci. Inst.* **18**, 271 (1947).

<sup>3</sup> R. Peierls, *Proc. Roy. Soc.* **149**, 467 (1935).

### Errata: The Double Focusing Beta-Ray Spectrometer

[*Phys. Rev.* **71**, 681 (1947)]

FRANKLIN B. SHULL AND DAVID M. DENNISON  
Randall Laboratory of Physics, University of Michigan,  
Ann Arbor, Michigan

DR. EDWIN M. McMILLAN has kindly pointed out an error in our article which he discovered by comparing our equations with the results of some earlier unpublished calculations of his own. The error has its origin in the expression for  $H_z$  given on page 682. The last term in this equation should read  $[-(\beta - \alpha/2)z^2 H_0/a^2]$ . The subsequent calculations are, we believe, all correct, but this correction introduces changes in certain of the coefficients in the equations. The final result, the expression for  $r^*$  given in Eq. (25), must be modified as follows. The coefficient of  $(\delta z)^2$  should be  $[(4\beta - 3)/3a]$  rather than  $[(4\beta - 2)/3a]$ . The coefficient of  $\phi_z^2$  should be  $[(16\beta/3 - 2)a]$  rather than  $[(16\beta/3 - 2/3)a]$ . The remaining terms, as well as Eq. (26), are correct as they stand.

The new expression for  $r^*$  is less favorable, since the  $\phi_r$  defocusing may be eliminated for  $\beta = \frac{1}{3}$  but not the  $\phi_z$  defocusing. To eliminate the latter,  $\beta$  must equal  $\frac{2}{3}$ . The correct choice of  $\beta$  will depend upon the baffle system to be employed. It may often be more convenient to allow a wider variation in  $\phi_r$  than in  $\phi_z$  in which case  $\beta$  should be  $\frac{1}{3}$ . Although the focused image will not be as perfect as that shown in Fig. 1, the conclusion still stands that, with the double focusing spectrometer, the image may be made both more intense and also sharper than with the usual semicircular spectrometer.

Another advantage pointed out to us by Dr. McMillan is that the dispersion ( $p\delta r/r\delta p$  where  $p$  is the electron momentum) is twice as great as in the semicircular case.

Since submitting our paper we have received a reprint from Dr. N. Svartholm<sup>1</sup> in which the image formed by a point source is discussed. His results are in agreement with our corrected equations.

<sup>1</sup> N. Svartholm, *Ark. f. Mat. Astron. och Fys.* **33A** [24] (1946).

### On the Magnetic Exchange Moment for $H^3$ and $He^3$

FELIX VILLARS

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich, Switzerland

June 23, 1947

RECENT experiments of Bloch and others<sup>1</sup> on the magnetic moment of  $H^3$  gave the value 1.0666 for the ratio of the magnetic moments of  $H^3$  and proton. Assuming a value of 2.789 n.m. for  $\mu(p)$ , we find  $\mu(H^3) = 2.975 = \mu(p) + 0.186$  n.m. This result seemed to be in contradiction to the results of theoretical investigations of Sachs and Schwinger,<sup>2</sup> which require  $\mu(H^3) \leq \mu(p)$ , unless very artificial assumptions on the  $^2P$  and  $^4P$  admixtures to the  $S$ -component of the ground-state eigenfunction are made.<sup>3</sup>

However, Schwinger's ansatz<sup>4</sup> for the nuclear Hamiltonian does not allow for taking into account the charge-exchange phenomena connected with the interaction of nucleons. On the other hand, it is well known that these phenomena give rise to exchange moments.<sup>5</sup> Whereas the magnetic exchange dipole moment vanishes in the case of the deuteron (on account of the symmetry properties of the de-eigenfunction), this is not the case for  $H^3$  and  $He^3$ , provided that the quantum number  $T$  of the total isotopic spin is  $\frac{1}{2}$ . (It vanishes for  $T = \frac{3}{2}$ .)

A calculation has been carried out on the basis of the symmetrical pseudoscalar meson theory. According to this theory, the  $H^3$  and  $He^3$  ground states are doublet states both with respect to spin and isotopic spin ( $S = T = \frac{1}{2}$ ) and symmetrical with respect to permutations of the space coordinates of the particles, if we neglect the influence of the tensor force. The latter is responsible for small admixtures of higher states, the influence of which may be neglected here, since there is a non-vanishing expectation value of the exchange moment in the above described  $S$  state.

The exchange moment operator is given by  $M = M^{(1)} + M^{(2)}$ :

$$M^{(1)} = -\frac{ef^2}{2} \mu \sum_{A < B} (\tau^A \times \tau^B)_3 \left\{ z^{AB} (z^{AB} \cdot \sigma^A \times \sigma^B) / r_{AB}^2 \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\mu r_{AB}} \right) - (\sigma^A \times \sigma^B) \right\} e^{-\mu r_{AB}},$$

$$M^{(2)} = +\frac{ef^2}{2} \mu^2 \sum_{A < B} (\tau^A \times \tau^B)_3 \cdot (z^A \times z^B) \cdot V(AB),$$

where  $V(AB)$  is the interaction energy of the nucleon pair  $AB$  in the pseudoscalar theory:

$$V(AB) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} (\sigma^A \sigma^B) + (3(\sigma^A z^{AB})(\sigma^B z^{AB})/r_{AB}^2 - (\sigma^A \sigma^B)) \right. \\ \left. \times \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{\mu r_{AB}} + \frac{1}{(\mu r_{AB})^2} \right) \right\} \frac{e^{-\mu r_{AB}}}{r_{AB}}.$$

On account of its symmetry properties, the expectation value of  $M^{(2)}$  vanishes, whereas  $M^{(1)}$  gives, in units of nuclear magnetons

$$M^{(1)} = (8/3) \gamma (f\mu)^2 \cdot T_3 \int dv \varphi^2 \left( \frac{1}{\mu r_{AB}} - 2 \right) e^{-\mu r_{AB}}.$$

( $\gamma$  is the ratio of the masses of proton and meson:  $\gamma \approx 10$ ,