on the secondary anticathode, they should have appeared as scattered radiation. What is one to think of the scattering with change of frequency considered by Hirsh and apparently the object of an inexact formula in his text? Myself, I should not have melted the sample even "gently" in order to insert it into the hole in the anticathode, in view of the presumptive properties of element 87.

The search for a stable isotope of element 87 in a mixture of heavy, volatile, and absorbing alkaline substances is difficult, even if the element should be present in proportions of 10^{-4} or 10^{-5} . The methods of spectrum analysis and the conditions of excitation must be the best attainable. Our observations were generally made by primary excitation, with tubes constructed specially for great stability of operation even when volatile substances are present. My spectra do not allow me to come to the same conclusion as that reached by Dr. Hirsh. For the reasons expounded above, I feel that his investigation does not help in the least to settle the question of the existence of a durable isotope of element 87.

¹ F. R. Hirsh, Jr., Phys. Rev. 63, 93 (1943).

The Magnetic Quenching of Superconductivity

J. W. STOUT Institute for the Study of Metals, the University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois April 12, 1947

 \prod_{that} for the "cafe" suggest that for the "cafe" suggest that for the "soft" superconductors Pb, Hg, Sn, In, Tl, CuS, Au₂Bi, Zn, and Cd the threshold magnetic field, H_T , for the destruction of superconductivity, is better represented by the expression $H_T = A (T_c^3 - T^3)$ than by the parabolic relation $H_T = B(T_c^2 - T^2)$. T_c is the temperature at which the metal becomes superconducting in zero field. Careful measurements of the threshold magnetic fields have been made on $Sn^{2,4,5}$, Hg^{3,4}, In^{3,5}, T^{13,5} and Pb^{4,5}. Within the accuracy of the measurements neither the parabolic nor the $\frac{3}{2}$ -power law exactly fits the data. However, the parabolic law is in all cases the better approximation. The variation in the constants A $(\frac{3}{2})$ -power law) and B (parabolic law) between about $1^{\circ}K$, and the transition temperature are: Sn $(A \ 30 \text{ percent}; B \ 10 \text{ percent}), Hg \ (A \ 18 \text{ per-}$ cent; B_3 percent), In (A 12 percent; B 8 percent), Tl (A 9 percent; B 5 percent), Pb (A 19 percent; B 8 percent). The values quoted are the averages obtained by the various experimenters. In general the variations among the measurements from different laboratories are less than the deviation from either the parabolic or $\frac{3}{2}$ -power relation.

It is true that the constant A is more nearly the same for different elements than is B , but A as determined from the initial slope of H_T vs. T varies from 90 for Cd⁶ to 46 for Sn, a variation mell outside the experimental error and sufficient to make it doubtful that A is a combination of universal constants as Sienko and Ogg suggest.

From an expression for the threshold curve and the mellknown thermodynamic relation

$$
C_{\rm s}-C_n=(VT/4\pi)\{(dH_T/dT)^2+H_T(d^2H_T/dT^2)\},\,
$$

one can calculate the difference in heat capacity, $C_8 - C_n$,

between the superconducting and normal states. V is the volume. For the $\frac{3}{2}$ -power relation one obtains

$$
C_s - C_n = (VA^2/4\pi)(3T^2 - \frac{3}{4}T_c \cdot T^{\frac{1}{2}}),
$$

and for the parabolic relation

$$
C_s - C_n = (VB^2/2\pi)(3T^3 - T_c^2T).
$$

Measurements on Sn⁷ and Tl⁸ indicate that the heat capacity of these two metals in the superconducting state obeys a T^3 law. For metals in the non-superconducting state the experimental heat capacities at low temperatures are in agreement with the theoretical prediction of an electronic heat capacity proportional to the temperature plus a lattice heat capacity which in simple cases varies as T'. The parabolic relation predicts a difference in heat capacity of superconducting and normal metal in agreement with these considerations. The absence of a term linear in temperature from $C_8 - C_n$ obtained from the $\frac{3}{2}$ -power law is inconsistent with the experimental and theoretical results for the heat capacity of metals in the non-superconducting state.

-
-
- ¹ M. J. Sienko and R. A. Ogg, Phys. Rev. 71, 319 (1947).
² W. J. de Haas and A. D. Engelkes, Physica 4, 325 (1937).
³ A. D. Misener, Proc. Roy. Soc. **A174**, 262 (1940).
⁴ J. G. Daunt and K. Mendelssohn, Proc. Roy.
- (1939).
 N . Kurti and F. Simon, Proc. Roy. Soc. A151, 610 (1935).

N. Kurti and P. H. Van Laer, Physica 5, 193 (1935).

N. H. Keesom and J. A. Kok, Physica 1, 175 (1933).
-

Bounded Linear Harmonic Osci11ator and Phase Transitions of Second Order

B. SURYAN Bangalore City, South India March 28, 1947

N a recent letter in the Physical Review, Corson and \blacksquare Kaplan¹ have put forth the idea that the concept of a linear bounded harmonic oscillator in the theory of solids may be of help in understanding anomalies in the specific heat-curve of a crystalline solid. Similar ideas were developed by the author in 1945 and were reported in a lecture at Central College, Bangalore City, South India. These ideas were not published at the time, but in view of the considerations of Corson and Kaplan, it has seemed desirable to place them on record.

The problem arose in connection with specific heat anomalies at low temperatures in crystalline solids. It was thought that the concept of the linear bounded harmonic oscillator might be of service in studying these phenomena. The relevant theory has been worked out by Auluck and Kothari.² The allowed energy values are no longer $(n+\frac{1}{2})hv$, where ν is the frequency of the oscillator, but increase rapidly with decreasing amplitudes of oscillation. However, the present author applied the above concepts to the second-order phase transition or λ -anomalies of Ehrenfest.³ It is well known that such phase transitions are all accompanied by marked changes in the expansion coefficient of the solid. Therefore, if at the λ -temperature the different oscillators in the crystal are bounded to different extents, the oscillators will be distributed among two well-defined