

electron-pair exchange, the processes involving  $\beta$ -transformations to the electron-neutrino creation or annihilation, whereas the emission of neutrino-pairs could be associated with the gravitational radiation. Since in the meson-language the process (1) should be described as the emission of a neutral meson, whereas the process (2) corresponds to charged (positive or negative) mesons, the former "three-processes-hypothesis" can be now reformulated in the following way. *The exchange forces between nucleons (and also their magnetic momenta) are due exclusively to the exchange of neutral mesons, whereas all the processes connected with charged mesons (such as ordinary  $\beta$ -decay,  $K$ -capture, urca-processes, emission or absorption of charged mesons by various nuclei, etc.) possess probabilities which are smaller by a factor of the order of magnitude  $10^{12}$ .* The above-mentioned discrepancy between the observed and calculated probabilities of nuclear meson-absorption seems to represent a strong argument in favor of this point of view.

- <sup>1</sup> M. Conversi, E. Pancini, and O. Piccioni, *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 209 (1947);  
 T. Sigurgeirsson and A. Yamakawa, *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 319 (1947).  
<sup>2</sup> E. Fermi, E. Teller, and V. Weisskopf, *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 314 (1947);  
 J. A. Wheeler, *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 320 (1947).  
<sup>3</sup> Kobayasi and Okayama, *Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan* **21**, 1 (1939);  
 Sakata and Tanikawa, *Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan* **21**, 58 (1939).  
<sup>4</sup> H. Bethe, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **8**, 203 (1936).  
<sup>5</sup> G. Gamow and E. Teller, *Phys. Rev.* **51**, 289 (1937).

### Relative Moments of $H_1$ and $H_3$

F. BLOCH, A. C. GRAVES, M. PACKARD, AND R. W. SPENCE\*  
 Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Santa Fe, New Mexico  
 March 14, 1947

WE have recently<sup>1</sup> established the fact that the triton has the spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  and a positive magnetic moment about 1.067 times larger than that of the proton. In view of the interest in the result it seemed desirable to improve the accuracy of the ratio of the two moments.<sup>2</sup>

In order to facilitate comparison a new sample was prepared, which, according to a density determination, contained approximately equal amounts of  $H_1$  and  $H_3$  in the form of a 0.1 molar solution of  $MnSO_4$  in water. Confirming our previous results for the spin of the triton and the sign of its moment, signals of equal sign and about equal size and shape were obtained from the two isotopes under identical radiofrequency conditions and with those values of the d.c. field at which their respective resonances were expected to occur.

A highly accurate value for the relative moments of  $H_1$  and  $H_3$  was obtained by superimposing in the transmitter coil two r.f. fields of different frequencies  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_3$ , delivered from independent oscillators. The receiver coil could be tuned to simultaneous response for both frequencies by two coupled resonant circuits, so that a single diode was able to detect the peak voltage of both frequencies and hence the instantaneous sum of the two signals, received from both isotopes. The original method of field modulation and representation<sup>3</sup> gave thus two separate signals on the screen as long as the resonance fields for the two isotopes had still different values but were already within the sweep of the modulating field. A slight variation of  $\nu_1$  resulted in the merging of the two separate signals into one of about

twice the size and was continued until maximum height of this signal was observed. This indicated, within a fraction of the line width, that a common value of the resonance field for the two isotopes had been reached, so that independent of this value, their gyromagnetic ratios  $\gamma_T$  and  $\gamma_P$  had to stand in the proportion of the two frequencies  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_3$ .

A considerable improvement of the accuracy was obtained by using larger pole pieces than before so as to obtain a more homogeneous field over the region in which the sample was located. We were thus able to obtain lines with a half-width of somewhat less than 0.5 gauss.<sup>4</sup>

By proper setting of  $\nu_1$  we were able to ascertain equality of the resonance fields for the two isotopes within 0.1 gauss; since the d.c. field had a value of about 10,000 gauss, an accuracy of about 1 in  $10^5$  was thus obtained.

In the actual measurements,  $\nu_3$  and the beat frequency  $\Delta\nu = \nu_3 - \nu_1$  were obtained from a Navy type LM-18 crystal calibrated frequency-indicating meter so that for the gyromagnetic ratios  $\gamma_T$  and  $\gamma_P$  of the triton and the proton we had the relation:

$$\gamma_T/\gamma_P = [1 - \Delta\nu/\nu_3]^{-1}.$$

Calibration of the frequency-indicating meter showed that frequency readings were accurate to about one part in ten thousand. An uncertainty of 1 in 10,000 in  $\Delta\nu$  and  $\nu_3$  introduces an uncertainty of 1 in  $10^5$  in  $\gamma_T/\gamma_P$  as calculated from the above formula.

TABLE I. Results for the gyromagnetic ratio  $\gamma_T$  of the triton in terms of  $\gamma_P$  of the proton obtained from two sets of runs with different values of the d.c. field  $B_0$ .

$B_0$ (Gauss)	No. of Runs	$\gamma_T/\gamma_P$
9300	17	$1.066638 \pm 0.000007$
9900	21	$1.066635 \pm 0.000011$

Table I gives the results of 17 runs in which the d.c. field had a value of about  $B_0 = 9300$  G and 21 more runs where  $B_0 = 9900$  G. The indicated probable errors for each set of runs are obtained in the usual way from mean square deviation of individual runs from the average, and it is seen that the two sets agree well within their individual errors. Taking both together, and in view of our earlier result for the spin of the triton and the sign of its moment, we obtain

$$\mu_T = (1.066636 \pm 0.00001)\mu_P$$

for the moment  $\mu_T$  of the triton in terms of  $\mu_P$ , that of the proton.

\* Work done at Stanford University and at the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory operated by the University of California under U. S. Government contract.

<sup>1</sup> F. Bloch, A. C. Graves, M. Packard, and R. W. Spence, *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 373 (1947).

<sup>2</sup> While this work was in progress we were informed that H. Anderson and A. Novick had found the gyromagnetic ratio of tritium to be  $1.06666 \pm 0.0001$  times that of the proton; the more accurate result, reported here, lies within their error.

<sup>3</sup> F. Bloch, W. W. Hansen, and M. Packard, *Phys. Rev.* **69**, 127 (1946); **70**, 460 (1946).

<sup>4</sup> This confirms the recent interesting result of N. Bloembergen, R. V. Pound, and E. M. Purcell, *Phys. Rev.* **70**, 936 (1946), that the line width in liquids is far less than originally expected. We were not able, however, to reach their limit of 0.15 gauss, probably because of insufficient homogeneity of our field.