By means of a "megavolt meter,"⁴ a direct reading of the electron energy and of the corresponding x-rays produced could be obtained in all cases. Thereby an instantaneous change from 11 Mev without d.c. bias to 20.5 Mev with d.c. bias could be produced and read by merely turning on the direct current and rephasing the electron injection pulse. In both cases, electron injection voltages as low as 8000 volts could be used indicating a high degree of uniformity of the magnetic guiding field with azimuth. With oil-cooled coils, the machine will produce 50-million volt x-ravs.

W. F. Westendorp, J. App. Phys. 16, 657 (1945).
 Donald W. Kerst, Phys. Rev. 68, 233 (1945).
 E. Amaldi and B. Ferretti, Rev. Sci. Inst. 17, 389 (1946).
 W. F. Westendorp, Rev. Sci. Inst. 17, 215 (1946).

The Capture Cross Section of Boron for Neutrons of Energies from 0.01 ev to 1000 ev*

R. B. SUTTON,¹ B. D. McDaniel,² E. E. Anderson,³ AND L. S. LAVATELLI⁴ University of California, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Santa Fe, New Mexico January 23, 1947

HE slow neutron capture cross section of boron has been measured for neutron energies from 0.01 ev to 1000 ev. The cross section was obtained by determining the transmission of boron-containing samples. Neutron energies were determined by using the method of modulation of a cyclotron beam to obtain the time of flight of the neutrons over a 7.6-meter path between the neutron moderator and a BF3 neutron detector. This method is similar to that described by Baker and Bacher⁵ and by Bacher, Baker, and McDaniel.⁶ The equipment used was constructed in the Physics Department at Cornell University by B. D. McDaniel and C. P. Baker; a description will appear in the literature shortly. Neutron pulses in twelve time-of-flight intervals could be recorded simul-

In order to cover the range of neutron energies four samples, each of different boron content, were used. The one containing least boron was a BF3 gas cell; the others were B_4C . Of the three B_4C samples the thickest and thinnest consisted of cells containing B4C powder; the third was composed of a mixture of B4C and lead borate which was hot-pressed to form a compact disk.

The curve of total cross section $\sigma_T vs.$ time-of-flight τ for each absorber was found to vary in the following manner

$\sigma_T = \alpha \tau + \beta$,

where α and β are constants. It was assumed that the constant β corresponded to the scattering cross section of



FIG. 1. The product $(\sigma_a v)$ between boron absorption cross section and neutron velocity plotted against the logarithm of the neutron energy.

the various elements present, and the term $\alpha \tau$ corresponded to the absorption cross section of boron. The scattering cross section, β , was obtained by taking the extrapolated value of σ at $\tau = 0$. The scattering cross section for boron was found by correcting β for the cross sections of the other elements present. Values used were for fluorine, 4.1×10^{-24} cm², for carbon, 4.85×10^{-24} cm², for oxygen, 4.2×10^{-24} cm², and for lead 9.6×10^{-24} cm². The value obtained for the boron scattering cross section was 4.2×10^{-24} cm². The total contribution of the other elements in the B4C samples was 2.2×10^{-24} cm² per B atom. The capture cross section of boron was obtained from

$\sigma_a = \sigma_T - \beta = \alpha \tau$

by assuming that all the capture cross section was represented by $\alpha \tau$ and none was included in β . In Fig. 1 the values of the product of the capture cross section by neutron velocity are plotted as a function of neutron energy. The instrumental resolution function is triangular. The resolution used depended on the energy region under investigation; in Fig. 1 the base of the triangle is equal in length to the separation of the points.

The value of $\sigma_a v$ determined from the weighted average of the points on the curve is $1.61 \pm .02 \times 10^{-24}$ cm² meter/ μ sec. It can be seen from the curve that systematic deviations occur between different absorbers. This is probably owing to errors in the determination of the boron content of the absorbers. The value of $\sigma_a v$ determined from the BF₃ gas alone is 1.58×10^{-24} cm² meter/ μ sec. which may be a better value than the one given above, since the boron content of the BF3 was probably better known. Both these values are lower than the figure of $118 \times 10^{-24} E^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ cm}^2$ (or 1.63×10^{-24} cm² meter/ μ sec.) recently given by Rainwater and Havens,7 but are higher than the value of 1.55×10^{-24} cm² meter/ μ sec. obtained by Bacher, Baker and McDaniel.⁶ All agree within the uncertainties given. The figure also indicates that $\sigma_a v$ is essentially constant (to ± 10 percent) up to 1000 ev, if the assumption is correct that β contains only components of scattering cross section.

* This work was carried out under contract between the University \underline{o}_1^{f} California and the Manhattan District, Corps of Engineers, War California and the Mannattan Source, Construct, Pennsylvania.
Pepartment.
Now at Carnegie Institute of Technology, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
Now at Cornell University, Ithaca, New York.
Now at Milwaukee-Downer College, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.
Now at Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
C. P. Baker and R. F. Bacher, Phys. Rev. 59, 332 (1941).
R. F. Bacher, C. P. Baker, and B. D. McDaniel, Phys. Rev. 69, 42 (1946).

443 (1946). ⁷ J. Rainwater and W. W. Havens, Jr., Phys. Rev. 70, 136 (1946).

Remarks on Dr. Bhabha's Note "On the Expansibility of Solutions in Powers of the Interaction Constants"

NIELS ARLEY Institute of Theoretical Physics, Copenhagen, and Palmer Physical Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey January 13, 1947

'N a recent note Bhabha¹ has pointed out that the difference between the physical and the non-physical solutions in the classical electron theory proposed by Dirac² may be characterized by the fact that the former is

taneously.