than an alpha-ray emitter, such as polonium, because of the larger current (of the order of 100 times) obtainable per unit area due to the smaller absorption by the emitting substance. It seems likely that in the future the production of such materials will increase, so that they promise to be obtainable in quantities and at prices which make their use as electric voltage sources worthy of consideration from a practical standpoint.

Plans for constructing such a generator were made in this laboratory last year, and work is now progressing. It is hoped to exceed Moseley's results several-fold, with the help of the new supplies of radioactive materials, modern technique of high voltage insulation, and the use of shields to reduce the deleterious effects of secondary radiations.

Generators of this type offer a means for the direct conversion of nuclear energy into electrical energy. The practical realization of such conversion would seem to depend mainly upon a supply of suitable radioactive material, and probably (at least in the case of large power generators) upon the utilization of a controllable reaction, by means of which the emission of particles could be stopped and started at will.

¹ P. H. Miller, Phys. Rev. **69**, 666 (1946), ² M. L. Pool, J. App. Phys. **15**, 716 (1944), ³ I. A. Lobanev and A. P. Beliakov, Comptes Rendus, Acad. Sci. USSR **47**, 332 (1945). ⁴ H. G. J. Moseley, Proc. Roy. Soc. **A88**, 471 (1913).

Artificial Activities Produced in Europium and Holmium by Slow Neutron Bombardment*

M. G. INGHRAM AND R. J. HAYDEN Argonne National Laboratory, Chicago, Illinois December 6, 1946

NITRIC acid solution of Ho₂O₃ was irradiated with A slow neutrons in an attempt to produce the 35-hour holmium activity reported in Seaborg's table.1 An aliquot of this irradiated sample was placed on the filament source of a mass spectrograph. By operation of the spectrograph the holmium isotopes were separated according to mass and deposited on a photographic plate. After removal from the spectrograph this plate was placed face to face with another photographic plate, for convenience called a transfer plate. With the passage of time the radioactive decay particles emitted from the active isotope on the first plate gave rise to a developable image on the second plate. After development, the first plate showed the normal holmium spectrum, a strong line at mass 165 due to Ho, and a much weaker line at mass 181 due to HoO. The transfer plate showed a single weak line corresponding to mass 166 on the original plate. A decay curve of another aliquot of the irradiated sample counted for nine half-lives during the period of transfer showed that 96 percent of the activity recorded on the transfer plate decayed with a 27.5-hour half-life, and the remainder with a half-life of approximately 3 hours. Thus we may conclude that the artificial radioactive isotope of half-life 27.5 hours has a mass of 166. This is undoubtedly the same activity given in Seaborg's table as 35 hours. At present the 3-hour activity observed in the sample is ascribed to an impurity of dysprosium.

A nitric acid solution of Eu₂O₃ was irradiated with slow neutrons to produce the 9.2-hour and 5-8-year activities reported in Seaborg's table.1 The mass of the 9.2-hour activity has been shown to be 152 by previous mass spectrographic analysis.² The irradiated sample was allowed to stand for two weeks in order that the 9.2-hour activity might decay. An aliquot of this sample was then run in the mass spectrograph, and a transfer plate was made. Development of the transfer plate showed two lines at masses 152 and 154. The deposit was allowed to stand for 4 months and a second transfer taken. The lines 152 and 154 appeared at the same relative strength as previously. This proved that the mass 152 line was not caused by the 9.2-hour activity of europium. Neither line 152 or 154 can be due to neodymium, illinium, samarium, or gadolinium since these elements emit copiously as NdO+, IlO+, SmO+, and GdO⁺ while europium emits only as Eu⁺. Thus europium must have two long lived activities, one of mass 152 and one of mass 154, as well as the established 9.2 hour at mass 152. A magnetic investigation of the activities showed no detectable positron emission. Absorption curves showed at least two betas and one gamma. The energy of the gamma was 1.4 Mev.

* This report is based on work performed under Contract No. W-31-109-Eng-38 with the Manhattan Project at the Argonne National ¹G. T. Seaborg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 16, 1 (1944).
 ² R. J. Hayden and M. G. Inghram, Phys. Rev. 70, 89 (1946).

The Oscillator Concept in the Theory of Solids

E. M. CORSON The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey AND

I. KAPLAN

The Carbide and Carbon Chemical Company, New York, New York November 18, 1946

RECENT problem has occasioned the question as to ${f A}$ the role which the "bounded" linear oscillator might play in the theory of the specific heats of solids. As is well known, the Debye theory on closer comparison with experiment is in many cases more qualitatively than quantitatively correct, although the Debye specific heat function has certain of the required properties. Heretofore, all attempts to improve the status of theory (anomalies excepted) have been in the direction of obtaining more accurate frequency distributions in the manner of Born, Von Karman, Blackman, et al. The common ground of all these analyses has been the basic a priori assumption that the oscillator levels are given by $(n+\frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega$; i.e., the "ideal" or "Planck" oscillator.

We do not cavil at the "formal" use of the ideal oscillator in boson theory, but we do ask the question: How is it possible to obtain even qualitatively correct results using this model in the theory of solids? Although it is quite true that the probability amplitude is large only in and near the classical region, the quantizing condition is still the vanishing at infinity so that the amplitude is non-zero (in the strict sense) over a very large region. If, as is generally done, we take the somewhat literal picture of "material" oscillators then it would seem physically reasonable that we treat instead a "boxed" oscillator in much the same sense as a "boxed" particle in elementary electron theory. This follows both from the point of view of our a priori physical knowledge as to the *finite* limits of a material atomic oscillator, and the simple fact that an oscillator in either classical or quantal theory can be termed simple harmonic only for small displacements. As to the latter, it seems rather meaningless to ascribe specific heat anomalies to anharmonicity in view of the infinite domain accessible to the Planck oscillator, which must be considered as simple harmonic only by definition.

The eigenvalues of a bounded oscillator in the first approximation are found to be

$$E_n = n^2 h^2 / 32ma^2 - m\omega^2 a^2 / n^2 \pi^2 + m\omega^2 a^2 / 6, \qquad (1)^*$$

where the boundary conditions are the vanishing of ψ at $\pm a$, and the unperturbed problem is obviously the corresponding boxed particle. This part of the problem is rather trivial, and one may readily verify by the variation theorem that the correction term is as above; $O(a^2)$. These eigenvalues lead to rather involved thermodynamic functions, which however can be approximately evaluated either in terms of Error or Bessel functions. Both methods were used, as was the classical sum of states which is here given since it is useful for asymptotic considerations $(T \rightarrow \infty).\dagger$

$$Q = \left(\frac{kT}{\hbar\omega}\right) erf(z), \quad E = \frac{kT}{2} \left\{2 - z\frac{d}{dz} \ln erf(z)\right\},$$

$$z = a(m\omega^2/2kT)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad E_{\infty} = kT/2, \quad C_{v\infty} = k/2.$$
(2)

We note first of all that this result is not unexpected since the "equipartition theorem" applies only to the momentum in this case, and as might be anticipated on physical grounds (cf. Eq. (1)), the particle properties dominate at high energies (temperature); hence the above asymptotic values. However, the oscillator properties are not completely washed out, and the specific heat curve for 3Nbounded linear oscillators shows a monotonic decrease to zero in much the manner of the Debye curve. Allowing the lattice parameter to be an arbitrary function of T (varying box, which should correspond closely to calculating C_p) does not appear to make any significant difference in the conclusions obtained. The correct quantal average energy E and specific heat C_v are found to be remarkably sensitive to small variations in the lattice parameter (our a above). This might lead one to hope that further development along these lines could remove the high temperature anomaly, and hence give a theory of specific heats which introduces the lattice constants in a fundamental way.

However, it seems possible that the real issue involved is that the usual oscillator picture is entirely too literal, and that one must instead treat the elastic oscillations as an ideal "phonon" gas which is again formally described by an aggregate of ideal oscillators as in boson theory. Such a phonon gas is no less real or fictitious than the boson gas, from which it differs only in having a finite frequency spectrum (defined by the modes of the lattice), and longitudinal vibrations. All other properties such as creation, annihilation, non-conservation of numbers, Compton effect, etc., are common to both types of particles. This approach to the problem appears to eliminate most of the conceptual difficulties and leads back to the issue of proper frequency distributions, but it prohibits the interpretation of anomalies in terms of anharmonicity.

* A Morse potential adds a great many terms to the energy, but the essential dependence on the quantum number n, is the same. † The quantal expressions are not given because they are so lengthy and involved, but they lead to the same conclusions for asymptotic T. Note also in Eqs. (2) that $E \rightarrow kT$, $C_V \rightarrow k$, as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

Centrifugal Fields*

J. W. BEAMS AND JAMES L. YOUNG, III Rouss Physical Laboratory, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia December 5, 1946

 $R^{
m ECENT}$ experiments^{1,2} on the production of high centrifugal fields have been extended using rotors with somewhat smaller diameters. The various sized rotors have similar spherical shapes and are made of hardened steel. They were suspended magnetically in a vacuum and spun by a rotating magnetic field using an apparatus previously described.² Table I shows the new results obtained with the smallest rotor so far tried together with some results previously reported for comparison. The rotational speed measurements were made just before rotor explosion.

TABLE I.

Diameter of rotor mm	Rotor speed r.p.s.	Peripheral speed cm/sec.	Centrifugal acceleration
1.59	211,000	1.05×10 ⁵	1.43 ×10 ⁸ g
0.795	386,000	9.65 ×104	2.40 ×10 ⁸ g
0.521	633,000	1.04×10^{5}	4.28 ×10 ⁸ g

It will be observed that each rotor exploded at a peripheral speed of approximately 105 cm/sec. Since the rotors were all hard steel and had similar shapes this result is in accord with theory which shows that in a spinning homogeneous elastic rotor the maximum stress produced is proportional to $(2\pi N)^2 r^2 = v^2$ where N is the number of revolutions per sec., r is the rotor radius, and v is the peripheral speed. In order to measure the rotor speed,² one-half of the steel rotor was darkened by dipping it into dilute H₂SO₄ which had been in contact with metallic antimony. Microscopic examination of some of the broken pieces shows that small patches of this coating apparently were ripped off the periphery of the 0.521-mm rotor where this coating was too thick. From the table it will be observed that this rotor attained a speed of 633,000 r.p.s. which gave a centrifugal field of 428 million times gravity.

We are much indebted to the New Departure Division of the General Motors Company for supplying us with the steel balls from which the 0.521-mm rotors were made.

^{*} This work has been supported by the Bureau of Ordnance, U. S. Navy under Contract NOrd-7873. J. W. Beams and J. L. Young, III, Phys. Rev. **69**, 537 (1946). J. W. Beams, J. L. Young, III, and J. W. Moore, J. App. Phys. **17**,

^{886 (1946).}