

Letters to the Editor

PROMPT publication of brief reports of important discoveries in physics may be secured by addressing them to this department. Closing dates for this department are, for the first issue of the month, the eighteenth of the preceding month, for the second issue, the third of the month. Because of the late closing dates for the section no proof can be shown to authors. The Board of Editors does not hold itself responsible for the opinions expressed by the correspondents.

Communications should not in general exceed 600 words in length.

On a Theory of Particles with Half-Integral Spin

WILLIAM RARITA AND JULIAN SCHWINGER

Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California

June 18, 1941

FIERZ and Pauli¹ have developed a general theory of particles with arbitrary spin, both integral and half-integral. The quantities describing particles of integral spin are tensors of suitable rank; half-integral spins are described by spinors of multiple order. It is the purpose of this note to suggest an alternative formulation of the theory on half-integral spins which avoids the complicated spinor formalism of Fierz and Pauli. The fundamental quantities of this theory $\Psi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}$, have the mixed transformation properties of a Dirac four-component wave function, and of a symmetric tensor of rank k . (The Dirac index is suppressed.) The equations, which describe a particle of spin $k + \frac{1}{2}$ are:

$$(\gamma_\tau \partial_\tau + \kappa) \Psi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k} = 0, \quad \gamma_\alpha \Psi_{\alpha \mu_2 \dots \mu_k} = 0. \quad (1)$$

The usual supplementary conditions of the integral spin theory:

$$\partial_\alpha \Psi_{\alpha \mu_2 \dots \mu_k} = 0, \quad \Psi_{\alpha \alpha \mu_3 \dots \mu_k} = 0, \quad (2)$$

appear as consequences of these equations.

The verification that such wave fields are indeed associated with a particle of spin $k + \frac{1}{2}$ may proceed either by demonstrating that the number of independent plane wave solutions of definite energy and momentum is $2(k + \frac{1}{2}) + 1$, or by a direct proof that the square of the intrinsic angular momentum has the value $(k + \frac{1}{2})(k + \frac{3}{2})$ in the rest system. (It will not have this value in an arbitrary reference system.) The spin multiplicity is an invariant and is easily calculated in the rest system. Since each Ψ obeys the Dirac equation, the "small" components vanish and the two "large" components altogether form $2(k+3)!/k!3!$ quantities. The second equation of (1) provides the information that the $\Psi_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_k} = 0$, which are $2(k+2)!/(k-1)!3!$ in number, and that $\sigma_i \Psi_{i \mu_2 \dots \mu_k} = 0$ ($\mu_s \neq 4$) which constitute $2(k+1)!/(k-1)!2!$ relations among the Ψ 's. The total number of independent components is, therefore, $2(k+1)$, as desired. The operator of total spin consists of the sum of the k infinitesimal rotation operators associated with the tensor indices in addition to the spin operator $\frac{1}{2}\sigma$ of the Dirac theory. The proof that the spin possesses the correct

eigenvalue is an elementary consequence of the condition $\sigma_i \Psi_{i \mu_2 \dots \mu_k} = 0$.

The special case of spin $\frac{3}{2}$ has been treated in detail by Fierz and Pauli employing a method that necessitated the adjunction of auxiliary spinors in order to produce the proper subsidiary conditions from a variational principle. It is an advantage of the new formalism that a suitable Lagrangian can be constructed without the intervention of additional fields. One of a class of possible Lagrangians is

$$L = \bar{\Psi}_\mu (\gamma_\tau \partial_\tau + \kappa) \Psi_\mu - \frac{1}{3} \bar{\Psi}_\mu (\gamma_\mu \partial_\nu + \gamma_\nu \partial_\mu) \Psi_\nu + \frac{1}{3} \bar{\Psi}_\mu \gamma_\mu (\gamma_\tau \partial_\tau - \kappa) \gamma_\nu \Psi_\nu. \quad (3)$$

Although the Lagrangian is not unique in the absence of external fields, the form (3) is the only one which permits a relatively simple expression of the equations of motion in the presence of electromagnetic fields. The four-vector of charge and current, obtained by the usual prescription, is

$$j_\nu = \bar{\Psi}_\mu \gamma_\nu \Psi_\mu - \frac{1}{3} (\bar{\Psi}_\mu \gamma_\mu) \Psi_\nu - \frac{1}{3} \bar{\Psi}_\nu (\gamma_\mu \Psi_\mu) + \frac{1}{3} (\bar{\Psi}_\sigma \gamma_\sigma) \gamma_\nu (\gamma_\tau \Psi_\tau) = \bar{\Psi}_\mu \gamma_\nu \Psi_\mu \quad (4)$$

in the absence of external electromagnetic fields. Although the charge density is not a positive definite form, the total charge is necessarily definite since $\Psi_4 = 0$ in the rest system. In the exceptional case of zero rest mass the wave function admits a gauge transformation,

$$\Psi'_\mu = \Psi_\mu + \partial_\mu \varphi, \quad \gamma_\tau \partial_\tau \varphi = 0,$$

which leaves all physical quantities invariant.

The method here presented for developing the theory of spin $\frac{3}{2}$ thus contains many of the features of both the Proca and the Dirac theory. This is particularly evident in the application to β -decay which Mr. Kusaka² has discussed in an accompanying letter.

¹ M. Fierz and W. Pauli, Proc. Roy. Soc. A173, 211 (1939).

² S. Kusaka, Phys. Rev. 60, 61 (1941), this issue.

β -Decay with Neutrino of Spin $\frac{3}{2}$

SHUICHI KUSAKA

Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California

June 13, 1941

ALL current theories of β -decay assume the neutrino to have the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ although there is no *a priori* reason for this choice, except that of simplicity. Recently Oppenheimer¹ has suggested the possibilities of neutrinos with different masses and spins, and it is of some interest to find what distribution laws, energy-lifetime relations, and selection rules for β -decay are possible with a neutrino of spin $\frac{3}{2}$. The results show that for any coupling involving the neutrino wave function, or its first derivative, the spectrum distribution is predominantly of the Konopinski-Uhlenbeck type, and that, correspondingly, the energy-lifetime relation for high energy is given by the seventh-power law. Further, for neutrino of non-zero mass, both the Fermi and the Gamow-Teller selection rules are possible; while for neutrino of zero mass, only the latter is permitted. Now, since the energy-lifetime relations for the observed β -spectra agree better with the fifth-power