The ratio  $\sigma_{\rm He}/\sigma_{\rm H}$  has been normalized in Fig. 1 to 8.6 at its maximum value; this is the previously observed<sup>1,2</sup> ratio of the absolute cross sections at that point. At the time of this experiment it was not possible to obtain neutrons of higher energy from carbon because of generator voltage limitations, but it is hoped that these measurements may be extended in the near future. Below 0.6 Mev neutron energy, the yield is quite small, so that ionization currents in the electroscope were of the order of the natural background. Furthermore, small effects from d-d neutrons, arising from deuteron contamination of the target, would become correspondingly quite large at these low energies, and hence points below 0.6 Mev were not thought to be reliable. However, Carroll and Dunning<sup>4</sup> have shown that  $\sigma_{\rm He}/\sigma_{\rm H}$  for thermal neutrons is only 0.05.

The curve rises smoothly to a maximum at about 1.1 Mev; if it is continued symmetrically beyond this maximum, the resonance shows a half-width of nearly 0.6 Mev. This is in agreement with cloud-chamber work which has been done here.2

> T. W. BONNER, Emmett Hudspeth

The Rice Institute. Houston, Texas, May 15, 1940.

<sup>1</sup> H. Staub and W. E. Stephens, Phys. Rev. 55, 131 (1939).
 <sup>2</sup> E. Hudspeth and H. Dunlap, Phys. Rev. 57, 971 (1940).
 <sup>3</sup> W. E. Bennett and T. W. Bonner, Phys. Rev. 57, 1086A (1940).
 <sup>4</sup> H. Carroll and J. R. Dunning, Phys. Rev. 54, 541 (1938).

## Dispersion of Supersonic Waves in Cylindrical Rods

In a recent issue of this journal a paper<sup>1</sup> appeared on the dispersion of supersonic waves in cylindrical rods. A number of experimental results were reported and compared with the theory of Giebe and Blechschmidt.<sup>2</sup> It was shown that agreement was good out to the region where the theoretical "dead zone" begins, but at higher frequencies the theory quoted was quite inadequate.

As certain work, which was reported by the writer some years ago, seems to have escaped attention, it seems worth while to recall here some of the results obtained at that time which are relevant to the recent paper by Shear and Focke and which amplify certain of the conclusions reached by these authors.

When a sound wave is propagated down a cylindrical tube filled with liquid, it has been shown<sup>3-5</sup> that dispersion of the wave occurs at frequencies corresponding to those of the resonant radial vibrations of the column. In the neighborhood of these resonant frequencies the longitudinal wave is propagated only with difficulty and what might be called a "dead zone" exists.

That this "dead zone" also exists for tubes was shown later by Giebe and Blechschmidt,<sup>2</sup> who obtained satisfactory agreement between their experimental results and data calculated from the simple theory of coupled oscillations.

It was thought at one time<sup>6</sup> that this same type of anomalous dispersion occurred for solid cylindrical rods. The curves upon which these views were based, however, were apparently inaccurate at the higher frequencies and it seems probable that certain resonances were attributed to longitudinal vibrations which actually belonged to other modes, e.g., torsional or flexural. Later experimental work by Röhrich<sup>7</sup> and now confirmed by Shear and Focke<sup>1</sup> has shown that the "dead zone" does not exist for solid rods, and, in fact, it is not predicted by the theory which should correctly be applied to this particular case. This theory is that due originally to Pochhammer,8 summarized by Love,9 and discussed in detail a few years ago in several papers.10,6

In a concluding paper published in 193411 the writer considered longitudinal vibrations for the three cases mentioned above and offered a suggestion for the absence in the last case of the "dead zone" which was so striking a phenomenon in the first two cases. This suggestion was that for the solid rod shear forces were of very great importance and would prevent anomalous dispersion and hence the dead zone from occurring. A theoretical discussion showed this to be the case.

Thus it appears that the limitations of the theory discussed by Shear and Focke exist because this particular theory is applicable only to the special and limited case of a tube. If in each case the appropriate theory be considered, it has been shown that the experimental confirmation is quite gratifying.

National Research Council Laboratories, Ottawa, Canada, May 30, 1940.

G. S. FIELD

Sidney K. Shear and Alfred B. Focke, Phys. Rev. 57, 532 (1940).
 E. Giebe and E. Blechschmidt, Ann. d. Physik [5] 18, 417 (1933).
 G. S. Field, Can. J. Research 5, 131 (1931).
 R. W. Boyle, D. K. Froman and G. S. Field, Can. J. Research 6,

<sup>4</sup> R. W. Boyle, D. K. Froman and G. S. Field, Can. J. Research 6, 102 (1932).
<sup>8</sup> G. S. Field and R. W. Boyle, Can. J. Research 6, 192 (1932).
<sup>9</sup> G. S. Field, Can. J. Research 5, 619 (1931).
<sup>7</sup> K. Röhrich, Zeits, f. Physik 73, 813 (1932).
<sup>8</sup> L. Pochhammer, J. Math. (Crelle) 81 (1876).
<sup>8</sup> A. E. H. Love, Mathematical Theory of Elasticity (Cambridge University Press, 1927).
<sup>10</sup> R. Ruedy, Can J. Research 5, 149 (1931).
<sup>11</sup> G. S. Field, Can. J. Research 11, 254 (1934).

## On the Dynamics of Complex Fission

In a letter of the same title<sup>1</sup> we pointed out that our coefficients of the higher order terms in the potential energy of distortion of a liquid drop, the radius of which is described by the expression  $r = R(1 + a_0 + a_2 P_2 + a_4 P_4)$ , do not check those given by Bohr and Wheeler.<sup>2</sup> Dr. Wheeler has explained that the discrepancy arises from the fact that the coefficients  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_4$  as used in their Eq. (22) are not as defined in their paper and that (22) is obtained by using another definition based on spheroidal harmonics.<sup>3</sup> Our definition gives  $a_4$  positive at the  $a_2-a_4$  saddle point for the range of x from zero to one. The interpretation of this fact as given in Section two of our letter is partially incorrect. The equatorial bulge which a positive  $a_4$  would introduce is so small that it does not compensate for the equatorial constriction automatically brought in by the second harmonic, as was pointed out to us by Dr. Wheeler. For values of x sufficiently far from unity the drop in its critical shape develops a concavity about the equatorial belt. We cannot conclude that the positive value for  $a_4$  is