

Extension of the Theory of Complex Spectra

GEORGE H. SHORTLEY AND BERNARD FRIED

Mendenhall Laboratory of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio

(Received September 2, 1938)

This paper extends the tabular and formular material of the theory of complex spectra to configurations involving f and g electrons. In making these computations it was found that in practically every case significant simplification could be made over the methods previously used. In particular, for the computation of the electrostatic energies for two-electron-like almost-closed-shell configurations a simple closed formula was found which entirely replaces the previous lengthy diagonal-sum calculation. The explicit content of the paper is best exhibited by listing the section

headings: I. Extension of Tables of c^k , b^k , and a^k to gp , gd , gf , gg . II. Explanation of the Regularities in the Electrostatic Energies of Two-Electron Configurations and Formulas for gp , gd , gf , gg , g^2 . III. Formula Determining the Electrostatic Energies of Two-Electron-Like Configurations with Almost-Closed Shells. Values for p^5g and d^5g . IV. Matrices for Transformation of pf , df , pg , dg from LS to jj Coupling. V. Matrices of Electrostatic Interaction in jj Coupling for p^5f , (d^5p) , d^5d , d^5f , d^5g .

SPECTROSCOPIC analysis is beginning more and more to involve configurations with f and g electrons.¹ For this reason we believe it useful to extend the tabular and formular material of the theory of complex spectra to the point where it will handle the simpler of the observed f - and g -electron configurations. This is the purpose of the present paper. The abstract above contains a statement of the contents by sections. In extending this material to the more complicated configurations for which the amount of computational labor is great, it became de-

sirable to re-examine the methods of calculation with a view to simplifying them if possible. It has been found that in practically every case significant simplification could be made over the methods previously used. In one case (the determination of the electrostatic energies for almost-closed-shell configurations) it was possible to find a simple closed formula to replace the previous lengthy diagonal-sum calculation. These simplified methods of computation are discussed at the beginning of each section before the tabular results are given.

I. EXTENSION OF TABLES OF c^k , b^k , AND a^k TO gp , gf , gd , gg (TABLES I AND II)

The a 's, b 's, and c 's are the Slater coefficients needed to compute the matrix of electrostatic interaction.² The values of the a 's and b 's follow at once by 8⁶14 (TAS) from the values of the c 's. The c 's represent the following definite integrals:

$$c^k(lm, l'm') = \left(\frac{2}{2k+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\pi \Theta(k, m-m') \Theta(lm) \Theta(l'm') \sin \theta \, d\theta, \quad (1)$$

$$|l-l'| \leq k \leq l+l' \quad k+l+l' = 2g \quad (g \text{ integral}). \quad (2)$$

The direct individual evaluation of these integrals by means of Gaunt's formula 8⁶11 is very laborious because of the sum occurring in this formula. We find, however, that it is possible to express $c^k(l, m; l', l'-\epsilon)$ as the square root of a polynomial in m and then compute from this polynomial the $2l+1$ entries with $m=l, \dots, -l$ with but little more trouble than the direct evaluation of a single entry. We start by noting that an examination of Gaunt's derivation shows that 8⁶11 is valid for the whole range $-l \leq m \leq l$; $-l' \leq m' \leq l'$; $-l' \leq m+m' \leq l'$.

¹ Compare, for example, Shenstone's analysis of Cu II, which is discussed theoretically in the paper following this.

² See Condon and Shortley, *Theory of Atomic Spectra* [which we shall denote, following Kemble, by TAS], pp. 175-180 for definitions and previous tabulations.

TABLE I. $c^k(lm, l'm')$ and $b^k(lm, l'm')$. We write $c^k = \pm\sqrt{x/D_k}$, where D_k depends only on k, l, l' . In the table are listed only the sign preceding the radical and the value of x, D_k being given at the head of each column. Since $b^k = (c^k)^2, b^k = +x/D_k$. Note that $c^k(l'm', lm) = (-1)^{m-m'}c^k(lm, l'm')$.

			$c^k(lm, l'm')$ FOR $l+l'$ EVEN					
l'	m	m'	$k = 0$	2	4	6	8	
gs	± 4	0			$+\sqrt{1/9}$			
	± 3	0			1			
	± 2	0			1			
	± 1	0			1			
	0	0			1			
gd	± 4	± 2		$+\sqrt{70/245}$	$-\sqrt{168/10672.2}$	$+\sqrt{1/4089.8}$		
	± 3	± 2		+ 35	- 378	+ 5		
	± 2	± 2		+ 15	- 540	+ 15		
	± 1	± 2		+ 5	- 600	+ 35		
	0	± 2		+ 1	- 540	+ 70		
	∓ 1	± 2		0	- 378	+ 126		
	∓ 2	± 2		0	- 168	+ 210		
	∓ 3	± 2		0	0	+ 330		
	∓ 4	± 2		0	0	+ 495		
	± 4	± 1		0	+ 588	- 9		
	± 3	± 1		+ 35	+ 525	- 32		
	± 2	± 1		+ 40	+ 243	- 70		
	± 1	± 1		+ 30	+ 30	- 120		
	0	± 1		+ 16	- 30	- 175		
	∓ 1	± 1		+ 5	- 243	- 224		
	∓ 2	± 1		0	- 525	- 252		
	∓ 3	± 1		0	- 588	- 240		
	∓ 4	± 1		0	0	- 165		
	± 4	0		0	- 784	+ 45		
	± 3	0		0	- 49	+ 108		
	± 2	0		+ 15	+ 64	+ 168		
	± 1	0		+ 30	+ 289	+ 210		
	0	0		+ 36	+ 400	+ 225		
	sg	± 4	± 4	1	$-\sqrt{784/5929}$	$+\sqrt{196/123703\frac{1}{81}}$	$-\sqrt{16/20449}$	$+\sqrt{1/120607\frac{1}{49}}$
		± 3	± 4	0	- 588	+ 490	- 84	+ 9
		± 2	± 4	0	- 168	+ 630	- 240	+ 45
		± 1	± 4	0	0	+ 490	- 480	+ 165
		0	± 4	0	0	+ 196	- 720	+ 495
∓ 1		± 4	0	0	0	- 792	+ 1287	
∓ 2		± 4	0	0	0	- 528	+ 3003	
∓ 3		± 4	0	0	0	0	+ 6435	
∓ 4		± 4	0	0	0	0	+ 12870	
± 3		± 3	1	- 49	- 441	+ 289	- 64	
± 2		± 3	0	- 525	- 70	+ 507	- 252	
± 1		± 3	0	- 378	+ 70	+ 540	- 720	
0		± 3	0	0	+ 441	+ 300	- 1650	
∓ 1		± 3	0	0	+ 490	+ 18	- 3168	
∓ 2		± 3	0	0	0	- 198	- 5148	
∓ 3		± 3	0	0	0	- 924	- 6864	
± 2		± 2	1	+ 64	- 121	- 484	+ 784	
± 1		± 2	0	- 243	- 360	- 189	+ 1764	
0		± 2	0	- 540	- 121	0	+ 3150	
∓ 1		± 2	0	0	+ 70	+ 210	+ 4620	
∓ 2		± 2	0	0	+ 630	+ 504	+ 5544	
± 1		± 1	1	+ 289	+ 81	- 1	- 3136	
0		± 1	0	- 30	- 81	- 210	- 4410	
∓ 1		± 1	0	- 600	- 360	- 420	- 5040	
0		0	1	+ 400	+ 324	+ 400	+ 4900	

TABLE I.—Continued

			$c^k(lm, l'm')$ FOR $l+l'$ ODD					
l'	m	m'	$k =$	1	3	5	7	
gp	± 4	± 1			$+\sqrt{28/147}$	$-\sqrt{1/363}$		
	± 3	± 1			$+ 21$	$- 3$		
	± 2	± 1			$+ 15$	$- 6$		
	± 1	± 1			$+ 10$	$- 10$		
	0	± 1			$+ 6$	$- 15$		
	∓ 1	± 1			$+ 3$	$- 21$		
	∓ 2	± 1			$+ 1$	$- 28$		
	∓ 3	± 1			0	$- 36$		
	∓ 4	± 1			0	$- 45$		
	± 4	0			0	$+ 9$		
	± 3	0			$+ 7$	$+ 16$		
	± 2	0			$+ 12$	$+ 21$		
	± 1	0			$+ 15$	$+ 24$		
	0	0			$+ 16$	$+ 25$		
	gf	± 4	± 3		$+\sqrt{28/63}$	$-\sqrt{42/847}$	$+\sqrt{420/143143}$	$-\sqrt{1/26291\frac{1}{4}}$
		± 3	± 3		$+ 7$	$- 63$	$+ 1575$	$- 7$
± 2		± 3		$+ 1$	$- 54$	$+ 3375$	$- 28$	
± 1		± 3		0	$- 30$	$+ 5250$	$- 84$	
0		± 3		0	$- 9$	$+ 6300$	$- 210$	
∓ 1		± 3		0	0	$+ 5670$	$- 462$	
∓ 2		± 3		0	0	$+ 3150$	$- 924$	
∓ 3		± 3		0	0	0	$- 1716$	
∓ 4		± 3		0	0	0	$- 3003$	
± 4		± 2		0	$+ 70$	$- 1960$	$+ 9$	
± 3		± 2		$+ 21$	$+ 14$	$- 4235$	$+ 48$	
± 2		± 2		$+ 12$	$- 3$	$- 4800$	$+ 147$	
± 1		± 2		$+ 3$	$- 32$	$- 3125$	$+ 336$	
0		± 2		0	$- 49$	$- 700$	$+ 630$	
∓ 1		± 2		0	$- 30$	$+ 210$	$+ 1008$	
∓ 2		± 2		0	0	$+ 3360$	$+ 1386$	
∓ 3		± 2		0	0	$+ 7350$	$+ 1584$	
∓ 4		± 2		0	0	0	$+ 1287$	
± 4		± 1		0	$- 42$	$+ 4704$	$- 45$	
± 3		± 1		0	$+ 14$	$+ 4802$	$- 180$	
± 2		± 1		$+ 15$	$+ 40$	$+ 1849$	$- 420$	
± 1		± 1		$+ 15$	$+ 15$	$+ 15$	$- 735$	
0		± 1		$+ 6$	$- 1$	$- 1210$	$- 1050$	
∓ 1		± 1		0	$- 32$	$- 3584$	$- 1260$	
∓ 2		± 1		0	$- 54$	$- 3402$	$- 1260$	
∓ 3		± 1		0	0	$- 294$	$- 990$	
∓ 4		± 1		0	0	$+ 5880$	$- 495$	
± 4		0		0	0	$- 7056$	$+ 165$	
± 3		0		0	$- 63$	$- 1764$	$+ 480$	
± 2		0		0	$- 3$	$+ 84$	$+ 840$	
± 1		0		$+ 10$	$+ 15$	$+ 2166$	$+ 1120$	
0		0		$+ 16$	$+ 36$	$+ 3600$	$+ 1225$	

If in 8^o11 we substitute $l', l' - \epsilon$ for lm ; $k, m - l' + \epsilon$ for $l'm'$; lm for $l'', m + m'$; we can obtain the formula

$$c^k(l, m; l', l' - \epsilon) = \frac{(-1)^{g+l+\epsilon} g!}{(g-l)!(g-l')!(g-k)!(2g+1)!} \left[\frac{(2l+1)(2l'+1)(k+l'-\epsilon-m)!}{\epsilon!(2l'-\epsilon)!} \frac{(l+m)!}{(l-m)!} \frac{(k-l'+\epsilon+m)!}{(k-l'+\epsilon+m)!} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \sum_s (-1)^s \binom{\epsilon}{s} (2l'-s)!(2g-2l'+s)! \frac{(2g-2k)!}{(2g-2k-s)!} \frac{(k-l'+m+\epsilon)!}{(k-l'+m+s)!} \quad (3A)$$

In the sum, $\binom{\epsilon}{s}$ is the binomial coefficient, and s runs from 0 to the lesser of ϵ and $2g - 2k$.

TABLE II. $a^k(lm, l'm')$. The value of this coefficient is independent of the signs of m and m' . As in the preceding table, we print the common denominator of several related values but once at the beginning of each group. For $l=0$, $a^k(00, l'm') = \delta(k, 0)$ for all l', m' ; for $k=0$, $a^0(lm, l'm') = 1$ for all values of the arguments; in the table we give values only for $l, l', k > 0$. Note that $a^k(l'm', lm) = a^k(lm, l'm')$.

l'	$ m $	$ m' $	$k =$	2	4	6	8	
gp	4	1		+ 28/385				
	3	1		+ 7				
	2	1		- 8				
	1	1		- 17				
	0	1		- 20				
	4	0		- 56				
	3	0		- 14				
	2	0		+ 16				
	1	0		+ 34				
	0	0		+ 40				
	gd	4	2		+ 56/539	+ 14/2335 $\frac{2}{3}$		
		3	2		+ 14	- 21		
2		2		- 16	- 11			
1		2		- 34	+ 9			
0		2		- 40	+ 18			
4		1		- 28	- 56			
3		1		- 7	+ 84			
2		1		+ 8	+ 44			
1		1		+ 17	- 36			
0		1		+ 20	- 72			
4		0		- 56	+ 84			
3		0		- 14	- 126			
2		0		+ 16	- 66			
1		0		+ 34	+ 54			
0		0		+ 40	+ 108			
gf		4	3		+ 140/1155	+ 42/3670 $\frac{1}{3}$	+ 4/12269.4	
		3	3		+ 35	- 63	- 17	
		2	3		- 40	- 33	+ 22	
	1	3		- 85	+ 27	+ 1		
	0	3		- 100	+ 54	- 20		
	4	2		0	- 98	- 24		
	3	2		0	+ 147	+ 102		
	2	2		0	+ 77	- 132		
	1	2		0	- 63	- 6		
	0	2		0	- 126	+ 120		
	4	1		- 84	+ 14	+ 60		
	3	1		- 21	- 21	- 255		
	2	1		+ 24	- 11	+ 330		
	1	1		+ 51	+ 9	+ 15		
	0	1		+ 60	+ 18	- 300		
	4	0		- 112	+ 84	- 80		
	3	0		- 28	- 126	+ 340		
	2	0		+ 32	- 66	- 440		
	1	0		+ 68	+ 54	- 20		
	0	0		+ 80	+ 108	+ 400		
	gg	4	4		+ 784/5929	+ 196/12370 $\frac{3}{81}$	+ 16/20449	+ 1/120607 $\frac{18}{49}$
		3	4		+ 196	- 294	- 68	- 8
		2	4		- 224	- 154	+ 88	+ 28
		1	4		- 476	+ 126	+ 4	- 56
0		4		- 560	+ 252	- 80	+ 70	
3		3		+ 49	+ 441	+ 289	+ 64	
2		3		- 56	+ 231	- 374	- 224	
1		3		- 119	- 189	- 17	+ 448	
0		3		- 140	- 378	+ 340	- 560	
2		2		+ 64	+ 121	+ 484	+ 784	
1		2		+ 136	- 99	+ 22	- 1568	
0		2		+ 160	- 198	- 440	+ 1960	
1		1		+ 289	+ 81	+ 1	+ 3136	
0		1		+ 340	+ 162	- 20	- 3920	
0		0		+ 400	+ 324	+ 400	+ 4900	

Alternatively if in 8⁶11 we substitute $k, m-l'+\epsilon$ for lm ; $l', l'-\epsilon$ for $l'm'$; lm for $l'', m+m'$, we can obtain

$$c^k(l, m; l', l'-\epsilon) = \frac{(-1)^{g+l}g!}{(g-l)!(g-l')!(g-k)!(2g+1)!} \left[\frac{(2l+1)(2l'+1)}{\epsilon!(2l'-\epsilon)!} \frac{(k+l'-\epsilon-m)!}{(l-m)!} \frac{(l+m)!}{(k-l'+\epsilon+m)!} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \times \sum_s (-1)^s \binom{\epsilon}{s} (2l'-s)!(2g-2l'+s)! \frac{(2g-2l)!}{(2g-2l-s)!} \frac{(l-m)!}{(l-m-\epsilon+s)!}, \quad (3B)$$

where s runs from 0 to the lesser of ϵ and $2g-2l$.

These formulas are good for the full range $-l \leq m \leq l$; hence we need consider only $\epsilon \leq l$ since the negative values of $l'-\epsilon$ may be covered by the formula $c^k(l, m; l', m') = c^k(l, -m; l', -m')$. For $\epsilon \leq l$, it may be seen that formula (3A) gives *directly* for $(c^k)^2$ a polynomial of order $2l'$ in m if $\epsilon > 2g-2k$; formula (3B) gives *directly* a polynomial of order $2l'$ in m if $\epsilon > 2g-2l$; while if $\epsilon < 2g-2k$ and $\epsilon < 2g-2l$ both formulas give polynomials of order $2l'$ *directly*. By *directly* we mean that the numerator and denominator of the rational function which these formulas give for $(c^k)^2$ come ready factorized so that the denominator may be canceled at once.³

The procedure for calculating the entries of Table I is then the following. In (3A) or (3B) take $l \geq l'$ to minimize the number of polynomials required. Then for each value of k occurring in (2), and for $\epsilon = 0, 1, \dots, l'$, compute the c 's as functions of m , using, in order to simplify the computation and make the sums as short as possible, (3A) if $\epsilon > 2g-2k$, (3B) if $\epsilon > 2g-2l$ (these inequalities are mutually exclusive), and either formula if $\epsilon < 2g-2l$ and $\epsilon < 2g-2k$. For example, one gets all the entries for gd from nine formulas, of which the following three are typical:

$$c^2(gm; d2) = +[(4+m)(3+m)(2+m)(1+m)/24 \cdot 49 \cdot 5]^{\frac{1}{2}} = c^2(g, -m; d, -2), \\ c^4(gm; d1) = +(2m-1)[5(5-m)(4+m)/6 \cdot 121 \cdot 49]^{\frac{1}{2}} = c^4(g, -m; d, -1), \\ c^6(gm; d0) = +[5(36-m^2)(25-m^2)/4 \cdot 169 \cdot 121]^{\frac{1}{2}} = c^6(g, -m; d, 0).$$

These are valid for $-4 \leq m \leq 4$.

II. EXPLANATION OF THE REGULARITIES IN THE ELECTROSTATIC ENERGIES OF TWO-ELECTRON CONFIGURATIONS AND FORMULAS FOR gp, gd, gf, gg, g^2

Inspection of the formulas for the electrostatic energies of two-electron configurations (TAS §57) reveals a number of striking regularities to which attention has been called but for which, so far as we know, no proofs have been given. In order that we may justifiably take advantage of these regularities in our computations, we first indicate their general proofs.

(a) *In the case of W (two nonequivalent electrons, cf. gp, gd, gf, gg below), 1L and 3L have equal coefficients of the F 's and equal but opposite coefficients of the G 's.*

³The ratio of two factorials involving m should here be treated like the ratio of two Γ functions, ignoring the fact that the factorials may be meaningless for certain values of m , for the resulting polynomials will always vanish properly for such m .

Consider a table, such as 1⁷² of TAS, in which the orbital functions are classified according to M_S and M_L . The sum of the diagonal elements of electrostatic energy for the states in the box (1, M_L), with $M_S=1, M_L=M_L$, gives the sum of the energies of the N triplets of largest L value, if there are N states in this box. This sum is a certain linear combination of F 's and G 's. Consider now the diagonal sum for the corresponding box (0, M_L) with $M_S=0$. This box contains $2N$ states and the diagonal sum gives the total energy of the N triplets and N singlets of highest L value. We see from the arrangement of the spins that the coefficients of the F 's for this box are just twice those for (1, M_L), while the coefficients of the G 's vanish. The difference between the diagonal sum for (0, M_L) and (1, M_L) is the energy sum for the N singlets, and this sum therefore has the same F coefficients but the negative of the G coefficients of the triplet sum. By starting now with

the highest M_L value, which involves only one singlet and one triplet, and working down, we prove the statement (a) by induction.

(b) In the case of $nl'n'l$ (nonequivalent electrons of the same l value, cf. *gg* below) in addition to (a) one finds that for those terms which are permitted in nl^2 by the exclusion principle the coefficients of the F 's equal those of the corresponding G 's, while for the terms excluded in nl^2 , corresponding coefficients of F 's and G 's are equal and opposite.

(c) One can obtain the electrostatic energies for nl^2 from those for $nl'n'l$ by setting $F^k = G^k$ and dividing by 2.

The proof of (c) follows at once from the fact (TAS p. 232) that the Russell-Saunders eigenfunctions of nl^2 , both the vanishing and the nonvanishing ones, may be obtained from the corresponding eigenfunctions of $nl'n'l$ by setting $n = n'$ and dividing by $\sqrt{2}$. Then, since $G^k(nl^2) \equiv F^k(nl^2)$, a diagonal electrostatic matrix element of nl^2 in LS coupling is obtained from that of $nl'n'l$ by setting $G^k = F^k$ and dividing by 2; as stated in (c). If this is done for one of the terms of ll which is excluded from l^2 , the resulting

energy must vanish; this requires that for such terms of ll the coefficients of F^k and G^k be equal and opposite. This proves one of the statements of (b), the other statement now follows at once from (a), which says that the unexcluded member of the pair of terms, ${}^1L, {}^3L$, has opposite G coefficients from the excluded member, and hence will have G coefficients equal to its F coefficients.

We give now the electrostatic energies of two-electron configurations involving g electrons. We also give the $\zeta(SL)$ which determine the absolute first-order Landé splitting (cf. TAS §47). [We do not go on and calculate the complete matrices of spin-orbit interaction in LS coupling for these configurations because so far no one has wanted to use these matrices—even the known ones (TAS, pp. 268–269) for pd, d^2, dd —for intermediate-coupling computations because of the extreme complexity of the equations involved. Most of the complex configurations for which intermediate-coupling computations have been made involve almost-closed shells, and for these the jj -coupling matrices, which we do obtain, are of most interest.]

gp:	${}^1F, {}^3F = F_0 + 55 F_2 \pm (G_3 + 55 G_6)$	$\zeta({}^3F) = \frac{5}{8} \zeta_g - \frac{1}{8} \zeta_p$
	${}^1G, {}^3G = F_0 - 77 F_2 \mp (7 G_3 - 11 G_6)$	$\zeta({}^3G) = \frac{1}{4} \zeta_g + \frac{1}{4} \zeta_p$
	${}^1H, {}^3H = F_0 + 28 F_2 \pm (28 G_3 + G_6)$	$\zeta({}^3H) = \frac{2}{5} \zeta_g + \frac{1}{10} \zeta_p$
gd:	${}^1D, {}^3D = F_0 + 110 F_2 + 143 F_4 \pm (G_2 + 330 G_4 + 715 G_6)$	$\zeta({}^3D) = \frac{5}{6} \zeta_g - \frac{1}{3} \zeta_d$
	${}^1F, {}^3F = F_0 + 11 F_2 - 286 F_4 \mp (5 G_2 + 957 G_4 - 286 G_6)$	$\zeta({}^3F) = \frac{1}{2} \zeta_g - \frac{1}{2} \zeta_d$
	${}^1G, {}^3G = F_0 - 65 F_2 + 234 F_4 \pm (15 G_2 + 883 G_4 + 78 G_6)$	$\zeta({}^3G) = \frac{1}{4} \zeta_g + \frac{3}{4} \zeta_d$
	${}^1H, {}^3H = F_0 - 70 F_2 - 91 F_4 \mp (35 G_2 - 798 G_4 - 13 G_6)$	$\zeta({}^3H) = \frac{1}{3} \zeta_g + \frac{2}{15} \zeta_d$
	${}^1I, {}^3I = F_0 + 56 F_2 + 14 F_4 \pm (70 G_2 + 168 G_4 + G_6)$	$\zeta({}^3I) = \frac{1}{3} \zeta_g + \frac{1}{6} \zeta_d$
gf:	${}^1P, {}^3P = F_0 + 275 F_2 + 429 F_4 + 715 F_6 \pm (G_1 + 33 G_3 + 10725 G_5 + 5005 G_7)$	$\zeta({}^3P) = \frac{5}{4} \zeta_g - \frac{3}{4} \zeta_f$
	${}^1D, {}^3D = F_0 + 165 F_2 - 143 F_4 - 1287 F_6 \mp (3 G_1 + 77 G_3 + 12155 G_5 - 3003 G_7)$	$\zeta({}^3D) = \frac{7}{12} \zeta_g - \frac{1}{12} \zeta_f$
	${}^1F, {}^3F = F_0 + 30 F_2 - 299 F_4 + 1170 F_6 \pm (6 G_1 + 97 G_3 - 2990 G_5 + 1365 G_7)$	$\zeta({}^3F) = \frac{5}{12} \zeta_g + \frac{1}{12} \zeta_f$
	${}^1G, {}^3G = F_0 - 94 F_2 + 39 F_4 - 650 F_6 \mp (10 G_1 + 63 G_3 - 9594 G_5 - 455 G_7)$	$\zeta({}^3G) = \frac{7}{20} \zeta_g + \frac{3}{20} \zeta_f$
	${}^1H, {}^3H = F_0 - 159 F_2 + 289 F_4 + 225 F_6 \pm (15 G_1 - 23 G_3 + 8779 G_5 + 105 G_7)$	$\zeta({}^3H) = \frac{1}{6} \zeta_g + \frac{1}{6} \zeta_f$
	${}^1I, {}^3I = F_0 - 105 F_2 - 203 F_4 - 45 F_6 \mp (21 G_1 - 91 G_3 - 3115 G_5 - 15 G_7)$	$\zeta({}^3I) = \frac{5}{8} \zeta_g + \frac{1}{8} \zeta_f$
	${}^1K, {}^3K = F_0 + 140 F_2 + 42 F_4 + 4 F_6 \pm (28 G_1 + 42 G_3 + 420 G_5 + G_7)$	$\zeta({}^3K) = \frac{2}{3} \zeta_g + \frac{1}{3} \zeta_f$
gg:	${}^1S, {}^3S = F_0 + 1540 F_2 + 2002 F_4 + 2860 F_6 + 24310 F_8 \pm (G_0 + 1540 G_2 + 2002 G_4 + 2860 G_6 + 24310 G_8)$	
	${}^1P, {}^3P = F_0 + 1309 F_2 + 1001 F_4 - 143 F_6 - 19448 F_8 \mp (G_0 + 1309 G_2 + 1001 G_4 - 143 G_6 - 19448 G_8)$	
	${}^1D, {}^3D = F_0 + 883 F_2 - 299 F_4 - 1469 F_6 + 12376 F_8 \pm (G_0 + 883 G_2 - 299 G_4 - 1469 G_6 + 12376 G_8)$	
	${}^1F, {}^3F = F_0 + 334 F_2 - 949 F_4 + 442 F_6 - 6188 F_8 \mp (G_0 + 334 G_2 - 949 G_4 + 442 G_6 - 6188 G_8)$	
	${}^1G, {}^3G = F_0 - 230 F_2 - 467 F_4 + 1030 F_6 + 2380 F_8 \pm (G_0 - 230 G_2 - 467 G_4 + 1030 G_6 + 2380 G_8)$	
	${}^1H, {}^3H = F_0 - 665 F_2 + 581 F_4 - 1205 F_6 - 680 F_8 \mp (G_0 - 665 G_2 + 581 G_4 - 1205 G_6 - 680 G_8)$	
	${}^1I, {}^3I = F_0 - 791 F_2 + 721 F_4 + 601 F_6 + 136 F_8 \pm (G_0 - 791 G_2 + 721 G_4 + 601 G_6 + 136 G_8)$	
	${}^1K, {}^3K = F_0 - 392 F_2 - 784 F_4 - 152 F_6 - 17 F_8 \mp (G_0 - 392 G_2 - 784 G_4 - 152 G_6 - 17 G_8)$	
	${}^1L, {}^3L = F_0 + 784 F_2 + 196 F_4 + 16 F_6 + F_8 \pm (G_0 + 784 G_2 + 196 G_4 + 16 G_6 + G_8)$	

$$\zeta({}^3P) = \zeta({}^3D) = \zeta({}^3F) = \zeta({}^3G) = \zeta({}^3H) = \zeta({}^3I) = \zeta({}^3K) = \zeta({}^3L) = \frac{1}{4} \zeta_{ng} + \frac{1}{4} \zeta_{n'g}$$

g²: The formulas for the allowed terms, ${}^1S, {}^3P, {}^1D, {}^3F, {}^1G, {}^3H, {}^1I, {}^3K, {}^1L$, are obtained from *gg* by omitting the expressions in the G 's. The intervals are given by $\zeta({}^3P) = \zeta({}^3F) = \zeta({}^3H) = \zeta({}^3K) = \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{ng}$.

TABLE III. *The integral* C_{uvv} [cf. (6)].*

$C_{000} = 2$	$C_{112} = \frac{4}{15}$	$C_{222} = \frac{4}{35}$	$C_{334} = \frac{4}{77}$
$C_{011} = \frac{2}{3}$	$C_{123} = \frac{6}{35}$	$C_{224} = \frac{4}{35}$	$C_{336} = 20 \frac{0}{3003}$
$C_{022} = \frac{2}{5}$	$C_{134} = \frac{8}{63}$	$C_{233} = 2 \frac{1}{105}$	$C_{345} = 40 \frac{1}{1001}$
$C_{033} = \frac{2}{7}$	$C_{145} = 1 \frac{0}{99}$	$C_{235} = 2 \frac{0}{231}$	$C_{347} = 7 \frac{0}{1287}$
$C_{044} = \frac{2}{9}$		$C_{244} = 4 \frac{0}{693}$	$C_{444} = 3 \frac{6}{1001}$
		$C_{246} = 1 \frac{0}{143}$	$C_{446} = 4 \frac{0}{1287}$
			$C_{448} = 9 \frac{8}{21879}$

* The table gives all nonvanishing integrals for u and $v \leq 4$. The values are independent of the order of the three subscripts.

III. FORMULA DETERMINING THE ELECTROSTATIC ENERGIES OF TWO-ELECTRON-LIKE CONFIGURATIONS WITH ALMOST-CLOSED SHELLS. VALUES FOR p^5g AND d^9g

In §1³ (TAS) it is shown that *the coefficients of the F 's in the electrostatic energies for the configuration $l^{m-1}l'$, which has one electron missing from the l shell, are the negatives of those for the related two-electron configuration $l'l'$. It is also shown that the coefficients of the G 's vanish for the triplets, so that if the formulas for $l'l'$ are known the computation is reduced to a determination of the coefficients of the G 's for the singlets. These G singlet coefficients, in the cases where they have been previously obtained, by the lengthy diagonal-sum calculation, turn out to have the strikingly simple form shown by the formulas of p. 299, TAS. We show below the reason for this form and give for the nonvanishing G coefficients an explicit formula whose use eliminates completely the necessity for a diagonal-sum calculation for configurations of this type.*

The start of the table (similar to 1³⁵, TAS) which classifies the orbital functions for $l^{m-1}l'$ according to the values of M_S and M_L is, for $M_S=0$:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 M_L = l+l' & (-l^+, l'^+)(-l^-, l'^-), \\
 M_L = l+l'-1 & (-l+1^+, l'^+)(-l+1^-, l'^-), \\
 & (-l^+, l'^-1^+)(-l^-, l'^-1^-), \\
 M = l+l'-2 & (-l+2^+, l'^+)(-l+2^-, l'^-), \\
 & (-l+1^+, l'^-1^+)(-l+1^-, l'^-1^-), \\
 & (-l^+, l'^-2^+)(-l^-, l'^-2^-).
 \end{array}$$

(The first entry in each parenthesis gives the quantum number m_l, m_s of the electron missing from the l shell, the second entry the quantum numbers of the l' electron.) The table continues in this fashion down to $M_L = |l-l'|$, and the G terms in the energies of all the singlets may be obtained by using just this part of the table.

In the diagonal sum for the box characterized by $M_S=0, M_L=M_L$, the coefficient of $G^k(l')$, according to 1³⁴ (TAS), is seen to be

$$+ \sum_m 2b^k(l, m; l', M_L+m). \quad (4)$$

Now we shall presently prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_m b^k(l, m; l', M+m) \\
 &= \frac{(2l+1)(2l'+1)}{2(2k+1)} C_{l'l'k} \quad \text{if } k \geq |M| \\
 &= 0 \quad \text{if } k < |M|, \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

so that the sum (4), when it is nonvanishing, is independent of M_L . The factor $C_{l'l'k}$ is the integral of the product of three Legendre polynomials:

$$C_{l'l'k} = \int_0^\pi P_l(\cos \theta) P_{l'}(\cos \theta) P_k(\cos \theta) \sin \theta d\theta. \quad (6)$$

Its values, which may be readily obtained from 9⁹ (TAS) are given in Table III. From (4) and (5), and the usual diagonal-sum procedure which starts with the box with $M_S=0, M_L=l+l'$ and works down the table we see at once that

In the electrostatic energy for 1L the coefficients of all G^k 's vanish except that for $k=L$, which has the value

$$\frac{(2l+1)(2l'+1)}{2L+1} C_{l'l'L}.$$

Since $C_{l'l'k}$ vanishes unless the triangular conditions (2) are satisfied, only alternate singlets, those with $L=l+l', l+l'-2, l+l'-4, \dots, |l-l'|$ have any nonvanishing G coefficients. The intermediate singlets, with $L=l+l'-1, l+l'-3, \dots$ have the same electrostatic energies as the triplets of the same L value.

From this rule and those enunciated at the beginning of this section we may at once write down the electrostatic energy formulas, in particular the formulas for d^9g which we shall need in our applications to Cu II in the succeeding paper. In p^5g and d^9g the only nonvanishing terms in the G 's are given by

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 p^5g \text{ or } g^{17}p & \begin{cases} {}^1H: & 90G_5 \\ {}^1F: & 72G_3 \end{cases} \\
 d^9g \text{ or } g^{17}d & \begin{cases} {}^1I: & 990G_6 \\ {}^1G: & 3080G_4 \\ {}^1D: & 252G_2. \end{cases} \quad (7)
 \end{array}$$

Note that these are written in terms of G_k rather than G^k ($G_k = G^k/D_k$, cf. Table I).

Proof of (5).—By the definition of $b^k = (c^k)^2$,

$$\sum_m b^k(l, m; l', M+m) = \sum_m b^k(l', M+m; l, m)$$

$$= \sum_m \frac{2}{2k+1} \int \int [\Theta_1(k, M)\Theta_1(l', M+m)\Theta_1(l, m)][\Theta_2(k, M)\Theta_2(l', M+m)\Theta_2(l, m)] \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 d\theta_1 d\theta_2,$$

if $k \geq |M|$, b^k and hence the sum vanish by definition for $k < |M|$. We now introduce integrals over φ_1 and φ_2 , involving a quantum number m' , which vanish unless $m' = M+m$, in which case they equal $(2\pi)^{-1}$. We may then write m' for $M+m$ in the Θ factors if we introduce a sum over m' . In this way the above expression becomes

$$= \sum_{m'} \sum_m \frac{4\pi}{2k+1} \int \int \int [\Theta_1(kM)\Theta_2(kM)\Phi_1(M)\bar{\Phi}_2(M)][\Theta_1(l'm')\Theta_2(l'm')\bar{\Phi}_1(m')\Phi_2(m')] \times [\Theta_1(lm)\Theta_2(lm)\Phi_1(m)\bar{\Phi}_2(m)] \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\varphi_1 d\varphi_2.$$

The sums over m and m' may now be evaluated by means of the spherical-harmonic addition theorem to give

$$= \frac{(2l'+1)(2l+1)}{4\pi(2k+1)} \int \int \int \Theta_1(kM)\Theta_2(kM)\Phi_1(M)\bar{\Phi}_2(M)P_l(\cos \omega)P_l(\cos \omega) \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\varphi_1 d\varphi_2,$$

where ω is the angle between the directions θ_1, φ_1 and θ_2, φ_2 . Now express the product $P_l(\cos \omega)P_l(\cos \omega)$ as a series in

TABLE IV. Matrices for transformation from *LS* to *jj* coupling.

<p>df 3H_6</p> $\begin{matrix} \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \hline & & 1 \end{matrix}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3H_5 & {}^3G_5 & {}^1H_5 \\ \hline \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 1 & .12 & .130 \\ 3.10 & .170 & -.513 \\ 2.121 & -.121 & .170 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{5\sqrt{7}}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3H_4 & {}^3G_4 & {}^3F_4 & {}^1G_4 \\ \hline \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} .412 & .133 & -.355 & .2165 \\ 2.153 & 6.130 & -.1012 & -.1016 \\ 2.122 & 18.13 & 10.15 & 6.115 \\ 4.110 & -.115 & 5 & 10.13 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{10\sqrt{21}}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3G_3 & {}^3F_3 & {}^3D_3 & {}^1F_3 \\ \hline \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} .315 & .135 & -.4130 & .21105 \\ 10.12 & 6.174 & -.613 & 2.142 \\ 2.115 & 2.105 & 6.170 & 2.135 \\ 15.13 & -.121 & 4.12 & 6.17 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{14\sqrt{5}}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3F_2 & {}^3D_2 & {}^3P_2 & {}^1D_2 \\ \hline \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} -.4 & .115 & -.316 & 3.170 \\ 3.16 & 3.170 & -.4 & -.115 \\ -.3 & 2.113 & 4.16 & .170 \\ 4.16 & -.170 & 3 & 2.113 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{5\sqrt{7}}$	<p>pf 3G_5</p> $\begin{matrix} \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \hline & & 1 \end{matrix}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3G_4 & {}^3F_4 & {}^1G_4 \\ \hline \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 2 & 2.115 & 2.115 \\ 3.15 & .13 & -.6 \\ 1.7 & .135 & -.121 & 2.17 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{21}}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3G_3 & {}^3F_3 & {}^3D_3 & {}^1F_3 \\ \hline \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} -.6 & 6.17 & -.1215 & 6.121 \\ 9.15 & 5.135 & -.8 & 2.1705 \\ 3.13 & 5.121 & 8.113 & 6.17 \\ 3.6 & -.417 & 2.15 & 4.121 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{42}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3F_2 & {}^3D_2 & {}^1D_2 \\ \hline \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} -.13 & 2.16 & 6 \\ 4.12 & 5 & -.16 \\ 2.17 & -.174 & 1.21 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{3\sqrt{7}}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3P_0 & {}^3D_1 \\ \hline \frac{5}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & 1 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{matrix}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3D_1 & {}^3P_1 & {}^3P_1 \\ \hline \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} -.13 & .170 & 2.15 \\ 4 & 3.12 & -.1 \\ 1.74 & -.17 & 1.74 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{35}}$	<p>pg 3H_6</p> $\begin{matrix} \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \hline & & 1 \end{matrix}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3H_5 & {}^3G_5 & {}^1H_5 \\ \hline \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} .13 & 4.12 & .170 \\ 2.16 & 1 & -.215 \\ 3.12 & -.213 & .175 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{3\sqrt{5}}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3H_4 & {}^3G_4 & {}^3F_4 & {}^1G_4 \\ \hline \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} -.8 & 9.111 & -.5177 & 6.155 \\ 4.177 & 18.17 & -.10 & 6.135 \\ 2.111 & 3.6 & 20.17 & 12.15 \\ 8.155 & 9.15 & 1.35 & 3.0 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{18\sqrt{15}}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3G_3 & {}^3F_3 & {}^1F_3 \\ \hline \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} -.1 & .175 & 2.13 \\ 2.15 & 2.13 & -.2 \\ 1.7 & .175 & -.3 & 2.13 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{6}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3F_2 & {}^3D_1 \\ \hline \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} & 1 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{matrix}$	<p>dg 3I_7</p> $\begin{matrix} \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \hline & & 1 \end{matrix}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3H_6 & {}^3I_6 & {}^1I_6 \\ \hline \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & 1 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 4.17 & .12 & .121 \\ .15 & .170 & -.2115 \\ -.312 & 3.17 & 3.16 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{3\sqrt{15}}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3I_5 & {}^3H_5 & {}^3G_5 & {}^1H_5 \\ \hline \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} -.413 & 12.86 & -.3154 & 6.2145 \\ 13.10 & 7.177 & -.1213 & -.12310 \\ -.1193 & 7.166 & 12.174 & 6.155 \\ 4.1390 & 2.133 & 3.17 & 3.1110 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{15\sqrt{33}}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3H_4 & {}^3G_4 & {}^3F_4 & {}^1G_4 \\ \hline \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} -.217 & 6.12 & -.2110 & 6.170 \\ 4.14 & 2.1 & -.155 & 6.13 \\ -.412 & 7.17 & 13.85 & 2.135 \\ 2.1154 & 2.111 & 2.15 & 2.155 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{30}$ $\begin{matrix} {}^3G_3 & {}^3F_3 & {}^3D_3 & {}^1F_0 \\ \hline \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\ \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} & \frac{5}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} -.616 & 2.1154 & 10.111 & 2.1462 \\ 3.1163 & 7.135 & -.4110 & 2.1105 \\ -.3117 & 7.121 & 20.16 & 6.17 \\ 6.155 & 2.1705 & 2.130 & 6.133 \end{matrix} \frac{1}{6\sqrt{105}}$
--	---	---	---

$P_\lambda(\cos \omega)$, and then expand $P_\lambda(\cos \omega)$ by the addition theorem:

$$P_{l'}(\cos \omega)P_l(\cos \omega) = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{2\lambda+1}{2} C_{ll'\lambda} P_\lambda(\cos \omega) = \sum_{\lambda} 2\pi C_{ll'\lambda} \sum_{\mu} \Theta_1(\lambda\mu)\Theta_2(\lambda\mu)\bar{\Phi}_1(\mu)\Phi_2(\mu)$$

to give

$$\sum_m b^k(l, m; l', M+m) = \frac{(2l'+1)(2l+1)}{2(2k+1)} \sum_{\lambda, \mu} C_{ll'\lambda} \int \int \Theta_1(kM)\Phi_1(M)\Theta_1(\lambda\mu)\bar{\Phi}_1(\mu) \sin \theta_1 d\theta_1 d\varphi_1 \cdot \int \int \Theta_2(kM)\bar{\Phi}_2(M)\Theta_2(\lambda\mu)\Phi_2(\mu) \sin \theta_2 d\theta_2 d\varphi_2.$$

These integrals vanish unless $\lambda\mu = kM$, in which case they give the value 1, so the sum over λ, μ gives finally the result (5).

IV. MATRICES FOR TRANSFORMATION OF pf, df, pg, dg FROM LS TO jj COUPLING (TABLE IV)

In order to find the electrostatic energy matrices needed to handle configurations like p^5f of the rare gases, d^9f and d^9g of spectra like Cu II, in jj coupling, we need the transformations from LS to jj coupling. The transformation matrix for the almost-closed-shell configuration $l^{m-1}l'$ is the same as that for the two-electron configuration ll' when the states are correlated as in Chapter XII, TAS. We obtain these matrices by simultaneous diagonalization of the jj -coupling matrices of $L_1 \cdot L_2$ (or L^2) and $S_1 \cdot S_2$ (or S^2) as sketched in §6¹², TAS. We may note one simplification in connection with this diagonalization which was not noticed when previous computations were made. In no two-electron configuration will more than four levels of the same J value occur, so the transformation factorizes into matrices of at most fourth order. For a typical J value, the four Russell-Saunders levels are $^1J_J, ^3J+1_J, ^3J_J, ^3J-1_J$. The state 1J_J may be obtained directly from the matrix $S_1 \cdot S_2$ since it has a value of $S_1 \cdot S_2$ different from the other three. The states $^3J+1_J$ and $^3J-1_J$ may be obtained directly from the matrix of $L_1 \cdot L_2$ since they possess unique values of this quantity. The state 3J_J however has the same value of $S_1 \cdot S_2$ as the other triplets and the same $L_1 \cdot L_2$ as the singlet. To obtain it directly involves the

simultaneous diagonalization of the two matrices with its attendant inconvenient intermediate matrix multiplications. But this may be avoided by noting that after $^1J_J, ^3J+1_J, ^3J-1_J$ have been found, the eigenfunction for 3J_J is the unique function orthogonal to the other three.

The phases of the LS -coupling states in the transformation matrices of Table IV are arbitrary but the jj -coupling phases are chosen in accordance with the conventions of §6¹², TAS.

V. MATRICES OF ELECTROSTATIC INTERACTION IN jj COUPLING FOR $p^5f, (d^9p), d^9d, d^9f, d^9g$ (TABLE V)

We finally give the results of transforming the electrostatic energies of section III to jj coupling by means of the matrices of section IV for cases of interest in the paper following this. The electrostatic matrices for p^5p and p^5d are given on pp. 307, 313 of TAS. We note that since the electrostatic energies and the LS - jj transformations are the same, the jj electrostatic-energy matrix for d^9p is the same as that for p^5d , when the d^9 and the p_j values are correlated with the d and the p^5_j values respectively. Hence we do not need to give the d^9p matrix. Note however, that to conform to the jj -coupling phase conventions of TAS, a phase factor $(-1)^{j_1+j_2-J}$ must be applied in switching from pd to dp or from p^5d to d^9p .