the evidence that the *d* component levels of the $3p^{1}\Pi_{cd}$ state are perturbed is quite conclusive. The *c* component levels, on the other hand, appear to be entirely regular. This is the same situation as that which exists in the case of the $2p^{1}\Pi_{cd}$ state and which has been discussed in a previous paper.⁵

The vibrational term differences have already been obtained^{1, 2} from the data of the absorption spectrum. These data however do not give as accurate a value of ΔG_e as can be obtained from the present work. In the first place the v=0, 1and 2 levels are missing in the absorption spectrum and in the second place the origins of the bands cannot be closely determined until the rotational structure is analyzed. In Fig. 2 is indicated graphically the ΔG_v values obtained from the emission spectrum (low values of v) and from the absorption spectrum (high values of v). The directly determined ΔG_v values from the present study are $\Delta G_{\frac{1}{2}}=2226$ and $\Delta G_{\frac{1}{2}}=2099$

TABLE III. Constants of the $3p^{1}\Pi_{cd}$ state.

$\begin{array}{cccc} T_e & 113908 \pm 10 \ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \\ B_e & 30.56 \pm 0.04 \ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \\ \Delta G_e & 2353 \pm 10 \ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \\ I_e & 0.9051 \times 10^{-40} \ \mathrm{g} \ \mathrm{cm}^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{vmatrix} r_e \\ \alpha_e \\ 2x_e \omega_e \end{vmatrix}$	1.044A 1.643 cm ⁻¹ 227 cm ⁻¹
---	--	--

cm⁻¹ respectively. The extrapolated value of ΔG_e is then 2353 ± 10 cm⁻¹ as compared with 2316 ± 20 cm⁻¹, derived² from the absorption spectrum.

A summary of the values of the important constants is given in Table III.

It may be remarked that in the calculation of T_e as given in Table III the presence of Λ in the rotational energy function (Eq. (1)) was taken into consideration.

A search for the $3p^{1}\Pi_{cd} - 1s^{1}\Sigma$ band system in the emission spectrum of D_{2} has been made, but because of the very small intensity of the D_{2} spectra on the photographs thus far obtained and measured, the result of this study is inconclusive.

JULY 1, 1938

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 54

The Classification of the Spectrum of Singly Ionized Tin. Sn II

W. W. MCCORMICK AND R. A. SAWYER University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan (Received May 7, 1938)

The spectrum of Sn II, as excited in a hollow cathode discharge in helium, has been photographed from 800A to 10,000A. Some 70 new lines have been classified and 28 new levels established. A few changes have been made in the levels and classifications previously made by Green and Loring, Narayan, and Lang. The $5s^2ms$, -mp, -md and -mf series have been extended, and the 2F levels have been resolved for the first time. Six members of the $5s^2mg {}^2G$ series have been located and used to make a new determination of the ionization potential of Sn II as $118,017 \pm 3$ cm⁻¹. The classification has been checked by series relations, Zeeman patterns, and by comparison with the isoelectronic spectra In I and Sb III. Irregularities in the 2S and 2D terms are explained qualitatively by consideration of interactions with perturbing terms from the configuration sp^2 .

THE first classifications of Sn II were made independently by Green and Loring¹ and by Narayan and Rao² and were in substantial agreement. Green and Loring, using vacuum arc data, supplemented by data of R. J. Lang in the extreme ultraviolet, and with the help of a few Zeeman effects, classified thirty of the stronger lines, fixing nineteen low levels. Two

levels each were found in the low normal ${}^{2}S$, ${}^{2}P$, ${}^{2}D$ and ${}^{2}F$ series, and the ${}^{2}D$ and ${}^{2}S$ levels from the configuration sp^{2} were located. Lang³ later rephotographed the spectrum with a hollow cathode discharge, and made a few corrections and additions, notably the ${}^{4}P$ terms from sp^{2} . No high terms were found in these researches and the ionization potential was determined only roughly by Green and Loring, who fixed it

¹ Green and Loring, Phys. Rev. 30, 574 (1927).

² Narayan and Rao, Zeits. f. Physik 45, 350 (1927).

³ Lang, Phys. Rev. 35, 445 (1930).

TABLE I. Unresolved Zeeman patterns of Green and Loring, and computed centers of intensity according to Shenstone and Blair's formula. The observed pattern for each line is given first, the computed pattern is immediately below.

λ	PATTERN	CLASSIFICATION
3283	(0) 0.91	$p^{2} {}^{2}D_{3/2} - 4^{2}F_{5/2}$
3352	(0) 1.06	$p^{2} {}^{2}D_{5/2} - 4^{2}F_{7/2}$
5332	$(0) \begin{array}{c} 0.835\\ 0.833 \end{array}$	$6^2 P_{1/2} - 6^2 D_{3/2}$
5562	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ (0) \ 1.04\\ 1 \ 10 \end{array}$	$6^2 P_{3/2} - 6^2 D_{5/2}$
5589	$(0) \begin{array}{c} 0.90\\ 0.90\\ 0.90 \end{array}$	$5^2 D_{3/2} - 4^2 F_{5/2}$
5799	(0) 1.07 1.07	$5^2 D_{5/2} - 4^2 F_{7/2}$

by assuming equal effective quantum numbers for the first two members of the ${}^{2}F$ term sequence.

The lowest state of singly ionized lead is $5s^25p \,^2P_{1/2}$. Excitation of the 5p electron to higher states gives rise to the usual doublet series ²S, ²P, ²D, ²F-, all of which have as a limit the $5s^{2} S_{0}$ term of Sn III. Excitation of one of the two 5s electrons leads to configurations of the types $5s5p^2$, 5s5p5d, $5s5d^2$, etc., with higher limits in the Sn III spectrum. However, a consideration of the energy values in Sn III, corresponding to the excitation of a 5s electron to 5p, 5d, etc., states, permits a rough calculation of such energies in Sn II. It is easily seen that the only configuration arising from an excited 5s electron in Sn II which will lie below the $5s^2$ ionization limits is $5s5p^2$. From this configuration are expected the eight levels ${}^{4}P_{1/2, 3/2, 5/2}$, ${}^{2}D_{3/2, 5/2}$, ${}^{2}P_{1/2, 3/2}$ and ${}^{2}S_{1/2}$. As noted above, six of these terms were found by earlier investigators, in addition to a few low terms in the normal series.

EXPERIMENTAL

The light source chosen for the present investigation was the hollow cathode discharge in an atmosphere of helium. The excitation in this discharge tends to be limited by the reactions between the metal atoms or ions and the metastable or ionized rare gas atoms.⁴ The energy of ionization of helium is about 198,000 cm⁻¹ while that of Sn I is roughly 59,000 cm⁻¹. Thus there is left available nearly 139,000 cm⁻¹ from this reaction for the excitation of the Sn II levels. Since the ionization potential of Sn II is approximately 118,000 cm⁻¹, it is apparent that the Sn II spectrum should be completely excited in this discharge, while the residual energy above that required to doubly ionize tin is not sufficient to excite even the lowest Sn III levels, which lie about 55,000 cm⁻¹ higher. The only difficulty in obtaining satisfactory spectra from the discharge arose from the low vapor pressure of tin (boiling point 2260°C), which tends to keep the tin pressure in the discharge too low for the best intensity in the higher excited states of Sn II.

The gas purifying system and excitation conditions have been sufficiently described elsewhere.⁵ In the present set-up, the helium was circulated continuously by a mercury vapor pump through a purifying system consisting of suitable traps in liquid air. Current was furnished by a vacuum tube rectifier, the discharge drawing nearly 350 milliamperes. Pure tin was cut into small pieces and placed inside a tungsten cathode, whence it entered the discharge both by temperature evaporation and by bombardment.

The spectrum of the discharge was photographed from 800A to 9000A, a region sufficient to cover all the important lines of the tin spark spectrum. The region of wave-lengths shorter than $\lambda 2200$ was photographed with a concave grating vacuum spectrograph.⁶ The grating (1 m radius, 14,400 lines per inch) gave a dispersion of about 17A/mm. Satisfactory standards of wave-length were found among the O, He, and Hg lines which appeared in the discharge. The region from 2200A to 2700A was photographed with a Hilger E2 quartz spectrograph, while for the regions 2500A to 4500A and 4500A to 10,000A, a Hilger E1 quartz and a glass spectrograph of comparable dimensions were used. Iron arc comparison spectra were placed on all plates through the quartz and glass regions up to 8000A. Above 8000A a neon comparison spectrum was used. All plates were measured on a Gaertner comparator. The calculated wave-lengths in air were reduced to wave numbers in vacuum with the help of Kayser's table. For wave-lengths greater than 2200A, the wave number values are probably correct, in most cases, to about one wave per centimeter;

⁴ Sawyer, Phys. Rev. 36, 44 (1930).

⁵ Sawyer and Paschen, Ann. d. Physik 84, 1 (1927).

⁶ Sawyer, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 15, 305 (1927).

for the wave-lengths from the vacuum spectrograph, the error is somewhat greater and may be as high as 10 cm^{-1} for the shortest wave-lengths and weakest lines.

DATA AND CLASSIFICATION

Green and Loring,1 in their work on the tin spectra, determined the Zeeman effects of eight Sn II lines. Only two of the pat-

TABLE II. Classified lines in Sn II.

I	λ VAC.	v VAC.	CLASSIFICATION	Δν	Notes	I	λAir	ν VAC.	CLASSIFICATION	Δν	Notes
0	888.38	112,564	$5^2 P_{1/2} - 11^2 D_{3/2}$	7		1	2912.80	34,321	$5^2 D_{5/2} - 9^2 P_{3/2}$	0	
1	899.92	111,121	$5^2 P_{1/2} - 10^2 D_{3/2}$	-1	1	6	2919.82	34,239	$6^2 P_{1/2} - 8^2 D_{3/2}$	1	
2	917.40	109,004	$5^2 P_{1/2} - 9^2 D_{3/2}$	-1		0	2943.60	33,962	$5^2 D_{3/2} - 6^2 F_{5/2}$	0	
0	923.07	108,334	$5^2 P_{3/2} - 11^2 D_{5/2}$	-2		3	2991.00	33,424	$p^{2} {}^{2}D_{3/2} - 7^{2}P_{3/2}$	0	
1	935.63	106,880	$5^2 P_{3/2} - 10^2 D_{5/2}$	4	1	7	2994.44	33,386	$6^2 P_{3/2} - 8^2 D_{5/2}$	0	
3	945.83	105,728	$5^2 P_{1/2} - 8^2 D_{3/2}$	2		0	2997.13	33,356	$6^2 P_{3/2} - 8^2 D_{3/2}$	0	
4	954.50	104,767	$5^2 P_{3/2} - 9^2 D_{5/2}$	4	}	1	3012.18	33,189	$6^2 P_{1/2} - 9^2 S_{1/2}$	0	
7	985.13	101,508	$5^2 P_{3/2} - 8^2 D_{5/2}$	0		8	3023.94	33,060	$p^{2} {}^{2}D_{3/2} - 7^{2}P_{1/2}$	-1	
0	995.77	100,425	$5^2 P_{3/2} - 9^2 S_{1/2}$	2		12	3047.50	32,804	$p^{2} {}^{2}D_{5/2} - 7^{2}P_{3/2}$	0	
4	997.21	100,280	$5^2 P_{1/2} - 7^2 D_{3/2}$	0		6	3094.69	32,304	$6^2 P_{3/2} - 9^2 S_{1/2}$	1	g
2	1016.26	98,400	$5^2 P_{1/2} - 8^2 S_{1/2}$	-1		50	3283.21	30,449	$p^{2} {}^{2}D_{3/2} - 4^{2}F_{5/2}$	-1	x
4	1040.78	96,082	$5^2 P_{3/2} - 7^2 D_{5/2}$	0		60	3351.97	29,824	$p^{2} {}^{2}D_{5/2} - 4^{2}F_{7/2}$	0	x, l
1	1041.32	96,032	$5^2 P_{3/2} - 7^2 D_{3/2}$	-4		0	3355.55	29,793	$5^2 D_{3/2} - 8^2 P_{1/2}$	2	
3	1062.10	94,153	$5^2 P_{3/2} - 8^2 S_{1/2}$	-0		10	3407.48	29,339	$5^2 D_{5/2} - 8^2 P_{3/2}$	-1	
8	1108.19	90,237	$5^{2}P_{1/2} - 0^{2}D_{3/2}$	1	x	10	34/2.40	28,790	$0^2 P_{1/2} - 7^2 D_{3/2}$		
10	1159.05	86,277	$5^{2}P_{1/2} - 7^{2}S_{1/2}$	-1	<i>y</i> , <i>a</i>	11	3537.37	28,200	$5^{2}D_{3/2} - 5^{2}F_{5/2}$		y, 1
10	1101.43	85,101	$5^{2}P_{3/2} - 0^{2}D_{5/2}$ $5^{2}P_{5/2} - 6^{2}D_{5/2}$	-3	x	11	2592 20	27,900	$0^{2}P_{3/2} - 7^{2}D_{5/2}$		у, <i>к</i>
4	1102.94	84 700	$5^{2}P_{3/2} - 5^{2}D_{3/2}$	-3	a h	5	3587 32	27,900	$5^{2}F_{3/2} - 7^{2}D_{3/2}$	0	7.
õ	1210.07	82 030	$5^{2}P_{1/2} - 7^{2}S_{1/2}$	-6	<i>x</i> , <i>o</i>	2	3620.08	27,616	$p^{-1} \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2}$	0	n
13	1223 70	81 710	$5^2 P_{1/2} = h^2 \frac{2}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{2} h^{n-1/2}$	-0	y, u	6	3620.08	27,612	$5^2 D_{5/2} - 5^2 F_{5/2}$		1
11	1243.00	80,450	$5^2 P_{0/2} - \phi^2 {}^2 P_{0/2}$	4	x, y	0	3705 10	26 981	$5 D_{5/2} = 5 P_{7/2}$		y, v
20	1240.00	77 467	$5^{2}P_{0/2} - b^{2} \frac{2}{5} \sum_{1/2}^{3/2}$	Ō	x, y, 0	6	3715 23	26,901	$p^{-1} \frac{1}{2} = 0^{-1} \frac{1}{2}$ $6^2 P_{1/2} = 8^2 S_{1/2}$	0	n
20	1316 59	75 953	$5^2 P_{3/2} - b^2 {}^2 P_{1/2}$	ŏ	, y	4	3841 44	26 024	$6^2 P_{1/2} - 8^2 S_{1/2}$	1	
$\overline{25}$	1400.52	71,402	$5^2 P_{1/2} - 5^2 D_{2/2}$	3	x	Ō	3984.77	25,088	$4^2 F_{\pi/2} - 11^2 G$	Î Î	
$\overline{20}$	1475.15	67.795	$5^2 P_{3/2} - 5^2 D_{5/2}$	Õ	x	Ŏ	3994.51	25.027	$b^{2} {}^{4}P_{2/2} - 6^{2}P_{1/2}$	ŏ	
9	1489.22	67.149	$5^2 P_{3/2} - 5^2 D_{3/2}$	4	x	0	4110.51	24.321	$4^{2}F_{7/2} - 10^{2}G$	Ŏ	
7	1699.47	58.842	$5^2 P_{1/2} - \phi^{2} {}^2 D_{3/2}$	0	x. y. c	0	4111.59	24.315	$4^2 F_{5/2} - 10^2 G$	Ŏ	
7	1758.00	56,883	$5^2 P_{1/2} - 6^2 S_{1/2}$	0	x	0	4293.61	23,284	$4^2 F_{7/2} - 9^2 G$	0	
15	1811.34	55,208	$5^2 P_{3/2} - p^2 {}^2 D_{5/2}$	2	x	1	4294.65	23,278	$4^2 F_{5/2} - 9^2 G$	0	
9	1831.89	54,588	$5^2 P_{3/2} - p^2 {}^2 D_{3/2}$.2	x, c	1	4579.13	21,832	$4^2 F_{7/2} - 8^2 G$	0	1.1
12	1899.91	52,634	$5^2 P_{3/2} - 6^2 S_{1/2}$	-3	x	1	4580.29	21,826	$4^2F_{5/2}-8^2G$	0	
1	2149.31	46,527	$p^{2} {}^{2}D_{3/2} - 6^{2}F_{5/2}$	2		0	4792.22	20,861	$5^2 D_{3/2} - 7^2 P_{3/2}$	0	
30	2152.22	46,464	$5^2 P_{1/2} - p^2 {}^4 P_{3/2}$	-1	y y	2	4877.22	20,498	$5^2D_{3/2} - 7^2P_{1/2}$	0	
		Via				3	4944.31	20,219	$5^2 D_{5/2} - 7^2 P_{3/2}$	0	
	AAIR	v VAC.				2	5071.14	19,714	$4^2F_{7/2}-7^2G$	0	
4	2209.67	45,241	$5^{2}P_{3/2} - p^{2} P_{5/2}$	· 0	y y		5072.67	19,708	$4^2F_{5/2}-7^2G$	0	_
0	2246.07	44,509	$5^2 P_{1/2} - p^2 {}^4 P_{1/2}$	0	У	10	5332.30	18,748	$6^2 P_{1/2} - 6^2 D_{3/2}$	0	x, l
3	2360.34	42,354	$p^{2} {}^{2}D_{3/2} - 8^{2}P_{1/2}$	0		20	5561.95	17,974	$6^2 P_{3/2} - 6^2 D_{5/2}$		x, l
22	2308.33	42,211	$5^{2}P_{3/2} - p^{2} P_{3/2}$	1) Y	25	5588.92	17,887	$5^2 D_{3/2} - 4^2 F_{5/2}$		x, l
4	2384.54	41,924	$p^{2} D_{5/2} - \delta^{2} P_{3/2}$		1		5390.20	17,804	$0^2 P_{3/2} - 0^2 D_{3/2}$	0	x, l
15	2433.32	41,080	$5^{2} \Gamma_{1/2} - 11^{2} D_{3/2}$		u u	15	5797.20	17,245	$5^{2}D_{5/2} - 4^{2}F_{5/2}$	0	7
13	2440.90	40,821	$p^{-2}D_{3/2} - 5^{-1}D_{5/2}$ $5^{2}D_{3/2} - b^{2}4D_{3/2}$	3	<i>y</i> , <i>e</i>	13	5065 60	16 759	$5^{2}D_{5/2} - 4^{2}F_{7/2}$		x, 1
10	2485.48	40,234	$5^{2} \frac{1}{3/2} - p^{-1} \frac{1}{1/2}$	0	y N P	6	6077.48	16 140	$1^{2}F_{3/2} - 9^{2}D_{5/2}$	-1	
15	2522 61	39 630	$6^2 P_{1/2} - 10^2 D_{2/2}$	ŏ	<i>y</i> , <i>c</i>	5	6079 70	16 443	$4^{2}F_{1/2} = 6^{2}G$	0	
ŏ	2579 08	38 762	$6^2 P_{3/2} - 10^2 D_{5/2}$	ŏ		70	6453 50	15 491	$6^{2}S_{1/2} - 6^{2}P_{0/2}$	ŏ	r
ŏ	2608.67	38.322	$6^2 P_{2/2} - 11^2 S_{1/2}$	ŏ		8	6761.45	14,786	$6^2 P_{1/2} - 7^2 S_{1/2}$	ŏ	~
ž	2664.93	37.513	$6^2 P_{1/2} - 9^2 D_{2/2}$	ŏ		25	6844.05	14.608	$6^2 S_{1/2} - 6^2 P_{1/2}$	ĭ	x
ō	2711.74	36.866	$6^2 P_{1/2} - 10^2 S_{1/2}$	Ŏ		20	7191.40	13,902	$6^2 \tilde{P}_{3/2} - 7^2 S_{1/2}$	Ō	
2	2727.82	36,648	$6^2 P_{5/2} - 9^2 D_{5/2}$	1		10	7387.79	13.532	$b^2 {}^2D_{3/2} - 6^2P_{3/2}$	ŏ	
0	2778.34	35,982	$6^2 P_{3/2} - 10^2 S_{1/2}$	0		1	7408.62	13,494	$7^2 P_{3/2} - 8^2 D_{5/2}$	ŏ	
2	2825.52	35,381	$6^2 S_{1/2} - 7^2 P_{3/2}$	-2	f	13	7741.80	12,913	$p^{2} {}^{2}D_{5/2} - 6^{2}P_{3/2}$	1	
1	2846.42	35,121	$6^2 S_{1/2} - 7^2 P_{1/2}$	1	f	3	7904.00	12,648	$p^{2} {}^{2}D_{3/2} - 6^{2}P_{1/2}$	0	
						1 .					

x. Classified by Green and Loring.
y. Classified by Lang.
a. Our measurements are about an angstrom higher than Lang's.
b. Green and Loring classified as 5²P_{1/2}, _{3/2} - 7²S_{1/2}.
c. Lang's classification has the j values interchanged.
d. May be the line 2433.473 classified in Sn I by Green and Loring.
e. Green and Loring gave X2849.8 and X2488 for this pair.
f' Green and Loring used X2789.32 and X2761.78 for this pair.

g, An OH line falls on the tin line.
h, These lines are covered by rather strong helium lines.
f, Green and Loring used \$\lambda 3624.6\$ and \$\lambda 5540.0\$ for this pair.
k, Lang classified as \$6^2P_{1/2} - 7^2D_{1/2}\$.
l, Our measurements on these strong lines are lower than those of Green and Loring by 0.5 to 0.9A, perhaps because of the greater sharpness of the lines from the hollow cathode.

terns were resolved, $\lambda 6453$ $6^2P_{3/2} - 6^2S_{1/2}$ and $\lambda 5596 \ 6^2 D_{1/2} - 6^2 P_{3/2}$, and gave patterns in complete agreement with the assignments. However, the later work of Shenstone and Blair⁷ permits the calculation of the center of intensity of unresolved patterns. Table I shows the results of such a calculation for the remaining magnetic measurements of Green and Loring on Sn II lines. In this table, for each line, is given the separation observed by Green and Loring and, immediately below it, that to be expected from the formula of Shenstone and Blair for the classification given by Green and Loring. The correctness of the assignments is established by the good agreement. The 6^2P , 5^2D , 5^2D and p^{2} 2D differences are thus established and these differences, together with the 5^2P difference of Green and Loring, which was assumed to be correct, formed a starting point for further classification.

It was found possible to extend all the doublet series beyond the two members already known. The 4^2F and 5^2F terms were resolved for the first time and found to be inverted. The $4^2F - m^2G$ series were discovered and six terms measured, and the $p^{2} {}^2P$ term located. In all, over 100 lines

TABLE III. Term values in Sn II, with separations and effective quantum numbers. Previously determined terms are italicized. All others are new.

		a standard and a state of the						
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$n^{2}S_{1/2}$			$\begin{array}{c} 61134\\ \textbf{2.680} \end{array}$	<i>31741</i> 3.719	19618 4.730	13338 5.737	9661 6.741	$\begin{array}{r} 7321 \\ 7.743 \end{array}$
$n^{2}P_{1/2}$ n^{*}		118017 1.929	46527 3.072	26114 4.100	16821 5.108			
		4252	884	363	191			
${n^{2}P_{3/2} \over n^{*}}$		$\frac{113765}{1.965}$	45643 3.101	25751 4.129	$16630 \\ 5.138$	11649 6.139		
$n^{2}D_{3/2}$		46612 3.069	27779 3.975	17737 4.975	12287 5.977	9014 6.978	6897 7.978	5446 8.978
		642	110	54	30	20	16	13
${}^{2}D_{5/2}$ n^{*}		45970 3.090	27669 3.983	$\begin{array}{c} 17683\\ 4.982 \end{array}$	$12257 \\ 5.984$	8994 6.986	6881 7.987	5433 8.988
$n^{2}F_{5/2}$ n^{*}	28725 3.909	$\begin{array}{c}18354\\4.891\end{array}$	12650 5.891					
	-6	-4						
$n^{2}F_{7/2}$	28731 3.909	$\begin{array}{c}18358\\4.890\end{array}$						
² G7/2, 9/2 n*			12282 5.978	9017 6.977	6899 7.977	5447 8.977	4410 9.977	3643 10.977
6s6p2	${}^{4P_{1/2}}_{4P_{3/2}}_{4P_{5/2}}$	73508 71554 68524	${}^{2}D_{3/2} \\ {}^{2}D_{5/2}$	59175 58555	$^{2P_{1/2}}_{^{2}P_{3/2}}$	37812 33311	² S _{1/2}	36298

⁷ Shenstone and Blair, Phil. Mag. 8, 765 (1929).

TABLE IV. Unclassified lines of tin. The lines given by Arnolds are marked with an asterisk. The other lines are new.

Intensity	λAir	v VAC.	INTENSITY	γ λ Air	v VAC.
* 9	2265,98	44,116	*13	3413.03	29,291
9	2419.49	41,318	10	3102.23	32,226
*10	2445.01	40,887	* 9	3122.51	32,016
8	2504.59	39,915	*40	3223.59	31,012

were classified and are given in Table II. Lines classified by other experimenters and changes made by the authors in previous classifications are indicated in the notes. The classified lines determine 48 terms, which are given in Table III, together with the separations and effective quantum numbers of the doublet series members. The term values here given are based on a new value of the ionization potential $(118,017\pm3)$ cm⁻¹). This value was determined by adjusting the term values of the ${}^{2}G$ series until the quantum defects approached constancy, which could be done with an uncertainty of about one wave number. The accuracy of the determination of the ionization potential is then limited by the uncertainty in fixing the $5^2 P_{1/2}$ level on the basis of the vacuum data for transitions to this term. Since there are several such transitions the uncertainty is much less than in a single measurement and is probably about $\pm 3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

The $p^{2} P$ terms had not been located previously, but Lang's suggestion that the pair $\lambda\lambda 1180.51$ and 1243.00 formed part of the ${}^{2}P {}^{2}P'$ multiplet was adopted and the remaining pair searched for. A strong line at 1316.59A is probably one line of this pair but its companion, $p^{2} {}^{2}P_{1/2} - 5^{2}P_{1/2}$, was not found. The location of these terms may be checked approximately by the relation given by Bacher and Goudsmit⁸ which states that, for Russell-Saunders coupling, the interval between the terms ${}^{4}P$ and ${}^{2}P$ from the configuration sp^2 should be three halves the interval between the ${}^{3}P$ and ${}^{1}P$ terms of the corresponding configuration of the once more ionized atom when the intervals are measured between the centers of gravity of the terms. The $5s5p^{2}(^{4}P-^{2}P)$ difference in Sn II is about 35,000 cm⁻¹ while the $5s5p(^{3}P-^{1}P)$ interval in Sn III is 22,600 cm⁻¹. The ratio is, then, 1.57.

⁸ Bacher and Goudsmit, Phys. Rev. 46, 948 (1934).

The agreement is good considering the fact that the coupling is probably not extreme Russell-Saunders.

The lines given in Table IV are the only ones of considerable intensity in the data which are unassigned. Those marked with a star were listed by Arnolds⁹ as tin lines. Some of the stronger unclassified lines may belong to the arc spectrum of tin, although they do not fit in the existing term scheme.¹

Perturbations

A consideration of the effective quantum numbers in Table III indicates that the ${}^{2}P$ and ${}^{2}F$ series are regular, as is to be expected in view of the absence of perturbing terms of the same parity. Likewise, the ${}^{2}G$ terms are expected to be regular, since they have no J values in common with the other even terms.

Irregularities occur, however, among the other even terms; the 7^2S term is displaced somewhat from the predicted location while the 5^2D terms are markedly displaced and have a larger separation than expected. These irregularities are shown graphically in Fig. 1, where the Rydberg correction term a is plotted against the principal quantum number n. The fact that the quantum numbers of these terms are higher than expected is interpreted as being due to repulsion from the lower lying perturbing terms $p^{2} {}^{2}S$ and $p^{2} {}^{2}D$. The perturbing terms, themselves, are probably displaced downward because of the interaction. Thus the $p^{2} S$ term is found between the $p^{2} P$ terms, whereas its normal position would be above them. A rough calculation, assuming the Slater interval rela-

TABLE V. The regular doublet law. Values of the screening constant, s, from the relation $\Delta \nu = \frac{R\alpha^2(Z-s)^4}{n^3l(l+1)}$.

	5 ₽	6P	7 P	8 D	
In I Sn II Sb III	31.44 29.32 27.94	33.99 32.24	38.30 35.62	39.04 36.55	
	5D	6D	7 <i>D</i>	8D	9D
In I Sn II Sb III	41.58 33.04 27.80	38.73 37.49 35.84	39.21 38.24	39.13 38.78	38.93

⁹ Arnolds, Zeits. f. Wiss. Phot. 13, 313 (1913-14).



FIG. 1. Irregularities in the ${}^{2}S$ and ${}^{2}D$ terms of Sn II, as shown by plot of the Rydberg correction term, a, vs. principal quantum number, n.

tions,¹⁰ indicates that the $p^2 {}^2D$ terms are lower by some 9000 cm⁻¹ than their predicted position. These series terms and the corresponding perturbing terms share characteristics to such an extent that it is nearly impossible to distinguish between them.

Comparisons with the isoelectronic spectra In I and Sb III were made by investigation of the regular and the irregular doublet laws. Unfortunately, data on these spectra are not very complete so that the number of comparisons which could be made was not large.

From the expression :

$$\Delta \nu = \frac{R\alpha^2 (Z-s)^4}{n^3 l(l+1)}$$

the values of the screening constant s have been computed and are shown in Table V. The agreement is satisfactory for heavy atoms, particularly in view of the existing perturbations. The large changes in the values of s for the 5D terms of successive isoelectronic spectra is indicative of the perturbation of these terms by the p^{2} ²D terms.

¹⁰ Slater, Phys. Rev. 34, 1293 (1929).