## LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Prompt publication of brief reports of important discoveries in physics may be secured by addressing them to this department. Closing dates for this department are, for the first issue of the month, the eighteenth of the preceding month, for the second issue, the third of the month. Because of the late closing dates for the section no proof can be shown to authors. The Board of Editors does not hold itself responsible for the opinions expressed by the correspondents.

Communications should not in general exceed 600 words in length.

## An Alternative Interpretation of Jauncey's "Heavy Electron" Spectra

In the February first number of The Physical Review,<sup>1</sup> Jauncey has shown two photographs of magnetic spectra of electrons, in both of which the main deflected image is taken as evidence of a heavy electron of rest-mass about 3 times normal. Following the suggestion of C. T. Zahn<sup>2</sup> that this image may be caused by scattered electrons, I have calculated that in both of Jauncey's photographs the image in question corresponds with that to be expected from electrons once scattered by the lower plate of his velocity selector.

Jauncey has shown that his velocity selector, with parallel plates 5 cm long and 0.105 cm apart, and with the magnetic and electric fields used in his experiments, will not transmit  $\beta$ -particles coming directly from Ra E, except in the chosen low range of velocities. When these  $\beta$ -rays are however bent by the magnetic field to strike the lower plate, this part of the plate becomes the source of secondaries of only slightly reduced energy. The rays moving forward may then pass through the selector if they do not again strike the plate. Thus for  $\beta$ -rays once scattered, the resolving power is no greater than for a velocity selector of half the length if primary particles only were considered. From the dimensions of Jauncey's apparatus it can thus be shown that while for primary particles the minimum transmissable radius of curvature is 30 cm, the corresponding minimum for particles once scattered is 7.5 cm.

Numerical calculation shows that with the magnetic and electric fields used in Jauncey's experiments any electron with curvature low enough to reach the film will have a radius of curvature of more than 7.5 cm between the plates. That is, the apparatus is not effective in selecting velocities of electrons that have been once scattered. That it does, however, act as an effective velocity selector for the direct  $\beta$ -rays is shown by the sharpness of Jauncey's line C, due to electrons with velocities close to the chosen value of  $\beta = 0.33$ 

Calculations of the position of the images to be expected from such once-scattered rays are also in satisfactory agreement with the observed positions of the diffuse images in Jauncey's photographs.

It would thus appear that the heavy electron interpretation of Jauncey's photographs is not required. His new lines may alternatively be ascribed to a kind of "secondorder" magnetic spectrum caused by once-scattered particles. Higher order scattering might also, as suggested by Zahn, be supposed to produce detectable effects. The present calculation indicates, however, that only single and not multiple scattering processes need be considered to account for Jauncey's results.

ARTHUR H. COMPTON

## University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, February 16, 1938.

<sup>1</sup>G. E. M. Jauncey, Phys. Rev. **53**, 265 (1938). <sup>2</sup>Made in discussion of Jauncey's results at the meeting of the American Physical Society, Indianapolis, Dec. **30**, 1937, and soon to appear in the *Physical Review*.

## **Heavy Beta-Particles?**

During the past year preliminary cloud-chamber experiments by H. R. Crane, J. J. Turin, D. S. Bayley, and E. R. Gaerttner, on primary beta-particles and Compton recoil electrons, suggested the possibility that betaparticles may be heavier than normal. It was proposed in the above group<sup>1</sup> that the beta-ray paradox might be explained in this way, rather than by the neutrino hypothesis.

Preliminary direct experiments to test this hypothesis were carried out in collaboration with A. H. Spees, and the results<sup>2</sup> were negative. In parallel with this investigation, the continued experiments by Crane's group also finally indicated negative results. Further experiments were performed with a considerably improved apparatus; and the final results, a detailed account of which appears in this issue of the Physical Review, indicate agreement with the relativity theory to within the limits of experimental error.

In the course of a mathematical analysis of the instrument it became apparent that the usually accepted simple interpretation of the Bucherer-Neumann experiments might be subject to serious limitations. A similar analysis (to be published in the near future) was then carried out for the unmodified Bucherer-Neumann experiment-and it was discovered that the supposed velocity filter in Neumann's experiments, even for the case of negligible scattering, must have been completely broken down on the high velocity side, for  $\beta > 0.7$ ; and that, even for the lower velocities, the resolution width was approximately as great as that equivalent to the whole relativistic mass effect! Since it is not clear whether Neumann's electron beam was composed largely of scattered radiation, or of direct radiation-and since the effective resolving power de-