Additional Evidence for an Isotope of Hydrogen of Mass 2

The discovery of an isotope of hydrogen of mass 2 by Urey, Brickwedde and Murphy1 has been confirmed by a study of the isotopic molecular ion $(H^{1}H^{2})^{+}$ of mass 3 with a mass spectrograph. At first sight it might seem hopeless to attack the problem from this angle because of the high probability for the formation of the triatomic ion (H1H1H1)+, also of mass 3, a process which is well known to occur in experiments of this kind. It is assumed that such an ion is a secondary product and hence proportional to the square of the pressure if the pressure is low. Such an assumption is in accord with all the experimental facts.² The isotopic molecular ion (H¹H²)⁺, however, should be formed directly from the normal (H¹H²) molecule and should therefore vary in intensity as the first power of the pressure. Hence if the pressure is small enough the intensity of the (H1H1H1)+ ion relative to the $(H^{1}H^{2})^{+}$ ion will be reduced to such an extent that the latter will become distinguishable with a sensitive apparatus.

A mass spectrograph was constructed, not primarily with the anticipation of working on this particular problem, but with the expectation of studying gaseous ions at very low pressures. The design is somewhat after the style of one previously built by the author.³ In this case however a much larger solenoid was available making it possible to use the semi-circular type of analyzer. With this type of apparatus good intensity may be achieved at pressures ranging from 10^{-5} to 10^{-6} mm Hg.

Through the kindness of Professor Urey a sample of the residue of hydrogen which had been evaporated near the triple point by Dr. Brickwedde was placed at the disposal of the author. Two runs were made studying the intensity of the ions of mass 3 as a function of pressure, the first with ordinary hydrogen and the second with Brickwedde's concentrated sample. The hydrogen was allowed to leak through a fine capillary and flow continuously through the tube. The relative pressures were measured by using the tube as an ionization gauge.

The results of these two runs showed a marked increase in the number of ions of mass 3 in the concentrated sample as compared to the number in ordinary hydrogen, amounting, at some pressures, to as much as tenfold. The difference between the two curves was a linear function of the pressure and represents, it is believed, the increase in the relative numbers of (H1H2) due to the concentration process. If this is true then the measurements indicate a value for the abundance of (H1H2) as compared to (H1H1), as they existed in the concentrated sample under the conditions of the experiment, of 1/550 or an atomic ratio of 1/1100. The error in this value is probably not greater than 10 percent. In arriving at this figure it is assumed that the probabilities of ionization for the two diatomic molecules are the same.

The author is particularly grateful to Dr. Brickwedde of the Bureau of Standards for the preparation of the sample of hydrogen which made this investigation possible.

> WALKER BLEAKNEY (National Research Fellow)

Palmer Physical Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey, January 9, 1932.

¹ H. C. Urey, F. G. Brickwedde and G. M. Murphy, Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. New Orleans Meeting, December 1931.

² H. D. Smyth, Revs. Mod. Phys. **3**, 357 (1931).

⁸ W. Bleakney, Phys. Rev. **34**, 157 (1929); **35**, 139 (1930).

A New X-Ray Non-Diagram Line

Duane (Phys. Rev. 37, 1017, 1931) mentions the existence of a very faint unidentified line between γ and β found in some of his long exposure photographs of the molybdenum K spectrum.

During a study of the δ and γ lines with a new type of double-crystal x-ray spectrometer a very faint line lying roughly midway between the γ and β_1 lines was found in the spectra of molybdenum, rhodium, palladium and silver. For convenience in this letter I shall call this line β_4 . Its position checked, in molybdenum, with Duane's faint line as nearly as could be measured on a photograph which he had kindly sent me.

By interpolation, with I.C.T. values of $K\beta_1$ and $K\gamma$ the values of λ and ν for β_4 given in the tables below were obtained. It at once became evident that β_4 must originate in a transition from either M_{33} or M_{32} to K. No other upper level would even approximately satisfy the conditions.

			$\nu K \alpha_1 + \nu L \alpha_1$	$\nu K \alpha_2 + \nu L \beta_1$	$\nu K \alpha_1 + \nu L \alpha_1$
	λβ4(x.u.)	$\nu \beta_4$	$M_{33} - L_{22} - K$	$M_{32} - L_{21} - K$	$M_{32} - L_{22} - K$
Mo	625.66	1457.1	1457.01	1456.79	1456.83
Rh	539.81	1688.9	1688.42	1688.02	1688.09
Pd	515.65	1768.0	1769.48	1769.26	1769.09
Ag	492.17	1852.4	1852.92	1852.49	1852.49

Whether the transition is to be regarded as a single drop $M_{33}-K$ (or $M_{32}-K$) or as a double electron drop in quick succession of the type $(L_{22}-K, M_{33}-L_{22})$ or $(L_{21}-K, M_{32}-L_{21})$ rhodium is of the order of 1/1000 that of $K\alpha_1$. In palladium the intensity of β_4 has increased to 1/250 of α_1 , and the line has become wider and distinctly unsymmetrical



Fig. 1. Rhodium, 90 k.v., 27 m. a, 40 sec.

or $(L_{22}-K, M_{32}-L_{22})$ is at present a matter of personal preference. A single drop transfer of this general type is already known in the x-ray L region. The non-diagram line $L\beta_9$ (Siegbahn) is a transition $M_{33}-L_{11}$ and the line $L\beta_{10}$ is a transition $M_{32}-L_{11}$.

The intensity of $K\beta_4$ in molybdenum and

The Crystal Structure of Magnesium Platinocyanide Heptahydrate

The crystal structure of MgPt (CN)₄· 7H₂O has been examined by Bozorth and Haworth,¹ who concluded on the basis of their data, obtained from Laue and reflection photographs, that the Mg and Pt atoms were arranged in parallel rows so that the two kinds of atoms alternated with each other in the same row, the distance between their centers being 1.57A.

More recently one of us² has formulated the principles governing the structure of complex ionic crystals and of crystals containing electron-pair bonds. Application of these principles to $MgPt(CN)_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ showed either that the principles must be modified or that the arrangement of Mg and Pt atoms in these crystals was not that supposed by Bozorth and Haworth. This led us to examine some of the

more unsymmetrical Laue photographs in a search for spots which could not be accounted for by the structure assigned. These photographs were taken at the same time as the three used in the original structure-determination but were not analyzed at that time.

indicating a fainter component on the long

wave-length side and about 0.15 x.u. distant.

Department of Physics,

Stanford University,

January 12, 1932.

P. A. Ross

On one of the three additional photographs recently analyzed there is one diffraction spot inconsistent with the previously assigned body-centered unit of structure, and on another are eleven spots nine of which lie in the zone [100]. The planes responsible for

¹ Bozorth and Haworth, Phys. Rev. [2] 29, 223 (1927).

² Linus Pauling, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 51, 1010 (1929); 53, 1367 (1931).