The present experiment has, therefore, demonstrated for the first time the possibility of determining the transition moment of optical transitions on the basis of the optical nutation effect. The method avoids the need for determining the population densities of the levels involved, as is required in the usual method based upon the measurement of absorption coefficients. The estimate of the population densities can often be uncertain and impossible if the assignment of the transition is not known, as is the case in the  $10.57-\mu$  transition of SF<sub>6</sub>.

No attempt has yet been made to relate directly the observed damping of the amplitude modulation due to the nutation effect to the relevant relaxation rates of the optical transition, since the observed damping may also be seriously affected by the presence of Doppler broadening of the line and by certain complicated diffraction effects<sup>3</sup> as the self-modulating pulse of inhomogeneous wave propagates through the resonance medium.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 184, NUMBER 2

10 AUGUST 1969

## Effect of Radio-Frequency Fields on Mössbauer Spectra\*

HELMUT GABRIEL<sup>†</sup>

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720 (Received 24 February 1969)

The effect of a radio-frequency field on Mössbauer spectra is reconsidered. Both the ground and the excited state of the source nuclei are simultaneously exposed to the rf magnetic field. As an example, the effect of the rf field on <sup>67</sup>Fe nuclei in a source with magnetic splitting (detected by a single-line absorber) is discussed in detail for two experimental geometries. Mössbauer spectra taken at a constant velocity, but with varying radio frequency, show that the main features can be understood in terms of two-quantum processes (rfinduced transitions between the magnetic sublevels preceding or subsequent to the emission of a  $\gamma$  quantum), as long as the rf amplitude is small compared to the static magnetic field at the nucleus.

## I. INTRODUCTION

HE first theory of the influence of a radiofrequency (rf) field on the nuclear Zeeman lines has been given by Hack and Hammermesh,<sup>1</sup> whose treatment is an extension of the Weisskopf-Wigner theory of spontaneous emission. They give an expression for the emission-line shape for the case in which the rf field acts only on the excited state of the nuclei. Recently, Mitin<sup>2</sup> investigated the problem of " $\gamma$  magnetic resonance" in a ferromagnet and calculated, using second-order perturbation theory, the effective absorption cross section for two-quantum transitions (simultaneous absorption of a Mössbauer quantum and a quantum of the external rf field). No external constant magnetic field was assumed, and his final expression is for the Bloch-wall activity in a fine powder of particles with several domains.

At the present time, it is by no means clear whether the *pure* rf resonance effect on the Mössbauer line shape has been observed at all. Heiman et al.<sup>3</sup> showed experimentally that in thin iron foils rf fields generate acoustical sidebands in the Mössbauer spectra and suggested that the resonance effect reported by Matthias<sup>4</sup> is due to sideband overlap with the normal Mössbauer lines. Perlow,<sup>5</sup> on the other hand, demonstrates the destruction of the Mössbauer hyperfine pattern by a nonresonant rf field and attributes the effect to rf-induced domain-wall motion causing alterations in the directions of hf fields. Both phenomena make it difficult to observe the rf resonance effect on the Mössbauer line shape in ferromagnetics. Working with single-domain samples still requires suppression of the disturbing influence of magnetostriction. Besides solving the problem for Mössbauer isotopes in ferromagnets by appropriate experimental means, it is worthwhile to investigate the possibilities of the rf method for isotopes with longlived excited states in samples without magnetostriction. Matthias<sup>4</sup> has already mentioned that Mössbauer NMR might become an experimental technique of high sensitivity. Particularly, a small linewidth makes magnetic hf splitting observable in relatively small fields; therefore, a large ratio of the rf field amplitude to the dc magnetic field can be obtained without relying on the hyperfine enhancement which gives ferromagnets their favored position among host materials.

In this paper, the effects of the rf field on both the ground and excited states are treated on an equal

<sup>\*</sup> Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>†</sup> On leave from Institut für Theoretische Physik A, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany. <sup>1</sup>M. N. Hack and M. Hammermesh, Nuovo Cimento 19, 546

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>M. N. Hack and M. Haussenster, (1961). <sup>2</sup>A. V. Mitin, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. 52, 1596 (1967) [English transl.: Soviet Phys.—JETP 25, 1062 (1967)]. <sup>3</sup>N. D. Heiman, L. Pfeiffer, and J. C. Walker, Phys. Rev. Letters 21, 93 (1968).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> E. Matthias, in *Hyperfine Structure and Nuclear Radiations*, edited by E. Matthias and D. A. Shirley (North-Holland Publish-ing Co., Amsterdam, 1968), p. 815. <sup>5</sup> G. J. Perlow, Phys. Rev. **172**, 319 (1968).

footing. Since the total state of the decaying system is a coherent linear combination of the ground and the excited state, this is a natural procedure which leads to a very symmetric form of the emission spectrum. In Sec. II we sketch the theoretical approach used in this paper. Section III presents, for several experimental geometries and conditions, Mössbauer spectra (as a function of the velocity and, especially, as a function of the applied rf) which could be of help in designing conclusive Mössbauer-NMR experiments.

#### **II. EMISSION SPECTRUM**

The general expression for the Mössbauer emission spectrum,

$$E(\omega_{\lambda},\mathbf{k},\mathbf{\epsilon}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i(\omega_{\mathbf{n}}-\omega_{\lambda})t-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{\mathbf{s}}|t|} \times \mathrm{Tr}[H_{e}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{\epsilon})\rho H_{e}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{\epsilon};t)]dt, \quad (1)$$

can also be used in the presence of the time-dependent rf field, if the Heisenberg operator  $H_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}; t)$ , describing the emission of a  $\gamma$  quantum with wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$  and polarization  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ , is defined by

$$H_{e}(t) = T \exp\left(i \int_{0}^{t} L(\tau) d\tau\right) H_{e}, \quad \hbar = 1.$$
 (2)

The Liouville operator entering the time-ordered exponential is given by

$$L(t) = L_0 + L_1(t)$$
, (3a)

where

$$L_0 = \sum_{\kappa=e,g} \omega_{\kappa} \hat{I}_{\kappa z}, \quad \omega_{\kappa} = -\gamma_{\kappa} H_0$$
(3b)

and

$$L_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\kappa=e,g} \omega_{1\kappa} (\hat{I}_{\kappa+} e^{-i\omega t} + \hat{I}_{\kappa-} e^{i\omega t}), \ \omega_{1\kappa} = -\gamma_{\kappa} H_1.$$
 (3c)

The unperturbed part (3b) describes the Zeeman splitting in a constant effective field  $\mathbf{H}_0$  (taken as quantization axis), whereas (3c) is due to the presence of a circularly polarized rf field with amplitude  $H_1 \perp H_0$  and frequency  $\omega$ . The Liouville operators L,  $\hat{I}_z$ , and  $\hat{I}_{\pm}$  are defined by the commutator equations  $LX = [\mathcal{K}, X]$ ,  $\hat{I}_z X = [I_z, X]$ , etc., where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the associated Hamiltonian and  $I_z$  and  $I_{\pm}$  are the spherical components of the nuclear-spin operator. (The Liouville operator algebra is reviewed in more detail in Refs. 6–8.) The labels e and g refer to excited and ground states, respectively.

Following the standard procedure, a final expression for the emission spectra emerges from two subsequent steps: (1) transformation to the rotating frame by the unitary operator

$$\hat{O} = e^{i\omega t \, (\hat{I}_{es} + \hat{I}_{gs})} \tag{4}$$

and (2) rotation of the old z axis  $||H_0|$  to a new z" axis

coinciding with the resulting time-independent magnetic field in the Larmor frame. The appropriate rotation operator is denoted by  $\hat{D}$ . As a result, we get the time-independent Liouville operator

$$L'' \equiv \hat{D}L'\hat{D}^{\dagger} \equiv \hat{D}\hat{O}L\hat{O}^{\dagger}\hat{D}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\kappa=e,g} a_{\kappa}\hat{I}_{\kappa z''}, \qquad (5)$$

where

$$a_{\kappa} = \omega_{\kappa} [(1 + \omega/\omega_{\kappa})^2 + (\omega_{1\kappa}/\omega_{\kappa})^2]^{1/2}$$
(6)

is the precession frequency in the rotating frame. The transformed transition operator

$$H_{e}'(t) = \bar{O}H_{e}(t) \tag{7}$$

obeys the equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial H_{e'}(t)}{\partial t} = iL'H_{e'}(t), \qquad (8a)$$

which has the formal solution

$$H_{\epsilon}'(t) = e^{iL't}H_{\epsilon}'(0) = e^{iL't}H_{\epsilon}, \qquad (8b)$$

where

$$L' = \hat{O}L\hat{O}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\kappa=e,g} (\omega + \omega_{\kappa})\hat{I}_{\kappa z}.$$
 (8c)

The final form of the trace expression in (1) results immediately from the two transformation steps:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}\left[H_{e}^{\dagger}\rho H_{e}(t)\right] \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}\left(H_{e}^{\dagger}\rho \hat{O}^{\dagger}e^{iL't}H_{e}\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(H_{e}^{\dagger}\rho \hat{O}^{\dagger}\hat{D}^{\dagger}e^{iL''t}\hat{D}H_{e}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\operatorname{all}\ m, s} \left(\rho H_{e} | m_{e}m_{g}\right) \left(m_{e}m_{g} | \hat{O}^{\dagger} | m_{e}m_{g}\right) \\ &\times \left(m_{e}m_{g} | \hat{D}^{\dagger} | M_{e}M_{g}\right) \left(M_{e}M_{g} | e^{iL''t} | M_{e}M_{g}\right) \\ &\times \left(M_{e}M_{g} | \hat{D} | m_{e}'m_{g}'\right) \left(m_{e}'m_{g}' | H_{e}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$(9)$$

The magnetic quantum numbers  $m_{\kappa}$  and  $m_{\kappa}'(M_{\kappa})$  refer to the z (z'') axis as quantization direction. The basis vectors  $|m_e m_o\rangle$  in Liouville space have been used to break up the product of operators in (9). The operators  $\hat{O}$  and L'' are diagonal in the laboratory and rotating frame, respectively. The corresponding matrix elements follow immediately from the fact that the eigenvalues of a Liouville operator are just the differences of all eigenvalues of the ordinary operator with which it is associated (see Refs. 6–8 for details). The matrix elements of the rotation operator  $\hat{D}$  are products of the usual rotation matrices  $\mathfrak{D}_{mm'}(L)(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ . In our representation we get

$$(m_{e}m_{g}|\hat{D}^{\dagger}|M_{e}M_{g}) = (\mathfrak{D}^{-1})_{m_{e}M_{e}}{}^{(I_{e})}\mathfrak{D}_{M_{g}m_{g}}{}^{(I_{g})}$$
(10a)

and

$$(M_{\mathfrak{e}}M_{\mathfrak{g}}|\hat{D}|m_{\mathfrak{e}}'m_{\mathfrak{g}}') = \mathfrak{D}_{M_{\mathfrak{e}}m_{\mathfrak{e}}'}(I_{\mathfrak{e}})(\mathfrak{D}^{-1})_{m_{\mathfrak{g}}'M_{\mathfrak{g}}}(I_{\mathfrak{g}}).$$
(10b)

<sup>6</sup> R. Zwanzig, Physica **30**, 1109 (1964). <sup>7</sup> H. Gabriel, J. Bosse, and K. Rander, Phys. Status Solidi **27**, 301 (1968).

<sup>8</sup> M. Blume, Phys. Rev. 174, 351 (1968).

$$a_{\kappa}\sin\beta_{\kappa}=\omega_{1\kappa}, \quad a_{\kappa}\cos\beta_{\kappa}=\omega_{\kappa}+\omega.$$
 (11)

Therefore, only the  $d_{mm'}(I)(\beta)$  matrices enter the final expression

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{s}(t) &= \operatorname{Tr} [H_{e}\rho H_{e}(t)] \\ &= \sum_{m_{e}m_{e}', m_{g}m_{g}'} \langle m_{e}' | H_{e} | m_{g}' \rangle \langle m_{e} | \rho H_{e} | m_{g} \rangle^{*} \\ &\times \sum_{M_{e}M_{g}} \exp\{it[a_{e}M_{e} - a_{g}M_{g} - \omega(m_{e} - m_{g})]\} \\ &\times [d_{M_{e}m_{g}'}(I_{e})(\beta_{e})d_{M_{e}m_{e}}(I_{e})(\beta_{e})] \\ &\times [d_{M_{e}m_{g}}(I_{g})(\beta_{g})d_{M_{e}m_{g}'}(I_{e})(\beta_{g})], \quad (12) \end{split}$$

which, when inserted in (1), determines the intensity distribution of the emitted radiation under the influence of the rf field.

In the case of equal populations  $[\rho = (2I_e + 1)^{-1}]$  and polycrystalline sources (only terms with  $m_e' = m_e$  are nonzero) each component in (12) reduces to Hack and Hammermesh's result<sup>9</sup> if we neglect the influence of the rf on the ground state  $[\omega_{1g}=0, d_{M_{g}m_{g}}(I_{g})(\beta_{g}=0)] = \delta_{M_{g}m_{g}}$ . Equation (12) could be given another form by expressing the action of the rf field in terms of resultant time-dependent rotation matrices and introducing the Majorana factors, which are well known from NMR theory.<sup>10</sup> However, since the Mössbauer emission spectrum is the Fourier transform of  $\Phi_{s}(t)$ , the form (12) is most convenient. The spectrum is simply given as a superposition of Lorentzians with modified resonance frequencies and rf-dependent intensities (see also Sec. III).

### III. TYPICAL EXAMPLES OF MÖSSBAUER SPECTRA

The experimentally observed  $\omega$ -dependent Mössbauer spectrum is given by

$$M(\mathbf{v};\omega) \propto \sum_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega_{\lambda} E(\omega_{\lambda},\mathbf{k},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) A(\omega_{\lambda} - \boldsymbol{v}\omega_{n}',\mathbf{k},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}),$$
  
$$c = 1. \quad (13)$$

The summation over  $\varepsilon$  indicates that polarization is not observed, and  $A(\omega_{\lambda})$  is the properly chosen absorption cross section.  $(\omega_n')$  is the unsplit transition frequency in the absorber.) The integral (13) is simply evaluated for Lorentzian line shapes.

The following cases have been chosen to illustrate the modification of the line pattern: (1) a single-line absorber and a source with magnetic hf splitting, in the

. ~

02 H H = 10<sup>-1</sup> , θ = 90° 47.4 MHz Ο. 0 0,2 45.4 MHz 0 0.2 43.4 MHz (relative) 0. Absorption 0.2 26 MHz 0.1 0.1 -24 MHz 0 0.1 -22MHz Velocity (mm/sec)

FIG. 1. Velocity spectra of 57Fe in a source with magnetic splitting  $(H_0 = 330 \text{ kOe})$  exposed to various rf's versus a single-line absorber ( $H_0 \perp k$ ,  $\Gamma = 1.1$  MHz).

presence of an rf field, and (2) a split absorber and a split source, the latter exposed to the rf field.

Allowing for an isomer shift  $\Delta = \omega_n - \omega_n'$  between source and absorber, the Mössbauer spectrum for example (1) reads

$$M(v;\omega) \propto \sum_{m_e m_g} \begin{pmatrix} I_e & L & I_g \\ -m_e & m_e - m_g & m_g \end{pmatrix}^2 \times F_{m_e - m_g} L^L(\theta) L(m_e, m_g; \omega),$$

where

$$L(m_{e},m_{g};\omega) = \sum_{M_{e}M_{g}} \left[ d_{M_{e}m_{e}}^{(I_{e})}(\beta_{e}) \right]^{2} \left[ d_{M_{g}m_{g}}^{(I_{g})}(\beta_{g}) \right]^{2}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma}{\left\{ v - \left[ \Delta - \omega(m_{e} - m_{g}) + a_{e}M_{e} - a_{e}M_{g} \right] \right\}^{2} + \Gamma^{2}}$$

and

The coefficients  $F_{m_{e}-m_{g}}^{LL}(\theta)$  are tabulated.<sup>11</sup> They determine the angular distribution of the radiation as a function of the angle  $\theta = \measuredangle(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{H}_0)$ . Figure 1 shows

(14)

<sup>11</sup> L. W. Fagg and S. S. Hanna, Rev. Mod. Phys. 31, 711 (1959), especially Table VI(b).

 $\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_a + \Gamma_a).$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The notation in Eq. (32) of Ref. 1 is misleading. The frequency  $\omega_0$  actually refers to an individual transition between the hf-split levels and should be labeled by the particular magnetic quantum number of the excited state. <sup>10</sup> H. Salwen, Phys. Rev. **99**, 1274 (1955).



FIG. 2. Velocity spectra covering the inner pair of lines under the same conditions as in Fig. 1 except that  $H_0 || k$ .

<sup>57</sup>Fe velocity spectra for  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$  and several frequencies. The changes in the line shape due to the rf are shown in more detail for the inner pair of lines and  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$  in Fig. 2. These curves display the splitting of each line into maximally  $2I_{\kappa}+1$  components.<sup>1</sup> Hack and Hammermesh give plots for individual split lines, whereas Figs. 1 and 2 show the superposition of all of them. According to the transformation operator (4), the rf is formally allowed to assume positive and negative values. The resonance frequencies for <sup>57</sup>Fe in ferromagnetic iron are, therefore, at  $v_{res}(e) = -26$  MHz and  $\nu_{res}(g) = 45.4$  MHz. In cases like <sup>57</sup>Fe, where the gyromagnetic ratios differ not only in magnitude but even in sign, a circularly polarized rf field acting on the emitter nuclei affects either the excited or the ground state (depending on the sense of rotation). For linearly polarized rf fields, a superposition of both effects is expected. However, since the intensity of the offresonance terms drops to zero with increasing deviation from resonance, a velocity spectrum at fixed rf will show significant overlap of ground- and excitedstate effects only if the rf is near resonance for both levels, i.e., only if the gyromagnetic ratios are of comparable magnitude. The preceding remarks also explain why the maximum multiplicity of the single lines is practically always given by  $2I_e+1$  or  $2I_a+1$  and not by  $(2I_e+1)\times(2I_e+1)$  as it should be according to (14).

Figures 3 and 4 show, still for case (1) and for zero velocity, the frequency dependence of the Mössbauer spectra. If there is no shift of the absorber line relative to the pattern of the split emitter, the experimental setup of case (1) is, of course, most unfavorable, because of the low intensity of the absorption spectrum at v=0. However, the physical processes are easily understood for this case, and the following remarks also apply, slightly modified, to other experimental geometries.

The rf field enhances the absorption at v=0 if no sufficiently large isomer shift  $\Delta$  is present [see Fig. 3  $(\Delta=0)$ ]. This is obvious from the line-shape changes shown in Fig. 2. The main contributions to the intensity increase at v=0 stem from the inner rf-split lines, the satellites of which are swept over the absorption line in a certain frequency range. Most of the peaks in the resulting pattern can be interpreted as two-quantum transitions, i.e., in terms of second-order perturbation theory. For small values of  $\beta$ , the rotation matrices can be approximated by

$$d_{Mm}^{(I)}(\beta) \equiv \langle M | e^{i\beta I_{y}} | m \rangle \approx \delta_{M,m} + \frac{1}{2}\beta \langle M | I_{+} - I_{-} | m \rangle, \quad (15)$$

which tells us that, due to the last term in (15) (proportional to  $\delta_{M,m\pm 1}$ ), forbidden transitions contribute to the spectrum. For magnetic dipole transitions with  $|\Delta m| = |m_e - m_g| = 0, 1$ , we have, under the influence of the rf field, additional transitions with  $|\Delta m| = 2$ . Using (15), the line-shape function  $L(m_e,m_g;\omega)$  of Eq. (14) may be written

$$L(m_{e}, m_{g}; \omega) \approx L(m_{e}, m_{g}; 0) + (\Gamma/4\pi) \sum_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \{\beta_{e}^{2} r_{\epsilon}(e)^{2} \\ \times [(v - \Delta - \epsilon \omega - (m_{e} + \epsilon)\omega_{e} + m_{g}\omega_{g})^{2} + \Gamma^{2}]^{-1} \\ + \beta_{g}^{2} r_{\epsilon}(g)^{2} [(v - \Delta + \epsilon \omega - m_{e}\omega_{e} + (m_{g} + \epsilon)\omega_{g})^{2} + \Gamma^{2}]^{-1} \},$$
(16)

with

$$r_{\epsilon}(\kappa)^{2} = I(I+1) - m_{\kappa}(m_{\kappa}+\epsilon) \beta_{\kappa} \approx \omega_{1\kappa} [(\omega+\omega_{\kappa})^{2} + \omega_{1\kappa}^{2}]^{-1/2}. \quad (17)$$



 $\Im$  FIG. 3. Frequency spectra at zero velocity for various ratios  $H_1/H_0$  under the same conditions as in Fig. 1. Arrows indicate frequencies at which multiple transitions occur.

The frequency-dependent part of (16) has maxima at  $v_{max} = -16.3$  and -35.7 MHz due to induced emission and absorption of a rf quantum in the excited state and subsequent  $\gamma$  emission. The peak at  $\nu_{max} = 35.7$  MHz (Fig. 3) is caused by rf-induced transitions in the ground state. According to the experimental geometry (v=0, $\Delta = 0$ ), the main contributions are due to the  $(\pm \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \pm \frac{1}{2}) \gamma$  transitions, both for  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$  and  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ . For the latter angle, two further lines originate from the  $(\pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}) \gamma$  transitions. They are centered at  $\nu_{max} = 9.7$ MHz (rf transitions in both ground and excited state contribute to this line, causing a relative intensity comparable to the inner pairs contribution) and at  $v_{\text{max}} = -61.7$  MHz (a pure excited-state rf effect). The relative intensities can be estimated from the associated values of  $\beta_{\kappa}^2$  using (17). (In the approximate expression for  $\beta_{\kappa}$ , the frequencies  $\omega_{1\kappa}$  have been retained in the denominator in order to avoid singularities at  $\omega = -\omega_{\kappa}$ ; see, for example, Mitin's final result.)

For the selected values of  $H_1/H_0 \leq 10^{-1}$ , the frequency curves (Figs. 3 and 4) calculated from the exact formula (14) are remarkably well described by a perturbation approach. In our example, only the small peaks (marked by arrows in Fig. 3) at frequencies  $\nu_{max} = -8.1$  and -30.8 MHz are higher than second-order contributions ( $|\Delta m| = 3$ ). All frequencies  $\nu_{max}$  mentioned above can be calculated from the formula

$$\omega = \frac{\omega_g M_g - \omega_e M_e}{m_e - m_g + M_e - M_g}$$

which follows directly from Eq. (14) if we neglect  $(H_1/H_0)^2$  in the denominator. The quoted second-order perturbation results were found for

$$(M_g = m_g, M_e = m_e \pm 1)$$
 and  $(M_g = m_g \pm 1, M_e = m_e)$ .



FIG. 4. Frequency spectra at zero velocity for various ratios  $H_1/H_0$  under the conditions of Fig. 1 but with an "isomer shift"  $\Delta = 35.7$  MHz.



FIG. 5. Frequency spectra at zero velocity for various ratios  $H_1/H_0$  with source conditions as in Fig. 1 but with a magnetically split absorber  $(H_0||\mathbf{k})$ .

We now turn to Fig. 4 calculated for zero velocity. but with a hypothetical isomer shift of  $\Delta = 35.7$  MHz. The absorber line has been shifted to the position of the strongest emitter line ( $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ ), thus increasing the base-line intensity with respect to the  $v = \Delta = 0$  case by a factor of 200 and the relative rf effect by a factor of 2. Because of the symmetric situation, the frequency spectrum has only two lines at the ground- and excitedstate resonance frequencies. The rf field leads to a decrease in the absorption, because, in a certain frequency interval, the emitter line is flowing out of the region where the absorber line is located. The case under discussion can be considered as a model for a realistic experimental situation. Since how the overlap of two appropriate absorber and emitter lines is accomplished is irrelevant for the study of the rf effect, we can look for cases where the hf pattern is shifted by a Zeeman drive. (For an example of the latter technique, see Sauer, Matthias, and Mössbauer.<sup>12</sup>)

Figure 5 shows a Mössbauer frequency spectrum for case (2). It is unnecessary to discuss the details of the split-source-split-absorber case, because nothing basically new can be learned as compared to example (1). For systems without any isomer shift, the rf effect is expected to be considerably larger for case (2).

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank Professor D. A. Shirley for his hospitality during my stay at the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory. Numerous discussions with Dr. E. Matthias, Dr. D. Quitmann, and D. Salomon are gratefully acknowledged. I also wish to express my gratitude to D. Salomon for writing the computer program. This work was carried out during the tenure of a fellowship for which I am indebted to the Max Kade Foundation. 12 C. Sauer, E. Matthias, and R. L. Mössbauer, Phys. Rev. Letters 21, 961 (1968).