

## Nonadiabatic Long-Range Forces

A. Dalgarno

*Smithsonian Institution Astrophysical Observatory, and  
Harvard College Observatory, Cambridge, Massachusetts*

G. W. F. Drake\*

*Smithsonian Institution Astrophysical Observatory, Cambridge, Massachusetts*  
and

G. A. Victor

*Technology Division, GCA Corporation, Bedford, Massachusetts*  
(Received 17 July 1968)

A general expression is obtained for the leading long-range correction to the static interaction of a slowly moving charged or neutral system at a large distance from a spherically symmetric atom, and precise estimates are presented of the magnitude of the systems H, He (1<sup>1</sup>S, 2<sup>1</sup>S, and 2<sup>3</sup>S), Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe, Li<sup>+</sup> (1<sup>1</sup>S, 2<sup>1</sup>S, and 2<sup>3</sup>S), Li, Na, K, Rb, Cs, H<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub>.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Some years ago, Dalgarno and McCarroll<sup>1</sup> derived an expression for the leading asymptotic correction to the static interaction of a slowly moving charge of arbitrary mass at a large distance from a hydrogen atom, and recently, Kleinman, Hahn, and Spruch,<sup>2</sup> Opik,<sup>3</sup> and Cal-laway *et al.*<sup>4</sup> have derived an expression for the case of a slowly moving electron interacting with any spherically symmetric atom. It is a straight-forward matter to extend the analysis of Dalgarno and McCarroll to the general case of any charged or neutral particle interacting with any other. The resulting formulas involve dipole oscillator strengths, and various techniques can be employed to obtain accurate estimates of the asymptotic nonadiabatic forces for all cases of interest.

### II. THEORY

The Schrödinger equation for a system of  $N$  electrons of mass  $m$  and of two other particles  $A$  and  $B$  of masses  $M_A$  and  $M_B$ , respectively, is

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_i^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_A} \nabla_A^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_B} \nabla_B^2 + V\right) \Psi = \mathcal{E} \Psi, \quad (1)$$

where the potential  $V$  is a function of the inter-particle separations  $\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j$ ,  $\vec{r}_i$  being the position vector of the  $i$ th particle. When the motion of the center of mass of the entire system is separated out and all positions are referred to the center of mass of  $A$  and  $B$ , Eq. (1) becomes

$$[-(\hbar^2/2\mathfrak{M}) \nabla_R^2 + H] \Phi = E \Phi, \quad (2)$$

where  $M = M_A + M_B$ ,  $\mathfrak{M} = M_A M_B / M$ ,  $\vec{R}$  is the vector from  $A$  to  $B$  and

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_i^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \sum_{i \neq j} \nabla_i \cdot \nabla_j + V, \quad (3)$$

$\mu$  being the reduced mass  $m\mathfrak{M}/(m + \mathfrak{M})$ . The Hamiltonian  $H$  has eigenfunctions  $\chi_t(\vec{R}, \vec{r}_i)$  and eigenvalues  $E_t(\vec{R})$ , which depend parametrically on  $\vec{R}$ .

Expand  $\Phi(\vec{R}, \vec{r}_i)$  according to

$$\Phi(\vec{R}, \vec{r}_i) = \sum_t F_t(\vec{R}) \chi_t(\vec{R}, \vec{r}_i), \quad (4)$$

multiply by  $\chi_t^*(\vec{R}, \vec{r}_i)$ , and integrate over the configuration space of all the electrons. It follows that

$$[T_R + E_t(\vec{R}) - E] F_t(\vec{R}) + \sum_{t'} \mathfrak{C}_{tt'} F_{t'}(\vec{R}) = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\text{where } T_R = -(\hbar^2/2\mathfrak{M}) \nabla_R^2, \quad (6)$$

$$\text{and } \mathfrak{C}_{tt'} = -(\hbar^2/2\mathfrak{M})(2 \int \chi_t^* \nabla_R \chi_{t'} d\vec{r} \cdot \nabla_R + \int \chi_t \nabla_R^2 \chi_{t'} d\vec{r}). \quad (7)$$

If the coupling terms  $\mathfrak{C}_{tt'}$  are neglected, Eq. (5) is the Born-Oppenheimer approximation. If the diagonal term

$$\mathfrak{C}_{tt}(\vec{R}) = \int \chi_t^* T_R \chi_t d\vec{r} \quad (8)$$

is retained, Eq. (5) reduces to

$$\{T_R + [E_t(\vec{R}) + \mathfrak{C}_{tt}(\vec{R}) - E] F_t(\vec{R}) = 0, \quad (9)$$

so that the particles  $A$  and  $B$  can be regarded as moving in an effective field  $E_t(\vec{R}) + \mathfrak{C}_{tt}(\vec{R})$ . The correction  $\mathfrak{C}_{tt}(\vec{R})$  is that recently derived by Kleinman *et al.*<sup>2</sup> and described by them as the nonadiabatic correction. It was described by Dalgarno and McCarroll<sup>1</sup> as the adiabatic correction, the term nonadiabatic referring to the influence of the off-diagonal coupling terms.

Kleinman *et al.*<sup>2</sup> proceeded by decomposing the total wave function  $\Phi(\vec{R}, \vec{r})$  into  $P\Phi + Q\Phi$ , where  $P$  is the projection operator  $|\chi_0(\vec{R}, \vec{r})\rangle \langle \chi_0(\vec{R}, \vec{r})|$ . Then,

$$[T_R + E_0(\vec{R}) + \mathfrak{C}_{00}(\vec{R}) - E] F_0(\vec{R}) = \langle \chi_0 | T_R Q (E - QHQ)^{-1} Q T_R | \chi_0 \rangle F_0(\vec{R}). \quad (10)$$

Kleinman *et al.*<sup>2</sup> then demonstrated that the non-local potential on the right-hand side of Eq. (10)

decreased asymptotically as  $R^{-8}$  for the case when system  $A$  consists of a single electron and  $B$  is a spherically symmetric atom. We shall offer here an alternative derivation and slightly extend their result.

At large distances  $R$ , the system is assumed to separate into two spherically symmetric systems in their ground states, one of which has  $n_A$  electrons associated with particle  $A$  and the other of which has  $n_B$  electrons associated with particle  $B$ . The eigenfunctions of the separated systems satisfy the equations

$$H_A \psi_A^m = E_A^m \psi_A^m, \quad (11)$$

$$\text{and } H_B \phi_B^n = E_B^n \phi_B^n. \quad (12)$$

At distances such that the wave functions of  $A$  and  $B$  do not overlap, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_t(\vec{R}, \vec{r}_i) &= \psi_A^0(\vec{r}_i) \phi_B^0(\vec{r}_i) \\ &\times \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_m \sum_n \frac{|\langle \psi_A^0 \phi_B^0 | H - H_A - H_B | \psi_A^m \phi_B^n \rangle|^2}{[(E_A^0 + E_B^0) - (E_A^m + E_B^n)]^2} \right) \\ &+ \sum_m \sum_n \frac{\langle \psi_A^0 \phi_B^0 | H - H_A - H_B | \psi_A^m \phi_B^n \rangle \langle \psi_A^m \phi_B^n |}{(E_A^0 + E_B^0) - (E_A^m + E_B^n)} \\ &+ O((H - H_A - H_B)^2), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

in which the perturbation  $H - H_A - H_B$  can be written as a power series in  $R^{-1}$  according to

$$H - H_A - H_B = \sum_l R^{-l} V_l(\hat{R}, \hat{r}_i). \quad (14)$$

If system  $A$  is charged with an excess charge  $Z_A e$  and  $B$  is neutral, Eq. (13) can be written

$$H - H_A - H_B = \frac{Z_A e^2}{R^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_B} z_{iB} + O(R^{-3}), \quad (15)$$

where  $(x_{iB}, y_{iB}, z_{iB})$  are the Cartesian coordinates of electron  $i$  referred to  $B$ , and the  $z$  axis is chosen parallel to  $\vec{R}$ . Then, using Eqs. (13) and (15), we obtain asymptotically

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_t | T_R | \chi_t \rangle &= -(\hbar^2/2\mathfrak{M})(6Z_A^2 e^4/R^6) \\ &\times \sum_n \left| \left\langle \phi_B^0 \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_B} z_{iB} \right| \phi_B^n \right\rangle \right|^2 / (E_B^0 - E_B^n)^2 \\ &+ O(R^{-9}). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Introducing the dipole oscillator strength

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(B) &= (2m/\hbar^2 e^2)(E_B^n - E_B^0) \\ &\times \left| \left\langle \phi_B^0 \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_B} z_{iB} \right| \phi_B^n \right\rangle \right|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

we can write

$$\langle \chi_t | T_R | \chi_t \rangle = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\hbar^2}{\mathfrak{M} m} \frac{Z_A^2 e^6}{R^6} \sum_n \frac{f_n(B)}{(E_B^n - E_B^0)^3}. \quad (18)$$

If particle  $A$  is an electron,  $M_A = \mathfrak{M} \approx m$  and we obtain the correction term

$$c_{tt} = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{(\hbar^2 e^2/m)^2}{R^6} \sum_n \frac{f_n(B)}{(E_B^n - E_B^0)^3}, \quad (19)$$

which is identical to the expression derived by Kleinman *et al.*<sup>2</sup>

For neutral atoms  $A$  and  $B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H - H_A - H_B &= \frac{e^2}{R^3} \sum_{i=1}^{n_B} \sum_{j=1}^{n_A} (x_{iB} x_{jA} + y_{iB} y_{jA} \\ &+ 2z_{iB} z_{jA}) + O(R^{-4}), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_t | T_R | \chi_t \rangle &= -\frac{4}{3} (\hbar^2 e^2/m)^3 e^2 (m/\mathfrak{M}) \\ &\times \frac{1}{R^8} \sum_m \sum_n f_m(A) f_n(B) \\ &\times [(E_A^m - E_A^0)(E_B^n - E_B^0) \\ &\times (E_A^m - E_A^0 + E_B^n - E_B^0)^2]^{-1} \\ &+ O(R^{-11}). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Consider now the off-diagonal coupling terms in Eq. (5) for the case when excitation is not energetically possible, so that

$$R F_t(\vec{R}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty \quad (22)$$

for  $t \neq 0$ . For a charged particle colliding with a spherically symmetric atom, it follows from Eqs. (14) and (15) that  $c_{tt'}$  decreases at least as fast as  $R^{-4}$ . Thus

$$\sum_{t'} c_{tt'} F_{t'}(\vec{R}) \sim c_{t0} F_0(\vec{R}) + O(R^{-5}). \quad (23)$$

Then, adopting an argument used by Castillejo, Percival, and Seaton<sup>3</sup> and noting that  $E_t(\vec{R})$  decreases at least as fast as  $R^{-2}$ , we can show that

$$F_t(\vec{R}) \sim -c_{t0} F_0(\vec{R}) / (E_B^0 - E_B^t) + O(R^{-5}). \quad (24)$$

Hence

$$\sum_t c_{0t} F_t(\vec{R}) \sim -\sum_t \frac{|c_{t0}|^2}{E_B^0 - E_B^t} F_0(\vec{R}) + O(R^{-9}). \quad (25)$$

The operator  $|c_{t0}|^2$  contains terms decreasing as  $R^{-3}$ ,  $R^{-7} \partial/\partial R$ , and  $R^{-6} \partial^2/\partial R^2$ . For bound states,  $F_0(\vec{R})$  decreases exponentially. For con-

tinuum states,<sup>2</sup>  $\partial F_0/\partial R \sim kF_0(\vec{R})$  and  $\partial^2 F_0/\partial R^2 \sim k^2 F_0(\vec{R})$ , where  $k^2 = 2M(E - E_B^0)/\hbar^2$ .

Similar arguments can be applied to the scattering of neutral spherically symmetric atoms to show that the leading terms of the nonlocal potential behave asymptotically as  $R^{-10}$ ,  $kR^{-9}$ , and  $k^2R^{-8}$ .

### III. EVALUATION OF THE COEFFICIENTS

Kleinman *et al.*<sup>2</sup> have presented a table of upper and lower bounds to the summation

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n' f_n / (E^n - E^0)^3, \quad (26)$$

for He, Li, Ne, and Na. A variety of sources exist that can be used to make precise predictions of  $\beta_1$  and of

$$\gamma_1 = \sum_m' \sum_n' f_m^{(A)} f_n^{(B)} [(E_A^m - E_A^0) \times (E_B^n - E_B^0)(E_A^m - E_A^0 + E_B^n - E_B^0)^2]^{-1}, \quad (27)$$

for these and for other atoms and molecules.

The coefficient  $\beta_1$  can be written in atomic units in the form

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int |\chi_1(\vec{r}, \omega = 0)|^2 d\vec{r}, \quad (28)$$

$$\text{where } (H - E^0 \pm \omega)\chi_1 + \sum_{i=1}^m \vec{r}_i \psi^0 = 0. \quad (29)$$

If we introduce also  $\xi_1$  by the equation

$$(H - E^0 - \omega)\xi_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_i \psi^0 = 0, \quad (30)$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\omega, \omega') = & \int \chi_1(\vec{r}, i\omega) \xi_1(\vec{r}, i\omega') d\vec{r} \\ & + \int \chi_1(\vec{r}, i\omega) \xi_1(\vec{r}, -i\omega') d\vec{r} \\ & + \int \chi_1(\vec{r}, -i\omega) \xi_1(\vec{r}, i\omega') d\vec{r} \\ & + \int \chi_1(\vec{r}, -i\omega) \xi_1(\vec{r}, -i\omega') d\vec{r}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$\gamma_1$  can be written

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_0^\infty d\omega' \Phi^A(\omega, \omega') \Phi^B(\omega, \omega'). \quad (32)$$

#### Atomic Hydrogen

For a hydrogen ion in its ground state,  $\chi_1$  is known exactly,<sup>6</sup> with the result<sup>1,2</sup> that  $\beta_1 = (43/8Z^6) a_0^4$ , where  $Z$  is the nuclear charge.

#### Helium

Precise variational calculations of  $\chi_1$  have been reported for helium on several occasions. Values of  $\beta_1$  can be derived immediately from the data of Chan and Dalgarno<sup>7</sup> and of Victor, Dalgarno, and

Taylor.<sup>8</sup> In units of  $a_0^4$ , the values are 0.702 and 0.706, respectively. A semi-empirical value of 0.705<sub>5</sub> has been reported by Bell and Kingston.<sup>9</sup> A two-term fit to refractive index data<sup>10</sup> yields 0.73, and the series representation of refractive index data by Dalgarno and Kingston<sup>11</sup> gives a value of 0.708.<sup>3</sup> An estimate of  $\beta_1$  can also be derived from Hartree-Fock perturbation theory.<sup>12,13</sup> The uncoupled approximation leads to a value of 0.767, and the coupled approximation to 0.656, the errors being comparable to those occurring in Hartree-Fock calculations of the dipole polarizability.

#### Metastable Helium

The accurate variational calculations of  $\chi_1$  for He( $2^1S$ ) and He( $2^3S$ ) yield values of  $\beta_1$  of  $1.76 \times 10^4 a_0^4$  and  $3.63 \times 10^3$ ,<sup>8</sup> respectively, to within a probable error of 3%.

#### Lithium Positive Ion

Accurate variational calculations<sup>14</sup> of  $\chi_1$  for Li<sup>+</sup>, Li<sup>+</sup>( $2^1S$ ), and Li<sup>+</sup>( $2^3S$ ) yield values of  $\beta_1$  of 3.5  $\times 10^{-2} a_0^4$ ,  $9.97 \times 10^2 a_0^4$ , and  $2.72 \times 10^2 a_0^4$ , respectively to within a probable error of 1%.

#### Lithium

A variational calculation of  $\chi_1$  for Li has been carried out to somewhat lower accuracy than have calculations for two-electron systems.<sup>15</sup> The resulting value of  $\beta_1$  is  $1.18 \times 10^3 a_0^4$ , accurate probably to within an error of 5%. The value is in harmony with a semi-empirical value of  $1.2 \times 10^3$ , which can be derived from the tabulation of Dalgarno and Davison.<sup>16</sup>

#### Alkali Metals

Dalgarno and Davison's tabulation yields immediately semi-empirical values of  $1.1 \times 10^3 a_0^4$ ,  $2.5 \times 10^3 a_0^4$ ,  $2.7 \times 10^3 a_0^4$ , and  $3.8 \times 10^3 a_0^4$  for Na, K, Rb, and Cs, respectively. The error is controlled by the uncertainty in the oscillator strength of the first resonance transition; it can be as large as 20% for Cs.

#### Inert Gases

Semi-empirical values of  $\beta_1$  for the inert gases have been listed by Bell and Kingston<sup>9</sup> and by Öpik.<sup>3</sup> We have obtained alternative estimates from the representations of refractive index data used by Dalgarno, Morrison, and Pengelly,<sup>10</sup> and a comparison is presented in Table I. The comparison suggests that the use of refractive-index

TABLE I. Values of  $\beta_1$  for the inert gases in units of  $a_0^4$ .

Gas	Bell and Kingston <sup>9</sup>	Öpik <sup>3</sup>	Refractive-index data
Ne	1.27	1.26	1.28
Ar	8.33	7.99	8.52
Kr	14.50	13.17	14.9
Xe	29.15	24.4	28.9

TABLE II. Recommended values of  $\beta_1$  in units of  $a_0^4$ .

Atom or molecule	$\beta_1$	Atom or molecule	$\beta_1$
H	5.375	Rb	$2.7 \times 10^3$
He (1 <sup>1</sup> S)	0.706	Cs	$3.8 \times 10^3$
He (2 <sup>1</sup> S)	$1.76 \times 10^4$	Ne	1.27
He (2 <sup>3</sup> S)	$3.64 \times 10^3$	Ar	8.33
Li <sup>+</sup> (1 <sup>1</sup> S)	$3.53 \times 10^{-2}$	Kr	$1.45 \times 10$
Li <sup>+</sup> (2 <sup>1</sup> S)	$9.97 \times 10^2$	Xe	$2.92 \times 10$
Li <sup>+</sup> (2 <sup>3</sup> S)	$2.72 \times 10^2$	H <sub>2</sub>	6.69
Li	$1.18 \times 10^3$	H <sub>2</sub> ⊥	4.20
Na	$1.1 \times 10^3$	N <sub>2</sub>	8.92
K	$2.4 \times 10^3$	CH <sub>4</sub>	$1.73 \times 10$

alone is usually sufficient to give estimates of  $\beta_1$  to within an uncertainty of 5%.

#### Molecules

Semi-empirical values of  $8.92a_0^4$  and  $5.03a_0^4$ , respectively, can be derived from sets of oscillator strengths for N<sub>2</sub><sup>17</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>,<sup>18</sup> and of  $9.1a_0^4$ ,  $5.1a_0^4$ , and  $17.3a_0^4$ , respectively, from refractive-index data on N<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub>.<sup>7</sup> The sets of oscillator

TABLE III. Values of  $\gamma_1$  in units of  $a_0^6$  for He interacting with H, H<sub>2</sub>, He, and Li.

Gas	$\gamma_1$
H	1.27
H <sub>2</sub>	2.06
H <sub>2</sub>	1.48
He	0.46
Li	13.98

strengths for H<sub>2</sub> can be used to distinguish between the parallel and perpendicular contributions to  $\beta_1$ . They are, respectively,  $6.69a_0^4$  and  $4.20a_0^4$ .

Table II is a collection of recommended values for all the cases we have considered. The error is usually less than 5%; in no case should it exceed 20%.

Because of the mass  $\mathcal{M}$  in the denominator of Eq. (21), the long-range adiabatic correction for neutral systems appears to have only little more than formal interest. In Table III we present results for  $\gamma_1$  for mixtures of helium with the light elements H, H<sub>2</sub>, He, and Li.

\*National Research Council Visiting Research Associate.

<sup>1</sup>A. Dalgarno and R. W. McCarrroll, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **A237**, 383 (1956).

<sup>2</sup>C. J. Kleinman, Y. Hahn, and L. Spruch, Phys. Rev. **165**, 53 (1968).

<sup>3</sup>U. Öpik, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **92**, 573 (1967).

<sup>4</sup>J. Callaway, R. W. LaBahn, R. T. Pu, and W. M. Duxler, Phys. Rev. **168**, 12 (1968).

<sup>5</sup>L. Castillejo, I. C. Percival, and M. J. Seaton, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **A254**, 259 (1960).

<sup>6</sup>I. Waller, Z. Physik **38**, 635 (1926).

<sup>7</sup>Y. M. Chan and A. Dalgarno, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **86**, 77 (1965).

<sup>8</sup>G. A. Victor, A. Dalgarno, and J. Taylor, J. Phys. B. Phys. Soc. (London) Proc. **1**, 13 (1968).

<sup>9</sup>R. J. Bell and A. E. Kingston, Proc. Phys. Soc.

(London) **88**, 901 (1966).

<sup>10</sup>A. Dalgarno, I. H. Morrison, and R. M. Pengelly, Int. J. Quant. Chem. **1**, 161 (1967).

<sup>11</sup>A. Dalgarno and A. E. Kingston, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **A259**, 424 (1960).

<sup>12</sup>A. Dalgarno, Advan. Phys. **11**, 281 (1962).

<sup>13</sup>A. Dalgarno and G. A. Victor, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **A291**, 291 (1966).

<sup>14</sup>G. A. Victor and A. Dalgarno, to be published.

<sup>15</sup>G. M. Stacey and A. Dalgarno, J. Chem. Phys. **48**, 2515 (1968).

<sup>16</sup>A. Dalgarno and W. D. Davison, Mol. Phys. **13**, 479 (1967).

<sup>17</sup>A. Dalgarno, T. Degges, and D. A. Williams, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **92**, 291 (1967).

<sup>18</sup>G. A. Victor and A. Dalgarno, to be published.