Nonexistence of the 14.6-MeV State in Be⁷⁺

P. D. PARKER, D. W. MINGAY,* AND J. C. OVERLEY[‡] Wright Nuclear Structure Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut (Received 1 July 1968)

A level has been previously reported at an excitation energy of 14.6 MeV in Be⁷ from a study of the $B^{10}(p,\alpha)Be^{7}$ reaction using range techniques. This reaction has been reexamined using energy-sensitive detectors, and the α particles previously attributed to this level have been identified, instead, as recoiling Be⁷ ions and as α particles from the breakup of recoiling Be⁸ nuclei.

INTRODUCTION

[N 1955, in a study of the $B^{10}(p,\alpha)Be^7$ reaction using a range-sensitive particle detector consisting of a variable pressure air absorption chamber and three proportional counters, Reynolds¹ observed a very intense peak at a laboratory angle of 30° and with a range equivalent to that for 4.4-MeV α particles. This peak, α_5 , was interpreted as corresponding to a level in Be⁷ at an excitation energy of 14.6 MeV. Because he was able to observe this group at only one angle, Reynolds states that he could not eliminate the possibility that it might have been a 4.1-MeV He³ group corresponding to an excited state at 13.4 MeV in Be8. However, in view of our present knowledge of the structure of Be⁸ this seems highly improbable.

No additional evidence for the existence of this state has ever been found, although this region has been covered by reactions such as $Be^9(p,t)Be^{7.2}$ The properties of a state at such a high excitation energy in Be⁷ which is populated via the $B^{10}(p,\alpha)Be^7$ reaction many times more strongly than any of the lower-lying states would be very important to an understanding of the structure of Be7. Therefore, questions concerning not only the existence of this level but also the reasons why it should be so strongly populated by the $B^{10}(p,\alpha)Be^7$ reaction have led us to duplicate as closely as possible the 1955 measurements to see what new light could be shed on this enigma.

PROCEDURE AND RESULTS

A 150- μ g/cm² self-supporting B¹⁰ target (92.2% B¹⁰ and 7.8% B¹¹) was bombarded by an 18.57-MeV proton beam from the Yale MP tandem. The resulting charged particles were detected using transmission-mounted silicon surface-barrier detectors with collimating apertures 0.50 cm in diameter located 20 cm from the target. The spectrum shown in Fig. 1 was obtained at a laboratory angle of 30°, using a 200- μ detector with an anticoincidence requirement on a 2-mm detector behind it

¹ J. B. Reynolds, Phys. Rev. **98**, 1289 (1955). ² C. Detraz, J. Cerny, and R. H. Pehl, Phys. Rev. Letters **14**, 708 (1965).

protons which are not stopped by the 200- μ detector. This spectrum should correspond quite closely to that obtained by Reynolds,¹ except that Fig. 1 is plotted as a function of energy instead of range. Similar spectra were obtained in the present experiment from 15° to 30° in 5° steps and from 30° to 90° in 10° steps. The identifications of the peaks in Fig. 1 are based on their relative energies and on their kinematic behavior as a function of angle. The most prominent peak in the spectrum occurs at the energy at which one would expect to find the α_5 peak reported in Ref. 1. However, the kinematic behavior of this peak, as a function of angle, clearly identifies it not as an α -particle group but as the recoiling B¹⁰ nuclei from $B^{10}(p,p)B^{10}$ elastic scattering. B¹⁰ ions of this energy (4.5 MeV) do not have sufficient range to have been the cause of the α_5 peak. (To have the same range as a 4.4-MeV α particle, a B¹⁰ ion must have an energy of ≈ 15 MeV.³)

in order to eliminate the contributions from high-energy

In order to determine if there might also be a 4.4-MeV α -particle group hidden under the B¹⁰ recoils in our 30° spectrum, spectra were also obtained using a 0.5mg/cm² nickel foil in front of the detectors to shift the B¹⁰ recoils away from the region of interest. Figure 2



F10. 1. Charged-particle spectrum resulting from the bombardment of a B10 target by 18.57-MeV protons. A 200- μ Si(SB) detec-In the of a B target value of the order of the order of the of a big of the of actions due to carbon and oxygen contaminants in the B10 target.

[†] Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission under Contract No. AT (30-1)-3223.

^{*} On leave of absence from N.P.R.U., Witwatersrand University, Johannesburg, South Africa. ‡ Present address: Physics Department, University of Oregon,

Eugene, Ore.

⁸ L. C. Northcliffe, Phys. Rev. 120, 1744 (1960).



FIG. 2. A comparison of charged-particle spectra obtained with and without a 0.5-mg/cm² nickel degrading foil in front of a $32_{-\mu}$ Si(SB) detector. These spectra were measured at a laboratory angle of 30°, and peaks are identified corresponding to recoil groups from the B¹⁰(p,α)Be⁷, B¹⁰(p,He^3)Be⁸, and B¹⁰(p,p)B¹⁰ reactions. The expected location of Reynolds's α_5 peak is indicated in both spectra; in (B) the expected size of the α_5 peak is also indicated for the case that its intensity is equal to that of the α_2 group at this angle.

shows a comparison of the spectra obtained from a $32-\mu$ detector (in anticoincidence with a 2-mm detector behind it) with and without the 0.5-mg/cm² nickel degrading foil. (The location of the Be⁷ and Be⁸ recoil groups in these spectra, at energies greater than the 6.1 MeV maximum energy for α particles in this 32- μ detector, is another verification of their identifications as heavy-ion recoils.) The triangle in Fig. 2(B) indicates the expected location of the α_5 peak (shifted 300 keV to take account of the effect of the nickel foil) and the expected size of this peak based on a 300-keV width¹ and assuming an intensity for the α_5 group equal to the intensity of the α_2 group. The α_5 group reported in Ref. 1 was at least seven times as intense as the α_2 group. Hence, one is forced to the conclusion that the α_5 peak observed by Reynolds does not correspond to a group of α particles populating an excited state in Be⁷ at 14.6 MeV.

The 11.6-MeV Be⁷ recoils (see Fig. 1) from the B¹⁰(p,α_0) and B¹⁰(p,α_1) reactions can be shown to have almost exactly the same range as 4.4-MeV α particles. Measurements of the range of Be⁹ ions by Hower and Fairhall⁴ give a Be⁹ range in aluminum of 4.5 mg/cm² at 11.6 MeV which, when corrected for the difference in masses, gives a Be⁷ range at this energy of 4.7 mg/cm² compared to the 4.8-mg/cm² range of 4.4-MeV α particles.⁵ The Be⁷ recoils clearly must have been present

in the range spectrum of Ref. 1 and must have accounted for part ($\sim 0.8 \times \alpha_2$) of the α_5 peak. Furthermore, the 9.0-MeV Be8 recoils (see Fig. 1) from the $B^{10}(p, He^3)Be^8$ reaction will also have the same range as 4.5-MeV α particles and must, therefore, also have been present in the range spectrum and have contributed to the α_5 peak. The detection of a Be⁸ recoil depends on the simultaneous detection of both of the breakup α particles in the Be⁸ decay cone which in the present case has a maximum half-angle of 6°. The solid angle subtended by Reynolds's detector (0.95-cm aperture located 16.5 cm from the target) is six times larger than the solid angle of the detector used in the present measurement, but is still small compared to the solid angle of the Be⁸ decay cone. Thus, compared to the present experiment, the larger solid angle of the earlier experiment increased its efficiency for detecting both α particles from the Be⁸ recoils by a factor of 6 *relative* to the efficiency for detecting Be⁷ recoils or α_2 . Therefore, on the basis of the energy spectra reported here there must have been a peak in Reynolds's spectrum at a range corresponding to 4.5-MeV α particles due to Be⁷ and Be⁸ recoils and with an intensity about $3\frac{1}{2}$ times greater than the intensity of the α_2 peak. This accounts for essentially half of the α_5 peak.

The detection of only one of the breakup α particles from the Be8 recoils also contributes counts to this region of the range spectrum. These single α particles result from Be⁸ recoils covering the range of angles from 24° to 36° and will therefore have a spread in energy of \gtrsim 550 keV. The broad "shoulder" distribution in Fig. 2(B), between channels 125 and 155, is quite consistent with the spectrum expected from such α particles. Although such a distribution is too broad to account for Reynolds's α_5 peak directly, it would give rise to a pedestal on top of which the narrower Be⁷ and Be⁸ recoil groups would then be added. On the basis of the relative sizes of Reynolds's experimental geometry and the Be⁸ breakup cone and the distribution of α particles in that cone, single α -particle events will be several times more likely than the Be⁸ recoil events, and such a pedestal could then easily account for the remainder of the α_5 peak. In fact, the shape of the α_5 peak in Ref. 1 (with a considerable broadening and its base) is not at all inconsistent with this description. Furthermore, it should be noted that the range measurements of the α_5 peak were made at the residual-range limit of the detector and are therefore subject to quantitative uncertainties concerning the effects of this low-energy cutoff on the low-energy side of the α_5 peak and on the estimates of the shape and intensity of the continuum under this peak.

In conclusion, the energy spectra in Fig. 2 show that, at a proton energy of 18.57 MeV and a laboratory angle of 30°, the intensity of any α -particle group from the B¹⁰(p,α)Be⁷ reaction corresponding to an excited state at 14.6 MeV in Be⁷ is less than 2% of the intensity previously reported.

⁴ C. O. Hower and A. W. Fairhall, Phys. Rev. **128**, 1163 (1962). ⁵ C. F. Williamson, J. P. Boujot, and J. Picard, Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Saclay Report No. CEA-R 3042, 1966 (unpublished).