$4f^n \rightarrow 4f^{n-1}5d$ Spectra of Rare-Earth Ions in Crystals

EUGENE LOH

Physical Sciences Department, McDonnell Douglas Corporation, Santa Monica, California (Received 3 May 1968)

The $4f^{n \rightarrow 4}f^{n-1}5d$ absorption spectra of rare-earth ions, RE²⁺ or RE³⁺, in crystals can be fitted with energy gaps in the ground multiplets of the $4f^{n-1}$ core. Unlike the shielded $4f^n$ configuration, the $4f^{n-1}5d$ levels of RE in crystals are therefore very different from those of free ions, and are formed through the interaction between the electron in 5d orbitals with the $4f^{n-1}$ core.

THE absorption spectra of rare-earth ions, RE^{2+} or L RE³⁺, in crystals arise mainly from two types of electronic transitions: weak $4f \rightarrow 4f$ at low energies, and strong $4f \rightarrow 5d$ at higher energies. The former are analogous to free-ion levels due to the shielded character of the 4_{f^n} configuration. The $4_{f^n \rightarrow 4} f^{n-1} 5d$ transitions in crystals are not well understood because of uncertainties1 in the relative magnitude of the crystal field, electrostatic interaction, and spin-orbit coupling in the $4f^{n-1}5d$ configuration.

In this work we show that the complex patterns in the $4f^n \rightarrow 4f^{n-1}5d$ absorption spectra of most RE²⁺ or RE^{8+} ions in solids can be fitted to energy gaps in the ground multiplets of the $4f^{n-1}$ core. The $4f^{n-1}5d$ levels may therefore be understood as formed²⁻⁴ by the electron in the 5d orbitals e_q or t_{2q} interacting with the $4f^{n-1}$ core. As a consequence of this strong crystal-field effect⁵ on the 5d electron, the $4f^{n-1}5d$ configurations of RE in solids are very different from those of free ions.

The $4f^n \rightarrow 4f^{n-1}5d$ absorption spectra of most RE²⁺ or RE³⁺ in crystals exhibit two features. First, they consist of strong bands corresponding to components of 5d orbitals split in the crystal field. Consequently, their spectra are similar [among RE²⁺ ions,^{2,6-8} e.g., Eu²⁺ (Ref. 6) and Yb²⁺ (Ref. 6); or RE³⁺ ions,⁹⁻¹²

¹ For example, T. S. Piper, J. P. Brown, and D. S. McClure, J. Chem. Phys. **46**, 1353 (1967). ² D. S. McClure and Z. Kiss, J. Chem. Phys. **39**, 3251 (1963). ³ N. V. Starostin, Opt. i Spektroskopiya **23**, 486 (1967) [English transl.: Opt. Spectry. (USSR) **23**, 260 (1967)]. ⁴ A. A. Kaplyanskii and A. K. Przhevuskii, Opt. i Spektros-kopiya **19**, 597 (1965) [English transl.: Opt. Spectry. (USSR) **19**, 331 (1965)]. ⁵ See for example Refs. 2, 3, 4, and 6, and B. P. Zakharchenya

⁵ See, for example, Refs. 2, 3, 4, and 6, and B. P. Zakharchenya, V. P. Makarov, and A. Ya. Ryskin, Opt. i Spektroskopiya 17, 219 (1964) [English transl.: Opt. Spectry. (USSR) 17, 116 (1964)]. ⁶ A. A. Kaplyanskii and P. P. Feofilov, Opt. i Spektroskopiya

13, 597 (1962) [English transl.: Opt. Spectry. (USSR) 13, 129 (1962)].

(1962)].
⁷ J. L. Merz and P. S. Pershan, Phys. Rev. 162, 217 (1967);
162, 235 (1967); J. L. Merz, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University,
1966 (unpublished), and references therein, available as Technical Report No. 514, Office of Naval Research, NR-372-012.
⁸ V. A. Arkhangelskaya, M. N. Kiselyeva, and V. M. Shraiber,
Opt. i Spektroskopiya 23, 509 (1967) [English transl.: Opt.
Spectry. (USSR) 23, 275 (1967)]; R. J. Pressley and J. P. Wittke,
IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 3, 116 (1967); Z. J. Kiss, Phys. Rev.
137, A1749 (1965); 127, 718 (1962).
⁹ E. Loh, Phys. Rev. 147, 332 (1966).
¹⁰ E. Loh, Phys. Rev. 158, 273 (1967).
¹¹ E. Loh, Phys. Rev. 158, 273 (1967).
¹² E. Loh (to be published).

¹² E. Loh (to be published).

e.g., Ce³⁺ (Ref. 10), Pr³⁺ (Ref. 11), and Nd³⁺ (Ref. 12)] when the ions are embedded in the same type of hosts. Second, the structures of the 5d bands can be fitted to energy differences in the ground multiplets of the $4f^{n-1}$ core.¹³ It is this second feature we wish to demonstrate in the absorption spectra of most RE²⁺ or RE³⁺ ions in alkaline-earth fluorides.

Five common RE²⁺ ions, Sm²⁺, Eu²⁺, Dy²⁺, Tm²⁺, and Yb2+, are shown in Fig. 1. The gross feature in the spectra of some ions has been characterized⁶ as the e_a and t_{2g} components of the 5d electron in the cubic crystal field. We shall now consider the structure, i.e., strong lines, broad peaks, and shoulders, within each component. We assign most of the strong lines as pure electronic transitions to $4f^{n-1}5d$ levels and other structures as their vibronics, which are not yet resolved. We assume further that the $4f^{n-1}5d$ levels are formed through interaction between the 5d $(e_g \text{ or } t_{2g})$ electron and the $4f^{n-1}$ core, and are spaced with the energy gaps in the $4f^{n-1}$ ground multiplets. In Fig. 1 these levels are marked by bars, which precede most of the structure due to vibronics. For convenience we discuss the spectra of RE^{2+} in the following order: (1) Tm^{2+} and Dy²⁺, (2) Eu²⁺ and Yb²⁺, and (3) Sm²⁺.

(1) In the $4f^{13} \rightarrow 4f^{12}5d$ spectra of Tm²⁺, a set of three bars corresponding to the ${}^{3}H_{6}$, ${}^{3}H_{4}$, and ${}^{3}H_{5}$ levels of the ground multiplet of the $4f^{12}$ core precedes peaks or humps in both the e_g , 14 000 cm⁻¹ to 28 000 cm⁻¹, and t_{2g} , 28 000 cm⁻¹ to 43 000 cm⁻¹ regions. In the $4f^{10} \rightarrow 4f^{9}5d$ absorption spectra of CaF₂:Dy²⁺, a set of nine bars corresponding to ${}^{6}\text{H}_{j}$ and ${}^{6}\text{F}_{j}$ levels of the $4f^{9}$ core again precedes structures in both the e_g , 10 000 cm⁻¹ to 27 000 cm⁻¹, and t_{2g} , 27 000 cm⁻¹ to 44 000 cm⁻¹ regions.

(2) The e_g band of Eu²⁺ in CaF₂ and SrF₂ has been resolved directly by Kaplyanskii et al.4 into the pure electronic transitions, shown by bars in Fig. 1, and their associated vibronics at liquid-helium temperature. By analogy, we analyze the t_{2g} band of Eu²⁺ in these two hosts by translating the same set of bars from e_a band to precede the unresolved vibronics in the t_{2g} band at liquid-nitrogen temperature, Fig. 1. The energy gaps in the ground multiplet of 4f⁶, or Eu³⁺, are among the

533

¹³ G. H. Dieke and H. M. Crosswhite, Appl. Opt. 2, 675 (1963); G. H. Dieke, H. M. Crosswhite, and B. Dunn, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 51, 820 (1961).





narrowest of all RE, while that of $4f^{13}$, or Yb³⁺, is the largest. The Yb²⁺ spectrum in Fig. 1 clearly demonstrates this contrast by showing the huge Yb³⁺(${}^{2}F_{5/2}$) – Yb³⁺(${}^{2}F_{7/2}$) \approx 10 000 cm⁻¹ separation between e_{g} bands¹² 37 800 cm⁻¹ – 27 400 cm⁻¹ = 10 400 cm⁻¹, and 46 800 cm⁻¹ – 36 400 cm⁻¹ = 10 400 cm⁻¹, and t_{2g} bands¹² 54 500

 $cm^{-1}-44\ 100\ cm^{-1}=10\ 400\ cm^{-1}$, and 63 500 $cm^{-1}-53\ 100\ cm^{-1}=10\ 400\ cm^{-1}$.

(3) We assign most of the strong lines in the e_g , $\sim 14\,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ to $\sim 30\,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ region of the Sm²⁺ spectra in CaF₂ and SrF₂, Fig. 1, as pure electronic transitions and mark them with vertical bars. Following



FIG. 2. $4f^{n} \rightarrow 4f^{n-15d}$ absorption spectra of trivalent rare-earth ions Ce³⁺, Pr³⁺, Nd³⁺, Sm³⁺, Eu³⁺, Tb³⁺, Dy³⁺, Ho³⁺, Er³⁺, Tm³⁺, and Yb³⁺ in alkaline-earth fluorides. (All RE³⁺ are in CaF₂ except Pr³⁺ and Nd³⁺ in BaF₂. All are measured at liquid-nitrogen temperature, except Ho³⁺ and Er³⁺ at room temperature. Concentration and sample thickness are 0.15% Ce³⁺×0.29 mm, 0.005% Pr³⁺×0.95 mm, 0.005% Nd³⁺×1 mm and 0.01% Nd³⁺×13 mm, 0.005% Sm³⁺×10 mm, 0.006% Eu³⁺×10 mm, 0.2% Tb³⁺×~1 mm and 0.02% Tb³⁺×~1 mm, 0.01% Dy³⁺×~1 mm, 1% Ho³⁺×~1 mm and 0.1% Ho³⁺×~1 mm, 0.01% Er³⁺×~1 mm, 0.1% Tm³⁺×~1 mm and >0.005% Yb×~10 mm.)

the work⁴ on the e_g band of Eu²⁺, we compare our assignment in the spectra¹⁴ of Sm²⁺ with the ground multiplets¹³ of $4f^5$ or Sm³⁺ in Table I. The agreement in corresponding energy gaps is satisfactory. We do not venture to make similar comparison in the t_{2g} region of Sm²⁺ from ~30 000 cm⁻¹ to ~40 000 cm⁻¹ because of the presence of strong defect absorption,^{7,15} e.g., at ~33 000 cm⁻¹ in the Tm³⁺ spectra and probably at ~36 000 cm⁻¹ in the Dy²⁺ spectrum of Fig. 1.

Based on the above assignment of $4f^{n-1}5d$ levels of RE²⁺ and other considerations, such as the dependence of the absorption spectra on lattice parameters, we are able to assign the regions of e_g , t_{2g} , and their separation, i.e., the crystal-field strength 10Dq, more reliably. The data due to gross crystal-field effects on 5d electrons of some RE²⁺ will be summarized in a separate publication.

All available RE³⁺ ions are shown in Fig. 2. Only Gd³⁺ and Lu³⁺ are absent because of their excessive $4f \rightarrow 5d$ energies. The $4f^{n-1}5d$ levels are again marked by vertical bars. Following this procedure used for RE²⁺, these bars are placed in the spectra to precede most structures due to vibronics. For Pr^{3+} the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level^{16,11} at \sim 47 200 cm⁻¹ accidentally coincides with the $4f({}^{2}\mathrm{F}_{7/2})5d(e_{g})$ level in CaF₂. We use the spectrum of BaF₂:Pr³⁺ to avoid this overlap and hence unambiguously demonstrate the $4f({}^{2}\mathrm{F}_{5/2})5d(e_{g})$ and $4f({}^{2}\mathrm{F}_{7/2})5d(e_{g})$ levels as indicated by bars. For Tb³⁺ a huge separation between $4f^7(^{8}S)5d(e_g)$ and $4f^{7}({}^{6}\mathbf{P}_{7/2})5d(e_{g})$ corresponding to the energy gap of \sim 32 000 cm⁻¹ between ⁸S and ⁶P_{7/2} levels¹³ of Gd³⁺ is expected. Accordingly, we assign some of the structures between 72 000 and 80 000 cm⁻¹ in the Tb³⁺ spectra of Fig. 2 as unresolved vibronics of the $4f^{7}({}^{6}P_{7/2}) 5d(e_{g})$ level as suggested by the bar at 78 400 cm⁻¹. We abandon our previous⁹ speculation on the strong absorption peak at 75 200 cm⁻¹ as due to $4f \rightarrow 5d$ absorption of Tb⁴⁺, since the energy of Tb⁴⁺ absorption should be higher than that of the isoelectronic Gd^{3+} because of higher effective nuclear charge in Tb⁴⁺ ion.

The $4f \rightarrow 5d$ absorption spectra of RE³⁺ in alkalineearth fluorides are more complicated than that of RE²⁺ because of the presence of charge compensators^{10,11} accompanying trivalent ions. The charge compensators, e.g., interstitial fluorine ion, may lower the symmetry at RE³⁺ sites and can introduce cluster absorptions^{10,11} due to clusters of RE³⁺-charge-compensator complexes. The deduction of data on e_g , t_{2g} , and 10Dq of single-ion RE³⁺ in alkaline-earth fluorides from their spectra is therefore not as reliable as for RE²⁺ in the divalent host.

In conclusion, the $4f^{n} \rightarrow 4f^{n-1}5d$ absorption spectra of RE²⁺ and RE³⁺ in crystals can be fitted to energy gaps in the ground multiplets of the $4f^{n-1}$ core. The $4f^{n-1}5d$

¹⁴ D. L. Wood and W. Kaiser, Phys. Rev. **126**, 2079 (1962); W. Kaiser, C. G. B. Garrett, and D. L. Wood, Phys. Rev. **123**, 766 (1961).

¹⁵ D. L. Wood (private communication).

¹⁶ E. Loh, Phys. Rev. 140, A1463 (1965).

| CaF2:Sm ²⁺ | | SrF2: | Sm ²⁺ | Sm ³⁺ ground multiplets |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|---|
| ν | $\Delta \nu$ | ν | $\Delta \nu$ | $\Delta \nu$ |
| 14.5 | 0 | 15.2 | 0 | 0 Z or ${}^6\mathrm{H}_{5/2}$ |
| 15.47 15.8 | $ \left. \begin{matrix} 0.97 \\ 1.3 \end{matrix} \right\} 1.15$ | 16.45 | 1.25 | 1.1 Y or ⁶ H _{7/2} |
| 16.76 16.96 | $2.26 \\ 2.46 $ 2.36 | | | 2.3 X or ${}^6\mathrm{H}_{9/2}$ |
| 17.68 18.68 | $3.18 \\ 4.18 3.68$ | {18.3 19.32 | $\begin{array}{c} 3.1 \\ 4.12 \end{array} \right\} 3.6$ | 3.6 W or ⁶ H _{11/2} |
| 19.7 | 5.2 | 20.39 | 5.19 | 5 V or ⁶ H _{13/2} |
| 20.12 | 5.62 | 20.77 | 5.57 | 5.75 U or ${}^6F_{1/2}$ |
| 21.85 | 7.35 | 22.55 | 7.35 | 7 R or ${}^6F_{\delta/2}$ |
| 22.47 | 7.97 | 23.15 | 7.95 | 7.9 Q or ⁶ F _{7/2} |
| 23.64 | 9.14 | 24.12 | 8.92 | 9.05 P or ${}^6F_{9/2}$ |
| 24.7 25.1 | $ \begin{array}{c} 10.2 \\ 10.6 \end{array} \right\} 10.4 $ | $ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 25.33 \\ 25.62 \end{array} \right. $ | $ \begin{array}{c} 10.13 \\ 10.42 \end{array} \} 10.28 $ | 10.4 O or ${}^6F_{11/2}$ |

TABLE I. Pure electronic transitions in e_q component of $4f^6 \rightarrow 4f^55d$ absorption of Sm^{2+} in CaF_2 and SrF_2 (wave number ν in 1000 cm⁻¹).

configurations of RE in crystals are therefore understood as formed through interaction of the 5d orbital with the $4f^{n-1}$ core.

The author wishes to thank D. L. Wood of Bell Telephone Laboratories for sending original tracings of Sm^{2+} , C. H. Anderson and R. J. Pressley of RCA Laboratories for supplying Tm^{2+} and Dy^{2+} -doped

crystals, and Z. J. Kiss of RCA Laboratories for mentioning Ref. 1. He is also indebted to Hughes Research Laboratories for use of their vacuum-ultraviolet spectrometer, to the late G. Dorosheski for constructing some graphs, to R. R. Carlen and L. Podoksik for spectral measurements, and to J. R. Henderson for reading the manuscript.