treatment of the proposed two-channel model, perhaps with the inclusion of N^* and ρ exchanges, as well as the inclusion of other inelastic channels (in finite number), seems worth further effort. As we have seen in the present paper, the urgent meed for more accurate phaseshift analyses at higher energies is apparent. (The latest efforts of Bareyre et al ⁵ represent a major step toward this knowledge.) With such information on hand, we may then perform the inelastic one-channel calculation with much more confidence in the final results. It will then be of interest to ask the following two questions:

(]) Will the actual inelasticity parameters, when used in conjuction with our one-channel method, be able to generate the correct phase shift?

(2) Will an improved treatment of the two-channel $(\pi N, \pi N^*)$ system give the correct inelasticity; if not, will the inclusion of more channels be capable of doing so?

The dilemma, whether the calculated phase shift actually shows the correct behavior or whether we still require CDD poles to produce the right answer even in the presence of the known inelasticity, will be resolvable. In addition, we will then be able to understand better the issue of "equivalence-nonequivalence" of singleand multichannel N/D methods.³⁴

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professor David Atkinson for suggesting the present investigation, and to him and Dr. John Harte for continued interest and encouragement throughout the course of this work. In addition he wishes to thank Professor J. D. Jackson and Dr. Harte for critically reading the manuscript and for their numerous suggestions. An enlightening conversation with Professor Geoffrey F. Chew about the Froissart method is also gratefully acknowledged.

34 M. Bander, P. W. Coulter, and G. L. Shaw, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, ²⁷⁰ (1965); E.J. Squires, Nuovo Cinmnto 84, ¹⁷⁵¹ (1964).

PHYSICAL REVIEW VOLUME 174, NUMBER 5 25 OCTOBER 1968

W+-Meson Production

W. WILLIAMSON, JR., AND R. T. DECK Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio (Received 28 June 1968)

The production cross section for weakly interacting W^+ mesons is calculated for the semiweak production process $\gamma + \rho \rightarrow n + W^+$. The W^+ is assumed to have a magnetic and T-violating electric dipole moment, and the cross section is discussed as a function of these parameters for various possible values of the boson mass.

1. INTRODUCTION

'HE possibility of there being a weakly interacting meson (W) that transmits the weak interactions was first proposed by Feynman and Gell-Mann' in 1958. Since that time the W meson has enjoyed a colorful history, during which various W -production colorful history, during which various W -production
cross sections have been calculated²⁻²⁴ and related

[~] R. Feynman and M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. 109, 193 (1958). ² T. D. Lee, P. Markstein, and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Letters 7, 429 (1961). '

³ N. Cabibbo and R. Gatto, Phys. Rev. 124, 1577 (1961).

-
-

⁴ N. Dombey, Phys. Rev. Letters 6, 66 (1961).
⁵ J. Bernstein and G. Feinberg, Phys. Rev. 125, 1741 (1962).
⁵ S. A. Bludman and J. A. Young, Phys. Rev. 126, 303 (1962).
⁷ V. V. Solovev and I. S. Tsukerman, Zh. Ekspe

42, 1252 (1962) [English transl.: Soviet Phys.--JETP 15, 868

42, 1232 (1902) Lengush transi.. Soviet Thys. JETT 10, 666
(1962)].
8 I. V. Lyagin and I. S. Tsukerman, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz.
42, 1618 (1962) [English transl.: Soviet Phys.—JETP 15, 1123 12, 1010 (1962) Linguish Games Corect 12, 2.

(1962)],

⁹ G. Von Gehlen, Nuovo Cimento 30, 859 (1963).

¹⁰ G. Takeda, Phys. Rev. Letters 10, 167 (1963).

¹¹W. Williamson, Jr., and G. Salzman, Phys. Rev. Letters 11, 224 (1963).

 W -meson searches have been carried out.^{25–28} But up to the present time the conjectured W meson has not been detected.

Interest in W mesons has been renewed by some relatively recent work. In particular, the cosmic-ray

¹² A. C. T. Wu, T. T. Wu, and K. Fuchel, Phys. Rev. Letters 11, 390 (1963).

¹³ S. Berman and Y. S. Tsai, Phys. Rev. Letters 11, 483 (1963).
¹⁴ J. Nearing, Phys. Rev. 132, 2323 (1963).
¹⁵ A. C. T. Wu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Letters 12, 57 (1964).
¹⁶ M. LeBellac *et al.*, Nuovo Cimento 34, 109

-
-
-
- ¹⁶ M. LeBellac *et al.*, Nuovo Cimento 34, 1096 (1964).
¹⁷ R. R. Lewis, Jr., Phys. Rev. 137, B164 (1965). ²⁸ A. Weis and P. Kabir, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 62 (1965). ⁹ H. R. Reiss and M. H. Cha, Phys. Rev. Letters

 $\frac{3.95 \times 3.95}{2.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ N}}$. S. Tsai and A. C. Hearn, Phys. Rev. 140, B721 (1965). $\frac{3.95 \times 3.95}{2.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ N}}$. Chilton, A. M. Saperstein, and E. Shrauner, Phys. Rev.

- ²¹ F. Chilton, A. M. Saperstein, and E. Shrauner, Phys. Rev. 148, 1380 (1966).
- ~2 J. Dooher and M. Tausner, Phys. Rev. 142, ¹⁰¹⁸ (1966}. [~] Y. Yamaguchi, Nuovo Cimento 43, ¹⁹³ (1966).
-
-
-
-
- 24 J. D. Sullivan, Phys. Rev. 149, 1114 (1966).
²⁶ R. Burns *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Letters 15, 42 (1965).
²⁶ Bernardini *et al.*, Nuovo Cimento 38, 608 (1965).
²⁷ R. C. Lamb *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Letters 15, 800 (1965).
-

In the present paper, we calculate the production cross section for W mesons for the reaction $\gamma + \rho \rightarrow W^+$ $+n$, first proposed by Charpak and Gourdin.³⁰ In a previous calculation of this cross section by Reiss and Cha^{19} (RC), the magnetic moments of the nucleons were neglected³¹ and the W meson was assumed to have both a zero anomalous magnetic moment and a zero electric dipole moment. A related calculation¹¹ has demonstrated that the inclusion of the latter parameters can alter the computed cross section by as much as an order of magnitude, and therefore we have included the contributions arising from magnetic- and electricmoment terms.

In Sec. 2, we review the interaction between the spinor, vector, and electromagnetic fields, and very briefly discuss some of the alternative ways that have been proposed for producing the W mesons. In Sec. 3, we give our matrix elements and the expression for the differential and total production cross section. Section 4 contains a discussion of the results.

2. INTERACTIONS

The interaction between the spinor and electromagnetic fields is well defined, and we use the standard form of the interaction³² with the matrix element of the nucleon current given by

$$
\langle p'|J_{\mu}|p\rangle \sim F_1(q^2)\bar{u}(\mathbf{p}')\gamma^{\mu}u(\mathbf{p}) +iF_2(q^2)\bar{u}(\mathbf{p}')\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}u(\mathbf{p}), \quad (1)
$$

where

$$
q^{2} = (p' - p)^{2}, F_{1p}(0) = 1, F_{1n}(0) = 0,
$$

$$
F_{2p}(0) = \mu_{p}/2m, F_{2n}(0) = \mu_{n}/2m,
$$

and $\mu_p = 1.79$, $\mu_n = -1.91$, and m is the nucleon mass.

The interaction-free Lagrangian for the W meson is assumed to have the form

$$
L(x) = -T^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x)}{\partial x^{\gamma}} \frac{\partial \phi_{\delta}(x)}{\partial x^{\beta}} + M^{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \phi_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) \phi_{\beta}(x) , \quad (2)
$$

where

$$
T^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\gamma\delta} + Bg^{\beta\gamma}g^{\alpha\delta} - Cg^{\alpha\gamma}g^{\beta\delta} - D\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{3}
$$

and $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ is the Levi-Cevita tensor density. The complete description of massive vector fields using this Lagrangian may be found in the paper by Salzman this Lagrangian may be found in the paper by Salzman
and Salzman.³³ One may show that $1+B=C$, and in

the presence of an electromagnetic field one may make the identifications $B = g_M$, $C = 1 + g_M$, and $D = g_E$, where g_M and g_E are, respectively, the magnetic and electric g_M and g_F are, respectively, the magnetic and electric g factors of the W meson. Since the discovery of CP , and therefore, presumably, T violation,³⁴ one should not exclude the possibility of the W 's having a T-violating electric dipole moment. Some of the consequences of such a moment are discussed in the references cited at the end of this paper.^{35,36} the end of this paper.

The weak interaction between the nucleon and the W meson is expressed through the interaction Lagrangian

$$
L_I(x) = g\bar{\psi}_p \gamma_{\mu_2} \frac{1}{2} (1 - i\gamma_5) \psi_n(x) \phi^\mu(x) + \text{H.c.}, \qquad (4)
$$

where ψ_p and ψ_n are the field functions associated with the proton and neutron states of the nucleon. The complete interaction Lagrangian includes the coupling term that couples the *W* meson to the leptons e , μ , and ν :

$$
g\bar{\psi}_e(x)\gamma_\mu \frac{1}{2}(1-i\gamma_5)\psi_{\nu_e}(x)\phi^\mu(x) + \text{H.c.}
$$
 (5)

In the weak-interaction theory based on the above Lagrangian, ordinary β decay is a second-order process and has the observed strength provided only that the coupling constant g is related to the ordinary Ferm interaction constant G_F by the equation

$$
(g/M)^2 = (\sqrt{8})G_F,
$$

with $G_F \sim 10^{-5}/m^2$.

The mechanisms for the production of W mesons can be classified according to the types of interactions inxolved. By way of motivating the present calculation, we briefly list the merits of certain of the proposed mechanisms.

(a) Production via strong interactions. Dombey,⁴ and (a) I reduction the energy interdetions: Dompty, and later Bernstein and Feinberg,⁵ investigated the possibil ity of producing the W via the mechanism π^+ + $\rho \rightarrow W^+$ $+p$. However, the detection of this reaction depends sensitively on the mass of the W and is made difficult by a high reaction background. The lower-mass limit of the W now seems to exclude this possibility.²⁶ of the W now seems to exclude this possibility.²⁶

 (b) Production via electromagnetic interactions. A pair of W's may be produced by the process $\gamma + Z \rightarrow Z + W^+$ $+W^-$. This process has been investigated by several $+W^-$. This process has been investigated by several groups.^{11–13} The reaction cross section goes as α^3 , but at the present energies it is considerably suppressed by the reduction in the available phase space resulting from the large W mass.

(c) Production via weak interactions. A single W is (c) Production via weak interactions. A single W is produced in the resonance reaction^{17,18,21} $N + \bar{p} \rightarrow W^- \rightarrow$ decay products. The process goes as $g⁴$, but the existence of numerous other open channels (available to the initial state) and the high number of background events maked
etection very difficult.^{27,28} detection very difficult.^{27,28}

(d) Production via semiweak interactions. A single W

²⁹ H. E. Bergeson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Letters **19**, 1487 (1967).
³⁰ G. Charpak and M. Gourdin, 1962 *Cargèse Lectures in Theoret*

ical Physics (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1963).

³¹ The contributions of the nucleon moments were expected to be small near threshold.

³² S. Schweber, An Introduction to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory (Row, Peterson and Co., Evanston, Ill., 1961).
³³ F. Salzman and G. Salzman, Nuovo Cimento 37, 924 (1965).

 34 J. H. Christenson et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 13, 138 (1964).

 34 J. H. Christenson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Letters 13, 138 (1964).
 35 G. Salzman and F. Salzman, Phys. Letters 15, 91 (1965).

 36 G. Salzman and F. Salzman, Nuovo Cimento 41 , 443 (1966).

is produced with a high-energy neutrino beam, $2.7-9$ i.e., $\nu_1 + Z \rightarrow Z + l + W$. This cross section goes as $g^2\alpha^2$ and one heavy particle is produced in the Gnal state. The main limitation in this case is the limitation of the neutrino beam, i.e. , its intensity and energy. Experiments have been unsuccessful in finding W 's using this reaction, but some of the best lower limits on its mass reaction, but some of the best lower limits on its mass
come from these experiments.²⁶ Another semiwea production process is the one that we have calculated, production process is the one that we have calculated,
 $\gamma + p \rightarrow n + W^+$. The cross section goes as $g^2\alpha$, which is a factor of α^{-1} better than the above. However, a heavy particle is produced in the reaction and only one charged particle occurs in the final state.

3. MATRIX ELEMENTS AND CROSS SECTION

The three diagrams relevant to our calculation are shown in Fig. 1. Using the previously described interactions, one may write down the amplitudes corresponding to Figs. $1(a)$, $1(b)$, and $1(c)$, respectively, as

$$
M_1 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \left(\frac{m^2}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{eg}{(4\omega_1 \omega_2)^{1/2}} \times \left[\frac{1}{\Delta^2 - m^2} \bar{u}_2 \hat{p} \cdot \gamma a_-(\gamma \cdot \Delta + m) V_\alpha^{\nu} \epsilon^{\alpha} u_1\right], \quad (6)
$$

$$
M_2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \left(\frac{m^2}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{eg}{(4\omega_1 \omega_2)^{1/2}} \left[\frac{1}{q^2 - M^2} \bar{u}_2 \gamma^\alpha a_- u_1\right]
$$

$$
\times \left(g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{q_\alpha q_\beta}{M^2}\right) T^{\sigma\tau\gamma\beta} (q_\tau \epsilon_\sigma + k_{2\sigma} \epsilon_\tau) \rho_\gamma \right], \quad (7)
$$

$$
M_3 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \left(\frac{m^2}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{eg}{(4\omega_1 \omega_2)^{1/2}} \left[-\frac{1}{2k_1 \cdot p_2} \times \bar{u}_2 V_\alpha^{n} \epsilon^\alpha (p_2 \cdot \gamma - k_1 \cdot \gamma + m) \gamma \cdot \rho a_- u_1\right]. \tag{8}
$$

We have used the notation

$$
k_1 = (\omega_1, \mathbf{k}_1), \quad k_2 = (\omega_2, \mathbf{k}_2), \quad P_1 = (\epsilon_1, \mathbf{p}_1), \quad P_1 = (\epsilon_2, \mathbf{p}_2),
$$

$$
\rho_{\mu} \rightarrow W^+ \text{-polarization vector},
$$

$$
\epsilon_{\mu} \rightarrow \text{photon-polarization vector},
$$

$$
a_{-} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - i \gamma_5), \quad V_{\alpha}{}^p = \gamma_{\alpha} - i F_{2p} \sigma_{\beta \nu} k_1{}^r,
$$

$$
V_{\alpha}{}^n = -i F_{2n} \sigma_{\beta \nu} k_1{}^r, \quad \sigma_{\mu \nu} = \frac{1}{2} i (\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu}).
$$

The unpolarized differential cross section is given by

$$
d\sigma = \left(\frac{eg}{2\pi}\right)^2 \frac{m}{4\omega_1} \sum_{\text{spins}} |A_1 + A_2 + A_3|^2 d\rho, \tag{9}
$$

where

and

$$
M_i = \left[\text{egm}/(2\pi)^2 (4\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \omega_1 \omega_2)^{1/2}\right] A_i \tag{10}
$$

$$
d\rho = \delta^4 (k_1 + p_1 - p_2 - k_2) \frac{d^3 p_2}{2\epsilon_1} \frac{d^3 k_2}{2\omega_2} \,. \tag{11}
$$

The phase-space volume element may be written³⁷

$$
d\rho = (\pi/\alpha) dx,
$$

where $\alpha = (p_1+k_1)^2 - m^2$ and $x=k_1 \cdot k_2$, with the range of integration $\frac{1}{2}(a \pm b)$, where³⁸

$$
a = \alpha(\alpha + M^2)/2(\alpha + m^2), \qquad (12)
$$

$$
b = a\left[(\alpha - M^2)^2 - 4m^2 M^2 \right]^{1/2} / 2(\alpha + m^2). \tag{13}
$$

Using the above relations, we have

$$
d\sigma = 2\pi \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{m^2}{\alpha^2} dx \sum_{\text{spins}} |A_1 + A_2 + A_3|^2.
$$
 (14)

The sum over spins is accomplished using the relation
\nspinor:
$$
\sum_{s=1,2} u_s(p) \otimes \bar{u}_s(p) = \frac{p \cdot \gamma + m}{2m},
$$
\nphoton:
$$
\sum_{s=1}^4 \epsilon_{\alpha}^s(k_1) \epsilon_{\beta}^s(k_1) = -g_{\alpha\beta},
$$
\nW meson:
$$
\sum_{s=1}^3 \rho_{\alpha}^s(k_2) \rho_{\beta}^s(k_2) = -g_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{k_{1\alpha} k_{2\beta}}{M^2}.
$$

The calculation of the squared amplitudes $|A_i|^2$ and The differential cross sections are

$$
u_{-} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - i\gamma_{5}), \quad V_{\alpha}^{p} = \gamma_{\alpha} - iF_{2p}\sigma_{\beta}k_{1}^{p},
$$

\n
$$
V_{\alpha}^{n} = -iF_{2n}\sigma_{\beta}k_{1}^{p}, \quad \sigma_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}i(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\mu}).
$$

\nThe calculation of the squared amplitudes $|A_{i}|^{2}$ and
\nthe cross terms $Re(A_{i}A_{j}^{+})$ is tedious but straightforward.
\nThe differential cross sections are
\n
$$
d\sigma_{1} = \pi \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{g^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{dx}{\alpha^{2}M^{2}} \left[\alpha^{2}F_{2p}^{2} + (M^{2} - 2m^{2}) - 3mM^{2}F_{2p} + 2M^{2}(m^{2} - M^{2})F_{2p}^{2} - (a - 2M^{2})\left(2F_{2p}^{2} - \frac{2}{\alpha}(1 - 3mF_{2p})\right)x\right],
$$
\n(15)

 37 W. Williamson, Jr., Am. J. Phys. 33, 987 (1965). 38 The notation of Ref. 19 is used.

1989

$$
d\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{4}\pi \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{dx}{4\pi a^2 M^2} \left[\left[(g_M^2 + g_B^2 + 4g_M - 4) \frac{1}{2} M^2 \alpha^2 + 4M^4 (M^2 - m^2) \right] (1/x^2) + \left[(g_M^2 + g_B^2 - 2g_M + 2) \alpha^2 \right] \right] (1/x^2) + \left[- (g_M^2 + g_B^2 + 4g_M - 4) \alpha M^2 - 4M^2 (3M^2 - m^2) \right] (1/x) + \left[- (g_M^2 + g_B^2 - 2g_M + 2) 2\alpha + (8 - g_B^2 - g_M^2) M^2 \right] (1/x) + 2m^2 (g_M^2 + g_B^2 + 2g_M - 8) + \left[(g_B^2 + g_M^2) 2 + 4(g_B^2 + g_M^2 - 5g_M + 5) m^2 / M^2 \right] x \right\}, \quad (16)
$$

$$
d\sigma_3 = 2\pi \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} F_{2n}^2 \frac{dx}{\alpha^2 M^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 + (m^2 - M^2) M^2 + (2M^2 - \alpha) x \right], \quad (17)
$$

$$
d\sigma_{12} = -\frac{1}{2}\pi \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{dx}{\alpha^3 M^2 x} ((g_M - 1)\alpha^3 + 2M^2[g_M - (g_M + 1)mF_{2p}] \alpha^2 - 2M^2(m^2 + M^2)\alpha + 4M^2(M^2 - m^2)
$$

+ $\{-2[(g_M - 1) + (g_M - 2)mF_{2p}] \alpha^2 + 2[(4g_M - 1)M^2mF_{2p} - (g_M + 2)m^2 - M^2(3g_M - 2)]\alpha + 4M^2(m^2 - 2M^2)\}x$
+ $\{2[-(g_M - 1)m^2/M^2 + 2g_M - 2(g_M + 1)mF_{2p}] \alpha + 8(g_M - 1)m^2 - (g_M - 1)8m^3F_{2p} + 8mM^2F_{2p}\}x^2),$ (18)

$$
d\sigma_{13} = -2\pi \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{F_{2n}m}{\alpha^2} \frac{dx}{M^2} \left[\frac{0.75\alpha M^2}{\frac{1}{2}\alpha - x} - \frac{\frac{1}{2}(3\alpha + 5M^2)x}{\frac{1}{2}\alpha - x} + \frac{(3+2m^2/\alpha)x^2}{\frac{1}{2}\alpha - x} - 4mF_{2p} \left(\frac{\alpha M^2}{2(\frac{1}{2}\alpha - x)} - \frac{(M^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha)x}{\frac{1}{2}\alpha - x} + \frac{(1+m^2/\alpha)x^2}{\frac{1}{2}\alpha - x} \right) \right], \quad (19)
$$

$$
d\sigma_{23} = \frac{1}{2}\pi \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{F_{2n}dx}{\alpha^2 M^2} \left(\frac{(g_M+1)\alpha^2 m M^2}{x(\frac{1}{2}\alpha - x)} + \left[(g_M-2)m\alpha^2 - 5M^2 m\alpha \right] \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}\alpha - x} - 2m \left[3(g_M-1)\alpha + (2g_M-1)M^2 - 2(g_M-1)m^2 \right] \frac{x}{\frac{1}{2}\alpha - x} + 4(2g_M-1) \frac{mx^2}{\frac{1}{2}\alpha - x} \right). \tag{20}
$$

ntegration of the above equations using the zero-momentum nucleon form factors and the limits of integration given above yields our result,

$$
\sigma_{1} = \frac{\pi}{m^{2}} \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{g^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{b'}{4\pi M' \alpha'^{2}} \{ \alpha'^{2} (mF_{2p})^{2} + (M'-2) - 3M' (mF_{2p}) + 2M' (1-M') (mF_{2p})^{2} - 2M'/\alpha' \n-4M' (1-M')/\alpha'^{2} - a' (\alpha'-2M') \left[(mF_{2p})^{2} - (1/\alpha') (1-3mF_{2p}) \right] \}, (21) \n\sigma_{2} = \frac{\pi}{4m^{2}} \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{g^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{b'}{4\pi} \frac{[}{\alpha'' M'} \left[\left[(g_{M}^{2} + g_{B}^{2} - 2g_{M} + 2) \alpha'^{2} - (g_{M}^{2} + g_{B}^{2} + 4g_{M} - 4) \alpha' M' - 4M' (3M'-1) \right] \n\times \frac{1}{b'} \frac{1}{m} \frac{a' + b'}{a' - b'} + \left(g_{B}^{2} + g_{M}^{2} + 2(g_{B}^{2} + g_{M}^{2} - 5g_{M} + 5) \frac{1}{M'} \right) a' + 12\alpha'(g_{M} - 1) + 8M' \n- (g_{M}^{2} + g_{B}^{2})M' + 16(M'-1)(\alpha'+1) \frac{M'}{\alpha'^{2}} + 4(g_{B}^{2} + g_{M}^{2} + 3g_{M} - 6) \right], (22) \n\sigma_{3} = \frac{\pi}{m^{2}} \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{g^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{(mF_{2n})^{2}b'}{a'^{2}M'} \left[(2M'-\alpha')a' + \alpha'^{2} + 2M' (1-M') \right], (23) \n\sigma_{12} = -\frac{\pi}{2m^{2}} \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{g^{2}}{4\pi} \frac{b'}{4\pi} \frac{[}{\alpha'^{2}M'} \left[\left((g_{M}-1)\alpha'^{2} + 2[g_{M} - (g_{M} + 1)mF_{2p}]M'\alpha' - 2M' (1+M') + 4 \frac{
$$

$$
2m^{2} 4\pi 4\pi \alpha'^{2} M' \left[\begin{pmatrix} 8m & 1/2 & 1-2m & 8m+1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 8m & 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \right]^{2} \alpha' \alpha'^{2} \alpha'^{2} \alpha'^{2} \alpha'^{2} \alpha'^{2} \alpha'^{2} \alpha'^{2}
$$

$$
-2\left[\left(g_{M}-1\right)+\left(g_{M}-2\right)mF_{2p}\right]\alpha'+2\left[\left(4g_{M}-1\right)M'mF_{2p}-\left(g_{M}+2\right)-\left(3g_{M}-2\right)M'\right]+4\frac{M'}{\alpha'}\left(1-2M'\right)
$$

$$
+a'\left(-\left(g_{M}-1\right)\frac{1}{M'}+2g_{M}-2\left(g_{M}+1\right)mF_{2p}+4\left(g_{M}-1\right)\frac{1}{\alpha'}-\left(g_{M}-1\right)\frac{4}{\alpha'}mF_{2p}+4\frac{M'}{\alpha'}mF_{2p}\right)\right], \quad (24)
$$

174

1990

$$
\sigma_{13} = \frac{2\pi}{m^2} \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{(mF_{2n})b'}{a'^2M'} \Biggl\{ \Biggl[\frac{1}{2}\alpha'(M'-1) + \alpha'(mF_{2p}) \Biggr] \frac{1}{b'} \frac{\alpha'-a'+b'}{\alpha'-a'-b'} + \frac{1}{2}(2-5M') + 2mF_{2p}(2M'-1) + \frac{1}{2}a' \Biggl[\Biggl(3+2\frac{1}{\alpha'} \Biggr) - 4mF_{2p} \Biggl(1+\frac{1}{\alpha'} \Biggr) \Biggr] \Biggr\} \ , \quad (25)
$$

$$
\sigma_{23} = \frac{\pi}{m^2} \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{b'(mF_{2n})}{M'\alpha'^2} \Biggl((g_M+1) \frac{\alpha'M'}{b'} \frac{a'+b'}{a'-b'} \frac{\alpha'}{b'} \Biggl[M' - (g_M-1) \Biggr]
$$

$$
\times \ln \frac{\alpha'-a'-b'}{\alpha'-a'+b'} - (1+g_M)\alpha' + (2g_M-1)M' + 2(1-g_M) + (2g_M-1)(\alpha'-a') \Biggr) \ , \quad (26)
$$

where we have put all energies and masses in units of the nucleon mass, i.e.,

$$
\alpha' \!=\!\alpha/m^2\,,\quad M' \!=\! M^2/m^2\,,\quad a' \!=\! a/m^2\,,\quad b' \!=\! b/m^2\,.
$$

4. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

We have computed the cross-section terms given by Eqs. (21) – (26) as a function of the incident photon energy for several values of the physical parameters $g_M, g_E,$ and M. The results for particular values of these parameters are presented in Table I as a function of photon energy measured in units of the threshold energy $(X$ is the photon lab energy/threshold energy). The values of the total cross sections $\sigma_T(g_M, g_E)$ are summarized in Table II. Figure 2 shows a plot of the total cross section $\sigma_T(1,0)$ versus photon energy for three values of the W-meson mass \overline{M} . Figure 3 shows a similar plot of the contributing terms in the cross section $\sigma_T(-1,\pm 1)$ for a value of the W mass equal to twice the nucleon mass.

A study of Table II demonstrates that the total cross section depends most strongly on the parameters g_M and g_E where the M/m ratio is small and the photon

FIG. 2. Total cross section (in cm²) as a function of photon lab energy in nucleon-mass units.

energy is in the vicinity of the threshold value. In this case, a change in the values of the parameters g_M and g_E allows a change in the total cross section by as much as a factor of 2 (σ_T assuming its largest value for $g_M = -1$, $g_E = \pm 1$). For larger photon energies the cross section, as expected, approaches the same asymptote for all values of g_M and g_E .

The relative sizes of the contributing terms in the total cross section are exhibited in Table I and in

TABLE I. Cross-section terms $\sigma = \sigma(1,0)$ as a function of the ratio X between the photon energy and the threshold energy for $M = 2m$, $g_M = 1$, and $g_E = 0$. (Cross sections are in units of 10⁻¹⁰ b.)

X	$\sigma_1(1,0)$	$\sigma_2(1,0)$	$\sigma_3(1,0)$	$\sigma_{12}(1,0)$	$\sigma_{13}(1,0)$	$\sigma_{23}(1,0) \sigma_T(1,0)$	
1.1	0.103	0.005	0.159	0.027	-0.108	0.034	0.220
1.2	0.158	0.012	0.237	0.032	-0.136	0.046	0.349
1.3	0.208	0.018	0.304	0.033	-0.149	0.053	0.467
1.4	0.256	0.025	0.367	0.032	-0.156	0.059	0.582
1.5	0.300	0.032	0.424	0.031	-0.159	0.064	0.693
2.0	0.517	0.060	0.688	0.020	-0.151	0.080	1.22
3.0	0.919	0.098	1.16	0.002	-0.119	0.097	2.16
4.0	1.31	0.121	1.61	-0.008	-0.095	0.108	3.04
5.0	1.69	0.138	2.05	-0.016	-0.077	0.115	3.89
6.0	2.06	0.152	2.48	-0.021	-0.064	0.120	4.73

FIG. 3. Cross-section terms as a function of photon lab energy in nucleon-mass units.

TABLE II. Total cross section $\sigma_T = \sigma_T(g_M, g_E)$ as a function of the ratio between the photon lab energy and the threshold energy. The separate columns give σ_T in units of 10⁻¹⁰ b for three values of the W mass. $X = \frac{\$

		$\sigma T(-1, \pm 1)$			$\sigma T(-1, 0)$			$\sigma T(0, \pm 1)$			σ r $(0,0)$			$\sigma T(1, \pm 1)$			$\sigma T(1,0)$	
X	2m	6m	10m	2m	6 <i>m</i>	10m	2m	6т	10m	2m	6m	10m	2m	6 <i>m</i>	10m	2m	6m	10m
1.1	0.388	0.940	1.56	0.367	0.933	1.56	0.294	0.876	1.51	0.273	0.870	1.50	0.241	0.825	1.46	0.220	0.818	1.45
1.2	0.568	1.59	2.90	0.538	1.58	2.89	0.444	1.50	2.83	0.414	1.49	2.82	0.379	1.44	2.76	0.349	1.42	2.76
1.3	0.721	2.22	4.32	0.683	2.21	4.31	0.576	2.12	4.23	0.539	2.10	4.22	0.505	2.05	4.16	0.467	2.03	4.15
1.4°	0.860	2.85	5.79	0.816	2.83	5.77	0.699	2.74	5.69	0.655	2.72	5.67	0.626	2.67	5.62	0.582	2.65	5.60
1.5	0.986	3.48	7.28	0.936	3.45	7.26	0.815	3.36	7.17	0.766	3.34	7.16	0.743	3.29	7.10	0.693	3.26	7.08
2.0	1.56	6.52	14.8	1.49	6.47	14.7	1.35	6.37	14.6	1.28	6.33	14.6	1.29	6.31	14.6	1.22	6.27	14.5
3.0	2.57	12.2	29.0	2.47	12.6	28.9	2.31	12.0	28.8	2.21	11.9	28.7	2.26	11.9	28.8	2.16	11.9	28.7
4.0	3.51	17.5	42.3	3.39	17.4	42.2	3.23	17.3	42.1	3.09	17.2	42.0	3.16	17.2	42.0	3.04	17.1	42.0
5.0	4.42	22.6	55.1	4.28	22.5	55.0	4.09	22.4	54.9	3.95	22.3	54.8	4.03	22.3	54.9	3.89	22.2	54.8
6.0	5.30	27.6	67.7	5.15	27.5	67.6	4.94	27.4	67.4	4.79	27.2	67.3	4.88	27.3	67.4	4.73	27.2	67.3

Fig. 3. The dominant terms arise from Feynman diagrams a and c involving internal nucleon lines. The contribution from the Feynman diagram b involving an internal W -meson line is suppressed by a factor roughly equal to the square of the nucleon to the W-meson mass ratio m/M . Diagram c is not included in the RC calculation.

The present calculation reduces to that of RC in the limit in which $F_{2p}=F_{2n}=0$, $g_M=1$, and $g_E=0$. The latter case is summarized in Table III for a particular value of the mass ratio m/M . A comparison of Table III with the results presented by RC shows an order-ofmagnitude disagreement between the present results and those of RC. The main disagreement stems from an apparently spurious factor of 4π that RC include in their cross-section formula as a consequence of their definition of the W -meson coupling constant. An additional disagreement arises from a discrepancy in the over-all sign of the cross term σ_{12} . The sign of the latter cross term reflects the relative phase between the matrix elements M_1 and M_2 . In the present calculation, this phase is chosen to be consistent with the required gauge invariance of the total amplitude

 $M = \epsilon_u (M_1^{\mu} + M_2^{\mu} + M_3^{\mu})$

expressed via the relation

$$
k_{1\mu}(M_1^{\mu}+M_2^{\mu}+M_3^{\mu})=0
$$
.

The apparently spurious factor of 4π in the result of RC is also pointed out in a recent paper by Weis and Kabir on the electroproduction of W mesons.³⁹

A comparison of the magnitudes of the cross-section terms in Tables I and III shows the importance of the magnetic-form-factor terms. The dominance of the latter terms in the present calculation is somewhat surprising, but one should note that we have used the static form factors because the photons are on the light cone. The size of the cross sections do not look overly encouraging for experiments in the immediate future.

TABLE III. Cross-section terms $\sigma = \sigma(1,0)$ as a function of the ratio X between the photon energy and the threshold energy for $M = 2m$, $g_M = 1$, $g_E = 0$, and $F_{2p} = F_{2n} = 0$. (Cross sections are for $M = 2m$, $g_M = 1$,
in units of 10^{-12} b.)

iii uiiits of 10 -- p. <i>)</i>				
Χ	$\sigma_1(1,0)$	$\sigma_{12}(1,0)$	$\sigma_2(1,0)$	$\sigma_T(1,0)$
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 $1.5\,$ 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0	0.787 1.20 1.54 1.84 2.10 3.04 4.01 4.47 4.75	-0.565 -1.24 -1.92 -2.55 -3.13 -5.23 -7.05 -7.68 -7.89	0.501 1.15 1.85 2.54 3.21 6.04 9.77 12.14 13.8	0.723 1.11 1.47 1.83 2.18 3.85 6.73 8.93 10.7
6.0	4.92	-7.95	15.2	12.2

³⁹ A. Weis and P. K. Kabir, Nucl. Phys. **B4**, 643 (1968).