Application of ξ-Limiting Process to Intermediate Bosons, T. D. LEE [Phys. Rev. 128, 899 (1962)].

(1) In Eq. (1)

$$\underline{Q} = \cdots [\kappa - (4\pi)^{-1} \cdots]$$

should read

$$Q = \cdots [\kappa + (16\pi)^{-1} \cdots].$$

(2) In Eq. (33)

$$Q = -(e\kappa/m_W^2)[1+\cdots]$$

should read

$$Q = -(e/m_W^2)[\kappa + \cdots].$$

(3) In Eq. (38)

 $a_0 = -(2\pi)^{-1}\cdots$ should read

$$a_0 = + (8\pi)^{-1} \cdots$$

(4) In Eq. (46)

 $\cdots \ln \xi \left[g_0 \psi_{\nu}^{\dagger} \gamma_4 \gamma_{\lambda} (1+\gamma_5) \psi_{\mu} \right] \left[k^2 (1+\frac{5}{6}\kappa) + \cdots \right] + \cdots$

should read

 $\cdots \ln \xi [g_0 \psi_{\nu}^{\dagger} \gamma_4 \gamma_{\lambda} (1+\gamma_5) \psi_{\mu}] \\ \times [(k^2 + m_W^2) (1 + \frac{5}{6} \kappa) + \cdots] + \cdots]$

I wish to thank K. Y. Ng and D. Bailin for pointing out these mistakes.

Reggeization of External Particles, M. L. THIE-BAUX [Phys. Rev. **170**, 1244 (1968)]. Two conclusions stated in Section 3F are wrong because of an incorrect formulation of two-pion unitarity. It is not true that the existence of a stable recurrence necessarily violates two-pion unitarity, nor that the dynamical recipe is consistently defined only for $0 < M \le 1$. The first two sentences of the first paragraph of this section should be modified by replacing $\beta_{\lambda}(s; J, M)$, wherever it appears, with $\beta_{\lambda}(s; J, M)/\rho_{\lambda}(s; J, M)$, where λ has the values 01 or 1, as explained in the following paragraphs. The remaining four sentences of the paragraph should be deleted.