Radiative Pion Capture and the Pion-Nucleus Vertex Function*

DAVID GRIFFITHS AND C. W. KIM

Department of Physics, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

(Received 3 May 1968)

We use the Kroll-Ruderman theorem and the elementary-particle treatment of the nucleus to calculate s-wave capture rate of pions by He³ and Li⁶. The results are compared with previous estimates and experiment. We also use the observed Panofsky ratio to study the π He³H³ vertex function.

I. INTRODUCTION

HE Kroll-Ruderman¹ theorem relates the transition matrix element for the photoproduction of pions from nucleons near threshold to the nucleon-tonucleon matrix element of the axial-vector hadron weak current in the limit $m_{\pi}/m_p \rightarrow 0$ (m_{π} and m_p being the pion and nucleon masses). Recent derivations² have simplified the proof considerably.

The theorem has been generalized to nuclear systems and applied to photoproduction of pions from He³,^{3,4} B¹¹, and O^{16.5} The nuclei involved are treated as elementary particles⁶ so that the corresponding axialvector matrix elements are calculated in a modelindependent way.

In Sec. II we apply the method to the *s*-wave capture of pions by He³ and Li⁶. Capture by He³ has also been discussed by Ericson and Figureau.⁴ We compare our results with experiment and previous theoretical estimates. The π He³H³ vertex function is discussed in Sec. III.

II. CAPTURE RATES

A. Calculation

We can write the Kroll-Ruderman theorem for the lowest-order s-wave amplitude for the process $\pi^- + N_i \rightarrow$ $N_f + \gamma$ in the form^{3,5}

$$\langle N_f, \gamma | j_{\pi}^{(-)}(0) | N_i \rangle \approx i \frac{(4\pi)^{1/2} e}{a_{\pi} m_{\pi}} \epsilon_{\mu}^{(\lambda)} \langle N_f | A_{\mu}^{(-)}(0) | N_i \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where m_{π} , $a_{\pi}(=0.95)$, and $j_{\pi}^{(-)}(0)$ are, respectively, the mass, the decay coupling constant, and the source of the pion field. $\epsilon_{\mu}^{(\lambda)}$ is the photon polarization vector and $A_{\mu}^{(-)}(0)$ is the axial-vector hadron weak current.

⁴ M. Ericson and A. Figureau, CERN Report No. TH. 847 (unpublished).

⁶ C. W. Kim and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. 139, B1447 (1965).

173

The corrections to Eq. (1) are of order m_{π}/m , where m is the nuclear mass ($\sim 5\%$ for He³, 2.5% for Li⁶). If we use the elementary-particle description of nuclei, the matrix elements of the axial-vector current can be written as

$$\langle \mathbf{H}^{3} | A_{\mu}^{(-)}(0) | \mathbf{H}\mathbf{e}^{3} \rangle$$

$$= \bar{u}(\mathbf{H}^{3}) [\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}F_{A}(q^{2}; \mathbf{H}\mathbf{e}^{3} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{3}) + (i2m/m_{\pi}^{2})q_{\mu}\gamma_{5}F_{P}(q^{2}; \mathbf{H}\mathbf{e}^{3} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{H}^{3})]u(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{e}^{3}), \quad (2a)$$

$$\langle \operatorname{He}^{6} | A_{\mu}^{(-)}(0) | \operatorname{Li}^{6} \rangle$$

$$= \sqrt{2}m [\xi_{\mu}F_{A}(q^{2}; \operatorname{Li}^{6} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{He}^{6}) + (\xi \cdot q/m_{\pi}^{2})q_{\mu}F_{P}(q^{2}; \operatorname{Li}^{6} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{He}^{6})], \quad (2b)$$

$$q_{\mu} = (p_{f} - p_{i})_{\mu},$$

where u(N) is a Dirac spinor and ξ_{μ} is spin-1 polarization vector. $F_A(q^2; N_i \leftrightarrow N_f)$ and $F_P(q^2; N_i \leftrightarrow N_f)$ are the nuclear axial-vector and induced pseudoscalar form factors which in this treatment contain the complexity of the nuclear structure. The pions are mostly captured from the s orbit⁴ and can be treated as if they were at rest. In this approximation the momentum transfer $|\mathbf{q}|$ is equal to the momentum of the photon $|\mathbf{k}|$, and the F_P terms in Eq. (2) do not contribute.

From Eqs. (1) and (2) we obtain the transition rates

$$\begin{split} &\lambda(\pi^{-} + \operatorname{He}^{3} \to \operatorname{H}^{3} + \gamma) \\ &\cong \frac{2\alpha}{a_{\pi}^{2}} \frac{E_{\gamma}}{m_{\pi}^{3}} \left(\frac{m}{m + E_{\gamma}} \right) C(\operatorname{He}^{3}) \frac{Z^{3} \alpha^{3} m_{\pi}^{3}}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{1 + m_{\pi}/m} \right)^{3} \\ &\times [F_{A}(q^{2}; \operatorname{He}^{3} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{H}^{3})]^{2}, \quad (3a) \end{split}$$

 $E_{\gamma} = 136 \text{ MeV}, \quad q^2 = -m_{\pi}^2 + 2m_{\pi}E_{\gamma} = 0.95m_{\pi}^2,$

 $\lambda(\pi^{-}+\mathrm{Li}^{6}\rightarrow\mathrm{He}^{6}+\gamma)$

$$\cong \frac{\alpha}{3a_{\pi^2}} \frac{E_{\gamma}}{m_{\pi^3}} \left(\frac{m}{m+E_{\gamma}}\right) C(\mathrm{Li}^6) \frac{Z^3 \alpha^3 m_{\pi^3}}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{1+m_{\pi}/m}\right)^3 \\ \times [F_A(q^2; \mathrm{Li}^6 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{He}^6)]^2, \quad (3\mathrm{b})$$

$$E_{\gamma} = 134 \text{ MeV}, \quad q^2 = -m_{\pi}^2 + 2m_{\pi}E_{\gamma} = 0.92m_{\pi}^2,$$

where the nonpoint character of the charge distribution of the nucleus gives rise to a correction factor $C(N_i)$.

We now determine the numerical value of F_A $\times (q^2; \operatorname{He}^3 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{H}^3)$ and $F_A(q^2; \operatorname{Li}^6 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{He}^6)$ from the appropriate experimental data without using a nuclear 1584

^{*} Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

 ^a Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation.
 ¹ N. M. Kroll and M. A. Ruderman, Phys. Rev. 93, 233 (1954).
 ² S. Okubo, Nuovo Cimento 41, A586 (1966); P. de Baenst, M. Konuma, and J. Weyers, *ibid.* 45, 501 (1966); S. Ragusa, *ibid.* 51, 118 (1967); G. W. Gaffney, Phys. Rev. 161, 1599 (1967).
 See also N. Cabibbo, in *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual International Conference on High-Energy Physics, Berkley, California, 1966* (University of California Press, Berkley, 1967), p. 29 and references therein

^a D. Griffiths and C. W. Kim, Nucl. Phys. **B4**, 309 (1968).

⁵ D. Griffiths and C. W. Kim, **B6**, 49 (1968).

model. From Eq. (2) we can relate $F_A(0; N_i \leftrightarrow N_f)$ to the *ft* value for the corresponding β decay;

173

fu/TT-6

. T 16 1 - 1 -)

$$ft(\mathrm{H}^{3} \to \mathrm{He}^{3} + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}) = \frac{2\pi^{3} \ln 2}{G^{2}m_{e}^{5}\{1 + 3[F_{A}(0; \mathrm{He}^{3} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{3})]^{2}\}}, \quad (4)$$

$$=\frac{2\pi^{3}\ln 2}{G^{2}m_{e}^{5\frac{3}{2}}[F_{A}(0;\mathrm{Li}^{6}\leftrightarrow\mathrm{He}^{6})]^{2}},$$
(5)

where $G = 10^{-5}/m_p^2$. Inserting the experimental ft values, $ft(H^3 \rightarrow He^3 + e^- + \bar{\nu}) = 1137$ sec and $ft(He^6 \rightarrow He^- + \bar{\nu}) = 1137$ $Li^{6} + e^{-} + \bar{\nu} = 808 \text{ sec, gives}^{7}$

$$|F_A(0; \operatorname{He}^3 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{H}^3)| = 1.22, \qquad (6a)$$

$$|F_A(0; \operatorname{Li}^6 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{He}^6)| = 2.26. \tag{6b}$$

The momentum-transfer dependence of the form factors can be obtained⁸ from elastic e-He³, e-H³,⁹ and inelastic e-Li⁶¹⁰ scattering data, and at the value of q^2 appropriate to capture we find¹¹

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_A(q^2 = 0.95m_\pi^2; \operatorname{He}^3 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{H}^3) \end{bmatrix}^2 = 0.587 \begin{bmatrix} F_A(0; \operatorname{He}^3 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{H}^3) \end{bmatrix}^2, \quad (7a)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_A(q^2 = 0.92m_\pi^2; \operatorname{Li}^6 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{He}^6) \end{bmatrix}^2 = 0.208 \begin{bmatrix} F_A(0; \operatorname{Li}^6 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{He}^6) \end{bmatrix}^2. \quad (7b)$$

From Eqs. (3), (6), and (7) we then obtain¹²

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{-} + \operatorname{He}^{3} \to \operatorname{H}^{3} + \gamma) = 2.32 \times 10^{15} \operatorname{sec}^{-1}, \quad (8a)$$

$$\lambda(\pi^{-}+\text{Li}^{6}\to\text{He}^{6}+\gamma)=1.65\times10^{15}\text{ sec}^{-1}.$$
 (8b)

We can also calculate⁸ the rate $\lambda(\mu^{-} + \text{Li}^6 \rightarrow \text{He}^6 + \nu)$ from the same experimental data used in estimating $\lambda(\pi^{-}+\text{Li}^{6}\rightarrow\text{He}^{6}+\gamma)$ in Eq. (8b). In this case,

$$q^2 = -m_{\mu}^2 + 2m_{\mu}E_{\nu} = 0.91 \ m_{\mu}^2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_A(q^2 = 0.91m_{\mu}^2; \operatorname{He}^6 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Li}^6) \end{bmatrix}^2 = 0.39 \begin{bmatrix} F_A(0; \operatorname{He}^6 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Li}^6) \end{bmatrix}^2, \quad (9)$$

from which one finds

$$\lambda(\mu^{-}+\text{Li}^{6} \rightarrow \text{He}^{6}+\nu) = 1.33 \times 10^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1}.$$
 (10)

⁷The value of Eq. (6b) is twice the value given in Ref. 8.

This is due to the different normalization used for the nuclei.
⁸ C. W. Kim and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. 140, B566 (1965).
⁹ H. Collard, R. Hofstadter, E. B. Hughes, A. Johansson, M. R. Yearian, R. B. Day, and R. T. Wagner, Phys. Rev. 138, D77 (1956). B57 (1956).

¹⁰ J. Goldemberg, W. C. Barber, F. H. Lewis, Jr., and J. D. Walecka, Phys. Rev. **134**, B1022 (1964).

Waterka, Fiys. Rev. 134, B1022 (1904). ¹¹ The numberical coefficient in Eq. (7b) is based on the recently observed value $\Gamma(\text{Li}^{\circ}(0^+) \to \text{Li}^{\circ})=4.7$ eV as reported by W. C. Barber, J. Goldemberg, G. A. Peterson, and Y. Torizuka, Nucl. Phys. 14, 461 (1963). This remark also applied to Eq. (10). ¹² We have used the values of $C(N_i)$ given in Ref. 8. A small expression due to the difference of the interval procession.

correction due to the difference of the pion and muon masses is neglected.

B. Discussion

Deutsch et al.¹³ measured the μ -capture rate of Li⁶ and found

$$\lambda(\mu^{-} + \text{Li}^{6} \rightarrow \text{He}^{6} + \nu) = (1.6_{-0.13}^{+0.33}) \times 10^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1}.$$
 (11)

This disagrees with our result in Eq. (10) and would seem to indicate that there is a discrepancy between the electron-scattering data^{10,11} and the muon-capture data.¹³ More experiments might clarify this point.

The rates $\lambda(\pi^- + \text{He}^3 \rightarrow \text{H}^3 + \gamma)$ and $\lambda(\pi^- + \text{Li}^6 \rightarrow \text{He}^6)$ $+\gamma$) have not been directly measured. In the He³ case, impulse-approximation calculations have given values ranging from 0.97×10^{15} sec⁻¹¹⁴ to 8.32×10^{15} sec⁻¹¹⁵ and on the basis of a treatment similar to ours Ericson and Figureau⁴ made an estimate of 3.46×10^{15} sec⁻¹.

Deutsch et al. combined the relation¹³

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_A(q^2 = 0.92m_{\pi}^2; \operatorname{He}^6 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Li}^6) \end{bmatrix}^2 = 0.44 \begin{bmatrix} F_A(q^2 = 0.91m_{\mu}^2; \operatorname{He}^6 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Li}^6) \end{bmatrix}^2 \quad (12)$$

and their experimental data on muon capture to obtain

$$\lambda(\pi^{-}+\mathrm{Li}^{6} \to \mathrm{He}^{6}+\gamma) = (2.0_{-0.2}^{+0.4}) \times 10^{15} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}.$$
 (13)

However, from the electron-scattering data alone, i.e., from Eqs. (7b) and (9), one can see that the experimental value of the coefficient in Eq. (12) is 0.535 rather than 0.44. This would change the value of Eq. (13) to $(2.44_{-0.24}^{+0.49}) \times 10^{15}$ sec⁻¹. As already mentioned, the disagreement between this value and our result, Eq. (8b), may be attributed to the discrepancy between the electron-scattering data and the muoncapture data. Combining the capture rate $\lambda(\pi^- + \text{Li}^6 \rightarrow$ $He^{6}+\gamma$) and the observed branching ratio¹³

$$\frac{\lambda(\pi^- + \mathrm{Li}^6 \to \mathrm{He}^6 + \gamma)}{\lambda(\pi^- + \mathrm{Li}^6)_{\mathrm{total}}} = 0.010 \pm 0.001 \,, \qquad (14)$$

we can find the total capture rate $\lambda(\pi^+ + \text{Li}^6)_{\text{total}}$ which is approximately equal to the width Γ_{1} , of the 1s orbit of the pion. The results $\Gamma_{1s} = 0.159 \text{ keV}$

from
$$\lambda(\pi^- + \text{Li}^6 \rightarrow \text{He}^6 + \gamma) = 2.44 \times 10^{15} \text{ sec}^{-1}$$
,
 $\Gamma_{1\bullet} = 0.107 \text{ keV}$ (15)
from $\lambda(\pi^- + \text{Li}^6 \rightarrow \text{He}^6 + \gamma) = 1.65 \times 10^{15} \text{ sec}^{-1}$,

are both consistent with a recent measurement,16 $\Gamma_{1} = 0.15 \pm 0.05$ keV.

III. π-NUCLEUS VERTEX FUNCTION

Although $\lambda(\pi^- + \operatorname{He}^3 \to \operatorname{H}^3 + \gamma)$ has not been directly measured, the Panofsky ratio, which is defined as

$$P = \lambda (\pi^- + \mathrm{He}^3 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^3 + \pi^0) / \lambda (\pi^- + \mathrm{He}^3 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^3 + \gamma),$$

- ¹³ J. P. Deutsch *et al.*, Phys. Letters **26B**, 315 (1968).
 ¹⁴ P. P. Divakaran, Phys. Rev. **139**, B387 (1965).
 ¹⁵ A. Fujii and D. J. Hall, Nucl. Phys. **32**, 102 (1962).
 ¹⁶ R. J. Harris, Jr., W. B. Shuler, M. Eckhause, R. T. Siegel, and R. E. Welsh, Phys. Rev. Letters **20**, 505 (1968).

has an observed value of 2.28 ± 0.18 .¹⁷ Treating the

nuclei as elementary particles, we can calculate the rate $\lambda(\pi^- + \text{He}^3 \rightarrow \text{H}^3 + \pi^0)$ in terms of the scattering lengths. The result is

$$\lambda(\pi^{-} + \mathrm{He}^{3} \to \mathrm{H}^{3} + \pi^{0}) = \frac{8\pi}{9} |a_{1/2} - a_{3/2}|^{2} \frac{p_{0}}{m_{\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{m_{\pi}}{m}\right)$$
$$\times C(\mathrm{He}^{3}) \frac{Z^{3} \alpha^{3} m_{\pi}^{3}}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{1 + m_{\pi}/m}\right)^{3}, \quad (16)$$

where $a_{1/2}$ and $a_{3/2}$ are the π^- -He³ scattering lengths in states of isotopic spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$ and $p_0(=36.9 \text{ MeV})$ is the momentum of the outgoing π^0 . From Eqs. (3a) and (16),

$$P = \frac{4\pi a_{\pi}^{2}}{9\alpha} \frac{p_{0}}{E_{\gamma}} \left(1 + \frac{E_{\gamma}}{m}\right) \left(1 + \frac{m_{\pi}}{m}\right) \times \frac{m_{\pi}^{2} |a_{1/2} - a_{3/2}|^{2}}{[F_{A}(q^{2} = 0.95m_{\pi}^{2}; \operatorname{He}^{3} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{H}^{3})]^{2}} = 59m_{\pi}^{2} |a_{1/2} - a_{3/2}|^{2}, \qquad (17)$$

and using the experimental value of P we obtain¹⁸

$$|a_{1/2} - a_{3/2}| = 0.196/m_{\pi}.$$
 (18)

The relation between the physical $\pi He^{3}H^{3}$ coupling constant $f(-m_{\pi}^2; \pi \text{ He}^3\text{H}^3)$ and the scattering lengths is given in Ref. 19 as

$$\begin{bmatrix} f(-m_{\pi}^{2}; \pi \operatorname{He}^{3}\mathrm{H}^{3}) \end{bmatrix}^{2} \cong \frac{4}{3}\pi (1+m_{\pi}/m) \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} F_{A}(0; \operatorname{He}^{3} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{3}) \end{bmatrix}^{2} |a_{1/2}-a_{3/2}|,$$
(19)

provided that $\text{Im}a_{1/2} = \text{Im}a_{3/2} = 0$. In general, $\pi - N_i$ scattering lengths are complex, but for the He³-H³ system the imaginary parts of the scattering lengths

20 See, for example, Ref. 18.

are known to be negligible.⁴ We thus find from Eqs. (6a), (18), and (19)

$$|f(-m_{\pi^2}; \pi \text{He}^3\text{H}^3)| = 1.13,$$
 (20)

which may be compared with the nucleon value²⁰

$$|f(-m_{\pi^2};\pi np)| = 1.43.$$
 (21)

Using the nuclear Goldberger-Treiman relations^{6,19}

$$F_A(0; N_i \leftrightarrow N_I) = a_\pi f(0; \pi N_i N_f)$$

$$\equiv a_\pi f(-m_\pi^2; \pi N_i N_f) K(0; \pi N_i N_f), (22)$$

and Eqs. (20) and (21), we find

ŀ

$$|K(0; \pi n p)| = 0.87$$
, (23a)

$$K(0; \pi \text{He}^3 \text{H}^3) = 1.14.$$
 (23b)

In the dispersion-theory treatment of the Goldberger-Treiman relation, the results of Eq. (23) would imply that while the sign of the contribution of states, other than the dominant single-pion pole, is negative for the n-p system, it is positive for the H³-He³ system.

It is generally expected²¹ that while $f(-m_{\pi}^2; \pi N_i N_f)$ will vary considerably from nucleus to nucleus since it contains much information on nuclear structure, $K(0; \pi N_i N_i)$ will vary very smoothly. If we assume a relation of the form

$$K(0; \pi N_i N_f) = A^{1/n} K(0; \pi n p), \qquad (24)$$

where A is the mass number of the nucleus N_i , the values of Eq. (23) imply that $n \cong 4$. This is not very inconsistent with the value $n \cong 6$, which has been suggested on the basis of a nuclear Adler-Weissberger relation.²²

²¹ See remarks after Eqs. (19b) and (42b) in Ref. 19. ²² A generalization of the Adler-Weisberger sum rule [S. Adler, Phys. Rev. **140**, B736 (1965); W. I. Weisberger, *ibid*. **143**, 1302 (1965)] to nuclear systems yields the following relation [see Eq. (36c) in Ref. 19]:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{s}(0;\pi,N_f) &\cong [K(0;\pi N_i N_f)]^2 \mathfrak{s}(-m_{\pi}^2;\pi,N_f), \\ \mathfrak{s}(q^2;\pi,N_f) &\equiv \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{\pi} \int_{m_{\pi}}^{\infty} \frac{dE_{\pi}(E_{\pi}^2 - m_{\pi}^2)^{1/2}}{E_{\pi}^2} \\ &\times [\sigma(q^2;E_{\pi};\pi^-,N_f) - \sigma(q^2;E_{\pi};\pi^+,N_f)] \end{split}$$

The left-hand side of the above relation is related to the ν -induced nuclear reaction cross sections [S. Adler, Phys. Rev. 143, 1144 (1966)] so that it is proportional to A. On the other hand, $(-m_{\sigma}^{-1};\pi,N_{f})$ involves the $\pi^{\pm}-N_{f}$ total cross sections, which are proportional to $A^{2/3}$. Thus one expects $K(0;\pi N_{i}N_{f})$ to be proportional to $A^{1/6}$.

¹⁷O. A. Zaimidoroga *et al.*, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. 48, 1267 (1965) [English transl.: Soviet Phys.—JETP 21, 848 (1965)]. ¹⁸ The corresponding value for the π -p scattering is $|a_{1/2} - a_{3/2}| = (0.259 \pm 0.090)/m_{\pi}$, as reported by J. Hamilton and W. S. Woolcock, Rev. Mod. Phys. 35, 737 (1963). ¹⁹ H. Primakoff, *High Energy Physics and Nuclear Structure*, edited by G. Alexander (North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam 1067) dam, 1967).