Energy Spectra of Auto-Ionizing Electrons in Oxygen*

M. E. RUDD AND KENNETH SMITH

Behlen Laboratory of Physics, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

(Received 4 December 1967)

Experimental and theoretical data are presented showing the energy spectra of auto-ionizing electrons from atomic oxygen. The experimental data were obtained by bombarding oxygen gas with 100-keV H⁺ and He⁺ ions; the theoretical results were obtained in a close-coupling calculation which coupled the 4S, ^{2}D , and ^{2}P terms of the ground-state configuration of O⁺ in electron-O⁺ scattering.

I. INTRODUCTION

MOST information about atomic energy levels has been obtained from studies of optical spectra. However, the optical selection rules limit the number of states which can be readily observed. In recent years. atomic collision techniques have been employed to extend the range of states available for observation. Experimental observations of auto-ionizing states have been carried out using electron impact methods by several groups; for a resume of their results see Smith.¹ Auto-ionizing electrons from heavy ion impacts on gases have been observed by Barker and Berry² and by Everhart's group³ and one of us (MER) has used this latter technique to obtain information about the auto-ionizing states of helium,⁴ neon,⁵ argon,⁶ and now oxygen.

The calculation of the positions and widths of autoionizing states has been carried out for two and three electron systems using a variety of theoretical approximations, a review of these is given in Ref. 1. One of the most successful of these approximations is the closecoupling approximation which involves expanding the over-all wave function for the system in terms of known Hartree-Fock orbitals for the target electrons and generating systems of second-order differential equations for the continuum or auto-ionizing electrons. The numerical solution of these equations yields both the positions and widths of the auto-ionizing states. The interrelationship between the phenomenon of autoionization and the resonant scattering of electrons by atomic systems is discussed in detail in Ref. 1; the explicit equations which describe the scattering of

¹K. Smith, Rept. Progr. Phys. 29, 373 (1966); see also W. Mehlhorn, Phys. Letters 21, 155 (1966) and H. Körber and W. Mehlhorn, Z. Physik 191, 217 (1966).
² R. B. Barker and H. W. Berry, Phys. Rev. 151, 14 (1966).
⁸ Q. C. Kessel, M. P. McCaughey, and E. Everhart, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 1189 (1966).
⁴ M. E. Rudd, Phys. Rev. Letters 13, 503 (1964); 15, 580 (1965).

(1965)

⁶ M. E. Rudd and D. V. Lang, in *Proceedings of the 1Vth* International Conference on the Physics of Electronic and Atomic Collisions (Science Bookcrafters, Hastings-on-Hudson, N. Y.,

⁶ M. E. Rudd, T. Jorgensen, Jr., and D. J. Volz, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 929 (1966); Phys. Rev. 151, 28 (1966).

electrons by singly ionized oxygen atoms, and which lead to predictions on the auto-ionized levels of atomic oxygen, have been derived by Smith et al.7 who have written a computer code⁸ to calculate, among other parameters, the eigenphases for the scattering of electrons by atomic systems with configurations $1s^22s^22p^q$. $q=0, \dots, 6$. This code has been used to compute the results given here.

A description of the experimental method and of the apparatus has been given previously⁹ and only a few brief comments will be given here. Oxygen gas at pressures varying from 0.2 to 3μ was bombarded by either protons or helium ions at 100 keV. The ejected electrons were energy analyzed by a parallel-plate electrostatic analyzer. The analysis was done at 1 eV; the electrons being accelerated or decelerated to that energy as necessary. The resolution of the analyzer was about 70 mV which was great enough to separate the energies of different electron configurations but was not sufficient to resolve the various terms and J values of a given electron configuration.

In Sec. II we discuss the selection rules for autoionization in a language which is convenient for relating the initial excited state of the atom (after the collision) to the final state of the residual ion as well as from the close-coupling viewpoint. In Secs. III and IV we present our results and conclusions.

II. SELECTION RULES

Since most calculations are carried out with Hamiltonians which conserve both the total orbital and spin angular momenta, L and S, we shall work in LS coupling. The fact that oxygen is a low-Z element further justifies this choice. The interrelationship between the auto-ionizing states of atomic systems and the resonant scattering of electrons is discussed in detail in Ref. 1. Here we merely remark that the autoionized states of atomic oxygen were calculated by considering the scattering of electrons by O⁺ ions. For a given L, S and parity π , the calculation reduces to extracting the numerical solutions, denoted by $F(S_1L_1l_1)$

^{*} Work supported by the National Science Foundation, the United Kingdom Science Research Council, and by the U. S. Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland AFB, under Contract No. AF29(601)-6801 with Lockheed Research Laboratories, Palo Alto, Calif.

⁷ K. Smith, R. J. W. Henry, and P. G. Burke, Phys. Rev. 147, 21 (1966).

⁸ K. Smith, R. J. W. Henry, and P. G. Burke, Phys. Rev. 157. 51 (1967). ⁹ M. E. Rudd, Rev. Sci. Instr. 37, 971 (1966); M. E. Rudd,

C. A. Sautter, and C. L. Bailey, Phys. Rev. 151, 20 (1966).

of coupled second-order ordinary differential equations. The quantum number l_1 denotes the orbital angular momentum of the scattered electron relative to the ion in the state S_1L_1 . The energy associated with the scattered electron, when the core is in the 4S state, is denoted by k_{1^2} ; if k_{1^2} is positive, then the scattered electron is in a continuum orbital, while if k_1^2 is negative the electron is said to be in a bound orbital. When the ionic core is excited to the ${}^{2}D$ or ${}^{2}P$ state, then the energy of the outer electron is denoted by k_2^2 or k_3^2 , respectively. We have remarked above that for a given $LS\pi$, there may be several F's coupled together; if at least one of these F's is bound and at least one F is a continuum, then we have the condition for auto-ionization. The number of such F's which can be coupled together for a given $LS\pi$ is obtained quite simply from the number of different ion states taken into account, denoted by L_1S_1 , the rules of vector addition of angular momenta and the conservation of parity.

In Fano's notation¹⁰ let ψ denote those independent particle model states of the ion plus electron in which

TABLE I. For a given set of the conserved quantum numbers $LS\pi$, we list the coupled, discrete, independent particle model states ϕ which can auto-ionize into the nearby continua ψ . The final column denotes the rows of Table XIV of Smith *et al.*^a which gives the positions of these auto-ionized levels.

L	S	π	ψ	ϕ	Smith et al.ª
0	1	-1	$F(^{4}Ss)$	$F(^{2}Dd)$	1
1	1	+1	$F(^{4}Sp)$	$\begin{cases} F(^{2}Dp) \\ F(^{2}Df) \\ F(^{2}Pp) \end{cases}$	
	$(k_2^2 > 0)$		$F(^{2}Dp) \\ F(^{2}Df) \end{bmatrix}$	$F(^{2}Pp)$	
1	1	-1	$F(^{2}Dd)$	$\begin{cases} F(^2Ps) \\ F(^2Pd) \end{cases}$	4 and 5
1	0	+1	$F(^{2}\mathrm{D}p) $ $F(^{2}Df) $	$F(^{2}Pp)$	
1	0	-1	$F(^{2}Dd)$	$\begin{cases} F(^2Ps) \\ F(^2Pd) \end{cases}$	
2	1	-1	F (4Sd)	$\begin{cases} F(^{2}Ds) \\ F(^{2}Dd) \\ F(^{2}Dg) \\ F(^{2}Pd) \end{cases}$	2, 3, and 6
	(k ₂ ² >0)		$\left.\begin{array}{c}F\left(^{2}Ds\right)\\F\left(^{2}Dd\right)\\F\left(^{2}Dg\right)\end{array}\right\}$	$F(^{2}Pd)$	6
2	1	+1	$F(^{2}Dp) $ $F(^{2}Df) $	$\begin{cases} F({}^{2}Pp) \\ F({}^{2}Pf) \end{cases}$	
2	0	-1	$\left. egin{array}{c} F(^2Ds) \ F(^2Dd) \ F(^2Dg) \end{array} ight\}$	$F(^{2}Pd)$	
2	0	+1	$F(^{2}Dp)$ $F(^{2}Df)$	$\begin{cases} F({}^{2}Pp) \\ F^{2}(Pf) \end{cases}$	

a Reference 8.

¹⁰ U. Fano, Phys. Rev. **126**, 1866 (1961).

the electron is in a continuum state, while ϕ denotes the independent particle model states in which the excited electron is in a bound orbital. In Table I we present the close-coupling assignments for the F's for the various combinations of ϕ and ψ which lead to autoionization within the framework of the theoretical approximations introduced in Ref. 7. The angular momentum of the auto-ionizing electron is given immediately by the quantum number l (s, p, d, f, \cdots) of the ψ column, while the number of auto-ionizing series is given by the number of F's in the ϕ column for a particular choice of $LS\pi$. On this latter point it must be emphasized that while the ϕ column gives the number of distinct series, it does not say how each series is constructed from the various F's. In other words, the mixture of $F(^{2}Ds)$, $F(^{2}Dd)$, $F(^{2}Dg)$, and $F(^{2}Pd)$ for $L=2, S=1, \pi=-1, k_2^2 < 0$ required for the four series which auto-ionize via $F(^{4}Sd)$ are unknown.

In Fig. 1 we have drawn a schematic of the energy levels of the oxygen atom based on the independentparticle model. The shaded areas denote the various continua ψ , while the broken horizontal lines denote possible ϕ states. The various possibilities for ϕ autoionizing into ψ are denoted by the horizontal lines with arrows on them.

For some purposes it will be convenient to restate some of the selection rules in a form which relates the initial excited states of the atom (after the collision) to the final state of the remaining ion rather than the ion plus ejected electron. For LS coupling the parity and L value rules can be combined to yield the following:

(1) If neither of the states is an S state, then the autoionizing transition is not forbidden.

(2) If one of the states is an S state, then (a) if the parities of the configurations are the same, then the other state must be an S, D, G, \cdots state; (b) if the parities of the configurations are opposite, then the other state must be a P, F, H, \cdots state. Since an autoionizing electron is ejected carrying its spin of $\frac{1}{2}$, the spin rule can be restated as follows:

The multiplicities (2S+1) of the initial state and of the final state of the ion must differ by unity.

In addition to these selection rules, the excitation process used to obtain the experimental results reported here is subject to additional rules which are, however, less restrictive than for photon excitation.¹¹ Because of the possibility of electron exchange between target and projectile, the following rules hold for excitation of neutral states:

(1) For atoms subject to LS coupling, the multiplicity

¹¹ R. E. Huffman, J. C. Larrabee, and Y. Tanaka, J. Chem. Phys. 46, 2213 (1967).

FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of the singleparticle energy levels and continua for an atomic system whose ground-state configuration is $1s^22s^2p^4$ (3P). Shaded areas represent the continua, dashed horizontal lines represent excited states. The connection among the various columns for autoionization is explained in the text.

can change at most by a number equal to twice the number of electrons in the projectile.

(2) For atoms not subject to LS coupling, or for atoms formed from the dissociation of molecules, there is no restriction on the change of spin, except that the total spin must be conserved.

Using the selection rules just stated, the allowed transitions were deduced and are listed in Table II. The singlet states listed in the first column can be excited even by protons because the excited oxygen atoms are formed by dissociation of molecules. Singlet states do not appear in the second column because the multiplicity would have to change by more than unity in going to the quartet final state. This would violate the spin rule. Alternatively, Table II can be constructed from Table I by taking the ψ column, which yields the ${}^{4}S$ and ${}^{2}D$ columns of Table II, and collecting together on successive lines of Table II those sets of $LS\pi$ which contribute to each l. The letter n is merely a numerical index used to characterize the members of a Rydberg series; while the orbital angular momentum l of the auto-ionizing electron is primed, or double primed, if the core is ${}^{2}D$ or ${}^{2}P$, respectively.¹²

III. THEORETICAL RESULTS

In all computations in collision theory, approximations of one kind or another must be made. In the close-coupling approximations, which form the basis for the present theoretical results, all configurations which involve a change of the target ion configuration $1s^22s^22p^3$ to some excited configuration have been neglected. Consequently, the entries in the column of Table I are the lowest members of the configurations which can mix together to form the ϕ state. It is the hope, which can be justified by comparison with experiment, that the terms in the ϕ column give the dominant contribution to the ϕ state. Smith and Morgan¹³ have developed a theory which does include excited state configurations and so it will be possible to compute higher F contributions to the ϕ state.

For $LS\pi=0$, 1, -1, we have a single discrete state mixing with a single continuum, which is the classic case treated by Fano.¹⁰ This combination should give rise to a single Rydberg series which has a series limit lying 16.942 eV above the ground state of oxygen. As indicated in the last column of Table I, this series was obtained by Smith *et al.*⁸ and was identified with a series observed by Huffman *et al.*¹¹ in ultraviolet absorption. This series was also observed in the experiments reported here—see Table III where we compare the

TABLE II. Allowed auto-ionizing transitions in the usual spectroscopic notation of prime indicating the ${}^{2}D$ core and double prime the ${}^{2}P$ core. The observations of the heavy ion bombardment experiment reported here cannot distinguish total L and total S.

States which make transitions to the (^{2}D) final state	States which make transi- tions to the $({}^{4}S)$ final state
² P ns ["] (^{1,3} P) ² P np ["] (^{1,3} P, ^{1,3} D) ² P nd ["] (^{1,3} P, ^{1,3} D, ^{1,3} F)	² D ns' (³ D) ² D np' (³ P, ³ F) ² P np'' (³ P) ² D nd' (³ S, ³ D, ³ G) ² P nd'' (³ D)

¹³ K. Smith and L. A. Morgan, Phys. Rev. 165, 110 (1968).



¹² C. Moore, *Atomic Energy Levels*, Natl. Bur. Std. (U. S.) Circ. No. 467 (U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1949), Vol. 1.

different observations as well as listing the principal quantum numbers of the series.

For $LS\pi = 1, 1, +1$, we have a mixture of three discrete states decaying into a single continuum below the ^{2}D limit. Consequently, there will be three autoionizing series, two having ^{2}D as a series limit (we have computed only three members) and the final series having ${}^{2}P$ as its series limit. In other words, the pair of series terminating on ^{2}D can be expected to be a mixture of ${}^{2}Dp$ and ${}^{2}Df$ while the final series will be predominantly ${}^{2}Pp$. These series were inaccessible to Huffmann and were not calculated by Smith et al.8 In Table III we present our experimental and theoretical results for these series. While the identification for both n and μ (the quantum defect) is clear for the $np''({}^{3}P)$ series, there are not enough members to distinguish the two mixed series. In Table III we also list the pair of levels given by Moore¹²: see column CM. The energies we have given in Table III are all relative to the ground state of atomic oxygen; in other words, when a ϕ state can decay into both ${}^{4}S$ and ${}^{2}D$ continua then the autoionizing electrons should separate into two energy groups (16.942-13.618) eV apart.

According to Eq. (6) of Ref. 1, the positions of the members of a Rydberg series are given in eV by

$$E_n = E_{\infty} - 13.6/(n-\mu)^2$$
, (1)

where E_{∞} is the series limit. Curves were drawn of μ versus $E_{\infty}-E_n$ for various integers *n*. The values for *n* and μ given in Table III of this paper were obtained by plotting a series on a parallel abscissa scale and moving it upwards until members of the series co-incided with the μ versus $E_{\infty}-E_n$ curves in a sequential pattern.

For $LS\pi=1$, 1, -1, we have a mixture of two discrete states decaying into a single continuum. In Table I we note the fact that these series have been obtained by Smith *et al.* and in Table III we compare these theoretical results with the uv observations of Huffman *et al.* and the heavy ion bombardment experiments reported here. Although we have identified the series as either ns'' or nd'', we note that such assignments are approximate and each series is in fact a mixture of s and d.

For $LS\pi=2$, 1, -1, auto-ionizing states of oxygen may be detected by photon absorption and so Table III gives the results of Huffman *et al.* and Smith *et al.* For this set of quantum numbers there will be four series: one easily being identified as ϕ being $F(^2Pd)$ while the remaining three series will be some linear combination of $F(^2Ds)$, $F(^2Dd)$, and $F(^2Dg)$. The series ng'has yet to be found. In Table III we present the heavy ion results with square brackets; this is because the experiment cannot distinguish the *L* and *S*. That is to say, in Table II we show that the nd'' series could appear in ${}^{1,3}P$, ${}^{1,3}D$, and ${}^{1,3}F$; the *D* and *F* entries would have square brackets in Table III.

The final triplet series given in Table III, $LS\pi=2$,

TABLE III. Positions of the auto-ionizing levels of atomic oxygen between the ground-state terms. These levels are given in terms of eV relative to the ${}^{3}P^{o}$ term of the configuration $1s^{2} 2s^{3} 2p^{4}$. In the last column each series is identified in two ways: by the usual spectroscopic notation and by the $S_{1}L_{1}l$ notation explained in the text.

$LS\pi$			Present	work			
	CM ^a Huffman ^b	• Smith®	Expt.	Theory	μ	n	ϕ
Triplets							
0.11	15 415	15.428	15.41			3	nd' (35)
5, 1, -1	16 085	16 000	16 10			4	nu (•3)
	16.394	16.397	16.41			5	2Dd
	16.561	16.563	16.58			6	24
1 1 -1-1		10,000	15 77	15 769		2	m h !! (3D)
,,, , , ,			17 31	17 305		3	np (•r)
			17.51	17.840		5	2P.h
				18,121		6	- P
				18.278	0.83	7	
1	4 046		14 16			2	Mb! (8P)
1	5.595		15 62			4	<i>np</i> (-1),
-			16.22	16.121		5	$^{2}D_{n}+^{2}D$
				16.312		6	
				16.507		7	
1.11	17.686	17.702	17 73			5	ns"(3P)
-, -, -	18.041	18.049	18.02			6	<i>no</i> (1)
	18.229	18.234	10.02			7	$^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$
	17 103					2	md!!(3P)
	17.105	17 770	17 80			4	na (-1)
	18 085	18 087	18.00			5	$2P_{S} + 2P_{s}$
	18.254	18,255	18.28			6	
	18.355		18.36			7	
2 11	17 104					2	md!!(3D)
2, 1, -1	17.104	17 780	F17 807			4	nu (•D)
	18 085	18 088	[18.00]			5	2Pd
	18.254	18,255	[18,28]			6	14
	15 170	15 000	15 15			Ň	
	15.179	16.011	15.15			4	ns (•D)
	16 340	16 357	15,95			5	
	10.549	10.007					11 (0.75)
	15.400	15.422				3	na' (°D)
	16,080	16.087				4	
	10.392	10.395				3	
The	3rd series ng'	has been	neither o	bserved	nor cal	cula	ted.
2.1. +1			[17.31]	17.332		4	nb''(3D)
2, 1, +1			[17.31]	17.332 17.882		4 5	n¢′′(³D)
2, 1, +1			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140		4 5 6	np''(3D) broad
2, 1, +1			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287	0.84	4 5 6 7	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$
2, 1, +1			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787	0.84	4 5 6 7 4	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}P$
2, 1, +1			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086	0.84	4 5 6 7 4 5	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}P$ $nf''(^{3}D)$
2, 1, +1			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252	0.84	4 5 6 7 4 5 6	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ narrow
2, 1, +1			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374	0.84	4 5 7 4 5 6 7	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ narrow $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$
2, 1, +1 Singlets			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374	0.84 0.01	4 5 7 4 5 7	np''(3D) broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ nf''(3D) narrow $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$
2, 1, +1 Singlets			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374	0.84 0.01	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7	np''(3D) broad $^{2}Pp+^{2}P$ nf''(3D) narrow $^{2}Pp+^{2}P$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338	0.84	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp+^{2}P$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ narrow $^{2}Pp+^{2}P$ $np''(^{1}P)$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.338 17.338	0.84	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7	np''(3D) broad ${}^{2}Pp + {}^{2}F$ nf''(3D) narrow ${}^{2}Pp + {}^{2}F$ np''(1P)
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142	0.84	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7	$n\phi''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}P\phi + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ narrow $^{2}P\phi + ^{2}F$ $n\phi''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}P\phi$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.376	0.84	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 8	$n p''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}P_{p} + ^{2}F$ $n f''(^{3}D)$ narrow $^{2}P_{p} + ^{2}F$ $n p''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}P_{p}$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1			[17.31]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.388 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.376	0.84 0.01 0.79	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 8 5	np''(3D) broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ nf''(3D) narrow $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ np''(1P) ^{2}Pp
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.73]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.376 17.720	0.84 0.01 0.79	45674567 456785	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{3}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ narrow $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.73] [18.02]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.376 17.720 18.057	0.84 0.01 0.79	45674567 456785678567	<i>np</i> ''(<i>sD</i>) <i>broad</i> <i>sPp</i> + <i>2F</i> <i>nf</i> ''(<i>sD</i>) <i>narrow</i> <i>sPp</i> + <i>2F</i> <i>np</i> ''(<i>1P</i>) <i>sPp</i> <i>ns</i> ''(<i>1P</i>) <i>sPp</i> + <i>sP</i>
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.73] [18.02]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.388 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.376 18.265 17.720 18.057 18.238	0.84 0.01 0.79	45674567 456785678	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{2}Pp + ^{2}I$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.73] [18.02]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.376 18.720 18.025 18.238 18.344 19.445	0.84 0.01 0.79	45674567 456785678	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp+^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{2}Pp+^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}F$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.73] [18.02]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.376 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 18.344	0.84 0.01 0.79	45674567 4567 45678567890	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ narrow $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.73] [18.02]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.376 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 18.415 18.460	0.84 0.01 0.79	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 8 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.73] [18.02]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.376 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 18.415 18.440 18.4494	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 8 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 1	$np''(^{3}D)$ $prod ^{3}Pp +^{3}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $narrow ^{2}Pp +^{3}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps +^{2}P$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.31] [18.02] [17.80] [17.80]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 18.344 18.415 18.460 18.494 17.785	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 8 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 1 4 5	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{3}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{1}Pp + ^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.31] [18.02] [18.02]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.376 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 18.415 18.460 18.494 17.785 18.490	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 8 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{2}Pp + ^{2}I$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.31] [18.02] [18.02] [18.09] [18.28] [18.28]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.338 17.330 18.142 18.286 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 18.415 18.440 18.4494 17.785 18.090 18.255	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$np''(^{3}D)$ $prode ^{3}Pp +^{3}P$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $narrow ^{2}Pp +^{3}P$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps +^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps +^{2}P$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.31] [18.02] [18.02] [18.09] [18.28] [18.36]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.256 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 18.415 18.460 18.494 17.785 18.490 18.256 18.357	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19	45674567 4567856789101145678	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{3}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{1}Pp + ^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.73] [18.02] [18.09] [18.28] [18.36]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.388 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.374 17.820 18.057 18.238 18.344 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 17.785 18.460 18.454 17.785 18.460 18.256 18.357 18.422 18.468	0.84 0.01 0.79	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 8 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.73] [18.02] [18.02] [18.09] [18.28] [18.36]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 18.415 18.460 18.494 17.785 18.090 18.256 18.357 18.422 18.468	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 8 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{3}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ narrow $^{2}Pp + ^{3}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.31] [18.02] [18.09] [18.09] [18.28] [18.36]	$\begin{array}{c} 17.332\\ 17.882\\ 18.140\\ 18.287\\ 17.787\\ 18.086\\ 18.252\\ 18.374\\ 17.338\\ 17.880\\ 18.142\\ 18.286\\ 17.720\\ 18.057\\ 18.238\\ 18.344\\ 18.415\\ 18.460\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.468\\ 18.457\\ 18.4256\\ 18.457\\ 18.4256\\ 18.457\\ 18.4256\\ 18.457\\ 18.4256\\ 18.457\\ 18.4256\\ 18.457\\ 18.4256\\ 18.457\\ 18.4256\\ 18.457\\ 18.4256\\ 18.458\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.4256\\ 18.458\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.458\\ 18.458\\ 18.458\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.458\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.458\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.458\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.458\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.458\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.458\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.458\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.458\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.458\\ 18.494\\ 17.785\\ 18.458\\ 18.498$	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 8 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 10 <td>$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{3}Pp+^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{1}Pp+^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $^{2}Ps+^{2}F$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$</td>	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{3}Pp+^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{1}Pp+^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $^{2}Ps+^{2}F$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.31] [18.02] [18.02] [18.09] [18.09] [18.26] [18.36]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.388 17.880 18.142 18.376 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 17.785 18.460 18.494 17.785 18.090 18.256 18.357 18.422 18.357	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 8 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 5	$np''(^{s}D)$ broad $^{s}Pp + ^{s}P$ $nf''(^{s}D)$ $^{a}Pp + ^{s}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{s}Pp $^{s}Pp + ^{s}F$ $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{s}Ps + ^{s}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{s}Ps + ^{s}P$ $nd''(^{1}D)$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1 2, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.31] [18.02] [18.09] [18.28] [18.36] [17.80] [18.09] [18.09] [18.09]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 17.780 18.057 18.238 18.3445 18.400 18.494 17.785 18.090 18.256 18.357 18.422 18.468 18.459 17.781 18.469	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19 0.03	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{3}Pp+^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ narrow $^{2}Pp+^{3}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}D)$ ^{2}Pd
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1 2, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.31] [18.02] [18.09] [18.28] [18.36] [18.28] [18.28] [18.28] [18.28] [18.28] [18.28]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.376 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 18.415 18.460 18.494 17.785 18.425 17.781 18.086 18.255 18.325 17.781 18.085 18.325 17.781 18.085 18.425 17.781 18.085 18.425 17.781 18.085 18.425 17.781 18.085 18.425 17.781 18.085 18.425 17.781 18.085 18.4555 18.4555 18.4555 18.4555 18.45555 18.4555555555555555555555555555	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19 0.03	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{3}Pp+^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ narrow $^{2}Pp+^{3}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}D)$ ^{2}Pd
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1 2, 0, -1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.31] [18.02] [18.09] [18.29] [18.36] [18.36] [18.36]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.422 18.376 18.376 18.376 18.376 18.376 18.376 18.422 18.464 17.785 18.409 18.456 18.357 18.422 18.468 18.499 17.781 18.086 18.253 18.355	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19 0.03	4 5 6 7 4 5 6 7 8 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 4 5 <td>$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{3}Pp+^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{1}Pp+^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $^{2}Ps+^{2}F$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}D)$ ^{2}Pd ^{2}Pd</td>	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{3}Pp+^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{1}Pp+^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $^{2}Ps+^{2}F$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}D)$ ^{2}Pd ^{2}Pd
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1 2, 0, -1 2, 0, +1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.31] [18.02] [18.09] [18.28] [18.36] [17.80] [18.28] [18.36]	$\begin{array}{c} 17.332\\ 17.882\\ 18.140\\ 18.287\\ 17.787\\ 18.086\\ 18.252\\ 18.374\\ 17.338\\ 17.880\\ 18.142\\ 18.286\\ 18.376\\ 18.355\\ 18.460\\ 17.720\\ 18.057\\ 18.238\\ 18.344\\ 17.785\\ 18.090\\ 18.256\\ 18.355\\ 18.468\\ 18.499\\ 17.781\\ 18.086\\ 18.253\\ 18.355\\ 17.387\\ 18.255\\ 17.387\\ 18.256\\ 18.255\\ 17.387\\ 18.256\\ 18.255\\ 17.387\\ 18.256\\ 18.255\\ 17.387\\ 18.256\\ 18.255\\ 17.387\\ 18.256\\ 18.255\\ 17.387\\ 18.256\\ 18.255\\ 17.387\\ 18.256\\ 18.255\\ 17.387\\ 18.256\\ 18.255\\ 17.387\\ 18.256\\ 18.255\\ 17.387\\ 18.256\\ 18.255\\ 17.387\\ 18.256\\ 18.255\\$	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19 0.03 0.02	45674567 45678567891011 45678910456745	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{2}Pp + ^{3}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}D)$ ^{2}Pd $np''(^{1}D)$
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1 2, 0, -1 2, 0, +1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.31] [18.02] [18.09] [18.28] [18.36] [18.36] [18.28] [18.36]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.376 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 18.455 18.360 18.457 18.468 18.455 18.452 18.468 18.455 18.452 18.468 18.255 18.455 18.357 17.785 18.455 18.357 17.785 18.455 18.357 17.785 18.357 17.785 17.785 18.357 17.785 17.785 17.785 17.785 18.357 17.785 18.357 17.785 18.357 17.785 17.785 18.357 17.785 17.785 18.357 17.785 18.357 17.785 18.357 17.785 18.357 17.785 18.357 18.455 18.357 17.785 18.455 18.357 18.455 18.357 17.785 18.455 18.357 17.785 18.455 18.455 18.455 18.455 18.455 18.455 18.455 18.455 18.455 17.785 18.455 17.785 18.455 18.455 19.4577 19.4577 19.4577 19.4577 19.4577 19.4577 19.4577 19.4577 19.4577 19.4577 19.45777 19.457777 19.45777777777777777777777777777777777777	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19 0.03 0.02	4567 4567 45678 56789 1011 456789 104567 45677 45677 45677 45677	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{3}Pp+^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ narrow $^{2}Pp+^{3}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}D)$ ^{2}Pd $np''(^{1}D)$ ^{2}Pd $np''(^{1}D)$ ^{2}Pd
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1 2, 0, -1 2, 0, +1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.31] [18.02] [18.09] [18.09] [18.36] [18.36] [18.36]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.380 18.422 18.376 18.376 18.376 18.376 18.376 18.494 17.785 18.409 18.454 18.455 18.469 17.781 18.086 18.253 18.355 17.387 17.904 18.255	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19 0.03	45674567 4567856789111456789045674567	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{3}Pp+^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{1}Pp+^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $^{2}Ps+^{2}F$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps+^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}D)$ ^{2}Pd $np''(^{1}D)$ ^{2}Pd
2, 1, +1 Singlets 1, 0, +1 1, 0, -1 2, 0, -1 2, 0, +1			[17.31] [17.31] [17.73] [18.02] [18.09] [18.28] [18.36] [18.36]	17.332 17.882 18.140 18.287 17.787 18.086 18.252 18.374 17.338 17.880 18.142 18.286 18.376 17.720 18.057 18.238 18.344 18.455 18.344 17.785 18.344 17.785 18.344 17.785 18.355 18.355 17.781 18.086 18.255 17.387 17.904 18.135 18.285	0.84 0.01 0.79 1.19 0.03 0.02	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$np''(^{3}D)$ broad $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $nf''(^{3}D)$ $^{2}Pp + ^{2}F$ $np''(^{1}P)$ ^{2}Pp $ns''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}P)$ $^{2}Ps + ^{2}P$ $nd''(^{1}D)$ ^{2}Pd $np''(^{1}D)$ $^{2}Pp + ^{2}I$

a Reference 12. b Reference 11. c Reference 8.

As far as the singlet series are concerned, which are not accessible in the uv experiment, we can see at a glance at Fig. 1 that auto-ionization can only occur from ϕ states with a ²P core into ψ states with a ²D core, the maximum energy carried by the auto-ionizing electron being (18.635-16.942) eV; in other words all series limits will be 18.635 eV above the ground state of oxygen. For $LS\pi=1, 0, +1$, we have a single discrete state $F(^{2}Pp)$ decaying into a pair of degenerate continua. As remarked above, the heavy ion experiment cannot distinguish the spin states and so we have enclosed this observation in square brackets. All the calculated quantum defects and principal quantum number assignments for the singlet states were obtained by the method described earlier.

For $LS\pi=1$, 0, -1, we have a coupled pair of discrete states decaying into a single continuum giving rise to a pair of series converging on the ${}^{2}P$ threshold. In Table III we have identified these states as ns'' and nd'' states on the basis of the quantum defects for the two series. For $LS\pi=2$, 0, -1, there is a single autoionizing series terminating at the ${}^{2}P$ threshold. The ϕ state, being a pure $F({}^{2}Pd)$, decays into a mixture of three degenerate continua.

The final singlet state which we have computed is the $LS\pi=2$, 0, +1 given in Table III. Here we have a pair of discrete states decaying into a pair of degenerate continua and which must result in two series of autoionizing levels; only one of these series, the np' identified by its quantum defect, has been located.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Figure 2 shows several overlapping runs on the oxygen spectrum. Some were made detecting electrons at 160° from H^+-O_2 impacts and in other runs electrons were observed at 10° from He^+-O_2 collisions. No differences were noticeable in the spectra taken under these two conditions and therefore the energies and intensities given in this paper are averages over many runs taken under both conditions. Portions of six Rydberg series appear, two of which were previously unobserved.

Not all of the allowed transitions listed in Table II were observed. The np'' and nd'' states have two continua (²D and ⁴S) available into which they can autoionize, but our observations indicate that the np'' states make transitions only into the ⁴S continuum and the nd'' states go only into the ²D continuum. It thus appears that some additional selection rules are operating.

The energy scale was calibrated by taking the transition from the 3d' state to have an energy of 1.79 eV. The measured energies have an absolute uncertainty of 40 meV.

Initial state	Final state	Electron energies (eV)	Relative intensities ^a	n ^{* b}
4s' 5s'	$2p^{3}({}^{4}S)$	1.53 2.33	2 0.8	
3p' 4p' 5p'	$2p^{3}({}^{4}S)$	$0.54 \\ 2.00 \\ 2.60$	6 3 0.8	2.21 3.21 4.3
3d' 4d' 5d' 6d'	2p ³ (4S)	1.79 2.48 2.79 2.96	10 4 2 1	
5s'' 6s''	$2p^{3}(^{2}D)$	0.79 1.08	2 0.5	
3 <i>p''</i> 4 <i>p''</i>	$2p^{3}(^{4}S)$	2.15 3.69	1.8 0.4	2.18 3.2
4d'' 5d'' 6d'' 7d''	2p ³ (2D)	0.86 1.15 1.34 1.43	2 1.4 0.9 0.7	

TABLE IV. Energies and intensities of observed transitions.

^a The intensities have only a rough significance. ^b Effective quantum numbers of the new levels.

The two new series are the $np''({}^{3}P)$ and the $np'({}^{3}P,{}^{3}F)$. The energies and intensities of these lines along with the others observed are given in Table IV.

A peak with an energy of 1.68 eV and an intensity of 3 remains unidentified. This line falls approximately at the series limit of the ns'' and nd'' series which decay into the ^{2}D continuum, but the shape and intensity of



FIG. 2. Energy spectrum of electrons from oxygen gas bombarded by 100-keV H⁺ or He⁺ ions. Six series are seen; four representing auto-ionizing transitions into the ${}^{4}S$ continuum and two into the ${}^{2}D$ continuum. Resolution 70 meV.

the peak indicate that it is a separate line and not a series limit. It also falls at the energy expected for the transition of the $3d'(^{3}P)$ state into the ^{4}S continuum. This transition is forbidden in LS coupling, but the presence of this peak (which was well verified in many runs) probably indicates a breakdown of LS coupling. This is not surprising since Codling et al.14 have observed such a breakdown in neon.

The only other feature of the spectrum observed between 0.5 and 18 eV is the hump just above 4 eV. This could represent the series limit for the transitions from the $ns\sigma^{3}\Sigma_{u}^{-}$ and $nd\pi^{3}\Pi_{u}$ molecular states into the ${}^{2}\Sigma_{a}$ continuum. Codling and Madden¹⁵ have observed

14 K. Codling, R. P. Madden, and D. C. Ederer, Phys. Rev. 155, 26 (1967).

¹⁵ K. Codling and R. P. Madden, J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3935 (1965).

these excited states in the absorption spectrum in the 500-600 Å region and by their broadened, asymmetric nature deduce that they are auto-ionizing. These levels converge on the $c^4\Sigma_u^-$ state of O_2^+ which is 4.26 eV above the ${}^{2}\Sigma_{g}^{-}$ limit. This energy is consistent with our interpretation of the hump as this series limit. However, none of the individual lines from this series of transitions could be observed. Evidently most of the autoionization observed in this experiment occurs after dissociation of the oxygen molecule.

That the lines reported here are from atomic oxygen is further verified by the fact that we observe the same line spectrum using carbon dioxide as a target gas.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank C. Kuyatt, R. Madden, and J. Macek for helpful suggestions.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 169, NUMBER 1

5 MAY 1968

Eikonal Method in Atomic Collisions. I*

L. WILETS AND S. J. WALLACE[†] University of Washington, Seattle, Washington (Received 27 November 1967)

A complete and systematic quantal description of atomic collisions is developed employing the eikonal method. The lowest-order approximation involves the solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for the electrons in the rectilinear trajectories of the atomic nuclei. The differential scattering amplitude (and hence the differential cross section) for the various reaction channels is expressed as a Fraunhofer integral, over the impact parameter, of the asymptotic state amplitudes. This completely avoids the ambiguities involved in obtaining an effective interatomic potential. Higher-order corrections are exhibited. However, the lowest-order approximation not only is valid at high energies, but penetrates deeply into the adiabatic regime, probably down to 100 eV. Numerical calculations will be presented in a later paper.

I. INTRODUCTION

HE assumption of a classical trajectory for the nuclei in atomic collisions has long been known to be a good approximation at energies above tens or hundreds of electron volts, although there has always remained an ambiguity as to the precise method of determining the trajectory. In recent years, several papers have been addressed to the question of treating the mechanics of the nuclei by such means as the solution of a classical differential equation using an average internuclear potential,^{1,2} and by the construction of wave packets centered about a classical trajectory.³ The methods developed have served mainly to define

the energies at which the impact parameter approximation (rectilinear trajectory for the nuclei) is valid.

The rectilinear trajectory is used extensively to calculate amplitudes a(b) for the various electronic transitions at fixed impact parameter b. The attendant differential cross sections $f(\Theta)$ are generally predicted by means of assigning a correspondence $\Theta(b)$. The perpendicular impulse could be calculated, according to well-known techniques, from the expectation value of the perpendicular component of force. This last point is fraught with ambiguity. In a calculation where the nuclear trajectory is treated classically and the electrons are treated quantum mechanically, it is not possible (except in the adiabatic limit) to separate uniquely the electronic configurations associated with the various excitation processes until the collision is completed. One can only calculate a mean trajectory for all processes. (In practice, it is popular to use a Coulomb or shielded Coulomb classical trajectory.) In

^{*} Supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission under Contract No. A.T. (45-1)1388, Program B.
† Permanent address: Boeing Company, Seattle, Wash.
¹ P. Pechukas, University of Chicago Report (unpublished).
² M. M. Mittleman, Phys. Rev. 122, 499 (1961).
* S. A. Lebedeff, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 11, 307 (1966).