The  $\mathbf{J}_{\mu}$  and  $\mathbf{J}_{5\mu}$  in Appendix D should be changed to

$$+2f^{2}\tilde{\xi}\gamma_{\mu}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}\tau-(\tau\cdot\pi)\pi}{1+\sigma}\right)\xi\rho^{2},$$
 
$$\mathbf{J}_{5\mu}=-\tilde{\xi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\frac{1}{2}\tau\xi+\frac{\rho\sigma}{2f}\partial_{\mu}\pi$$

$$+f\{\pi(\partial_{\mu}\pi^{2})\frac{1}{2}(\sigma\rho'-\rho\sigma')+\bar{\xi}\gamma_{\mu}\tau\times\pi\xi\rho\}$$

$$+2f^2\tilde{\xi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5\left(\frac{\pi^2\mathfrak{r}-(\mathfrak{r}\cdot\boldsymbol{\pi})\boldsymbol{\pi}}{1+\sigma}\right)\rho^2\xi.$$

The axial currents  $J_{5\mu}$  connected with the three specific models that appear in Eqs. (4.1a), (4.3a), and (4.5a) should also be corrected accordingly.

The last sentence of Sec. 4 should read: "This accounts for the absence of the higher-order part of the  $\pi$ -N cross term in the expression of the axial-vector current that appears in Schwinger's model. 6"

We are indebted to Dr. V. Ogievetsky for pointing out an error that led to incomplete expressions for the vector and axial-vector currents.

Operator Formalism for Daughter Trajectories in the Bethe-Salpeter Equation, VICTOR CHUNG AND JON WRIGHT [Phys. Rev. 162, 1716 (1967)]. The sentence after Eq. (4.8) is in error. For unequal masses, there is a term

$$\lim_{\searrow_{s\to 0}} \frac{\langle \chi(\sqrt{s}) | \cos\beta | \chi(\sqrt{s}) \rangle}{\sqrt{s}}$$

which can be shown to lead to the matrix elements

and  $\langle D_{\kappa}^{\alpha-\kappa+1} | \cos\beta | D_{\kappa-1}^{\alpha-\kappa+1} \rangle$   $\langle D_{\nu}^{\alpha-\kappa+1} | \cos\beta | D_{\nu+1}^{\alpha-\kappa+1} \rangle.$ 

The slope formula Eq. (4.12) remains unchanged.

We wish to thank Professor N. Nakanishi for bringing this error to our attention.

Perturbational-Variational Approach to the Calculation of Variational Wave Functions. I. Theory, JEREMIAH N. SILVERMAN AND JON C. VAN LEUVEN [Phys. Rev. 162, 1175 (1967)]. p. 1180, right column, line 3: delete "quantized." p. 1180, right column, line 30 and last line: read " $\overline{W}_{m,n}$ " instead of " $W_{m,n}$ ." p. 1181, left column, line 1, and right column, line 14: read " $\overline{W}_{m,m}$ " instead of " $W_{m,m}$ " instead of " $W_{m,m}$ " p. 1182, Table I, cycle n+1: read " $\langle A \rangle_n$ " instead of " $\langle A \rangle_{n-1}$ ." p. 1189, Eq. (B4) should read

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2n} \frac{d_j^{k_j}}{k_j!} = d_s \prod_{j=1}^{2n-s} \frac{d_j^{k_j}}{k_j!}, \quad n+1 \le s \le 2n.$$

Pole Approximations in the N/D Equations, MITCHEL J. SWEIG [Phys. Rev. 165, 1893 (1968)]. It has been called to the author's attention that the use of Padé approximants in the N/D equations was first suggested by M. Bander [J. Math. Phys. 5, 1427 (1964)]. There is also a recent paper by A. K. Common [J. Math. Phys. 8, 1669 (1967)] which contains many of the ideas of the present work, with a somewhat more detailed discussion of the mathematics involved.

High-Spin Baryons. I. The Pion-Baryon-Baryon Vertex, P. CARRUTHERS [Phys. Rev. 152, 1345 (1966)]. The following sign errors in Sec. IV should be corrected. Equation (4.4) should be replaced by

$$T^{(\rho)}_{\nu\mu} = (-1)^{\rho} (2T'+1)^{1/2} C(T'1T; \nu - \rho\mu).$$

The left-hand side of Eq. (4.5) should be

$$g \sum_{\rho} (-1)^{\rho} (\pi^{\rho})^* \psi_{T'}^{\dagger} T^{(-\rho)} \psi_T + \text{H.c.},$$

and the left-hand side of Eq. (4.10) is simply  $T^{(\rho)}_{\mu\nu}$ . Finally, the over-all sign of  $T_{\nu}$  in Eq. (4.11) should be changed.