Calibration of the Fe⁵⁷ Isomer Shift*

E. ŠIMÁNEK[†] AND ANDREW Y. C. WONG Department of Physics, University of California, Los Angeles, California (Received 11 September 1967)

The s-electron density at the iron nucleus in KFeF₈ is calculated as a function of the Fe-F distance, using the Heitler-London model and the Hartree-Fock ionic wave functions. By comparing the calculated "overlap-induced" s-electron density with the hydrostatic pressure isomer shift measurements in KFeF₂, the relative change of the Fe⁵⁷ nuclear radius is found to be -5.2×10^{-4} , which is to be compared with the value -1.8×10^{-3} derived previously by Walker *et al.*

'N the nonrelativistic approximation, the isomer shift $\blacktriangle \Delta E_{IS}$ as measured in Mössbauer experiments is related to the s-electron density at nucleus by means of the expression¹

$$\Delta E_{IS} = (4\pi Z e^2/5) R^2 (\Delta R/R) \{\psi_A^2(0) - \psi_E^2(0)\}, \quad (1)$$

where $\psi_{A^2}(0)$ and $\psi_{E^2}(0)$ are the total *s*-electron densities at nucleus for absorber and emitter, respectively, and $\Delta R/R$, the calibration constant, is given by

$$\Delta R/R = (R_{\rm exc} - R_{\rm gd})/R_{\rm gd}, \qquad (2)$$

where R_{exc} and R_{gd} are the nuclear-charge radii of the first excited and ground states, respectively, and R = $\frac{1}{2}(R_{\text{exc}}+R_{\text{gd}})\approx R_{\text{gd}}$. Several years ago, Walker *et al.*¹ determined the calibration constant $\Delta R/R$ for Fe⁵⁷ using the isomer-shift difference between ferrous and ferric absorbers. By assuming that $\psi^2(0)$ in these salts is correctly given by the free-ion Hartree-Fock computations of Watson,² they found $\Delta R/R = -1.8 \times 10^{-3}$.

A new method has recently been suggested³ for determining $\Delta R/R$ in Fe⁵⁷, which is based on comparison of the high-pressure isomer-shift measurements⁴ in ionic salts with the calculation of the overlap-induced charge density $\delta \psi^2(0)$ as a function of interionic distance. This method has been applied³ to the case of CoO, yielding an upper bound of $|\Delta R/R|$ equal to 4×10^{-4} . The calculation of $\psi^2(0)$ involves overlap integrals between inner s shells of iron and the 2p wave functions of O^{2-} . In view of the fact that the O^{2-} ion does not exist in a free state, there are difficulties in obtaining a realistic form of the 2p wave function of O^{2-} ion in a crystal. The previous calculation³ of $\psi^{2}(0)$ in CoO was based on Watson's⁵ solutions for 2p wave function of O²⁻ imbedded in a stabilizing potential of spherical +2e charge. Since the 2p wave function is

very spread out, it is sensitive to the particular crystal environment and consequently large errors can be committed in calculating overlap integrals with the use of Watson's solutions.⁵ In addition to this, the measurements of the isomer shift versus pressure in CoO are not accurate enough to form a good basis for the calculation.

Recently, Champion, Vaughan, and Drickamer⁶ reported new measurements of high-pressure isomer shifts in fluorides, such as FeF2 and FKeF3. It is the purpose of this paper to report on a determination of $\Delta R/R$ in Fe⁵⁷, based on the data for KFeF₃. In fluorides, we believe that the F⁻ ion wave functions may be taken to be the free-ion Hartree-Fock wave functions in a good approximation, and thus the above-mentioned uncertainty in the calculation of the overlap integrals can be reduced. Also the experimental data for fluorides⁶ are an order of magnitude more accurate than those for oxides.⁵ Consequently, a more reliable value for $\Delta R/R$ can be expected from the use of fluoride data.

For an octahedral environment of Fe²⁺ in KFeF₃, we can use the expression for $\delta \psi^2(0)$ derived in Ref. 3 on the basis of the Heitler-London model which takes into account the overlaps between the inner s shells of iron and the 2p shells of the anions. This overlap-induced s-electron density is

$$\delta \psi^{2}(0) = 2 \left[\sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{6}{1+R'} s_{ns}^{2} \phi_{ns}^{2}(0) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{3} \frac{6}{1+R'} \times s_{ns} s_{ms} \phi_{ns}(0) \phi_{ms}(0) \right], \quad (3)$$

where

$$R' = \sum_{j=2}^{6} \langle p_1 \mid p_j \rangle$$

is a sum of ligand-ligand overlaps (running over the octahedron corners) and $s_{ns} = \langle \phi_{ns} | p \rangle$ is the overlap integral between the inner ns orbital ϕ_{ns} of iron and the $2p_{\sigma}$ orbital of the F⁻ ion. We have evaluated the integrals s_{ns} for various Fe-F distances using the ϕ_{ns} orbitals of Fe²⁺, given by Watson,² and the Hartree-

^{*} Supported in part by the National Science Foundation and the Office of Naval Research, Grant No. NONR 233(88). † On leave from the Institute of Physics, Czechoslovak Academy

of Sciences, Prague, Czechoslovakia. ¹ L. R. Walker, G. K. Wertheim, and V. Jaccarino, Phys. Rev. Letters **6**, 98 (1961). ² R. E. Watson, Solid State and Molecular Theory Group, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Technical Report No. 12,

^{1959 (}unpublished).

⁸ E. Šimánek and Z. Šroubek, Phys. Rev. **163**, 275 (1967) ⁴ C. J. Coston, R. Ingalls, and H. G. Drickamer, J. Appl. Phys. 37, 1400 (1966). ⁶ R. E. Watson, Phys. Rev. 111, 1100 (1958).

¹⁶⁶

⁶ A. R. Champion, R. W. Vaughan, and H. G. Drickamer (to be published). 348

Fock⁷ 2p wave function of F⁻. These values for s_{ns} are calculated by using the modified version of the Switendick-Corbato MIDIAT program.⁸ The results are summarized in Table I. The ligand-ligand correction R' is estimated, using the overlap tables,⁹ to be about 0.18 for the equilibrium interionic distances in KFeF₃. The dependence of $\delta \psi^2(0)$ on the Fe-F distance calculated from (3) is plotted in Fig. 1.

To calculate the increase of $\delta \psi^2(0)$ with pressure, we need the bulk compressibility of KFeF₃. The latter can be estimated by comparison with a similar crystal of KMgF₃ where the elastic moduli C_{11} and C_{12} have been determined from ultrasonic measurements.¹⁰ In this way we find that the low-pressure bulk compressibility $K=3/(C_{11}+2C_{12})$ of KMgF₃ is about 2.2 times larger than that of MgO. Assuming the same compressibility for KFeF₃ and using the recent p-V data for MgO by Drickamer,¹¹ we obtain a decrease of the equilibrium Fe-F distance (2.06 Å) of about 1.8% on increasing the hydrostatic pressure from 0 to 50 kbar. For this decrease, Fig. 1 predicts an increase of $\delta \psi^2(0)$ by $0.20a_0^{-3}$. For the same pressure increase, the experimental data⁶ in KFeF₃ indicate a decrease of the isomer shift by 0.03 mm/sec. Putting these values into (1), obtains $\Delta R/R = -5.2 \times 10^{-4}$, which is about $\frac{1}{4}$ of the value reported by Walker et al.,1 but close to the value -5×10^{-4} suggested by Goldanskii.¹²



FIG. 1. The overlap-induced s-electron density $\delta\psi^2(0)$ at the of the Fe²⁺ ion in a fluorine octahedron calculated as a function of the Fe-F distance from (3).

⁷ According to R. E. Watson, the radial part of the 2p wave function of F^- ion is

$$R_{2p}(r) = r\{11.4933 \exp(-4.6968r) + 4.9377 \exp(-2.4322r)\}$$

$$+0.8533 \exp(-1.5722r) + 0.2216 \exp(-0.9261r)$$

(private communication with Dr. Nai Li Huang).

⁸ A. C. Switendick and F. J. Corbato, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Solid State and Molecular Theory Group, Quar-

⁶ rechnology sond state and Molecular Theory Group, Quarterly Progress Report No. 34, 1959 (unpublished).
⁹ R. S. Mulliken, C. A. Rieke, D. Orloff, and H. Orloff, J. Chem. Phys. 17, 1248 (1949).
¹⁰ H. M. Rosenberg and J. K. Wigmore, Phys. Letters 24A, 317 (1965)

(1967)

¹¹ H. G. Drickamer, R. W. Lynch, R. L. Clendensen, and E. A. Perez-Albuerne, in Solid State Physics, edited by F. Seitz and D. Turnbull (Academic Press Inc., New York, 1966), Vol. 19, p. 135.

¹²V. I. Goldanskii, in Proceedings of the Dubna Conference on the Mössbauer Effect (Consultants Bureau Enterprises, Inc., New York, 1963), pp. 17-19.

Table	Ι.	The	over	dap	integ	rals	Sns=	$=\langle \phi_{ns} $	\rangle	between	the	inner
	s (orbital	s of	Fe ²⁻	and	the	2po	orbital	l of	the F ⁻	ion.	

<i>d</i> (Å)	S18	S28	S33
1.78	0.002827	0.020986	0.107270
1.82	0.002624	0.019529	0.100116
1.86	0.002444	0.018228	0.093688
1.90	0.002272	0.016988	0.087490
1.92	0.002194	0.016430	0.084703
1.94	0.002118	0.015876	0.081918
1.96	0.002042	0.015327	0.079140
1.98	0.001971	0.014815	0.076550
2.00	0.001904	0.014336	0.074132
2.02	0.001839	0.013861	0.071717
2.04	0.001775	0.013404	0.069385
$d_{\text{equil}} = 2.06$	0.001710	0.012928	0.066954
2.10	0.001598	0.012117	0.062804

Though the ionic fluorides such as KFeF3 are believed to be fairly well described by the Heitler-London model, one can expect that $\delta \psi^2(0)$ may actually deviate from (3) because of the following effects. First, the outermost atomic orbitals (3d and 3s of Fe^{2+} and 2p of F⁻) may change with pressure. Secondly, there may be a pressure-dependent electron transfer from the ligands to the 3d and 4s iron states. The latter covalency effects have been discussed in Ref. 3, and it has been shown that the 3d covalency effect is overcome by the 4s contribution amplified by the admixture of the inner s shells. The net covalency effect is then an increase of $\delta \psi^2(0)$ with pressure. Hence, by using the purely ionic formula (3), we are actually underestimating the calculated increase of $\delta \psi^2(0)$ with pressure. Consequently, the above deduced value of 5.2×10^{-4} represents an upper bound to $|\Delta R/R|$ for Fe⁵⁷. The covalency effects are believed to be larger in oxides than in fluorides, and thus we expect a smaller value of $|\Delta R/R|$ from the Heitler-London interpretation of the oxide data. The fact that the previously³ deduced value for $|\Delta R/R|$ using CoO data is only slightly smaller indicates that Watson's 2p wave function⁵ for O²⁻ is not largely overestimating the overlap effect (due to overdiffuseness) in CoO as previously¹³ suspected for trivalent iron oxides.

We would like to express our sincere thanks to Professor H. G. Drickamer for making available to us his recent experimental data and his helpful correspondence. We are also greatly indebted to Dr. R. Housley, Dr. Nai Li Huang, Dr. A. Muir, Professor R. Orbach, and Professor Z. Sroubek for their illuminating discussion.

¹³ E. Šimánek, Nai Li Huang, and R. Orbach, J. Appl. Phys. 38, 1072 (1967).