some terms in the sum (6.5) may vanish for some $\omega_l \neq \omega_k$. We will not discuss here the general case and will restrict ourselves to the case when all ω 's are equal to each other, $\omega_i = \omega_j = \omega$. Taking this assumption into account, we get from (6.3), with the help of (6.4),

$$E_{j_{1}\cdots j_{2n}}(n,n)(\mathbf{r}_{1}\cdots \mathbf{r}_{2n};\omega)$$

$$=\sum_{\pi}E_{j_{1}j_{p}}(1,1)(\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{p},\omega)\cdots$$

$$\times E_{j_{n}j_{s}}(1,1)(\mathbf{r}_{n}-\mathbf{r}_{s},\omega), \quad (6.6)$$

where $E_{j_k j_l}^{(1,1)}(\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_l, \omega)$ are the second-order correlation functions introduced in Sec. 3. If all coordinates \mathbf{r}_k and polarizations j_k coincide,

$$E_{j...j}^{(n,n)}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = n! [E_{j,j}^{(1,1)}(0,\omega)]^n.$$
 (6.7)

Our considerations of higher-order correlation spectral tensors can also be performed in wave-vector space. The higher-order correlation tensors introduced in this way are expressible by the second-order correlation functions in a way similar to (6.4) and (6.7). Of course all these relations are a direct consequence of the fact that the probability distributions of the fluctuating fields of blackbody radiation are Gaussian in any Lorentz frame.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are happy to express their thanks to Dr. G. Bédard for the numerical evaluation of the correlation functions.

Errata

Equations of Motion in Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics. II. Energy Transport, BALDWIN ROBERTSON [Phys. Rev. 160, 175 (1967)].

- 1. Footnote 30 should read ". . . only the first term will survive. . . ".
- 2. For complete generality, Eqs. (A7) and (B1) should read

$$P(t)\bar{A}\sigma(t) = \bar{F}\sigma(t) \cdot \left[\frac{\delta \langle A \rangle_t}{\delta \langle F \rangle_t} - \left\langle \frac{\delta A}{\delta \langle F \rangle_t} \right\rangle_t \right], \quad (A7)$$

$$\mathcal{O}(t)A = F \cdot \left[\frac{\delta \langle A \rangle_t}{\delta \langle F \rangle_t} - \left\langle \frac{\delta A}{\delta \langle F \rangle_t} \right\rangle_t \right]. \tag{B1}$$

However, in almost all applications, the second term will be zero as assumed before.

- 3. Appendix C, third paragraph, the second sentence should be deleted and replaced by the sentence: Furthermore, even if we were given a $\lambda(t)$, the matrix $\langle F\bar{F}\rangle_{t}^{-1}$ would not exist if we use the definition (6).^{43a}
 - 4. The following footnote should be added:
- ^{43a} Proof: Eqs. (5) and (6) give $\langle \bar{A} \rangle_t = 0$, and so Eqs. (5) and (C1) gives $\zeta \cdot \langle F\bar{F} \rangle_t = 0$. Since ζ is nonzero, the determinant of $\langle F\bar{F} \rangle_t$ must be zero.
- 5. The sentence including Eq. (C8) should read "Combining Eqs. (9), (1), and (C7),"

6. In Eq. (27), there should be a bar over the rightmost J.

Pressure Shifts of Highly Excited States of Atoms, M. H. MITTLEMAN [Phys. Rev. 162, 81 (1967)]. There is an error in the derivation Eq. (6) for the local momentum of the electron. The term $-2\Delta E$ arises from the polarization of the M.P. by the Cs core and by the electron. Polarization is a second-order effect, so these effects are not additive. Instead of $2\Delta E$ in Eq. (6) we should have

$$-\sum_{\beta} \left[\frac{2\alpha}{Z_{\beta}^4} - \frac{2\alpha Z_{\beta} \cdot (Z_{\beta} - r)}{Z_{\beta}^3 |Z_{\beta} - r|^3} \right].$$

The second term arises from the cross term between electron interactions and core interactions. Upon conversion of the sum to an integral this becomes

$$2\Delta E + 4\pi\alpha\rho U(r)$$
.

Replacing $2\Delta E$ in Eq. (6) by this expression modified Eq. (6) to the extent that U(r) is multiplied by the factor $(1+4\pi\alpha\rho)$. The U(r) arising in this way is multiplied by another factor of ρ , so that there will be no change in our results to the order of ρ given in the paper.

Similar considerations apply to Eq. (25).