Test of a Superconvergent Relation for Meson-Baryon Scattering*

R. H. GRAHAM AND M. HUQT Physics Department, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York (Received 16 August 1967)

The assumptions that (1) meson-baryon scattering amplitudes are dominated at high energies by Reggepole exchange and (2) the residues characterizing the couplings of the Regge poles to the pseudoscalar mesons are SU(3)-symmetric lead to a superconvergent relation for the following combination of forward scattering amplitudes:

 $\{[T_{\pi^-p} - T_{\pi^+p}] + [T_{K^-n} - T_{K^+n}] - [T_{K^-p} - T_{K^+p}]\}.$

Using experimental data on total cross sections and scattering lengths, we have tested this relation and found it to be well satisfied for cutoffs on the integrals at 3, 5, and 20 BeV/c.

R ECENTLY there has been a great deal of interest in superconvergence relations. Attempts to saturate these by low-lying single-particle states have met with moderate success.² In this paper we will make use of experimental data on cross sections and scattering lengths to test a superconvergent relation involving a particular combination of meson-baryon forward scattering amplitudes.

We will assume here that Regge theory accounts for the high-energy behavior of the meson-baryon scattering amplitudes. Then for elastic scattering, which will be our only concern here, the forward scattering amplitude $T(s, t=0) \sim \text{const} \times s^{\alpha(t=0)}$ as $s \to \infty$ where $\alpha(0)$ is the intercept of the leading Regge trajectory. In particular the combinations³

$$\Delta(\pi \phi) \equiv \lceil T_{\pi^- n} - T_{\pi^+ n} \rceil \tag{1}$$

and

$$\Delta(KN) \equiv \{ [T_{K^-p} - T_{K^+p}] - [T_{K^-n} - T_{K^+n}] \} \qquad (2)$$

receive contributions only from the ρ trajectory and hence behave asymptotically as $s^{\alpha_{\rho}(0)}$.

In the SU(3)-symmetric limit, assuming vector meson exchange and degenerate masses, we have

$$\Delta(\pi p) = \Delta(KN). \tag{3}$$

This relation was first obtained by Barger and Rubin⁴ and was found to be satisfied at high energies.⁵ We will assume the factorization property⁶ of Regge residues and further that the factored residues are related by $SU(3).^{7,8}$ Then

$$\Delta(\pi p) - \Delta(KN) \underset{s \to \infty}{\sim} \text{const} \times s^{\alpha_{\rho}(0)-2}.$$
 (4)

Since $\alpha_{\rho}(0) < 1$, we can write the following (nontrivial) superconvergent relation:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \left\{ \left[\operatorname{Im} T_{\pi^{-}p}(\omega) - \operatorname{Im} T_{\pi^{+}p}(\omega) \right] + \left[\operatorname{Im} T_{K^{-}n}(\omega) - \operatorname{Im} T_{K^{+}n}(\omega) \right] - \left[\operatorname{Im} T_{K^{-}p}(\omega) - \operatorname{Im} T_{K^{+}p}(\omega) \right] \right\} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where ω is the energy of the meson in the lab system. The optical theorem

$$Im T(\omega) = 2m_N k_{lab} \sigma_{tot}(\omega) \tag{6}$$

relates the imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude above the physical threshold to the total cross section. The relation to be tested is then

$$-\frac{2m_{\pi^{2}}}{4m_{N^{2}}}\pi g_{\pi NN^{2}} + \frac{1}{2m_{N}} \left\{ (m_{N} - m_{\Sigma}) + \frac{m_{\Sigma^{2}} - m_{N^{2}} - m_{K^{2}}}{2m_{N}} \right\} \pi g_{KN\Sigma^{2}} - \frac{1}{2m_{N}} \left\{ (m_{N} - m_{\Lambda}) + \frac{m_{\Lambda^{2}} - m_{N^{2}} - m_{K^{2}}}{2m_{N}} \right\} \pi g_{KN\Lambda^{2}} + \int_{m_{\pi}}^{\infty} d\omega \ 2m_{N} (\omega^{2} - m_{\pi^{2}})^{1/2} \left\{ \sigma_{\pi^{-}p}(\omega) - \sigma_{\pi^{+}p}(\omega) \right\} + \int_{m_{K}}^{\infty} d\omega \ 2m_{N} (\omega^{2} - m_{K^{2}})^{1/2} \left\{ \left[\sigma_{K^{-}n}(\omega) - \sigma_{K^{+}n}(\omega) \right] - \left[\sigma_{K^{-}p}(\omega) - \sigma_{K^{+}p}(\omega) \right] \right\} + \int_{\omega(\Lambda\pi)}^{m_{K}} d\omega \ \left\{ \operatorname{Im} T_{K^{-}n}(\omega) - \operatorname{Im} T_{K^{-}p}(\omega) \right\} = 0.$$
 (7)

^{*} Work supported in part by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

^{*}Work supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.
†On leave of absence from Pakistan Atomic Energy Commission.

¹V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini, G. Rossetti, and G. Furlan, Phys. Letters 21, 576 (1966); L. D. Soloviev, Dubna report, 1965 (unpublished); A. P. Balachandran, P. G. O. Freund, and C. R. Schumacher, Phys. Rev. Letters 12, 209 (1964).

² There have been many such attempts; among the earliest were: G. Altarelli, F. Buccella, and R. Gatto, Phys. Letters 24B, 57 (1967); P. Babu, F. J. Gilman, and M. Suzuki, ibid. 24B, 65 (1967); B. Sakita and K. C. Wali; Phys. Rev. Letters 18, 29 (1967).

³ T_{PB} represents the forward elastic scattering amplitude for PB scattering.

⁴ V. Barger and M. W. Rubin, Phys. Rev. 140, B1366 (1965).

⁵ V. Barger and M. Olsson, Phys. Rev. Letters 15, 930 (1965).

⁶ M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. Letters 8, 263 (1962); V. M. Gribov and I. Ya. Pomeranchuk, ibid. 8, 343 (1962).

¹ G. Costa and A. H. Zimerman, Nuovo Cimento 46A, 198 (1966).

§ Notice that we need to assume that only the pseudoscalar meson coupling to the Regge trajectory is SU(3) symmetric.

 $^{^8}$ Notice that we need to assume that only the pseudoscalar meson coupling to the Regge trajectory is SU(3) symmetric,

The experimental data on total cross sections for the processes appearing in Eq. (7) are, in general, good.9 The total cross sections for πN and KN scattering are well known up to 20 BeV/c. For $\overline{K}N$ the total cross section is reliably given between 600 MeV/c and 20 BeV/c. Below 600 MeV/c the total cross sections have not been accurately determined.

At low energies the $\overline{K}N$ amplitudes can be reasonably estimated by scattering-length and effective-range approximations.¹⁰ The effective range and scattering lengths are chosen to reproduce the Y_0^* at 1405 MeV and the Y_1^* at 1385 MeV which lie below the physical threshold of the $\overline{K}N$ system. We have used Kim's solution for the S-wave scattering lengths. 11 For the P wave we have used an effective-range approximation due to Watson.12

The coupling constants appearing as residues of the baryon pole terms are assumed to be given by SU(3)where we have taken a D/F ratio of $\frac{3}{2}$ and we take $g_{\pi NN}^2/4\pi = 15$.

In Table I we show the various contributions to the sum rule. We have naturally had to cut the integrals off owing to the finite range of experimental data. We have chosen three cutoffs: at 3, at 5, and at 20 BeV. Our original set of assumptions implies that the Barger-Rubin relation should be increasingly well satisfied with increasing energy. But the relation seems to hold

827 (1964).

¹¹ J. K. Kim, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 29 (1965). We take solution I of this paper, which is

$$A_{I=0} = (-1.674 \pm 0.038) + i(0.722 \pm 0.040);$$

 $A_{I=1} = (-0.003 \pm 0.058) + i(0.688 \pm 0.033).$

The scattering lengths are in fermis.

 12 M. Watson, University of California Radiation Laboratory Report No. UCRL-10175, 1962 (unpublished). The complex scattering length at momentum k of the K meson is given by

$$1/A(k) = 1/A(0) + \frac{1}{2}Rk^2$$
, $I = 1$.

The complex parameters A(0) and R are obtained by requiring that at the position of the Y_1^* resonance A = 1.357 - i(0.768) and

$$A = (-0.016 \pm 0.012) + i(0.0004 \pm 0.0004).$$

The unit of scattering length is $(F)^3$. The I=0 P-wave amplitude

TABLE I. The contributions of the six amplitudes are shown with cutoffs at 3, 5, and 20 BeV. The uncertainties in the contributions for $T_{K^{-}n}$ and $T_{K^{-}p}$ are due to the uncertainties in the scattering-length parameters (see footnotes 11 and 12). The last but one row shows the total contributions to the left-hand side of Eq. (7). In the last row the percentage deviations from the sum rule with respect to the average magnitude of the six contributions are displayed.

		Pole terms+continuum		
	Pole	Cutoff	Cutoff	Cutoff
Amplitude	terms	3 BeV	5 BeV	20 BeV
T_{π^-p}	-6.4	5 536	14 083	190 075
T_{π}^{+}		4 945	13 039	175 492
$T_{K}^{"-P}$	-2.4	3724 ± 92	9.859 ± 92	146 569
$T_{\kappa^+ n}$	• • •	2 642	7 560	124 254
$T_{K^{-}p}$	-40	4711 ± 50	11742 ± 50	157 236
T_{K}^{+}	• • •	2 466	7 250	120 808
Left-hand side	• • •	-572 ± 142	$-1\ 149\pm142$	470
of Eq. (7) $\%$ deviation	• • •	(14.3±3.6)%	(10.8±1.3)%	0.3%

within experimental errors down to energies in the neighborhood of 5 BeV. Each of the three cases considered represents the assumption that the relation holds identically beyond the particular cutoff chosen.

From Table I we see that, for the 20-BeV cutoff the sum rule holds to within a very small percentage (compared with the average magnitude of the individual contributions). This is not surprising as it reflects the validity of the Barger-Rubin relation (which holds over much of the high-energy region) which dominates the individual integrals. The superconvergent sum rule of Eq. (7) goes beyond the Barger-Rubin relation by constraining the meson-baryon scattering amplitudes in an energy region below that at which the Barger-Rubin sum rule holds. We can see from the table that, for the cutoff of 5 BeV the sum rule is still well satisfied and reasonably well satisfied even for the cutoff at 3 BeV.¹³

In conclusion, we have seen that a superconvergence sum rule holds for a particular combination of mesonbaryon forward scattering amplitudes provided (1) these amplitudes are dominated at high energy by Regge-pole exchange and (2) the (factored) Regge residues describing the coupling of the pseudoscalar mesons to the vector meson trajectory satisfy SU(3). The sum rule was tested using experimental crosssection data and found to be well satisfied for three different choices of cutoff for the integrals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to Professor Ramesh Chand for helpful discussions on low-energy $\overline{K}N$ scattering.

⁹ V. S. Barashenkov and V. M. Maltsev, Fortschr. Physik 9, ⁹ V. S. Barashenkov and V. M. Maltsev, Fortschr. Physik 9, 549 (1961); S. J. Lindenbaum et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 7, 352 (1961); V. Cook et al., in Proceedings of the International Conference on High-Energy Physics, Geneva, 1962, edited by J. Prentki (CERN Scientific Information Service, Geneva, 1962), p. 364; P. L. Bastien et al., in Proceedings of the International Conference on High-Energy Physics, Geneva, 1962, edited by J. Prentki (CERN Scientific Information Service, Geneva, 1962), p. 373; A. N. Diddens et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 10, 262 (1963); W. Galbraith et al., in Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Conference on A. N. Diddens et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 10, 202 (1905); W. Galbraith et al., in Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Conference on High-Energy Physics, Dubna (Atomizdat, Moscow, 1965). p. 109; J. L. Brown et al., in Proceedings of the Twelfth Annual Conference on High-Energy Physics, Dubna (Atomizdat, Moscow, 1965), p. 715; Robert Good and Nguyen-hun Xuong, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 191 (1965); R. L. Cool et al., ibid. 17, 102 (1966); M. Ferro-Luzzi, in Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Conference on High-Energy Physics, Berkeley, 1966 (University of California Press, Berkeley, California, 1967), p. 183.

¹⁰ R. H. Dalitz and S. F. Tuan, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 8, 100 (1959); Ramesh Chand, Nuovo Cimento 30, 1445 (1963); 31,

¹⁸ As shown in Table I, the contributions of the pole terms to Eq. (7) are very small. Thus our results are not sensitive to whether or not the meson-baryon coupling constants are SU(3)symmetric. Notice from Table I that the sum rule would be exactly satisfied for some energy between 5 and 20 BeV. This should not be taken too seriously since there is some uncertainty in the experimental data for this region.