## **Rotational Magnetic Moment of OCS**

Mei-Kuo Lo\* and W. H. Flygare<sup>†</sup>

Noyes Chemical Laboratory, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois

(Received 26 August 1966)

The first-order molecular Zeeman effect on the  $J=0 \rightarrow J=1$  and  $J=1 \rightarrow J=2$  rotational transitions in OCS is measured by using high-resolution microwave techniques. The g value obtained for the  $J=0 \rightarrow J=1$ transition gives the g value for the J=1 rotational state. The value is  $g=-0.02851\pm0.0004$ . The g value obtained for the  $J=1 \rightarrow J=2$  transition is an average g value for the J=1 and J=2 states. The result is  $g = -0.02915 \pm 0.0005$ . The results are in good agreement with the molecular beam work.

### I. INTRODUCTION

HE rotational magnetic moment of OCS has been measured several times by microwave spectroscopy<sup>1-4</sup> and more recently by molecular beams.<sup>5</sup> The most accurate microwave value was obtained from the  $J=1 \rightarrow J=2$  transition in OCS by Eshbach and Strandberg.<sup>3</sup> Their results give  $g = -0.0251 \pm 0.002$ which is an average g value over the J=1 and J=2rotational states. Their number is within experimental error of all of the other microwave measurements. It is well known that molecular g values have a small dependence on the rotational angular momentum owing to centrifugal distortion as has been demonstrated experimentally in NH<sub>3</sub>.<sup>3</sup> Thus, the only microwave transition which gives a g value for a single rotational state is the  $J=0 \rightarrow J=1$  as the J=0 state is not perturbed by the magnetic field. A microwave Zeeman effect experiment on the  $J=0 \rightarrow J=1$  transition has apparently not been performed. Cox and Gordy<sup>4</sup> have measured the g value in OCS for several high-J states and they were not able to obtain any J dependence in the g value. However, their experimental error was much higher than either Jen's or Eshbach and Strandberg's.

Recently Cederberg, Anderson, and Ramsey<sup>5</sup> have measured the g value of OCS at room temperature using molecular beam techniques. Their measured value of  $g = -0.02889 \pm 0.00002$  is not in agreement with the value of  $-0.0251 \pm 0.002$  given by Eshbach and Strandberg.<sup>3</sup> As the two measurements are basically different it is important to determine whether the discrepancy is real or due to the different types of averaging. It is easy to show that at room temperature the J state in OCS having the highest population is J = 22. Thus, the molecular beam method, while highly accurate, is a rotational average including well over 22 rotational states. The microwave method, while not so accurate, is a more specific measurement as it can measure the

g value for a specific pair of states (or a single state in the case of the  $0 \rightarrow 1$  transition).

In order to shed more light on the above questions, we have remeasured, with higher accuracy, the molecular Zeeman effect by the microwave method on the  $J=1 \rightarrow J=2$  transition. We have also measured the Zeeman effect of the  $J=0 \rightarrow J=1$  transition. The first-order correction to the rotational energy levels of a linear molecule in the presence of a magnetic field is well known. The result is

$$E = -\mu_N M H_z g_{bb}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\mu_N$  is the nuclear magneton, M is the projection of the rotational angular momentum, J, on the spacefixed z axis,  $H_z$  is the external magnetic field along the z axis, and  $g_{bb}$  is the molecular g value along the b axis perpendicular to the internuclear axis.

#### **II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

Recent g-value determinations<sup>6,7</sup> on OCF<sub>2</sub> and HC  $\equiv$  CF in this laboratory have shown the ability to measure g values with higher accuracy than the earlier work,<sup>1-4</sup> and the same apparatus is used in this work.

A Varian electromagnet Model V-4012-3B with pole diameter 11.9 in. and pole gap of 2.25 in. was used in these experiments. The maximum magnetic field obtainable was about 11 kG with the field being measured with a Perkin-Elmer Model M-2 precision gaussmeter. The 7Li resonance was monitored directly on a frequency counter throughout the experiment giving rise to a highly accurate field measurement. The inhomogeneity of the field inside the region 1 in. from the edge of the magnet poles is less than 1%.

As  $\Delta m=0$  transitions in the  $J=0 \rightarrow 1$  transition would show no splitting [see Eq. (1)], the long dimension of the guide was placed parallel to the magnetic field leading to  $\Delta m = \pm 1$  transitions. As the dominant mode of transmission in the waveguide is the  $TE_{1,0}$ mode, the electric field of the radiation is perpendicular to the static magnetic field giving rise to  $\Delta m = \pm 1$ transitions and a doublet in the  $J=0 \rightarrow J=1$  and  $J=1 \rightarrow J=2$  spectra. The microwave gear was as-

<sup>\*</sup> University of Illinois Graduate Fellow.

<sup>†</sup> Alfred P. Sloan Fellow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>D. K. Coles, *Microwave Spectroscopy*, Advances in Electronics (Academic Press Inc., New York, 1950), Vol. II. <sup>2</sup>C. K. Jen, Phys. Rev. 91, 197 (1951).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> J. R. Eshbach and M. W. P. Strandberg, Phys. Rev. 85, 24 (1952).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> J. T. Cox and W. Gordy, Phys. Rev. 101, 1298 (1956).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> J. W. Cederberg, C. H. Anderson, and N. F. Ramsey, Phys. Rev. 136, A960 (1964).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Mei-Kuo Lo, V. W. Weiss, and W. H. Flygare, J. Chem. Phys. 45, 2439 (1966). 7 V. W. Weiss, H. D. Todd, Mei-Kuo Lo, H. S. Gutowsky, and

W. H. Flygare (unpublished).

Strandberg.

gratefully acknowledged.

sembled around the 8-in. microwave cell, and the transition was observed in the absence of the field with a half-width at half-height of about 15 kc/sec. The magnetic field gave rise to the expected doublet with splitting equal to  $2\mu_N H_z g_{bb}$  as given in Eq. (1). All measurements were taken at dry-ice temperatures.

The results of a series of measurements on the  $J=0 \rightarrow J=1$  and  $J=1 \rightarrow J=2$  transitions gives:

$$J=0 \rightarrow J=1$$

$$\left|\frac{\Delta\nu}{2\mu_N H_z}\right| = g_{bb} = |0.02851 \pm 0.0004|,$$

$$J=1 \rightarrow J=2$$

$$\left|\frac{\Delta \nu}{2\mu_N H_z}\right| = g_{bb} = |0.02915 \pm 0.0005|.$$

The signs are negative as determined previously.<sup>3</sup> Al-

PHYSICAL REVIEW

# VOLUME 154, NUMBER 1

5 FEBRUARY 1967

# Rare-Gas Collision Broadening in the Lowest ${}^{3}P_{1}$ Level of Cd

R. L. BARGER National Bureau of Standards, Boulder, Colorado (Received 14 September 1966)

Alignment depolarization collision cross sections have been measured for the lowest  ${}^{3}P_{1}$  level of cadmium broadened by the rare gases. Cross sections obtained with the Hanle effect (zero-field level crossing) and the method of modulated-light double resonance are the same within approximately 5%. They agree with theoretical predictions except in the case of helium, for which the measured cross section is nearly twice as large as the theoretical value. Average experimental values (units of 10<sup>-16</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>) for He, Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe, respectively, are 51, 52, 81, 118, and 165.

### I. INTRODUCTION

CCURATE width measurements of upper elec-A tronic levels of optical transitions can be obtained without optical frequency Doppler broadening through use of the Hanle effect<sup>1,2</sup> (zero-field level crossing) and the recently developed method of modulated-light double resonance.<sup>3,4</sup> In the present investigation the cadmium  ${}^{3}P_{1}-{}^{1}S_{0}$  intercombination line (3261 Å) has been observed for measurement of alignment depolarization cross sections for the  ${}^{3}P_{1}$  level broadened by collisions with the rare gases helium, neon, argon, krypton, and xenon.

Observation of this cadmium level is advantageous

since the level width is small (approximately 70 kc), allowing measurement of small collision frequencies. Also, the  ${}^{3}P_{1}$  level has low excitation energy (3.8 eV) and is well separated from other cadmium energy levels. Thus, bombardment with electrons of nominal energy spread can produce excitation to this level without excitation of other cadmium levels or of gas atom levels. This eliminates cascade effects and ensures that collisions are with ground-state rare gas atoms.

though the value for the  $J=0 \rightarrow J=1$  appears less

than the average in  $J=1 \rightarrow J=2$ , the two values are

identical within experimental error. Both values are

also, within experimental error, identical to the value

obtained by Cederberg, Anderson, and Ramsey.<sup>5</sup> Our

value for the  $J=1 \rightarrow J=2$  transition also disagrees with the result of  $g=0.025\pm0.002$  of Eshbach and

It appears that the microwave and molecular beam results are now in good agreement in the measurement of the molecular magnetic moment in OCS. It appears somewhat surprising, however, that the molecular beam measurement does not give rise to a different g value due to the average obtained over the large number of

rotational states populated at room temperatures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT The support of the National Science Foundation is

The Hanle effect has been used for these measurements with both resonance fluorescence and electron excitation. The modulated-light technique has been used only with electron excitation. It was of interest to obtain a comparison of cross sections measured with the two methods since the observed levels are degenerate for the Hanle effect and well resolved for the modulatedlight technique.

#### **II. THEORY**

The Hanle effect is a variation in the polarization of emitted light as a function of magnetic field strength H,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> W. W. Smith and A. Gallagher, Phys. Rev. 145, 26 (1966). <sup>2</sup> A. Lurio, R. L. DeZafra, and R. J. Goshen, Phys. Rev. 134, A1198 (1964).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> A. Corney and G. W. Series, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 83, 213

<sup>(1964).</sup> <sup>4</sup> E. B. Aleksandrov and V. P. Kozlov, Opt. i Spektroskopiya **16**, 533 (1964) [English transl.: Opt. Spectry. (USSR) **16**, 289