approximate ImF by the contribution from the $l=0$, $I=0$ channel and use $\delta_0^0 \approx [(s-4)/s]^{1/2} a_0 \mu$ and get

$$
6h\mu^4 \cong -2a_0^2\mu^2 + \mu^2/\pi \int_{6\mu}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Im}F(\nu')}{\nu'(\nu'^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2)} d\nu'.
$$
 (62)

If we ignore the second term in (62) and assume it to be a fraction of $\mu^2/c_\pi^2 \geq 8\pi/9$, we obtain on substituting (62) into (53)

$$
a_0 = -(1/32\pi\mu)\left[-7\left(\mu^2/c_\pi{}^2\right) - 10a_0{}^2\mu{}^2\right].\tag{63}
$$

This last equation has two roots for a_0 . One will, to within 2% , give us back the same answer as before, $a_0 \approx 0.2 \mu^{-1}$. The other root is ridiculously large, $a_0 \approx 10\mu^{-1}$, and clearly unphysical. The latter root will also give a very large value for a_2 .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank J. D. Bjorken and S. Weinberg for some illuminating discussions, and the theoretical group at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center for its hospitality.

PHYSICAL REVIEW VOLUME 153, NUMBER 5 25 JANUARY 1967

πN Polarization and Regge Poles*

CHARLES B. CHIU'

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California

ROGER J. N. PHILLIPS

Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell, England

AND

WILLIAM RARITA[†] I'hysics Department, Univesrity of California, Berkeley, California (Received 15 July 1966)

We show that the recent high-energy $\pi^- p$ polarization data from CERN are explained in a natural way by the three-Regge-pole model. The prediction of this model for π^+p polarization differs greatly from that for π^- polarization in the region where $|t| < 0.6$ (GeV/c)². In particular, in this region, the π^+ p polarization has an opposite sign and comparable magnitude to that for $\pi^- p$.

HIS paper shows that recent high-energy $\pi^- p$ polarization data from CERN' are explained in a natural way by the three-Regge-pole model.² The prediction of the model for π^+p polarization has an opposite sign and comparable magnitude to that for $\pi^{-}p$.

Elastic πN scattering at small momentum transfer is dominated, in this model, by three Regge poles in the crossed channel. Thus it is a more complicated problem than the charge-exchange reactions, with only one or two poles, for which the Regge hypothesis has had great success. $2-7$ However, this complication is largely compensated by the greater variety of data available.

⁸ R. J. N. Phillips and W. Rarita, Phys. Rev. 138, B723 (1965);
Phys. Rev. Letters 15, 807 (1965); Phys. Letters 19, 598 (1965).

⁴G. Höhler, J. Baacke, H. Schlaile, and P. Sonderegger, Phys.
Letters **20**, 79 (1966).

⁶ B.Desai, Phys. Rev. 142, 1255 (1966).

The data we use are total cross sections,⁸ differential cross sections for elastic^{9,10} and charge-exchange^{11,12} scattering, Coulomb interference measurements of the scattering, Coulomb interference measurements of the
phase of the forward elastic amplitude,¹³ and $\pi^- p$ elastic polarization.¹ These data are from 5.9 GeV/ c upward, polarization. These data are from 5.9 GeV/c upward
and with squared momentum transfer $|t| < 1$ (GeV/c)² For $d\sigma/dt$ data, we worked with a representative subset of 141 elastic points in the interval $-1 < t < -0.1$ and

Cimento 38, 60 (1965).

¹¹ A. V. Stirling, P. Sonderegger, J. Kirz, P. Falk-Vairant, O.

Guisan, C. Bruneton, P. Borgeaud, M. Yvert, J. P. Guilland, C.

Caverzasio, and B. Amblard, Phys. Rev. Letters **14**, 763 (1965).

Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 408 (1965).
¹³ K. J. Foley, R. S. Gilmore, R. S. Jones, S. J. Lindenbaum,
W. A. Love, S. Ozaki, E. H. Willen, R. Yamada, and L. C. L.
Yuan, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 862 (1965).

^{*%}ork supported in part by U. S. Atomic Energy Commission. t Visiting scientist.

¹ M. Borghini, C. Coignet, L. Dick, L. di Lella, A. Michalowic:
P. C. Macq, and J. C. Olivier, Phys. Letters 21, 114 (1966).

² R. J. N. Phillips and W. Rarita, Phys. Rev. 139, B1336 (1965).

F. Arbab and C. B. Chiu, Phys. Rev. 147, 1045 (1966).

[~] M. Barmawi, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 595 (1966).

^{&#}x27; W. Galbraith, E.W. Jenkins, T.F.Kycia, B.A. Leontic, R.H. Phillips, A. L. Read, and R. Rubinstein, Phys. Rev. 138, B913

^{(1965).} [~] K. J. Foley, S. J. Lindenbaum, W. A. Love, S. Ozaki, J. J.

Russell, and L. C. L. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Letters 11, 425 (1963).
¹⁰ D. Harting, P. Blackall, B. Elsner, A. C. Helmholz, W. C.
Middelkoop, B. Powell, B. Zacharov, P. Zanella, P. Dalpiaz, M. N.
Focacci, S. Focardi, G. Giacom

FIG. 1. $\pi^- p$ polarization data of Ref. 1 at 6, 8, and 10 GeV/c compared with the Regge fit of solution (a).

charge-exchange points in $-0.9 < t < 0$. There is also an indirect datum, i.e. , from dispersion relations one can place a constraint on the zero intercept of P' trajectory.¹⁴ The constraints on the amplitudes at the ρ by relating ρ -meson coupling constants to nucleon electromagnetic structure, as described in Refs. 2 and 6, are also included.

We set aside the recent charge-exchange polarization data.¹⁵ In the present model, such polarization is necessarily zero, but it seems the observed nonzero values can be explained by small background effects—either the tails of s-channel resonances¹⁶ or another low-lying trajectory'7 —which have negligible effect on other experimental quantities.

We assume scattering is dominated by the first and second vacuum Regge trajectories P and P' (presumably associated with the f and f' mesons) and the isovector ρ trajectory. Following Refs. 2 and 5, we parametrize these contributions of the trajectories to the invariant amplitudes A' and B of Singh¹⁸:

$$
A' = C_0 \exp(C_1 t) \alpha(\alpha+1) \xi (E_L/E_0)^{\alpha} \quad \text{for } P \text{ and } P'
$$

= $C_0 \left[(1+C_2) \exp(C_1 t) - C_2 \right] (\alpha+1) \xi (E_L/E_0)^{\alpha}$
for ρ ; (1)

TABLE I. Regge-pole parameters.

Parameters and units		Solution (a)			Solution (b)		
		P	P'	ρ	P	\boldsymbol{p}	ρ
C_0	(mb GeV)	7.43	16.6	1.49	8.88	16.4	1.49
C_1	$(GeV)-2$	1.68	6.17	2.01	2.49	2.42	1.98
C ₂		\cdots	\cdots	1.79	\cdots	.	1.80
D ₀	(mb)	-27.4	-83.0	29.2	-3.55	-8.99	29.1
D_1	(GeV) ⁻²	4.94	7.96	0.12	0.41	-2.08	0.13
$\alpha(0)$		1.00	0.72	0.576	1.00	0.65	0.576
α'	$(GeV)-2$	0.34	0.34	1.02	0.23	0.93	1.02

¹⁴ J. Scanio, Phys. Rev. **152**, 1337 (1967); M. Restignoli, L. Sertorio, and M. Toller, *ibid*. **150**, 1389 (1966).
¹⁵ P. Sonderegger, report given at Stony Brook Conference
on High-Energy Scattering, 1966 (unpublishe

communication.

¹⁶ R. J. N. Phillips, Nuovo Cimento 45, 245 (1966).

"Two of us (C. C. and W. R.) are presently investigating this possibility; see also H. Hogaasen and W. Fischer, Phys. Letters $22, 516$ (1966).
¹⁸ V. Singh, Phys. Rev. 129, 1889 (1963).

TABLE II. Data 6tted.

Type	Number of		$\chi2$ data points Solution (a) Solution (b)
$P(\pi^{-}p)$	45	28	33
$\sigma_T(\pi^{\pm}p)$	16	10	
$d\sigma/dt(\pi^{\pm}p)$	141	133	161
$d\sigma/dt (\pi^- \rho \rightarrow \pi^0 n)$	56	87	87
Re A'(0)/Im A'(0)	9	16	15
$\alpha_{P'}(0)$	1	0.1	0.3
ρ -coupling constraints	2		2
Total	270	275	305

 $B = D_0 \exp(D_1 t) \alpha^2 (\alpha + 1) \xi (E_L/E_0)^{\alpha - 1}$ for P and P'

$$
=D_0\exp(D_1t)\alpha(\alpha+1)\xi(E_L/E_0)^{\alpha-1}\quad\text{for }\rho\text{;}\qquad(2)
$$

$$
\xi(t) = -\left[\exp(-i\pi\alpha) \pm 1\right] / \sin \pi\alpha; \tag{3}
$$

$$
\alpha(t) = \alpha(0) + \alpha't. \tag{4}
$$

Here $\alpha(t)$ is the trajectory, $\xi(t)$ is the signature factor (with signature $+$ for P and P', $-$ for ρ), E_L is the total pion lab energy, and E_0 is a scale factor chosen to be 1 GeV. C_0 , C_1 , C_2 , D_0 , D_1 , $\alpha(0)$, and α' are adjustable parameters. The factor α^2 introduced here in contrast to that α used in Ref. 2 for the B_P and $B_{P'}$ amplitudes is at this stage purely ad hoc. It was made in formal analogy to the behavior of the ρ amplitudes at $\alpha_{\rho}=0$ as discussed in Ref. 5.

For definiteness, let us fix C_0 and D_0 to mean the values for $\pi^- p$ elastic scattering. Then for $\pi^+ p$ scattering, P and P' stay the same while ρ changes sign; for charge exchange, P and P' terms vanish while ρ is multiplied by $-\sqrt{2}$.

Experimental quantities are given by

$$
\sigma_T(s) = \text{Im} A'(s, t=0) / p, \n\sigma \qquad 1 / M_N \lambda^2 (1, t) \qquad (5)
$$

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dt}(s,t) = \frac{1}{\pi s} \left(\frac{M_N}{4k}\right)^2 \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{t}{4M_N^2}\right) |A'|^2 - \frac{t}{4M_N^2 \left(\frac{4M_N^2 p^2 + st}{4M_N^2 - t}\right)} |B|^2 \right\}, \quad (6)
$$

$$
P(s,t) = -\frac{\sin\theta}{16\pi\sqrt{s}} \frac{\text{Im}A'B^*}{d\sigma/dt},\tag{7}
$$

where s is the square of total c.m. energy, p is the pion lab momentum, k is the c.m. momentum, θ is the c.m. angle, and $P(s,t)$ is the polarization, defined relative to the direction $p_{in} \times p_{out}$ with p_{in} and p_{out} being the momenta of the pion.

We adjusted the Regge-pole parameters for an optimum over-all fit to the data. The results are shown in Tables I and II and Fig. 1. Table I gives the best-fit Regge parameters. Some parameters are not well determined, in particular D_0 , D_1 , and α' for both P and P',

so ranges of solutions are possible. Table I shows two examples. Case (a) has moderate slopes for the P and, P' trajectories, as in Ref. 2. Case (b) has a slightly smaller slope for P but a much bigger one for P' , and has the interesting property¹⁹ of allowing a secondary bump in $d\sigma/dt$ for elastic scattering, similar to that observed.²⁰ $d\sigma/dt$ for elastic scattering, similar to that observed.²⁰ Table II lists the number of data of each kind that we rable in first the number of data of each kind that we used, with the corresponding contributions to X^2 for both solutions. Notice the individual $X²$ values tabulated are comparable for both solutions. Figure 1 shows the fit to the new $\pi^- p$ polarization data for the solution (a). The corresponding fit for solution (b) is not illustrated here. It differs little from the one shown for $|t| < 0.6$, but beyond this region the fit of solution (b) rises more quickly. For instance, at $t = -0.8$, solution (b) gives 16% for 10 GeV/c. The rest of the data fitting is also not illustrated, but the quality of the fitting is essentially as good as in Refs. 2 and 5.

The $\pi^{\pm}p$ polarizations are proportional to the cross products of the A' amplitudes $(A_P' + A_{P'} \mp A_{\rho})$ with the B amplitudes $(B_P + B_P \mp B_\rho)$. The A_ρ' and B_ρ amplitudes are mainly determined by charge-exchange data, which require a small A_{ρ} ' amplitude relative to $A_{P'}$ and $A_{P'}$ and a strong B_{ρ} amplitude. Since the A' and B amplitudes have the same phase for a given trajectory, ignoring the A_{ρ} ' amplitude one is left with terms $(A_{P}'+A_{P'})\times B_{\rho}$, $(A_{P'}\times B_{P'})$, and $(A_{P'}\times B_{P})$ for the π^- p polarization. The sign of B_{ρ} is plausibly determined by ρ -meson coupling constants,² and the sign
termined by ρ -meson coupling constants,² and the sign of $(A_P' + A_{P'})$ at $t=0$ is fixed by σ_T . So the sign of $(A_P' + A_{P'}) \times B_{\rho}$ is fixed. From $d\sigma(\pi^{\pm}p)/dt$ data, the magnitude of $(A_P' + A_{P'}) \times B_{\rho}$ in the small $|t|$ region (say for $|t|$ < 0.6) also is essentially determined. It then turns out that this term alone accounts for the most of the observed $\pi^- p$ polarization. The dominance of this term is also suggested by the vanishing of the observed polarization near $t=-0.6$, where the B_{ρ} amplitude

vanishes. Although at this stage the individual terms $A_P' \times B_{P'}$ and $A_{P'}' \times B_P$ are not well determined (see Table I), in order to account for the observed polarization for $|t| < 0.6$, the sum of the contributions from these two terms has to be small. Now the $(A_P' + A_{P'})$ $\times B_{\rho}$ term has opposite signs for $\pi^{-}p$ and $\pi^{+}p$ polarizations. Since this term dominates, one is led. to predict that $\pi^+\rho$ polarizations for $|t| < 0.6$ should be comparable in magnitude and opposite in sign to that for $\pi^- p$. The prediction for solution (a) is illustrated in Fig. 2 at 6 and 10 GeV/c, where for $|t| < 0.6$ the π^+p polarization appears with opposite sign. Beyond this region, solution (a), as illustrated, predicts a small negative polarization. The corresponding prediction for solution (b) is not illustrated. here. It is similar to the one shown for $|t| < 0.6$, but it remains positive near $t = -0.6$, and rises quickly beyond this region. For instance, this prediction gives 17% $\pi^+ p$ polarization at $t = -0.8$ for 10 GeV/c; this value is roughly the same as mentioned above for $\pi^{-}p$ polarization with the (b) fit. The relatively large polarization with the same sign for both $\pi^+\rho$ and $\pi^-\rho$ at $t=-0.8$ for solution (b) is due to the fact that here the contribution due to the sum of $(A_P' \times B_{P'})$ and $(A_{P'}\times B_{P})$ is large and dominates.

To conclude, our results show that the recent CERN π ⁻p-polarization data are readily explained by the $P+P'+\rho$ Regge-pole model. This model predicts that π^+ *p* polarization will be comparable in magnitude and % polarization will be comparable in magnetic poposite in sign to $\pi^- p$ results for $|t| < 0.6$.

We thank Professor Geoffrey F. Chew for his interest and encouragement in the course of this work. One of us (W.R.) thanks Professor Emilio G. Segre for the hospitality of the Physics Department, University of California, Berkeley.

¹⁹ As $\alpha_{P'}$ passes through 0, the term $|B_{P'}|$ ² has a dip. In this solution, the secondary bump is mainly due to $|B_{P'}|^2$ and to the $B_P - B_{P'}$ interference terms. When $\alpha_{P'} = -1$, these terms vanish

^{[(}see Eqs. (1) and (2)].
²⁰ J. Orear, R. Rubinstein, D. B. Scarl, D. H. White, A. D.
Krisch, W. R. Frisken, A. L. Read, and H. Ruderman, Phys. Rev.
Letters **15**, 309 (1965); also C. T. Coffin, N. Dikmen, L. Ettlinger,
D (1965).