Errata

Lagrangian Formulation of $\tilde{U}(12)$ Symmetry and the Bargmann-Wigner Equations, G. S. GURALNIK AND T. W. B. KIBBLE [Phys. Rev. **139**, B712 (1965)]. There are two sign errors in this paper. In Eq. (35), $(\Omega+\Phi')$ should be $(\Omega-\Phi')$, and in Eq. (36), $(\Psi-\Phi)$ should be $(\Psi+\Phi)$. These changes do not affect any of the subsequent equations, although the algebra involved in proving that $\Phi=0$ is more complicated than originally stated.

The authors are indebted to Dr. Shau-jin Chang for having called their attention to these errors.

Reference 2 should also have included a reference to Riazuddin and L. K. Pandit, Phys. Rev. Letters **14**, 462 (1965).

Algebra of Currents and Form Factors, RIAZUDDIN AND BENJAMIN W. LEE [Phys. Rev. **146**, 1202 (1966)]. The following portion of Appendix A did not appear in print:

$$M_2=\frac{4m\nu_B-k^2}{4m\nu_B}M_B-\frac{\nu}{2\nu_B}M_E. \tag{A3}$$

Therefore,

$$A_2M_2+A_5M_5=A_2\frac{4m\nu_B-k^2}{4m\nu_B}M_B+\left(A_5-\frac{\nu}{2\nu_B}A_2\right)M_E,$$

so that

$$H_B=\frac{4m\nu_B-k^2}{4m\nu_B}A_2,$$
$$H_E=\frac{2\nu_BA_5-\nu A_2}{2\nu_B}, \tag{A4}$$

and we have

$$H_A=A_1,\quad H_C=A_3,\quad H_D=A_4,\quad H_F=A_6,$$

showing that H_B and H_E in general have a kinematic singularity at $\nu_B=0$, whereas H_A, H_C, H_D, and H_F do not have such a singularity.

On p. 1205, top line, read $-M_A=\gamma_5\sigma_{\nu\lambda}k^\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}i\gamma_5(\gamma_\nu\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{k}\gamma_\nu)$, and in the line following Eq. (3.12) read $\nu_0=\nu_B+\mu+\mu^2/2m$.

Photoproduction and Electroproduction of Pions in the Region of $N^*(1238)$, N. ZAGURY [Phys. Rev. **145**, 1112 (1966)]. A term was omitted in Eqs. (II5) and (II6) of Appendix II. The correct equations are:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_7=-\{&[(W+m)^2-\lambda^2]^{1/2}[(W-m)^2-\mu^2]^{1/2}/16\pi s\}\{(E_1-m)(A_1-2mA_4)\\&+\tfrac{1}{2}[(t-\mu^2-\lambda^2)+2q_0(W-m)](A_4-A_3)-A_4(W-m)(E_1-m)\\&-\tfrac{1}{2}[(E_1+E_2)(t-\mu^2)+(q_0-\tfrac{1}{2}k_0)(s-u)]A_2\\&+[(\lambda^2+\mu^2-t)\tfrac{1}{2}k_0-\lambda^2q_0]A_5+A_6(E_1-m)(W+m)\}.\end{aligned} \tag{II5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_8=-\{&[(W-m)^2-\lambda^2]^{1/2}[(W+m)^2-\mu^2]^{1/2}/16\pi s\}\{-(E_1+m)(A_1-2mA_4)\\&+\tfrac{1}{2}[(t-\mu^2-\lambda^2)+2q_0(W+m)](A_4-A_3)-A_4(W+m)(E_1+m)\\&+\tfrac{1}{2}[(E_1+E_2)(t-\mu^2)+(q_0-\tfrac{1}{2}k_0)(s-u)]A_2\\&-[(\lambda^2+\mu^2-t)\tfrac{1}{2}k_0-\lambda^2q_0]A_5+A_6(E_1+m)(W-m)\}.\end{aligned} \tag{II6}$$

Since the uncorrected equations were not used in the actual calculations, the results of the paper remain unaltered. I am grateful to D. Weaver and F. Berends for bringing this to my attention.

The first sentence of Sec. III should read: Let l_γ be the total angular momentum of the photon.

In Eqs. (4.15), (4.16), and (4.17) one should read "f" instead of "f/μ". In Eq. (6.6) one should read π^3 instead of π^2. In Eq. (4.15) one should read q^3 instead of q^2.