Fermi and Coulomb Matrix Elements from $\beta-\gamma$ (Circularly Polarized) Correlation Measurements on V^{48} and Mn^{52m+1}

S. D. BLOOM

Department of Applied Science, and Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Livermore, California

AND

L. G. MANN Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Livermore, California (Received 7 March 1966)

 β - γ (circularly polarized) correlation measurements have been made for the T=1 to T=2 beta decays of V⁴⁸ and Mn^{52m}. The spin sequences permit both Gamow-Teller and Fermi contributions in the transitions: V48[4+(β +)4+(γ_1)2+(γ_2)0+] and Mn^{52m}[2+(β +)2+(γ)0+]. The Fermi matrix elements $|M_F|$, impurity coefficients $|\alpha_2(1)|$, and Coulomb matrix elements $|\langle H_C \rangle|$, all of which must be zero for *pure* $\Delta T = 1 \beta$ transitions, were deduced from the observed asymmetry parameter A, using the well-established V-A beta interaction form:

	A	$10^{3}M_{F}$	$10^{3}\alpha_{2}(1)$	$H_{c}(\text{keV})$
V ⁴⁸	-0.036 + 0.005	4.5 ± 0.5	2.2 ± 0.2	12.1 ± 1.3
Mn^{52m}	-0.141 ± 0.016	4.7 ± 3.1	2.4 ± 1.6	8.2 ± 5.4

An average of three earlier measurements on V⁴⁸ is consistent with these results, but has 5.5 times the error. The consistency of these results with each other, and with the over-all behavior of the same quantities characterizing the twenty or so other cases investigated to date, supports the suggestion that isospin conservation for particle-stable nuclear states has a validity which is qualitatively independent of atomic weight or excitation

INTRODUCTION

IN the most recent¹ of a series of papers describing measurements made at this laboratory on mixedallowed $(\Delta J=0, J\neq 0) \beta$ transitions by the technique of β - γ circularly polarized (CP) correlation, we reported our correlation result for the T=1 to $T=0 \beta$ decay of F^{20} . From this result we were able to deduce the impurity coefficient and effective Coulomb matrix element by methods described in detail in several sources.²⁻⁴ The comparison of these quantities with the same quantitites deduced from the radiative isospin selection rules for four other states in the same nucleus (the final-state nucleus, Ne²⁰)⁵ showed that although the impurity coefficients differ by as much as two orders of magnitude, the Coulomb matrix elements $(|\langle H_c \rangle|)$ are within a factor of 3 or so of each other and have an upper limit of approximately 30 keV. The Coulomb matrix elements should exhibit less variation in magnitude than the impurity coefficients, since the latter are inversely proportional to the energy difference between the two states of different T which are mixing, while the former are independent of this difference.² However, whether a factor of 3 or a factor of 10 or even more should characterize the range of variation of $|\langle H_c \rangle|$ is not known.

In the compilation² of all β -decay experimental results bearing on this question, $|\langle H_c \rangle|$ showed a variation of from approximately 1-60 keV over an atomic weight range of 24-150. The excitation of the "mixing" state went as high as 10 MeV. The experimental errors make it difficult to ascertain the lower limit of this range of variation, but a factor of 60 or more is not an unreasonable deduction from the data available to date. Since, however, this figure covers a rather wide range of isospins (T=1 to T=14), it is perhaps useful to inquire whether there is less variation if one considered narrower regions in T, as for instance the factor of 3 mentioned above for the $|\langle H_c \rangle|$ range of variation in Ne²⁰, T=0. The T=2 to T=1 mixed β transitions are a very good group to study in this manner, since experimentally there are quite a few cases available-considerably more than of the T=1 to T=0 variety—and indeed several measurements have already been made. However, the experimental accuracy and/or discrepancies among many of the earlier measurements have been such as to cloud the question concerning the range of values of $|\langle H_C \rangle|$. In an effort to help answer this question we report herein a remeasurement of the β - γ (CP) correlation for V⁴⁸ which improves by a factor of approximately 5 on the combined precision of the preceding measurements. In addition we have measured the β - γ (CP) correlation for the Mn^{52m} β decay. Both these cases are ostensibly T=2 to T=1 transitions and both seem to have roughly equal Coulomb matrix elements. Furthermore, these matrix elements are within 50% of the median value of matrix elements reported for the T=1 to T=0 transitions.¹ Thus, the empirical constancy noted for the T=1 to T=0 tran-789

[†] Work done under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

¹L. G. Mann and S. D. Bloom, Phys. Rev. 139, B540 (1965).

 ² S. D. Bloom, Nuovo Cimento 32, 1023 (1964).
 ³ H. Daniel and H. Schmitt, Nucl. Phys. 65, 481 (1965).
 ⁴ L. G. Mann, D. C. Camp, J. A. Miskel, and R. J. Nagel, Phys.

Rev. 137, B1 (1965).

D. H. Wilkinson, in Proceedings of the Rehovoth Conference on Nuclear Structure (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amster-dam, 1958), p. 175.

	Discriminator settings (keV)		Corrected asymmetry, δ ^a								Asymmetry
	β	γ	(%)	$f_{PE}^{\mathbf{b}}$	fvc^{c}	f_{RC}^{d}	f GG e	$f_{PA}^{\mathbf{f}}$	$f_{NP}^{\mathbf{g}}$	$f \mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{h}}$	parameter, A^{i}
23V ⁴⁸	200 173	475 474	-0.034 ± 0.006 -0.032 ± 0.015	1.03 1.03	1.25 1.27	1.12 1.04	1.10 1.11	1.05 1.05	1.72 1.72	2.86 2.52	-0.036 ± 0.005 -0.032 ± 0.014
$_{25}{ m Mn}^{52m}$	1100	500	-0.201 ± 0.023	0.95	1.03	1.06	1.00	1.02	1.80	1.92	-0.141 ± 0.061

TABLE I. Experimental results for V⁴⁸ and Mn^{52m} β - γ (CP) correlation measurements.

* δ , the observed asymmetry is defined as $(N_{+}-N_{-})/(N_{+}+N_{-})$, where \pm refer to opposite polarizations of the scattering magnet and N_{\pm} is the number of observed coincidences. The asymmetry given here is corrected for the magnetic gain shifts in the β and γ singles rates ($\leq 0.01\%$). ^b Energy dependence of the polarization-dependent part of the Compton cross section relative to the standard (Co⁶⁰). ^c Reciprocal of average β velocity in units of c. ^d Correction for random coincidences. ^e Correction for coincidences. ^f Correction for coincidences due to annihilation radiation. ^g Correction for coincidences which do not involve scattering of gamma rays from magnetized iron (see Ref. 4). ^h Total correction factor *fps Xfvc Xfax XfvP*. ⁱ $A = 36.6 \times fr \times \delta$, where 36.6 is the calibration constant of the polarimeter (cf. Ref. 4). The statistical plus a 4% calibration error characterize the final values of A.

values of A.

sitions would seem to include at least these two T=2to T=1 transitions. However, other transitions of this type show evidence of much larger matrix elements, which makes it difficult to speak of any general rule (see Results and Discussion).

EXPERIMENT

The basic β - γ (CP) correlation technique has been described in several sources.⁶ Since the details of the rapid-alternation method used in Livermore can also be found in several published accounts^{4,7,8} we refer to these articles concerning this part of the experiment. With reference to the sources: Vanadium-48 was obtained from the Oak Ridge National Laboratory in HCl solution. Thin sources were prepared in the usual fashion, by solution evaporation on $\frac{1}{4}$ -mil Mylar foils. Because Mn^{52m} has too short a half-life (22 min) to permit a complete asymmetry measurement in a few runs, it was necessary to use the Livermore 90-in. cyclotron for a cyclic rebombardment of the source, which consisted of a foil made up of a slurry of polystyrene and chromium powder. The reaction used was $Cr^{52}(p,n)Mn^{52m}$, which for 14-MeV protons yielded sources of more than adequate activity, approximately 100 μ Ci, for approximately 0.5 μ A of beam current on targets of thickness approximately 11 mg/cm² of which 50% was Cr and the rest polystyrene. The bombardment and count intervals were 1 min for 4 min, respectively. This technique required the development of a pneumatic tube and associated equipment capable of transferring the target from the bombardment position to the count position (a distance of 100 ft) in a rapid, repeatable, and precisely positioned manner. The performance of the final transport system was satisfactory in all respects. The transport time from the beam position to the polarimeter was 10 sec.

Since it was important that the activity being manufactured in the foil was Mn^{52m} and nothing else, careful checks were made for both short-lived (a few seconds) and long-lived (minutes to hours) contaminants. At the β -discriminator setting used (1.1 MeV) the inevitable manufacture of long-lived Mn⁵² (5.7 days) was completely unobservable. No other long-lived contaminant of any significance could be found for the β - and γ discriminator settings used in the experiment (see Table I). This was further checked by an examination of the γ spectrum in a germanium crystal spectrometer which showed only the expected 1.434-MeV γ ray and 511-keV (annihilation radiation) lines with a small contribution from the long-lived Mn⁵² (ground-state) decay spectrum. The examination for possible shortlived contaminants showed the contribution of these to any β - γ coincidences as considerably less than 1%, and so totally negligible for our purposes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the experiment are given in Tables I and II. Table I gives the observed asymmetries and the correction factors. Terminology is essentially the same as in Ref. 4. As is usual in the work done at Livermore,^{4,7} the β - γ (CP) correlation in Co⁶⁰ was used as a standard which yields the formula for the asymmetry parameter A given in the footnote of Table I. Past experience has shown this formula reliable to about 4%. Since our statistical errors are a good deal larger than this, it is clear we are not limited by calibrational uncertainties here.

Table II gives the values of $y = C_V M_V / C_A M_A$ deduced from A using the well-known conserved vector current (CVC) theory of weak interactions⁹ (see

⁶ See R. M. Steffen and H. Frauenfelder, Alpha-, Beta-, and Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1956), p. 1453. An up-to-date bibliography is given in this review article. ⁷ S. D. Bloom, L. G. Mann, and J. A. Miskel, Phys. Rev. 125,

 <sup>2021 (1962).
 *</sup> R. E. Pechacek, L. G. Mann, S. D. Bloom, and R. M. Rodrigues, Rev. Sci. Instr. 134, 481 (1964).

⁹C. S. Wu, Alpha-, Beta-, and Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1965), p. 1313.

TABLE II. Fermi matrix elements (column 3), impurity coefficients (column 5), and effective Coulomb matrix elements (column 6)
for V ⁴⁸ and Mn ^{52m} β decays, as deduced from results shown in Table I and Figs. 1 and 2. E_x is the excitation in the parent nucleus
of the $T=2$ contaminating state. Also shown for the sake of comparison are the averages of all previously published results for V ⁴⁸
and Mn ⁵² (ground state). Note that the latter requires two groups of experimental results because of their incompatibility.

	$C_V M_V / C_A M_A$	$10^3 M_F $	Ex (MeV)	$10^{3} lpha_{2}(1) $	$ \langle H_C \rangle $ (keV)	Reference
${}_{23}V^{48}$	-0.065 ± 0.007	4.5 ± 0.5	5.50	2.2 ± 0.2	12.1 ± 1.3	Present work
23V48 25Mn ⁵² m	$\begin{array}{c} -0.10 \pm 0.03 \\ -0.030 \pm 0.020 \end{array}$	7.0 ± 2.0 4.7 ± 3.1	5.50 3.88	3.5 ± 1.0 2.4 ± 1.6	$19 \pm 5.5 \\ 8.2 \pm 5.4$	4 Present work
$_{25}{\rm Mn^{52}}$	$+0.007 \pm 0.013$ -0.047 ± 0.004	1.2 ± 2.2 8.4 ± 0.6	5.93 5.93	$0.6 \pm 1.1 \\ 4.2 \pm 0.3$	$3.6 \pm 7.2 \\ 25 \pm 1.8$	4 11

Figs. 1 and 2 and column 2 of Table II). Also shown in Table II are the deduced results for the Fermi matrix element (column 3). The excitation energy E_x is the calculated² position for the analog states of Ti⁴⁸ (2.30 MeV) and Cr^{52} (1.434 MeV) in V^{48} and Mn⁵², respectively. Both of these are ostensibly T=2 states which mix with the T=1 states through the action of the Coulomb force (as well as a possible isospin nonconserving part of the nucleon-nucleon strong interaction). The impurity coefficient $\alpha_2(1)$ characterizing this mixing is also shown in Table II. As noted above, the accidental contiguity of two states could produce a fortuitously high impurity coefficient. Therefore, a more reliable index of the importance of the Coulomb mixing is the matrix element of the Coulomb potential $|\langle H_c \rangle|$. This is given in column 6. Since the sign of the GamowTeller matrix element is not known, only absolute values for $\alpha_2(1)$ and $\langle H_C \rangle$ can be given. For the sake of comparison, Table II also gives the appropriate averages of all previous results for V⁴⁸ and Mn⁵² (ground state).^{4,10,11} In the latter case, two groups of results are given because of the incompatibility of the results using oriented Mn⁵², and the β - γ (CP) correlation results. The former method gives a result which is significantly larger than any other given in Table II. However, it is still within the 30-keV upper limit characterizing the T=1 to T=0 decays described earlier. Nonetheless, the discrepancy of a factor of 2 to 5 or more between





FIG. 2. Plot of A, the asymmetry parameter, versus $y = C_V M_V / C_A M_A$ for the case of Mn^{52m}.

¹⁰ F. Boehm and A. H. Wapstra, Phys. Rev. **109**, 456 (1958); H. Daniel and M. Kuntze, Z. Physik **162**, 229 (1961); L. G. Mann, S. D. Bloom, and R. J. Nagel, Phys. Rev. **127**, 2134 (1962).

FIG. 1. Plot of A, the asymmetry parameter, versus $y = C_V M_V / C_A M_A$ for the case of V⁴⁸. Experimentally observed values for A are shown also. The point labeled "Average, '63" represents the average of three earlier measurements (see Results and Discussion).

¹¹ Daniel and M. Ruller, Z. Hysik 102, 229 (1901); L. G. Mahli,
 ¹² S. D. Bloom, and R. J. Nagel, Phys. Rev. 127, 2134 (1962).
 ¹¹ E. Ambler, R. W. Hayward, D. D. Hoppes, and R. P. Hudson,
 Phys. Rev. 110, 787 (1958); W. J. Huiskamp and H. A. Tolhoek,
 ¹¹ Progress in Low-Temperature Physics, edited by C. J. Gorter (Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1961), Vol. III, p. 333.

the two results is disturbing. Also, with the exception of the $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ transition in Ga⁶⁴, the $|\langle H_c \rangle|$ deduced¹¹ from the oriented nuclear experiments with Mn⁵² is the largest Coulomb matrix element between states of differing isospin yet determined for a particle-stable nuclear state. $|\langle H_c \rangle|$ for Ga⁶⁴ is approximately 40 keV. This result depends on the 0⁺ assignment for Ga⁶⁴ which is supported by some recent measurements at this laboratory.¹² The size of the Ga⁶⁴ Coulomb matrix element makes it difficult to say that there is a general rule keeping $|\langle H_C \rangle|$ less than 30 keV for $T \leq 1$. If there are special reasons applying to this case they are still unknown. In any case the present results are quite consistent with the general pattern of an upper limit of \sim 30 keV for the effective Coulomb matrix elements. regardless of atomic weight or excitation, which in turn indicates a roughly uniform validity for the isospin quantum number under the same range of conditions.

A final comment on the V⁴⁸ result which is worth

making is that although small, it is clearly nonzero by nine standard deviations. The result for $|M_F|$ corresponds to a log ft of 8.49 ± 0.04 . Such a log ft for an ostensibly allowed transition is certainly indicative of the operation of a strong inhibition principle (in this case isospin conservation). Still, it is not large enough that second-forbidden corrections should be significant, since the average log ft for such transitions is $12 \pm 1.^{13,14}$ Thus it is clear that although the Coulomb effects are small, they are still quite observable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We should like to express our thanks to V. Gregory and G. Clough for the design and testing of the pneumatic tube system, and to R. E. Phillips for his assistance in taking the data.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 147, NUMBER 3

22 JULY 1966

Angular-Momentum Effects in the $Mg^{24}(\alpha, Be^7)$ and $Al^{27}(p, Be^7)$ Reactions at 35-65 MeV

RICHARD H. LINDSAY

Department of Physics, Western Washington State College, Bellingham, Washington (Received 28 February 1966)

The reaction $Mg^{24}(\alpha, Be^7)Ne^{21}$ is investigated with alpha particles in the energy range 35-63 MeV. The measured cross sections are compared with the cross sections for $Al^{27}(p, Be^7)Ne^{21}$, a reaction which proceeds through the same compound nucleus, Si²⁸. At fixed excitation energy of the compound nucleus (C.N.), the alpha-induced reaction has a higher cross section for $E_{C,N} > 40$ MeV. The cross-section ratio $\sigma(\alpha)/\sigma(p)$ increases from 4.4 ± 1.0 at $E_{C.N.} = 50$ MeV to 6.3 ± 1.4 at $E_{C.N.} = 60$ MeV. Part of this significant difference is shown to be due to angular-momentum effects in compound-nuclear processes. The balance is attributed to the multinucleon pickup by the He ion. A similar effect is observed in the reactions $Al^{27}(p,\alpha pn)$ and $Mg^{24}(\alpha, \alpha pn).$

I. INTRODUCTION

IN the vicinity of 30 MeV and above, compound nuclear processes have nuclear processes have been shown to be of significance in the emission of complex nuclei such as Li⁶, Li⁷, and Be⁷ in bombardments with protons and He ions.¹⁻⁷ The He-ion- and proton-induced reactions leading to

these emissions have notable differences and the angular-momentum effects involved are an interesting experimental question. At bombarding energies below about 70 MeV, one naturally contemplates two reaction mechanisms for the He-ion-induced reaction: simple compound-nuclear emission into the spectrum of available states, and multiparticle pickup by the He ion. However, only one mechanism is assumed to occur in the proton-induced reaction: emission by a compound nucleus.

If channel spins are negligible, the angular momentum of a compound nucleus formed by absorption of an incident particle is directed approximately at a right angle to the impinging beam. As is well known, this can

¹² K. G. Tirsell, L. G. Mann, and S. D. Bloom, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., 11, 409 (1966).

¹³ C. E. Gleit, C. W. Tang, and C. D. Coryell, in National Bureau of Standards Nuclear Level Schemes, compiled by U. S. Atomic Energy Commission (U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1963). ¹⁴ Z. W. Grabowski, R. S. Raghavan, and R. M. Steffen, Phys.

Rev. 139, B24 (1956).

¹I. Dostrovsky, Z. Fraenkel, and P. Rabinowitz, Phys. Rev. 118, 791 (1960). ⁴G. Bouchard and A. W. Fairhall, Phys. Rev. 116, 160 (1959).

 ⁸ R. H. Lindsay and R. J. Carr, Phys. Rev. 120, 2168 (1960).
 ⁴ R. H. Lindsay and E. F. Neuzil, Phys. Rev. 127, 1269 (1962).

 ⁵ E. F. Neuzil and R. H. Lindsay, Phys. Rev. 131, 1697 (1963).
 ⁶ C. Hower, Ph.D. thesis, University of Washington, 1962 (unpublished)

⁷C. D. Zafiratos, Phys. Rev. 136, B1279 (1964).