Representation of the S Matrix by Regge Parameters

Hung Cheng*

Physics Department, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Received 5 March 1965; revised manuscript received 15 September 1965)

We express the S matrix of complex angular momentum and positive energy by Regge poles, proving that a knowledge of the Regge poles enables one to determine the S matrix uniquely. The background integral in the Mandelstam-Sommerfeld-Watson transform cannot be made to vanish by closing the contour to the left. Furthermore, one cannot express the scattering amplitude by an infinite-series sum of Regge-pole terms where each term is given by the Khuri representation.

I. REPRESENTATION OF $S(\lambda,s)$ BY REGGE POLES

O NE of the most important questions in the theory of complex angular momentum is whether the scattering amplitude A(s,t) is determined if the location of all Regge poles and the residue functions are given. This may point to a new way of constructing the S matrix.

We give here a representation of the S matrix of complex angular momentum by the Regge poles, proving that the scattering amplitude is uniquely determined once the Regge poles are given.

The unitary condition is

$$S(\lambda,s)S^*(\lambda^*,s)=1, s\geq 0,$$

where $S(\lambda,s)$ is the S matrix of complex angular momentum $l = \lambda - \frac{1}{2}$. This implies that if λ_n is a pole of $S(\lambda,s)$, then λ_n^* is a zero of $S(\lambda,s)$. Furthermore, for positive energy, $S(\lambda,s)$ takes the asymptotic form¹

$$S(\lambda,s) \xrightarrow[\lambda]{} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} 1, & -\pi/2 \le \arg \lambda \le \pi/2, \\ e^{2i\lambda\pi}, & \pi/2 < \arg \lambda < 3\pi/2. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Defining

$$T(\lambda,s) = \left[\frac{\partial S(\lambda,s)}{\partial \lambda}\right] S(\lambda,s), \qquad (2)$$

$$T(\lambda,s) \xrightarrow[\lambda] \to \infty \begin{cases} \gamma & \gamma = -3 = \gamma \gamma \\ 2i\pi, & \pi/2 < \arg\lambda < 3\pi/2. \end{cases}$$
(3)

ſ0,

From (3), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{c} \frac{T(\lambda',s) \, d\lambda'}{\lambda' - \lambda} = i\pi \,, \tag{4}$$

 $-\pi/2 \leq \arg \lambda \leq \pi/2$,

where c is a circle with its center at the origin and with infinite radius. If $S(\lambda,s)$, considered as a function of λ , has poles at $\lambda_n(s)$ and zeros at $\lambda_n^*(s)$, then (2) shows that $T(\lambda,s)$ has poles at $\lambda_n(s)$ with residue - 1 and poles at $\lambda_n^*(s)$ with residue 1. Computing the left side of (4) by the Cauchy residue theorem, we get

$$T(\lambda,s) = i\pi + \sum_{n} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_n^*(s)} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_n(s)} \right].$$
(5)

*Work supported in part by the U. S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research, under Contract No. AF 49(638)589. ¹ H. Cheng and T. T. Wu, preceding paper, Phys. **144**, **1232** (1966). We may integrate (5) from an arbitrary point λ_a to λ , obtaining

$$\ln \frac{S(\lambda,s)}{S(\lambda_a,s)} = i\pi(\lambda - \lambda_a) + \sum_{n} \ln \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_n^*(s)}{\lambda_a - \lambda_n^*(s)} \frac{\lambda_a - \lambda_n(s)}{\lambda - \lambda_n(s)} \right),$$

or

$$S(\lambda,s) = S(\lambda_a,s)e^{i\pi(\lambda-\lambda_a)}\prod_n \left(\frac{\lambda-\lambda_n^*(s)}{\lambda_a-\lambda_n^*(s)}\frac{\lambda_a-\lambda_n(s)}{\lambda-\lambda_n(s)}\right).$$
 (6)

Equation (6) requires, in addition to $\lambda_n(s)$, one subtraction constant $S(\lambda_a,s)$, for the representation of the S matrix. We may take λ_a to be a large positive real number, and make use of (1) to obtain

$$S(\lambda,s) = \lim_{R \to \infty} e^{i\pi(\lambda - R)} \prod_{n} \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_n^*(s)}{R - \lambda_n^*(s)} \frac{R - \lambda_n(s)}{\lambda - \lambda_n(s)} \right).$$
(7)

Equation (7) shows that $S(\lambda, s)$ can be constructed once all $\lambda_n(s)$ are known.²

We may pause to consider how (5) can be consistent with (3). When $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, we may neglect any finite number of terms in the summation of (5), since they contribute only to the order $1/\lambda$. Therefore, we may start with *n* large enough for the asymptotic form of $\lambda_n(s)$ to be valid.

Take the potential

$$V(r) = V_0 \int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu' r}}{r} e^{-\mu'} d\mu';$$

then for the poles in the upper half-plane their asymptotic forms are given by¹

$$\lambda_n(s) \ln(2\lambda_n(s)e^{-\pi i}/ke) = n\pi i, \qquad (8)$$

and for those in the lower half-plane, they are given by

$$\lambda_{-n}(s) \ln[2\lambda_{-n}(s)e^{2\pi i}/ke] = -n\pi i.$$
(9)

² It is trivial to generalize (7) for the case when s is complex. Instead of (1), we make use of

$$S(\lambda,s) \longrightarrow \begin{cases} 1 + S_B(\lambda,s), & -\pi/2 \leqslant \arg\lambda \leqslant \pi/2, \\ e^{2i\lambda\pi}, & \pi/2 < \arg\lambda < 3\pi/2, \end{cases}$$

with $S_B(\lambda,s)$, the Born approximation, which is not small. 1237

144 1

The sum in (5), for large $|\lambda|$, can be approximated by

$$\sum_{n} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_{n}^{*}(s)} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_{n}(s)} \right] \xrightarrow[|\lambda| \to \infty]{} \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \left[\frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda^{*}(y,s)} + \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda^{*}(-y,s)} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda(y,s)} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda(-y,s)} \right], \quad (10)$$

where $\lambda(y,s)$ and $\lambda(-y, s)$ satisfy (8) and (9), respectively, with *n* replaced by *y*, a continuous variable. For the first term in (10), we change the independent variable to $\lambda^*(y,s) = ix$, and similarly for the other terms, obtaining, after neglecting terms of order $1/\lambda$,

$$\sum_{n} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_{n}^{*}(s)} - \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_{n}(s)} \right] \xrightarrow[|\lambda| \to \infty]{} - i \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - ix} + \frac{1}{\lambda + ix} \right) = \begin{cases} -i\pi, & \text{Re}\lambda > 0, \\ i\pi, & \text{Re}\lambda < 0, \end{cases}$$
(11)

which together with (5), given (3).

Equation (7) may be a little clumsy to use. We may obtain another representation for $S(\lambda, s)$ by noticing that

$$S(\lambda,s) - 1 = O(e^{-\lambda \xi(s)}/\sqrt{\lambda}), \quad \text{Re}\lambda \to \infty,$$

with

$$\cosh\xi(s) = 1 + \mu^2/2s,$$

 μ being the lowest mass in the Yukawa potentials. Thus

$$\ln S(\lambda,s) = O(e^{-\lambda \xi(s)}/\sqrt{\lambda}), \quad \text{Re}\lambda \to \infty .$$

Consequently, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c} d\lambda' \frac{e^{\lambda' \xi(s)} \ln S(\lambda', s)}{\lambda' - \lambda} = 0, \qquad (12)$$

where c is a circle with infinite radius. Now $\ln S(\lambda, s)$ is an analytic function of λ , with branch points at the zeros $\lambda_n^*(s)$ and the poles $\lambda_n(s)$ of $S(\lambda, s)$. The branch cuts are chosen to lie from $-\infty$ to those branch points. Then we may evaluate the left side of (12) to obtain

$$\ln S(\lambda,s) = e^{-\lambda \xi(s)} \sum_{n} \int_{\lambda_{n}(s)}^{\lambda_{n}^{*}(s)} d\lambda' \frac{e^{\lambda' \xi(s)}}{\lambda' - \lambda}.$$
(13)

In (13), if λ lies on any of the contours, we may add or subtract an infinitesimal quantity to it to take it off the path. This is because adding $2\pi i$ to $\ln S(\lambda, s)$ does not change the value for $S(\lambda, s)$. Equation (13) again enables one to construct $S(\lambda, s)$ once all $\lambda_n(s)$ are given. Now, the contribution of a Regge pole $\lambda_n(s)$ to $S(\lambda, s)$ can be crudely estimated to be proportional to $e^{\xi(s) \operatorname{Re}\lambda_n(s)}$, thus the contributions of Regge poles in the left-hand plane are cut off rapidly. Furthermore, (13) automatically incorporates the asymptotic behavior for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \to \infty$ and the threshold behavior for $s \to 0$. Therefore, (13) may be convenient to use for practical purposes.

A representation for $S(\lambda,s)$ in infinite product form was obtained by Desai and Newton.³ They did not have the asymptotic form (1) and had to make a guess. As a result, their expression is similar to (6), but requires two subtractions.

Equation (13) may be written in more familiar form. The incomplete gamma function is defined as

$$\Gamma(a,x) = \int_x^\infty e^{-t} t^{a-1} \, dt \, .$$

Then (13) can be expressed by $\Gamma(0,x)$:

$$\ln S(\lambda,s) = \sum_{n} \left[\Gamma(0, \xi(s)\lambda - \xi(s)\lambda_{n}(s)) - \Gamma(0, \xi(s)\lambda - \xi(s)\lambda_{n}^{*}(s)) \right].$$
(14)

II. A FORMULA SATISFIED BY $S(\lambda,s)$

The representations for $S(\lambda,s)$ given previously were obtained with the aid of the unitarity condition. It is not known if one can obtain a representation of the Mittag-Leffler type for $S(\lambda,s)$, based on the meromorphy of $S(\lambda,s)$ and the asymptotic form (1). Nevertheless, we may make use of the mirror property

$$S(n,s) = S(-n, s), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
 (15)

to obtain a formula satisfied by $S(\lambda,s)$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c} \frac{\lambda' S(\lambda', s) e^{-i\lambda'\pi}}{\sin\lambda' \pi (\lambda'^2 - \lambda^2)} d\lambda' = 0, \qquad (16)$$

where c is an infinite circle as before. Applying the Cauchy residue theorem to evaluate the left side of (16), and making use of (15), we have

$$S(\lambda,s)e^{-i\lambda\pi} - S(-\lambda,s)e^{i\lambda\pi}$$

= $-2\sum_{n} \frac{\lambda_n(s)\sin\lambda\pi}{\sin\lambda_n(s)\pi} \frac{r_n(s)e^{-i\lambda_n(r)\pi}}{\lambda_n^2(s) - \lambda^2},$ (17)

where $r_n(s) = \operatorname{Res}S(\lambda, s) |_{\lambda = \lambda_n(s)}$. Equation (17) relates $S(\lambda, s)$ to $S(-\lambda, s)$ by a sum of Regge pole terms.

1238

³ B. P. Desai and R. G. Newton, Phys. Rev. 129, 1445 (1963).

⁴ A. Bottino, A. M. Longoni, and T. Regge, Nuovo Cimento 23, 954 (1962).

We also know that⁴

1

$$S(\lambda,s)e^{-i\lambda\pi}-S(-\lambda,s)e^{i\lambda\pi}$$

 $4k\lambda$

$$= -\frac{\pi n \Lambda}{f(\lambda, ke^{-i\pi})f(-\lambda, ke^{-i\pi})}.$$
 (18)

Combining (17) and (18), we get

$$\overline{f(\lambda, ke^{-i\pi})f(-\lambda, ke^{-i\pi})} = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{n} \frac{\sin\lambda\pi}{\sin\lambda_n(s)\pi} \frac{r_n(s)e^{-i\lambda_n(s)\pi}}{\lambda_n^2(s) - \lambda^2} \frac{\lambda_n(s)}{\lambda}.$$
 (19)

III. CONSEQUENCES ON THE SCATTERING AMPLITUDE A(s,t)

With the asymptotic form (1), it is trivial to show that the background term in the Mandelstam-Watson-Sommerfeld transform equation⁵ cannot be made to vanish by closing the contour to the left. The infiniteseries sum of Regge-pole terms, with each term given by the Khuri representation,⁶ is not convergent.

⁵ S. Mandelstam, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 19, 254 (1962). ⁶ N. N. Khuri, Phys. Rev. 130, 429 (1963).

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 144, NUMBER 4

29 APRIL 1966

Some Consequences of Off-Shell Unitarity*

K. L. KOWALSKI Department of Physics, Case Institute of Technology, Cleveland, Ohio (Received 15 November 1965)

The implications of off-shell unitarity on the structure of the off-shell, nonrelativistic, two-particle partialwave amplitudes are investigated. It is found that the unitarity conditions along with time-reversal invariance imply certain useful factorization properties of the off-shell amplitudes.

T has been established in two quite distinct ways that in potential scattering the off-shell, twoparticle partial-wave amplitudes exhibit certain factorization properties with respect to the off-shell momenta.^{1,2} The possible usefulness of these features in constructing approximations in three-body problems has also been suggested.^{1,3-5}

Both existing treatments^{1,2} of this problem have a common defect in that the factorization appears to emerge in a somewhat accidental manner. Moreover, both derivations are couched firmly in the language

⁴ J. L. Basdevant (private communication). See also J. L. Basdevant and R. E. Kreps, Phys. Rev. (to be published).

⁵ The factorization properties were exploited in an impulse approximation calculation of nucleon-deuteron scattering. See K. L. Kowalski and D. Feldman, Phys. Rev. 130, 276 (1963). and formalism of potential scattering theory and thus the possible generality of these results is obscured.^{6,7}

In the present note we will show that the factorization properties of the complete off-shell partial-wave amplitudes follow from off-shell unitarity^{8,9} and time-reversal invariance, while the factorization of the half-off-shell amplitude follows from slightly weaker conditions. This analysis constitutes something analogous to the phaseshift parametrization which follows from on-shell unitarity and has the mutual advantage that no reference to potentials, wave functions, or (dynamical) integral equations is necessary.

Let us introduce a partial-wave decomposition of the matrix elements of the transition operator in the c.m. system.10

$\langle \mathbf{p}' | t_k | \mathbf{p} \rangle = (1/4\pi) \sum_l (2l+1) t_k^l (p', p) P_l(\cos\theta),$

(1965)

⁸ The first clear formulation of off-shell unitarity appears to have been given by Lovelace (Ref. 9). See also Ref. 7. ⁹ C. Lovelace, in *Lectures at the 1963 Edinburgh Summer School*,

edited by R. G. Moorhouse (Oliver and Boyd, London, 1964); Phys. Rev. 135, B1225 (1964).

¹⁰ In the entirety of this paper we will be concerned only with the scattering of two massive, spinless, nonrelativistic particles. The extension to more complicated nonrelativistic two-particle scattering problems, for example particles with spin, is, for the most part, primarily a matter of introducing an appropriate matrix notation. We presuppose translational, Galilean, and rotational invariance and we use units $(2\mu/\hbar^2) = 1$, where μ is the reduced two-particle mass.

^{*} This work was supported, in part, by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

¹ H. P. Noyes, Phys. Rev. Letters 15, 538 (1965).

² K. L. Kowalski and D. Feldman, J. Math. Phys. 4, 507 (1963); K. L. Kowalski, Phys. Rev. Letters 15, 798, 908 (1965).

³ It has been pointed out (Ref. 4) that great care must be exercised when employing approximate off-shell amplitudes in Faddeev-type three-body calculations. The crucial requirement is that these amplitudes satisfy the unitary condition in the unphysical region as well as in the physical region. The (separable) approximations considered in Refs. 1 and 2 satisfy only physical unitarity; the further application of unphysical unitarity leads quantities (in Refs. 1 and 2) which correspond to the functions \overline{F} and R in the present article.

⁶ We have in mind here the extension to the relativistic problem. See Ref. 7 and the works cited therein. ⁷ V. A. Alessandrini and R. L. Omnes, Phys. Rev. 139, B167