broad group corresponding to the 2.90-MeV state in Be⁸ superposed on the alpha continuum. The intensity of the group corresponding to the 2.9-MeV state in Be⁸ is 20 times that of the ground state group in the spectrum observed at $\theta_l = 90^\circ$. The alpha continuum is quite prominent indicating that the neutron pickup by He³ may also lead to a simultaneous breakup into three alphas.

The simultaneous breakup of the Be⁸ core can also be visualized in the following manner.²⁴ When picking up a neutron, Li⁶ comes so close to the Be⁸ core that the Coulomb repulsion between Li⁶ and the Be⁸ core becomes strong enough so that Be⁸ is effectively excited to a very highly excited state, i.e., it breaks up into two α particles. The large Coulomb repulsion must affect the Be⁸ core. It is possible that the first excited state in Be⁸ is also formed. Since so many highly excited states

²⁴ F. C. Khanna (private communication).

in B¹¹ contribute Li⁷ particles to the continuum, it might be possible for the latter to mask the Li⁷ group corresponding to the broad first excited state of Be⁸.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor S. K. Allison for his sponsorship and guidance throughout this work. The assistance given by John Erwood, Walter Edwards, and Larry Palmer in operating the Van de Graaff and maintenance of the equipment are gratefully acknowledged. Walter Tomasek built a great deal of the equipment which facilitated this experiment. Dr. John Honsaker provided many helpful suggestions and stimulating discussions. Thanks are due to Dr. David Inglis and Dr. George Morrison of the Argonne National Laboratory for discussions which greatly aided the interpretation of the results of this work.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 142, NUMBER 3

FEBRUARY 1966

$Ca^{46}(d,p)Ca^{47}$ Reaction at 7-MeV Bombarding Energy*

T. A. BELOTE, H. Y. CHEN, AND OLE HANSEN[†] Department of Physics and Laboratory for Nuclear Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts

AND

J. RAPAPORT[‡] Departamento de Fisica, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile (Received 5 October 1965)

The $Ca^{46}(d,p)Ca^{47}$ reaction has been investigated using the MIT-ONR electrostatic generator. The broad-range, multiple-gap spectrograph was used in the detection of the reaction protons. Twenty-seven excited levels were identified in Ca⁴⁷ below an excitation energy of 6.1 MeV, and a Q value of 5.047 ± 0.010 MeV was measured for the ground-state transition. The angular distributions to the corresponding levels were measured and analyzed by means of the distorted-wave Born approximation. Unperturbed singleparticle energies were extracted from the strengths and energies of the levels using the shell-model sum rules. An $l_n=2$ and an $l_n=0$ transition were observed to the 2.580- and 2.600-MeV levels, respectively. The Ca⁴⁶ ground-state configuration is discussed in terms of these results.

I. INTRODUCTION

[•]HE level structure of Ca⁴⁷ has been investigated by using the $Ca^{46}(d,p)Ca^{47}$ reaction at an incident deuteron energy of 7.00 MeV. The scope and the techniques of the present experiment are similar to those used by Bjerregaard et al.¹ who studied the Ca⁴⁶(d,p)Ca⁴⁷ reaction at 10-MeV bombarding energy. In the present

case, 28 levels were observed in Ca47 below 6.1 MeV of excitation. Compared with Ref. 1, we have identified four new levels at $E_x = 3.425$, 4.103, 5.220, and 5.254 MeV. Generally, the two sets of data are in excellent agreement, although in two cases the values of the transferred orbital angular momentum $(l_n \text{ values})$ derived from the present data differ from the assignments made in Ref. 1.

The Ca⁴⁶ target nucleus has two neutron holes relative to the doubly magic N = 28, Z = 20 Ca⁴⁸ core. In principle, the transition strength to the ground state of Ca⁴⁷ should give a measure of the degree of configuration admixing in the Ca46 ground state.² However, since the

 $^{^{*}}$ This work has been supported in part, through AEC Contract No. AT(30-1)-2098, with funds provided by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

[†] On leave from the Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. Present address: Rutgers, The State University, New Brunswick, New Jersey. ‡ Now at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge,

Massachusetts.

¹ J. H. Bjerregaard, Ole Hansen, and G. Sidenius, Phys. Rev. 138, B1097 (1965).

² M. H. Macfarlane and J. B. French, Rev. Mod. Phys. 32, 567 (1960).

effect of such admixtures on the ground-state spectroscopic factor generally is smaller than the uncertainty with which the spectroscopic factor can be determined experimentally (about 20 to 30%),³ the measurement of the transition strengths to low-lying $(1d_{3/2}^{-1})$ and $(2s_{1/2}^{-1})$ states is a more sensitive method for obtaining information on the target configuration. The observed strengths for exciting these states in nucleon-transfer reactions indicate the transition from the somewhat admixed Ca⁴⁰ core⁴⁻⁶ to the more inert Ca⁴⁸ core.^{7,8} The experimental procedures and the results of the present experiment are given in Sec. II; in Sec. III, the distortedwave Born-approximation (DWBA) analysis is described. Section IV contains a detailed comparison with the work of Ref. 1, concluding in a proposed level scheme for Ca⁴⁷. The discussion of Sec. V contains a description of the Ca46 ground-state configuration, taking into account the observed low-lying $l_n = 2$ and 0 transitions.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

A. Target

The Ca⁴⁶ target was prepared in the Copenhagen Isotope Separator, as described in Ref. 1. The isotopic purity is better than 99.5%.

B. Elastic Scattering and the Cross-Section Scale

Elastic deuteron scattering from Ca⁴⁶ was measured at 7.00 MeV at the Copenhagen Tandem Van de Graaff.⁹ The data are shown in Fig. 1 in comparison with opticalmodel predictions.

Elastic deuteron scattering at 3 MeV has normally been used in this Laboratory for determining the target thickness.^{4,10} However, since the present target is very inhomogeneous and covers only a small area ($\approx 0.5 \times 1$ mm²), the target thickness is dependent upon the beam geometry; hence, the thickness seen in the 7-MeV reaction measurement may be very different from the thickness seen in the 3-MeV (d,d) scattering (see the discussion in Ref. 9).

The yield normalization was performed in a separate experiment, where the (d,p) yields at forward angles from the 2.017-MeV transition (see Table I) and the elastic-scattering yields at back angles were determined.



FIG. 1. Elastic deuteron scattering from Ca⁴⁶. The 7.0-MeV elastic deuteron-scattering cross section versus center-of-mass angle for Ca⁴⁶ is shown in comparison with calculated cross sections. The full curve represents the angular distributions pre-dicted from the parameter set 46B of Table II, whereas the dashed curve shows the scattering generated by potential 46A.

The experiment was performed at 7.00 MeV using the MIT multiple-gap spectrograph. Thus, the reaction protons and the elastically scattered deuterons were observed simultaneously, and the normalization is therefore independent of target thickness. Using the absolute cross-section scale established by the elasticscattering results from the Copenhagen Tandem, we arrive at a (d, p) 2.017-MeV state maximum cross section of 32 mb/sr, which corresponds to a spectroscopic strength in good agreement with that of Ref. 1. The cross-section scales of the present work and of the Bjerregaard et al. work¹ are related through a (d,d)excitation function measured at the Copenhagen Tandem.⁹ The two scales should agree internally to better than 20%. The error in the absolute cross-section scale established in this way is estimated to be $\pm 25\%$ for the present work.

C. The (d,p) Experiment

The experimental procedure and data reduction used in this experiment were similar to those used in other Ca(d, p) experiments performed in this Laboratory.^{4,8,10} The Ca⁴⁶ target was bombarded by 7-MeV deuterons from the MIT-ONR electrostatic generator; the reaction products were momentum analyzed at 24 angles in the

⁸L. L. Lee, Jr., J. P. Schiffer, B. Zeidman, G. R. Satchler, R. M. Drisko, and R. H. Bassel, Phys. Rev. **136**, B971 (1964). ⁴T. A. Belote, A. Sperduto, and W. W. Buechner, Phys. Rev.

^{139,} B80 (1965).

⁵C. Glashauser, M. Kondo, M. E. Richey, and E. Rost, Phys. Letters 14, 113 (1965).

⁶ R. Bock, H. H. Duhm, and R. Stock, Phys. Letters 18, 61 (1965)

⁷ B. F. Bayman, T. W. Conlon, and E. Kashy (to be published). ⁸ E. Kashy, A. Sperduto, H. A. Enge, and W. W. Buechner, Phys. Rev. 135, B865 (1964).

⁹ T. A. Belote, J. H. Bjerregaard, Ole Hansen, and G. R. Satchler, Phys. Rev. **138**, B1067 (1965). ¹⁰ W. E. Dorenbusch, T. A. Belote, and Ole Hansen (to be

published).

		Present w						
Level No.	Q (MeV)	E_x (MeV) (± 5 keV)	l_n	$(2j+1)S_{lj}$	Ex (MeV)	l_n	$(2j+1)S_{ij}$	Shell-model assignment
0	5.047	0.0	3	2.1	0.0	3	2.20	$1f_{7/2}$
1	3.030	2.017	1	3.9	2.013	1	3.30	$2p_{3/2}$
2	2.467	2.580	2	0.08	2.579			$1d_{3/2}$
3	2.447	2.600	0	0.05	2.606	0	0.04	$2s_{1/2}$
4	2.198	2.849	1	0.10	2.857	1	0.08	$2p_{1/2}$
5	2.173	2.874	1	0.53	2.878	1	0.49	$2p_{1/2}$
6	1.751	3.296	1	0.07	3.301			$2p_{1/2}, 2p_{3/2}$
7	1.622	3.425	b		•••			
8	1.028	4.019	1	0.06	4.012	1	0.05°	$2p_{3/2}$
9	0.990	4.057	1	1.2	4.049	1	0.99	$2p_{1/2}$
10	0.944	4.103	b		•••			
11	0.645	4.402	1	0.07	4.403	1	0.05	$2p_{3/2}, 2p_{1/2}$
12	0.262	4.785	3	0.81	4.782	3	0.79	$1f_{5/2}$
13	0.240	4.807	1	0.30	4.804	1	0.26	$2p_{1/2}, 2p_{3/2}$
14	-0.142	5.189	1	0.24	5.192	1	0.22	$2p_{1/2}, 2p_{3/2}$
15	-0.173	5.220	b		•••			
16	-0.207	5.254	d		•••			
4 77	0.050	F 20F	2	0.06	5 244	(2)	0.04	01 (44)
17	-0.258	5.305	(3)	0.40	5.311	3	0.18	$2d_{5/2}$, $(1f_{5/2})$
18	-0.278	5.325	4	1.7	5.328	4	0.93	$1g_{9/2}$
10	0.000		3	0.47	- 100	3	0.29	0-7-
19	-0.380	5.427	(2)	0.08	5.428	(2)	0.06	$1f_{5/2}$, $(2d_{5/2})$
20	0.410	5 450	3	0.74	F 452			10 (01)
20	-0.412	5.459	(2)	0.12	5.455	•••	•••	$1_{5/2}$, $(2a_{5/2})$
21	-0.441	5.488	1	0.09	5.478	1	0.08	20319. 20119
			3	0.54		3	0.36	
22	-0.592	5.639	(2)	0.07	5.636	(2)	0.11	$1f_{5/2}$, $(2d_{5/2})$
23	-0.713	5.760	(1)	0.08	5.761	1	0.08	20219. 20119
			3	0.68		3	0.59	-r 0/2) -r 1/2
24	-0.762	5.809	(2)	0.10	5.807	(2)	0.12	$1f_{5/2}, (2d_{5/2})$
			3	0.76		(-)	0.12	
25	-0.795	5.842	(2)	0.11	5.842	4	1.02	e
26	-0.819	5 866	d	0.112	5 874	1	0.04	2000 2000
	0.019	0.000	3	10	0.071	3	0.58	<i>2p3/2</i> , <i>2p1/2</i>
27	-1.015	6.062	(2)	0.13	6.066	(2)	0.12	$1f_{5/2}$, $(2d_{5/2})$
28			(2)	0.10	6 101	(4)	0.12	
20					6 270			
30					6 366			
31					6 555			
01					0.000			

TABLE I. Results.

Reference 1.
Nonstripping level.
The value given in Table I of Ref. 1 should read 0.052 instead of 0.52.
Angular-distribution data forward of 90 deg uncertain.
See discussion in text.

MIT multiple-gap spectrograph.¹¹ The protons were recorded in 50-µ Eastman Kodak NTA nuclear emulsions; the heavier charged particles were excluded from reaching the emulsions by employing thin aluminum foils of suitable thickness. The over-all energy resolution was 12 keV. Exposures of 4000 and 400 μ C [μ C stands for micro Coulomb] were taken, and the proton spectrum at 67.5-deg laboratory scattering angle is shown in Fig. 2. Impurity groups from Si²⁸ and Cl^{35,37} target

¹¹ H. A. Enge and W. W. Buechner, Rev. Sci. Instr. 34, 155 (1963).

contaminants are rather prominent and complicate the analysis of the spectra somewhat (see the discussion in Ref. 1). The proton groups corresponding to a residual mass of 47 were identified from their kinematic energy shift with angle.

The ground-state Q value for the $Ca^{46}(d,p)Ca^{47}$ reaction was measured to be 5.047 ± 0.010 MeV, as compared with 5.052±0.006 MeV given by Bjerregaard et al.¹ The incident energy $(7.000 \pm 0.008 \text{ MeV})$ was calculated from the position of the proton group corresponding to the 1.278-MeV excited state in Si²⁹, taking







FIG. 3. Angular distributions of $l_n=3$ transitions from the Ca⁴⁶(d,p)Ca⁴⁷ reaction. The angular-distribution data (open circles) are compared with DW curves for the levels assigned $l_n=3$ or 3(2) in the present work. Also shown is the $l_n=2(3)$ transition to level No. 17.

the Q value for this transition to be 4.976 ± 0.007 MeV.¹²

The results obtained in the present experiment are given in Table I in comparison with the results of Bjerregaard et al.¹ Figures 3 through 7 show the observed angular distributions, compared with distortedwave (DW) predictions.

III. OPTICAL-MODEL AND DISTORTED-WAVE (DW) ANALYSIS

Optical-model parameters for the deuterons were sought by using the available elastic-scattering data (Fig. 1 and Ref. 9). The proton parameters were taken

from the work of Perey.¹³ The 7-MeV deuteron scattering (Fig. 1) was fitted by means of the search code ABACUS¹⁴ employing a least-squares criterion. The resulting best fit is shown in Fig. 1, while the corresponding parameters are given in Table II, denoted 46A. Another deuteron parameter set (46B in Table II), somewhat closer to the 10-MeV set X, was also obtained. The elastic deuteron scattering generated by this set (46B) is practically the same as set 46A, and the leastsquares criterion does not differ considerably. Moreover, since the 10-MeV (d,d) data show less scattering than do the 7-MeV data, we feel justified in using the 46B

¹³ F. G. Perey, Phys. Rev. 131, 745 (1963).
¹⁴ E. H. Auerbach, Brookhaven National Laboratory Report No. BNL 6562 (ABACUS-2), 1962 (unpublished).

¹² P. M. Endt and C. van der Leun, Nucl. Phys. 34, 1 (1962).



FIG. 4. The $l_n=1$ angular distribution from Ca⁴⁶(d,p)Ca⁴⁷. The data for the $l_n=1$ levels observed in the present work are shown in comparison with the DW curves. The arrow near 100 deg (level Nos. 4, 5, 6, 9, 13, and 14) indicates the position of the back-angle "dip" (see the discussion in Sec. IV).

set of optical-model parameters for the (d,p) DW calculations rather than the best-fit set (46A).

The DW cross sections were calculated with the code JULIE.¹⁵ No lower cutoffs were used on the radial integrals; the calculations employed the zero-range approxi-

mation; finite-range, nonlocality, and spin-orbit effects were not investigated. The neglecting of these effects has been previously discussed [Ref. 3, Ca⁴⁰(d,p) at 11 MeV and Ref. 10, Ca⁴²(d,p) at 7 MeV]. In these references it is pointed out that the spectroscopic strengths for $1f_{7/2}$, $2p_{3/2}$, $2p_{1/2}$, $2d_{5/2}$, and $1g_{9/2}$ transitions are not strongly affected (≈ 10 to 20%); whereas $1f_{5/2}$ and $1d_{3/2}$ transitions may appear with strengths

¹⁵ R. H. Bassel, R. M. Drisko, and G. R. Satchler, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Report No. 3240 (Office of Technical Services, Washington, D. C., 1963).

tion of barameter set	Reference	Particle (MeV)	V (MeV)	WD (MeV)	ro	Op a	tical paramet (fermis) r_0'	ters ^a a'	rc
X	b	d(10 MeV)	115	13.0	1.0	0.804	1.419	0.660	1.3
46 <i>A</i>	present	d(7 MeV)	117.3	13.0	1.0	0.793	1.49	0.676	1.3
46B	present	d(7 MeV)	116.2	13.2	1.0	0.782	1.47	0.662	1.3
	present	Þ	52.4	10.35	1.25	0.65	1.25	0.47	1.25
	present	'n	c	• • •	1.25	0.65	• • •	•••	

TABLE II. Optical-model parameters

^a For V_{opt} , see, e.g., Ref. 4. ^b Reference 1. ^c Adjusted to give a binding equal to $Q_{(d,p)}$ +2.23 MeV.

low by as much as 50% for $1f_{5/2}$ and about 25% for $1d_{3/2}$. The strengths given in Table I were obtained by normalizing the summed experimental cross sections at angles forward of 90 deg to the correspondingly summed DW cross sections.

IV. COMPARISON WITH OTHER DATA

The general agreement between the present data and those of Ref. 1 is excellent (see Table I). The maximum deviation in excitation energy is 10 keV, and the average deviation is 4.0 keV. The four new levels identified here are all weak and of nonstripping character. The spectroscopic strengths are also generally in good agreement.

However, with regard to l_n assignments, it should be noted that there are a few disagreements. Level No. 6 at 3.296 MeV is assigned $l_n = 1$ here and "nonstripping"



FIG. 5. The $l_n=4$ transition data from $Ca^{46}(d,p)Ca^{47}$. The data corresponding to the $l_n=4$ transition to the 5.325-MeV level are shown in comparison with the predicted $l_n = 4$ curve.

in Ref. 1. In fact, no yield data were extracted for this transition in Ref. 1 because of background difficulties. We feel that our assignment is correct and that no real discrepancy exists. Level No. 20 at 5.459 MeV is assigned $l_n = 3$ here; no assignment was made in Ref. 1. The data of Ref. 1 are consistent with $l_n = 3$, except for the two most forward angles. The discrepancy may come from an undetected impurity that increases the yield at forward angles in the 10-MeV experiment; in the 7-MeV experiment this impurity may be shifted sufficiently so as not to interfere. Level No. 25 at 5.842 MeV is assigned $l_n=3$ here and $l_n=4$ in Ref. 1. This discrepancy may also be due to an impurity that contributes to the yield for one set of the data and thus results in an erroneous assignment. It is not possible to ascertain which assignment is correct.

The similarity between $l_n = 3$ and $l_n = 2$ distributions observed in Ref. 1 above level No. 12 is still evident, although to a lesser extent, in the 7-MeV DW predictions. For level No. 17, the present data suggest an $l_n=2$ assignment in preference to $l_n=3$. Otherwise, we agree with the trend of Ref. 1 and favor $l_n = 3$ for level Nos. 19, 20, 22, and 24, and 27. Level No. 2 at 2.580 MeV is recognized as a weak $l_n = 2$ transition here. Again, the 10-MeV data disagree only at the two forward angles; no l_n assignment was given in Ref. 1. The sharp minima at back angles observed at 10 MeV,



FIG. 6. Angular distributions to the 2.580- and 2.600-MeV levels. The angular distributions for the low-lying $l_n=2$ and $l_n=0$ levels are shown in comparison with the calculated curves (solid lines), assuming 1d and 2s transfers, respectively.

FIG. 7. Nonstripping data. The data to the 3.425-, 4.103-, and 5.220-MeV nonstripping levels are shown versus the center-of-mass angle.

and which are associated with $2p_{1/2}$ transitions,¹⁶ are seen in the present work for transition No. 4 and tentatively for transition Nos. 5, 6, 9, 13, and 14, marked by arrows in Fig. 4. No dip is observed for transition No. 1, in agreement with the 10-MeV data. The dip effect at 7 MeV is rather weak, and no definite spin assignments have been made from the present $l_n = 1$ data.

The summed strengths for the different shell-model transitions are given in Table III, and unperturbed

TABLE III. Sum-rule limits.

	$\sum_{k} (2j+1)S_{ljk}$						
Transition	1 f7/2	2\$p_3/2	2p1/2	2⊉°	$1f_{5/2}$	1 <i>g</i> 9/2	2 <i>d</i> 5/2
Present work Bjerregaard <i>et al.</i> ^d Theory ^e	2.1 2.20 2.0	3.96ª 3.35 4.0	2.44 ^b 1.56 2.0	6.64 5.64 6.0	5.00 2.79 6.0	1.7 ^f 1.95 10.0	0.06 6.0

^a $l_n = 1$ transition, Nos. 1 and 8. ^b $l_n = 1$ transitions marked with an arrow in Fig. 4. (Note that more tran-sitions are included here than in Ref. 1.) ^c All $l_n = 1$ transitions.

• All $l_n = 1$ transitions. • Reference 1. The $2f_{91/2}$ strength is corrected for the mistake quoted for level No. 8; the $1g_{91/2}$ strength includes transition No. 25, the l_n assignment of which disagrees with the present work. • Assuming pure $1f_{7/2}^{-2}$ configuration relative to an inert Ca⁴⁸ core (see, for example, Ref. 2). • Includes only level No. 18.

single-particle energies, as derived from the energyweighted sum rule,¹⁷ are summarized in Table IV. Taking into account all the data available on Ca⁴⁷ (Refs. 1, 7, 18), we propose the spin and parity assign-

TABLE IV. Unperturbed single-particle excitation energies (MeV).ª

			Transiti			
	1 <i>f</i> 7/	2 2\$p\$/2	2\$p_1/2	$1 f_{5/2}$	1g9/2	2d 5/2
Present work Bjerregaard <i>et al.</i> ^b	0 0	2.14 ± 0.09 2.15 ± 0.10	4.00 ± 0.07 4.02 ± 0.07	5.6 ≥5.5	≥5.3 ≥5.6	>6.0 >6.0

^a The unperturbed single-particle excitation energies given here were calculated using the definition of Yoshida (Ref. 17). The errors quoted on the 2p excitation reflect the uncertainty in assigning level Nos. 6, 11, 21, and 23 as $2p_{3/2}$ or $2p_{1/2}$. Again, we have corrected the $2p_{3/2}$ numbers of Ref. 1. ^b Reference 1.

ments for the Ca47 levels given in the last column of Table I.

V. DISCUSSION

Since the present data agree so well with the results of Ref. 1, we have no essential changes to suggest in the discussion given there. The recognition of the $l_n = 2$ character of level No. 2 makes it possible to give a quantitative estimate of the $(1d_{3/2}^{-2})$ admixture in the Ca⁴⁶ ground state.

We shall treat the Ca⁴⁸ ground state as a doubly closed shell structure of isospin T=4. This is consistent with our present knowledge of Ca⁴⁸ (see, for example, Refs. 7, 8, and 12 and the discussions in Refs. 1 and 4). State (2) of Ca⁴⁷ is excited in neutron pickup; therefore, this state may be written as⁷

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\operatorname{Ca}^{47}(2) \frac{3}{2}^{+}; T = \frac{7}{2}, T_{z} = \frac{7}{2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{T_{z'} = 1/2, -1/2} \left(4, \frac{7}{2} - T_{z'}, \frac{1}{2}, T_{z'} \right) \\ & \times \left\{ 1 d_{3/2}^{-1}; T = \frac{1}{2}, T_{z'} \right\} \left\{ 1 f_{7/2}^{8}; T = 4, T_{z} = \frac{7}{2} - T_{z'}^{\prime} \right\}, (1) \end{aligned}$$

where $(T_1, T_{1z}, T_2, T_{2z} | T_3, T_{3z})$ is a vector-coupling coefficient.¹⁹ The fact that this state is excited in $Ca^{46}(d,p)Ca^{47}$ by a $1d_{3/2}$ transfer shows that the Ca⁴⁶ ground-state wave function must contain $\{1f_{7/2}^8; T=4\}$ components.

Since, in Ca⁴⁸, the $1f_{7/2}$ neutron shell is completely filled, states involving the $(1f_{7/2})^8$ configuration with isospin lower than the maximum possible must contain neutrons in higher shell orbits as well as a breaking of the now closed proton s-d shell. Such complicated excitations are not likely to play a role in the Ca⁴⁶ ground state; hence, we may write the wave function for this

$$\operatorname{Ca}^{46}(0) = \alpha_{\nu} (1f_{7/2}^{6}) + \beta_{\nu} (1f_{7/2}^{8}) (1d_{3/2}^{-2}).$$

In the case of Ca⁴⁶, α_s^2 and β_s^2 equal a^2 and b^2 of Eq. (2) within 4%, whereas the b^2 in the Ca⁴⁰ case is approximately 50% larger than the corresponding β_{ν}^2



 ¹⁶ L. L. Lee, Jr., and J. P. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. **136**, B405 (1964).
 ¹⁷ S. Yoshida, Nucl. Phys. **38**, 380 (1962).
 ¹⁸ T. Kuroyanagi, T. Tamura, K. Tanaka, and H. Morinaga, Nucl. Phys. **50**, 417 (1964).

¹⁹ We shall use here the isospin formalism of Ref. 7 rather than the more usual model where only the neutron configurations are considered. In the latter notation, Eq. (2) would read:

We have not considered $(2d_{3/2})$ admixtures in the $3/2^+$ states. Such admixtures seem unlikely, since the $2d_{3/2}$ orbit is more than 7 MeV above the ground state of Ca47.

state considering only the $1f_{7/2}$ and $1d_{3/2}$ degrees of with freedom:

$$|\operatorname{Ca}^{46}(0)0^{+};T=3, T_{z}=3\rangle = a\{1f_{7/2}^{6}; T=3, T_{z}=3\} +b \sum_{T_{z}'=-1,0,1} (4, 3-T_{z}', 1, T_{z}'|3, 3) \times \{1d_{3/2}^{-2}; T=1, T_{z}'\}\{1f_{7/2}^{8}; T=4, 3-T_{z}'\}.$$
(2)

Assuming this wave function and that $|\operatorname{Ca}^{47}(0)\rangle = \{1f_{7/2}^{7}; T = \frac{7}{2}, T_{z} = \frac{7}{2}\}$, we find that the $\operatorname{Ca}^{46}(d,p)\operatorname{Ca}^{47}$ ground-state strength is

$$(2j+1)S_{lj} = 8S_{3,7/2} = 2a^2, \qquad (3)$$

and the strength for the transition to the Ca⁴⁷(2), $J^{\pi} = 3/2^+$ state is

$$4S_{2,3/2} = 2 \times 0.96b^2. \tag{4}$$

The data of Table I yield

$$a^2 = 1.0 \pm 0.25$$
, (5a)

$$b^2 = 0.04 \pm 0.01$$
. (5b)

If we include in Eq. (2) the term

$$c \sum_{T_{z'}=-1,0,1} (4, 3-T_{z'}, 1, T_{z'}|3, 3) \\ \times \{2s_{1/2}^{-2}; T=1, T_{z'}\} \{1f_{7/2}^{8}; T=4, 3-T_{z'}\},\$$

we obtain for c^2 the value

$$c^2 = 0.01 \pm 25\%$$
 (5c)

This model still neglects the small admixtures of $(2p_{3/2})^2$ particles from the next shell. No measurements exist for Ca⁴⁶, but the data of Ref. 7 suggest that the total pstrength in the Ca ground states amounts to less than ≈ 0.01 of a particle. In the two cases where pickup of 2p neutrons has been observed⁷ (in Ca⁴⁴ and Ca⁴⁸), the states excited were the strong (d,p) 3/2⁻ states, suggesting that the component to be added to Eq. (2) is

$$d\{2p^{2}_{3/2}; T=1, T_{z}=1\}\{1f_{7/2}^{4}; T=2, T_{z}=2\}, \quad (6)$$

10 0.04

$$d^2 \approx 0.01$$
. (5d)

In Ca⁴⁰(d,p)Ca⁴¹, a $\frac{3}{2}$ + state at 2.017 MeV is excited⁴ with a strength of $(2j+1)S=0.8\pm0.25$. This state has recently been observed in neutron pickup⁶ from Ca⁴², thus suggesting the wave function:

$$|\operatorname{Ca}^{41}(2)\frac{3}{2}^{+}; T = \frac{1}{2}, T_{z} = \frac{1}{2} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{T_{z'} = -1/2, 1/2} (1, \frac{1}{2} - T_{z'}, \frac{1}{2}, T_{z'} | \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$\times \{ 1d_{3/2}^{-1}; T = \frac{1}{2}, T_{z'} \} \{ 1f_{7/2}^{2}; T = 1, \frac{1}{2} - T_{z'} \}$$

The corresponding terms in the Ca⁴⁰ ground-state wave function,

$$b \sum_{T_{z'}=-1,0,1} (1, -T_{z'}, 1, T_{z'}|0, 0) \\ \times \{1d_{3/2}^{-2}; T=1, T_{z'}\}\{1f_{7/2}^{2}; T=1, -T_{z'}\},\$$

would then have

$$b^2 = 0.6 \pm 0.2$$
,

which is an order of magnitude larger than for Ca⁴⁶.

It thus appears that a systematic study of the lowlying $l_n=2$ and 0 (d,p) and (p,d) transitions in this region can be utilized for a rather detailed study of the ground-state configurations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their appreciation to Dr. E. Kashy for making his (p,d) results available before publication and to W. A. Tripp and Mrs. Mary Fotis of the MIT scanning group and to Miss Carmen Ponce at the Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Chile for their careful plate scanning. The distorted-wave calculations were done at the MIT Computation Center. One of us (O. H.) acknowledges the receipt of a NATO travel grant. The authors appreciate the assistance given by Mrs. Mary E. White during the typing of the manuscript.