ТНЕ

PHYSICAL REVIEW

 ${\mathcal A}$ journal of experimental and theoretical physics established by E. L. Nichols in 1893

SECOND SERIES, Vol. 140, No. 5A

29 NOVEMBER 1965

${}^{1}S_{0}$ Level of \mathbf{Pr}^{3+} in Crystals of Fluorides*

EUGENE LOH

Hughes Research Laboratories, Malibu, California (Received 2 July 1965)

The ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level of the trivalent praseodymium ion Pr^{3+} in CaF_{2} and LaF_{3} crystals has been identified in the absorption spectrum and in the excitation spectrum of orange fluorescence. It appears as a small peak near the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band of Pr^{3+} at 47 200 cm⁻¹ (2120 Å) in CaF₂ and 46 900 cm⁻¹ (2135 Å) in LaF₃. The identification of this peak as a transition to the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level rather than as part of the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ transition is based on its small spectral shift when observed in different environments, its low intensity, and its relatively narrow width—all of which are characteristics of a shielded 4 f transition.

HE rare-earth trivalent praseodymium ion Pr³⁺ has two 4f electrons, which, according to the Russell-Saunders coupling, have 13 energy levels.¹ Twelve levels in the visible and infrared region have been experimentally determined in crystals² containing Pr^{3+} and in the vapor state³ of Pr^{3+} . A ¹S₀ level in the ultraviolet around 50 000 cm⁻¹ (2000 Å),¹ however, remains uncertain. Low et al.,4 reported the observation of this ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level at 46 300 cm⁻¹ (2160 Å), by attributing three emission peaks, at 2160, 2250, and 3350 Å, in x-irradiated Ca \hat{F}_2 :Pr³⁺ at 77°K to transitions from 1S_0 to the ${}^{3}H_{4}$, ${}^{3}H_{5}$, and ${}^{1}D_{2}$ levels, respectively. However, the emission spectrum of such x-irradiated CaF₂:Pr³⁺ contains several unidentified peaks in the same spectral region which, together with the chosen peaks, may originate from other centers.⁵ The identification of the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level from such a complicated emission spectrum is therefore not clear. Crozier⁶ recently observed five sharp and strong absorption peaks around 45 500 cm⁻¹

(2200 Å) in CaF₂ doped with $10^{-4}\%$ Pr³⁺ at liquidhelium temperature. He concluded that these peaks are due to the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ transition and that the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level of the $4f^2$ configuration would be very difficult to identify in this spectral region.

In this work we report the observation of the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level of Pr^{3+} in the absorption and excitation spectra of CaF₂ and LaF₃ crystals doped with appropriate amounts of Pr³⁺. The assignment of a peak in the absorption and excitation spectra to a transition involving the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ state rather than to $4f \rightarrow 5d$ transitions is based on the properties characteristic of transitions within a well-shielded 4 / shell, i.e., low intensity, narrow linewidth, and comparative independence of crystal environment. The result of present work indicates the possibility of identifying many higher levels in the $4f^n$ configuration. These levels lie in the region of interconfigurational transition and hence have not been determined.

The ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level in Pr^{3+} -doped CaF_{2} is found to be at \sim 47 200 cm⁻¹ (\sim 2120 Å). It is observed as a small absorption peak or hump, depending on the concentration of Pr³⁺ and crystal temperature, between large absorption bands of the interconfigurational transition. The convenient concentration of Pr^{3+} in CaF_2 for observing ${}^{1}S_{0}$ is in the range between $\sim 10^{-2}$ and $\sim 10^{-10}$ %. Low temperature such as that of liquid nitrogen sharpens the hump to a peak.

The ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level in Pr^{3+} -doped LaF₃ crystals is found at 46 900 cm⁻¹ (\sim 2135 Å). It is readily observed in the

A 1463

^{*} This work was partially supported by Air Force Avionics Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.
¹ For example, see J. P. Elliott, B. R. Judd, and W. A. Runciman, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A240, 509 (1957); G. H. Dieke and H. M. Crosswhite, Appl. Opt. 2, 675 (1963).
² E. Y. Wong, O. M. Stafsudd, and D. R. Johnston, J. Chem. Phys. 39, 786 (1963) and references therein on previous works.
³ J. Sugar, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 731 (1965).
⁴ W. Low, J. Makovsky, and S. Yatsiv, in *Quantum Electronics* III, edited by P. Grivet and N. Bloembergen (Columbia University Press, New York, 1964), Vol. 1, p. 655; J. Makovsky, W. Low, and S. Yatsiv, Phys. Letters 2, 186 (1962).
⁵ J. R. O'Connor and J. H. Chen [Phys. Rev. 130, 1790 (1963)] showed that the commonly Y-contaminated CaF₂ crystals have absorption peaks at 2250, 3350, 4000, and 5800 Å.
⁶ M. H. Crozier, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 9, 631 (1964).



FIG. 1. (a) Ultraviolet absorption spectrum of Pr³⁺-doped CaF₂ crystals at room temperature. (b) Ultraviolet absorption spectrum of Pr³⁺-doped LaF₃ crystals at room temperature.

excitation⁷ spectrum of orange fluorescence of Pr³⁺ as a small excitation peak next to the large $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band [which peaks at 44 600 cm⁻¹ (2245 Å) at room temperature]. The ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level has also been observed in the absorption spectrum of Pr^{3+} -doped LaF₃. The convenient concentrations of Pr³⁺ in LaF₃ for observing the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level are 0.1 to 5% for excitation measurement and $\sim 5\%$ for transmission "measurement.

The concentration effect on the absorption or excitation spectrum of Pr^{3+} in the spectral region of the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level is complex mainly because of the proximity of interconfigurational bands. This is illustrated by the absorption spectra in Figs. 1 (a) and 1 (b) for CaF_2 and LaF₃ crystals, respectively. Figure 1 (a) shows the roomtemperature absorption spectrum of CaF₂ crystals doped with PrF_3 from 10⁻³ to 5%. As Pr^{3+} concentration increases, the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ absorption first appears as a hump⁸ at 0.015% Pr³⁺ and then develops to a peak at 0.15 and 0.5% Pr³⁺. For Pr³⁺ concentrations below $\sim 10^{-20}$ the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ absorption is too weak to be detectable at both room and liquid-nitrogen temperatures. A

typical spectrum of such a dilute sample is that for $10^{-3}\%$ Pr³⁺ in Fig. 1 (a). It shows three bands (sharpened at liquid-nitrogen temperatures), one known⁶ $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band (called A) at 45 800 cm⁻¹ (~2180 Å), and two broader bands at 54 800 cm⁻¹ (\sim 1825 Å) (called B) and 9 49 000 cm⁻¹ (~2040 Å). As Pr³⁺ concentration reaches a few percent, such as 1.5 and 5% in Fig. 1 (a), the B band masks¹⁰ both the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ peak and the A band.

Figure 1 (b) shows the absorption spectrum of LaF_3 crystals doped with from 10^{-2} to 100% PrF₃. The ${}^{1}S_{0}$ absorption is shown at 46 900 cm⁻¹ (~2135 Å) in the sample with 4.8% Pr³⁺. For dilute samples, e.g., 10^{-2} and 10^{-10} % Pr³⁺, the weak ${}^{1}S_{0}$ absorption is masked by neighboring strong absorption peaks¹¹ at 45 900 cm⁻¹ (2180 Å) and 48 500 cm⁻¹ (2060 Å).

The ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level also appears as a peak in the excitation spectrum of Pr³⁺-doped LaF₃. Figure 2 shows the room¹² temperature excitation spectrum of orange fluorescence of Pr³⁺ in LaF₃ crystals at various concentrations. The ${}^{1}S_{0}$ excitation peak persists in samples with concentrations ranging from a fraction of a percent of Pr³⁺ to 100%, i.e., PrF_3 . On the other hand, the neighboring $4f \rightarrow 5d$ excitation band at 44 500 cm⁻¹ (~2245 Å) starts to lose its identity above $\sim 10\%$ Pr³⁺ concentration. The persistence of the 46 900 cm⁻¹ (2135 Å) excitation peak at the same wavelength in samples with such a wide range of Pr³⁺ concentrations resembles the behavior of all the lower $4f^2$ levels of Pr^{3+} . This constitutes strong evidence for associating it with the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level of Pr³⁺ in LaF₃.

The evidence supporting identification of the spectral features noted in Pr³⁺-doped CaF₂ and LaF₃ crystals as a transition involving the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level of the $4f^{2}$ configuration is summarized in the paragraphs below.

The ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level has small spectral shift in various media. The location of ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level is between 47 200 cm⁻¹ (2120 Å)

⁹ It becomes obvious at liquid-nitrogen temperature.

¹⁰ The effect of Pr³⁺ concentration on the behavior of A and B bands [Fig. 1(a)] is as follows: As the Pr³⁺ concentration increases, the A band increases in intensity and shifts slowly toward the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level at a shorter wavelength. Meanwhile, the B band at 54 800 $\rm cm^{-1}$ (~1825 Å) first does not change its spectral position appreciably at Pr³⁺ concentrations below 0.005%. From 0.015% Pr³⁺ on,

the B band appears to broaden to two bands B₁ and B₂ with apparent peaks at 53 600 cm⁻¹ (~1865 Å) and 55 500 cm⁻¹ (~1800 Å), respectively. The B₁ band increases its intensity faster than the Å band and shifts rapidly toward the ¹S₀ band at a longer wavelength. Finally, at Pr³⁺ concentrations above 0.5% the B₁ band masks both ¹S₀ peak and A band. ¹¹ These two absorption peaks 2180 and 2060 Å, together with other absorption peaks at 40 600 cm⁻¹ (2460 Å) and 42 900 cm⁻¹ (2330 Å) [shown by the curve for 10^{-2%} Pr³⁺ in Fig. 1(b)], resemble the absorption peaks at 45 200 cm⁻¹ (2215 Å), 47 400 cm⁻¹ (2111 Å), 39 600 cm⁻¹ (2525 Å), 41 700 cm⁻¹ (2395 Å), respectively, in the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ absorption spectrum [see Ref. 14] of the Ce³⁺ ion i an aqueous solution of the perchlorate. The spectral range of all these peaks falls in the "second" $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band (A₂ band) of Ce³⁺ (II type)- doped alkaline-earth fluorides [see Ref. 16]. Hence, they may be the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ absorption of trace amounts of Ce³⁺ in LaF₃.

especially for $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band in heavily doped samples. For example, a tenfold increase in the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band is observed for 5% Pr^{s+} and a threefold increase for 0.1% Pr^s in LaF₃.

⁷ The excitation spectrum of orange fluorescence of Pr³⁺-doped CaF₂ is highly concentration- and temperature-dependent. No attempt has been made to identify the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level in this complicated excitation spectrum.

⁸ The room-temperature hump sharpens to a small peak at liquid-nitrogen temperature.

and 46 700 cm⁻¹ (2140 Å) in various host media, e.g., at 47 200 cm⁻¹ (2120 Å) in CaF₂, at 46 900 cm⁻¹ (2135 Å) in LaF₃, and at 46 700 cm⁻¹ (2140 Å)¹³ or 46 900 cm⁻¹ (2135 Å)¹⁴ in aqueous solution.¹⁵ The apparent peak of the neighboring $4f \rightarrow 5d$ transition, however, has wider range of location, e.g., at ~45 800 cm⁻¹ (~2185 Å) in ~10⁻²% Pr³⁺-doped CaF₂ at room temperature, at ~44 500 cm⁻¹ (~2245 Å) in Pr³⁺doped LaF₃ at room temperature, and above 46 700 cm⁻¹ (below 2140 Å)¹³ or at 52 900 cm⁻¹ (~1890 Å)¹⁴ in aqueous solution.¹⁵ It is interesting to note that the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band in aqueous solution is on the high-energy side of ${}^{1}S_{0}$ in fluoride crystals. The strong crystal-field effects on *d* levels in fluorides may be responsible for the lowering¹⁶ of the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band.

The spectral location of ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level is insensitive to the concentration of Pr^{3+} and temperature of the crystal.



Fig. 2. Excitation spectrum of orange fluorescence of Pr^{3+} -doped LaF₈ crystals at room temperature.

¹³ D. C. Stewart, Atomic Energy Commission Document No. I AECD-2389, Berkeley, 1948 (unpublished).

¹⁴ Chr. Klixbull Jørgensen and J. S. Brinen, Mol. Phys. 6, 629 (1963).

¹⁵ From the literature, we may assume that the small peak at 2140 Å (Ref. 13) [or 2135 Å (Ref. 14)] and the strong band at shorter wavelength, <2140 Å (Ref. 13) [or at 1890 Å (Ref. 14)], in the absorption spectrum of Pr^{3+} perchlorate aqueous solution, are ¹S₀ absorption and $4f \rightarrow 5d$ transitions, respectively. This assumption may be made because, according to present data, their spectral structure resembles that of fluoride crystals.

¹⁶ A. A. Kaplyanskii, V. N. Medvedev, and P. P. Feofilov, Opt. i Spektroskopiya 14, 664 (1962) [English transl.: Opt. Spectry. (USSR) 14, 351 (1963)].



FIG. 3. Difference between corresponding energy levels of Pr^{s+} in vapor state and in crystal versus Pr^{s+} level in vapor state.

In contrast, the peak of the neighboring known $4f \rightarrow 5d$ absorption in CaF₂ [e.g., at 45 800 cm⁻¹ (~2185 Å) for 10^{-20} /O Pr³⁺] shifts toward longer wavelength¹⁷ as either the Pr³⁺ concentration or the temperature of the crystal decreases. In LaF₃ crystals, this $4f \rightarrow 5d$ absorption or excitation band has the opposite temperature shift, e.g., from 44 500 cm⁻¹ (~2248 Å) at room temperature to 45 100 cm⁻¹ (~2219 Å) at liquid-nitrogen temperature. The excitation spectrum of Pr³⁺ in LaF₃ (Fig. 2) shows that the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ peak persists up to pure PrF₃, while the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ excitation band loses its identity at Pr³⁺ $\gtrsim 10\%$.

 ${}^{1}S_{0}$ absorption is weak and narrow. The ${}^{1}S_{0}$ peak in Pr^{3+} -doped CaF₂ and LaF₃ is weaker and narrower than the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band, as shown in Figs. 1 (a), 1 (b), and 2. Compared with other $4f^{2}$ levels the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ peak of Pr^{3+} in CaF₂ is narrower than ${}^{3}P_{2}$ manifold at 22 500 cm⁻¹ (4440 Å) at both room and liquid-nitrogen temperatures. In the LaF₃ crystal the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ at room and liquid-nitrogen temperatures is comparable to ${}^{1}D_{2}$ manifold at 16 800 cm⁻¹ (~5940 Å) in a 4.8% Pr³⁺ sample at room temperature.

These three properties are, in general, characteristic of the intraconfiguration lines of well-shielded 4f

¹⁷ For example, the apparent shift of $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band is from 46 000 cm⁻¹ (2175 Å) for 0.5% Pr³⁺ to 45 800 cm⁻¹ (2185 Å) for 10^{-3} % Pr³⁺ in CaF₂. The temperature shift is about -250 cm⁻¹ (+10 Å) as samples are cooled down from room to liquid-nitrogen temperature. This red shift of the apparent peak of $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band with decreasing temperature and Pr³⁺ concentration is consistent with the data of Crozier (Ref. 6) for 10^{-4} % Pr³⁺ at liquid-helium temperature.

electrons in rare earths and we attribute the observed peak to transitions from the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level.

It is interesting to compare³ the levels observed for the $4f^2$ configuration of Pr^{3+} in the vapor (E_r) phase with those found in single crystal (E_c) . If our value for the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level in CaF₂ crystals is compared with the calculated 3 value for the vapor, it is found (Fig. 3) that the relation is described by a simple expression: $E_v - E_c \propto E_v^{3/2}$, or $E_c = E_v - 10^{-3.5} E_v^{3/2}$.

Note added in proof. Fortunately, the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ level of Pr^{3+} sits conveniently on the short-wavelength side of the first $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band in fluorides. Otherwise, it might be difficult to distinguish the ${}^{1}S_{0}$ absorption from the zero phonon line of $4f \rightarrow 5d$ transition at low temperature, although the former is a weak and the latter is a strong transition. The absorption spectrum of 0.015% Pr³⁺ in

 CaF_2 at liquid nitrogen temperature shows a weak ${}^{1}S_0$ peak at \sim 2120 Å and a strong zero phonon peak at \sim 2240 Å, both being conveniently located on the opposite sides of the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ band with apparent peak at ~ 2200 Å.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank G. S. Picus for critical reading of the manuscript, S. Yatsiv for stimulating discussions, M. Robinson for a generous supply of several Pr³⁺-doped LaF₃ crystals, W. Hargreaves of Optovac, Inc., for a dilute $CaF_2:Pr^{3+}$ crystal, G. Dorosheski for patient construction of several graphs, and M. Himber and J. Montross for frequent assistance. A portion of this study was supported by Air Force Contract AF 33(615)-1999.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 140, NUMBER 5A

29 NOVEMBER 1965

Theory of Rotational Excitation of Homonuclear Diatomic Molecules by Slow Electrons : Application to N_2 and H_2^{\dagger}

DOUGLAS H. SAMPSON* Space Sciences Laboratory, General Electric Company, Valley Forge, Pennsylvania

AND

RAYMOND C. MJOLSNESS[‡] Space Sciences Laboratory, General Electric Company, Valley Forge, Pennsylvania

and

University of California, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico (Received 25 June 1965)

A distorted-wave theory of rotational excitation of homonuclear diatomic molecules with Σ ground states by slow electrons is given. The assumption is made that distortion of only the l=0 and l=1 partial waves is significant and a simple semiempirical model is used for the potential. Results obtained for H_2 and N_2 using the most recent theoretical and experimental values for the electric quadrupole moments and polarizabilities appear to remove, or very nearly remove, the discrepancies which have existed between theory and the analysis of swarm experiments.

I. INTRODUCTION

T has been well known for many years that for I has been wen known to many threshold the electron energies below vibrational threshold the observed electron energy losses in homonuclear diatomic molecular gases greatly exceed those due to elastic scattering alone. Early theoretical calculations^{1,2} of the cross section for rotational excitation considering only the short-range electron-molecule interaction yielded energy loss rates smaller than the observed ones. However, in 1955, Gerjuoy and Stein (G. S.)³ showed that, for the low energies of interest, the long-range part of the electron-molecule interaction is dominant in determining the rotational excitation cross section. Assuming the electron-molecule interaction to be the r^{-3} pure electric-quadrupole interaction for all r and using the Born approximation, they obtained an expression for the rotation excitation cross section which is proportional to Q^2 , where Q is the electric-quadrupole moment of the molecule. Using reasonable values for Q (which was not precisely known in most cases), approximate agreement with observed energy losses was obtained. However, with increase in the precision of the analysis of swarm experiments,⁴ some discrepancies became

[†] Work supported primarily under the U. S. Office of Naval Research Contract No. Nonr 4188 (00) and in part for one of us (R. C. M.) by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

Present address: Astronomy Department, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania. ‡ Present address: Theoretical Division, Los Alamos Scientific

Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico. ¹ P. M. Morse, Phys. Rev. **90**, 51 (1953). ² T. R. Carson, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **A67**, 909 (1954).

⁸ E. Gerjuoy and S. Stein, Phys. Rev. 97, 1671 (1955); 98, 1848 (1955).

⁴ L. S. Frost and A. V. Phelps, Phys. Rev. 127, 1621 (1962).