It is apparent from the equations for the reduced matrix elements that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hbar \gamma=g \mu_{B} \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{B}$ is the Bohr magneton and $g$ is a constant usually between $\frac{1}{2}$ and 2 .

Note added in proof. The conditions which were placed on $\Gamma$ and $\lambda$ in the integral formulation which is given by Eq. (3) are more restrictive than necessary. C. P. Yang and C. V. Heer have shown that an integral solution of

Eq. (2) exists if a part of $\Gamma$ commutes with $H_{0}$. Then Eq. (2) has the integral solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(t)= & \int_{0}^{\infty} d s T^{+}(s) \\
& \times\left\{\lambda+\left[\left(V / i \hbar-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{1}\right) \rho-\rho\left(V / i \hbar+\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{1}\right)\right]\right\}_{(t-s)} T(s),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T(s)=\exp \left[-\left(\frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{0}-i \hbar^{-1} H_{0}\right) s\right]$. No restrictions are placed on $\lambda$ and $\Gamma_{1}$, and $\Gamma_{0}>0$ is sufficient.
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#### Abstract

A method is outlined for calculating nonrelativistic eigenvalues and wave functions for a two-electron $P$ state of odd parity, and for evaluating the mass polarization and all of the relativistic corrections, apart from the radiative terms. Calculations have been made for the low-lying $P$ states of He and the $2^{1} P$ state of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$using up to 560 terms in the expansion of the wave function. The nonrelativistic eigenvalues converge to within an accuracy of from $10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $10^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The values of the mass polarization and of the relativistic corrections converge more rapidly than this, so that the total theoretical ionization energy is estimated to be correct to within an error of not more than $10^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, i.e., considerably less than the experimental error, in the case of all of the states considered. The difference between the theoretical and experimental term values is in no case greater than $0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in absolute magnitude, and is presumed to be due to the contributions from the radiative terms, which have not been included in the calculation. The term value obtained for the $2^{1} P$ state of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$confirms the identification of the line at $9581.42 \AA$ in the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$spectrum as belonging to the $2^{1} S-2^{1} P$ transition.


## I. INTRODUCTION

IN this paper, we outline a method for determining two-electron atom $P$-state term values in which the contributions from the mass-polarization and all of the relativistic corrections, apart from the Lamb shift, have been taken into account. Computations have been carried out for the low-lying $P$ states of helium, and the $2^{1} P$ state of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$, and in each case the results converge to an accuracy exceeding that of the experimental measurements. The same methods have also been used to compute the fine-structure splitting of the $2^{3} P$ and $3^{3} P$ levels of helium, the results for which have been published previously. ${ }^{1}$

The classical papers of Breit on the angular dependence of a two-electron $P$-state wave function ${ }^{2}$ and the fine-structure splitting of the helium $2^{3} P$ level ${ }^{3}$ appeared soon after the basic work of Hylleraas on the ground state. ${ }^{4,5}$ Subsequent calculations for the $P$ state were mainly performed with the object of determining the fine structure of the ${ }^{3} P$ levels, and in contradistinction

[^0]to the case of the $S$ state, no complete calculation of the relativistic corrections for a two-electron $P$ state has up till now been made, no doubt in view of their greater complexity. We shall therefore give considerable detail in the following exposition.

At the time when the work to be described below was started, the most accurate calculations for a two-electron $P$ state were those of Araki et al. ${ }^{6}$ and of Traub and Foley. ${ }^{7}$ The latter authors were able to obtain a theoretical ionization energy within $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of the experimental value for the helium $2^{3} P$ state by optimizing the values adopted for the screening constants for the two electrons, and by including 18 terms in the expansion of the wave function. We set out with the aim of computing ionization energies for two-electron $P$ states to an accuracy which would at least match that of the most recent experimental data ${ }^{8,9}\left( \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. The method used to determine the nonrelativistic eigenvalues and wave functions is an extension of that developed by one of us for excited $S$ states, ${ }^{10}$ the wave function being

[^1]developed into a series of perimetric coordinates. ${ }^{11}$ With 220 terms in the expansion of the wave function, the nonrelativistic ionization energy for the $2^{3} P$ state of helium was found to converge to within about $0.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .^{12}$ Optimizing the values of the screening constants, following Traub and Foley, reduced the inaccuracy to about $0.002 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with the same number of terms, and it was therefore decided to embark on a more extensive program of calculation. Using up to 560 terms in the expansion of the wave function, eigenvalues were obtained for the $2^{1} P, 2^{3} P, 3^{1} P, 3^{3} P, 4^{1} P$, and $4^{3} P$ states of helium and the $2^{1} P$ state of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$, the results converging to within an accuracy of $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. For each of these states, we have also, for the first time, computed the values of all of the relativistic corrections apart from the radiative terms. These corrections converge more rapidly than the nonrelativistic eigenvalues, and the total theoretical ionization energy is thus subject to an inaccuracy of not more than $10^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, i.e. less than that of experiment, for all of the states considered. The difference between the theoretical and experimental term values is in no case greater than $0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and is presumably due to the contributions from the radiative terms. Nonrelativistic eigenvalues and wave functions of the same order of accuracy have recently been obtained for the $2^{3} P$ state of helium by Schwartz, ${ }^{13}$ who has used them to compute the fine-structure splitting of this level. With his choice of base functions, Schwartz's nonrelativistic eigenvalues are more accurate than those obtained by the present authors using a given number of terms in the expansion.

The computations on the $2^{1} P$ state of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$were performed in order to check the previously assumed term value for this level, in view of the uncertainty in the position of the $2^{1} S-2^{1} P$ line in the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$spectrum. Together with the $2^{1} S$ term value computed by one of us, ${ }^{14}$ the results confirm the identification of the line at about $9581 \AA$ as belonging to the $2^{1} S-2^{1} P$ transition. ${ }^{15}$
The methods used for solving the nonrelativistic equation are discussed in Sec. II of this paper, and the evaluation of the mass polarization and relativistic corrections is described in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, the numerical results are tabulated and discussed. The appendices contain an outline of the methods used to reduce some of the sixfold integrals occurring in the calculation, including some explicit formulas for the evaluation of the resulting threefold integrals.

## II. THE NONRELATIVISTIC EIGENVALUES

## A. The Variational Equations

The nonrelativistic Schrödinger equation for a twoelectron atom, assuming an infinitely heavy nucleus,

[^2]is
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1}^{2} \psi+\nabla_{2}^{2} \psi+2\left(E+\frac{Z}{r_{1}}+\frac{Z}{r_{2}}-\frac{1}{r_{3}}\right) \psi=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are the distances of the electrons from the nucleus, $r_{3}$ is the interelectron distance, $Z$ is the nuclear charge, and $\nabla_{1}{ }^{2}$ and $\nabla_{2}{ }^{2}$ are the Laplacian operators with respect to the coordinates of the two electrons. Lengths and the energy are expressed in atomic units. The equation may also be written symbolically

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathfrak{H}-E) \psi=0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{H}$ is the Hamiltonian operator, and we solve this equation by using the equivalent variational form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \int \psi(\mathfrak{F}-E) \psi d \tau=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration is taken over the six-dimensional space of the two electrons, and we will describe the set of coordinates used in some detail, as they will also be employed in computing the relativistic corrections (see Appendix A).

The most suitable set of coordinates to describe our system is one in which the shape of the triangle formed by the nucleus and the two electrons is specified by the variables $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $r_{3}$ defined above, while the orientation of this triangle in space is given in terms of three Eulerian angles. Since the Hamiltonian is invariant with respect to rotation about any axis through the nucleus, the dependence of the wave function on the Eulerian angles may be determined uniquely. Any integral similar to that occurring in Eq. (3) may therefore easily be reduced to a threefold integral over the variables $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $r_{3}$.

The angular dependence of a two-electron $P$-state wave function has been considered in detail by Breit ${ }^{2}$ and by Eriksson, ${ }^{16}$ and we shall use Breit's form for the wave function. Following Hylleraas, ${ }^{4}$ Breit uses the Eulerian angles $\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}$ and $\phi$, which are simply related to the spherical polar coordinates $\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(r_{2}, \theta_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)$ of the two electrons. The angles $\theta^{\prime}$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ are equal respectively to $\theta_{1}$ and $\phi_{1}$, and hence describe the position of the line joining electron 1 to the nucleus, while $\phi$ is the angle between the azimuthal plane through this line and the $\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}$ plane, as shown in Fig. 1. Hylleraas also introduces the angle $\theta$ included between $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{2}$, and gives relations between the two sets of angles $\left(\theta_{1}, \phi_{1}, \theta_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)$ and ( $\left.\theta, \theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}, \phi\right)$. Recently, Bhatia and Temkin ${ }^{17}$ have given a comprehensive treatment of the angular dependence of a two-electron state of arbitrary angular momentum, using a more symmetric choice of

[^3]

Fig. 1. Angular coordinates for a two-electron atom.
Eulerian angles which have simple properties under interchange of the two electrons.

We are only interested in those $P$ states which may be considered asymptotically as combinations of single electron $1 s$ and $n p$ states, as the so-called "doubly excited" states have much higher energies, and lie in the continuum. We shall only, therefore, consider $P$ states of odd parity, and following Breit ${ }^{2}$ we write the wave function in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=F\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right) \cos \theta_{1} \pm \widetilde{F}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right) \cos \theta_{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The plus and minus signs refer to para and ortho states, respectively, and $\widetilde{F}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right) \equiv F\left(r_{2}, r_{1}, \theta\right)$. In order to introduce the asymptotic behavior of the wave function explicitly, we write $F\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)=r_{1} e^{-\xi r_{1}-\eta r_{2}} L\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)$, where $L\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)$ tends to a constant as electron 1 , the " $p$ " electron, or electron 2 , the " $s$ " electron, tend to the nucleus.

We now follow the standard procedure. The wave function $\psi$ is expanded in a suitable set of basis functions, that is, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right) \equiv M\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} D_{i} m_{i}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then substitute for $\psi$ in the variational integral, and apply the variation conditions (3) to obtain a set of homogeneous linear equations in the $D_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} D_{i} S_{i j}=0, \quad j=1,2, \cdots, N \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues are those values of the energy $E$ for which the determinant of coefficients det $\left|S_{i j}\right|$ vanishes, and for which the equations have therefore a nontrivial solution for the vector of unknowns $D_{i}$.

## B. Choice of Basis Functions

In order to avoid the inconvenient limits of integration resulting from the triangle condition satisfied by $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $r_{3}$, we introduce the perimetric coordinates ${ }^{10}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
u=\xi\left(r_{1}+r_{3}-r_{2}\right), \quad v=\eta\left(r_{2}+r_{3}-r_{1}\right), \\
w=\zeta\left(r_{1}+r_{2}-r_{3}\right), \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}=\xi\left(r_{2}+r_{3}-r_{1}\right), \quad v^{\prime}=\eta\left(r_{1}+r_{3}-r_{2}\right), \quad w^{\prime}=w \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta=\xi+\eta$. All of these coordinates range from zero to infinity, and the permutation $r_{1} \leftrightharpoons r_{2}$ is accomplished by the interchange of $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ etc. In terms of these coordinates, the quantities appearing in the exponents in the wave function are equal to $\xi r_{1}+\eta r_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(u+v+w)$ and $\xi r_{2}+\eta r_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{\prime}+v^{\prime}+w^{\prime}\right)$, respectively.
In the case of the $S$ state, the basis functions chosen were the products of Laguerre functions ${ }^{10}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{i}(l, m, n)=\left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u+v+w)} L_{l}(u) L_{m}(v) L_{n}(w)\right. \\
&\left.\quad \pm e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{\prime}+v^{\prime}+w^{\prime}\right)} L_{l}\left(u^{\prime}\right) L_{m}\left(v^{\prime}\right) L_{n}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right] . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

However, part of the advantage afforded by the orthogonality properties of the Laguerre functions would be lost in the case of the $P$ state, because of its lower symmetry. For the $P$ state, therefore, we used a Hylleraas type expansion, writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)=r_{1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u+v+w)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} D_{i}(l, m, n) u^{l} v^{m^{m}} w^{n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the computation, all of the basis functions for which the sum $l+m+n$ is less than or equal to a given number $\Omega$ were included in the expansion, corresponding to the value $N=\frac{1}{6}(\Omega+1)(\Omega+2)(\Omega+3)$.

In the first method adopted, the parameters $\xi$ and $\eta$ were given the values $\xi=\left(-2 E-Z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \eta=Z$ in order that the wave function should have the correct asymptotic behavior as one or the other of the two electrons goes to infinity. We shall refer to this scheme as "method C", because of its analogy to a similar scheme used for twoelectron $S$ states. ${ }^{10}$ Using the C scheme, the eigenvalue was found to converge rather slowly as the number of terms in the expansion was increased. We therefore decided to attempt to improve the result by allowing the parameters $\xi$ and $\eta$ to vary, and determining the values of these parameters for which the energy $E$ is a minimum, using a given number of terms in the expansion. We shall refer to this new scheme as "method D." The optimization with respect to $\xi$ and $\eta$ did indeed lead to a considerable improvement in the results, and the eigenvalues obtained using both methods are described in Sec. IV.

## C. Determination of the Eigenvalue

The determinant $\operatorname{det}\left|S_{i j}\right|$ was evaluated by Gaussian elimination, and the eigenvalue obtained by an itera-
tion procedure. Double precision arithmetic was used throughout the calculation, and the eigenvalue usually converged to within almost full double precision accuracy in four or five iterations, provided that the initial trial values of $E$ were chosen sufficiently carefully. In the case of the D scheme, the elements of the matrix of coefficients $S_{i j}$ are linear in the energy $E$. We were thus able to use the Rayleigh quotient procedure for the iteration once the eigenvalue had been located approximately, with a consequent reduction in the number of iterations needed.

## III. THE MASS POLARIZATION AND RELATIVISTIC CORRECTIONS

## A. Mass Polarization Correction

Our computations so far have been based on the nonrelativistic Schrödinger equation (1), in which we have assumed the mass of the nucleus to be infinite. The eigenvalue has therefore first to be corrected to take into account the finite mass of the nucleus and the consequent nuclear motion. An elementary correction is to use a Rydberg constant $R_{M}$ appropriate for the atom in question,

$$
R_{M}=\frac{M}{m+M} R_{\infty} \sim\left(1-\frac{m}{M}\right) R_{\infty}
$$

where $m$ and $M$ are the masses of an electron and of the nucleus respectively. A second correction, the so-called mass polarization correction, is given by, ${ }^{18}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{M}=-\frac{m}{M} \int \psi\left(\nabla_{1} \cdot \nabla_{2}\right) \psi d \tau=\frac{m}{M} \int \nabla_{1} \psi \cdot \nabla_{2} \psi d \tau \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ is the gradient operator. Using their symmetric Eulerian angles, Bhatia and Temkin have recently ${ }^{19}$ extended their treatment ${ }^{17}$ of the nonrelativistic Schrödinger equation (1) for two-electron states of arbitrary angular momentum by including the mass polarization term $2(m / M)\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{2}\right) \psi$ directly in this equation from the beginning. We shall, however, evaluate $\epsilon_{M}$ from Eq. (11) and add it to the eigenvalue of Eq. (1).

## B. The Relativistic Corrections

As mentioned in the introduction, the eigenvalue of Eq. (1) has also to be corrected in order to take relativistic effects into account. The corrections to order $\alpha^{2}$ have been listed by Bethe and Salpeter. ${ }^{20}$ These corrections take the form of the sum of the expectation values of a number of operators, evaluated over the state in question. In atomic units, the operators may be

[^4]written as follows:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1}=-\frac{1}{8} \alpha^{2}\left(p_{1}{ }^{4}+p_{2}{ }^{4}\right), \\
& H_{2}=-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}\left[\frac{\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{2}}{r_{3}}+\frac{\mathbf{r}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathbf{r}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{1}\right) \mathbf{p}_{2}}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right], \\
& H_{3}= \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}\left[\left\{\frac{Z \mathbf{r}_{1} \times \mathbf{p}_{1}}{r_{1}{ }^{3}}-\frac{\mathbf{r}_{3} \times\left(2 \mathbf{p}_{2}-\mathbf{p}_{1}\right)}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{1}\right. \\
&\left.+\left\{\frac{Z \mathbf{r}_{2} \times \mathbf{p}_{2}}{r_{2}{ }^{3}}+\frac{\mathbf{r}_{3} \times\left(2 \mathbf{p}_{1}-\mathbf{p}_{2}\right)}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{2}\right],  \tag{12}\\
& H_{4}= \frac{i \alpha^{2}}{4}\left[\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot\left\{\frac{Z \mathbf{r}_{1}}{r_{1}{ }^{3}}+\frac{\mathbf{r}_{3}}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\}+\mathbf{p}_{2} \cdot\left\{\frac{Z \mathbf{r}_{2}}{r_{2}{ }^{3}}-\frac{\mathbf{r}_{3}}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\}\right] \\
& H_{5}= \alpha^{2}\left[-\frac{8 \pi}{3}\left(\mathbf{s}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{2}\right) \delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\quad+\frac{1}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\left\{\mathbf{s}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{2}-\frac{3\left(\mathbf{s}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{s}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{3}\right)}{r_{3}{ }^{2}}\right\}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$
\]

$\mathbf{p}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{2}$ are the momenta of the two electrons, $\delta(\mathbf{r})$ is the three-dimensional delta function, $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are the Pauli spin operators for the two electrons, and $\mathbf{r}_{3}=\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1}$. A simple derivation of each of these terms, together with an explanation of their physical significance, has also been given by Stewart. ${ }^{21}$

The total contribution of the relativistic effects to the term value is given by the sum of the expectation values of the operators $H_{1}$ to $H_{5}$. It is customary to express the energies in terms of the ionization potential $J$. Since, to order $\alpha^{2}$, the relativistic effects shift the level of a single-electron atom or ion by an amount $-\left(\alpha^{2} Z^{4} / 8\right)$, the relativistic contribution to order $\alpha^{2}$ to the ionization potential of a two-electron atom or ion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{J}=-\frac{1}{8} \alpha^{2} Z^{4}-\left\langle H_{1}+H_{2}+H_{3}+H_{4}+H_{5}\right\rangle . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the operators $H_{3}$ and $H_{5}$ are spin-dependent, their expectation values will depend on the value of the total angular momentum quantum number $J$. We have evaluated $E_{J}$ for $J=1$ in the case of a ${ }^{3} P$ state in view of the term value for the $2^{3} P_{1}$ state measured by Herzberg. ${ }^{8}$ The values of $E_{J}$ for $J=0$ and $J=2$ may then be easily deduced using our previously published ${ }^{1}$ results for the fine-structure splittings (in the case of the $2^{3} P, 3^{3} P$ and $4^{3} P$ states of helium, the $J=2$ levels lie deepest). As shown in Appendix A, the expectation values of the spin-dependent operators may be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{3}+H_{5}\right\rangle=2 \pi \alpha^{2}\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\right\rangle \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a ${ }^{1} P$ state, and
$\left\langle H_{3}+H_{5}\right\rangle=-C+(5 D / 3)$

[^5]for a ${ }^{3} P_{1}$ state, where the integrals $C$ and $D$ are defined in the Appendix.
Using the fact that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{1}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2}\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and ${ }^{22}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{4}\right\rangle=\pi \alpha^{2}\left[Z\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\right\rangle\right], \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H_{2}\right\rangle=E_{2}=\alpha^{2} X, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have
$E_{J}=\alpha^{2}\left[-\frac{1}{8} Z^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle-X-\pi Z\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)\right\rangle-\pi\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\right\rangle\right]$
for a ${ }^{1} P$ state, and
$E_{J}=\alpha^{2}\left[-\frac{1}{8} Z^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-X-\pi Z\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)\right\rangle\right]+C-(5 D / 3) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a ${ }^{3} P_{1}$ state.
Finally, if we denote by $\nu$ the nonrelativistic ionization energy, then the calculated ionization potential will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mathrm{th}}=\nu-\epsilon_{M}+E_{J} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## C. Evaluation of the Integrals

It will be seen that the mass polarization and relativistic corrections are obtained by evaluating integrals of the form $\mathcal{\int}\left(O_{1} \psi\right)\left(O_{2} \psi\right) d \tau$, where $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$ may be scalar, vector, or tensor operators compounded out of the gradient operators $\nabla_{1}$ and $\nabla_{2}$ and the vectors $\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}$, $\mathbf{r}_{3}, \mathbf{s}_{1}$, and $\mathbf{s}_{2}$, and the integration is over the space of the two electrons. After summing over the spin coordinates, we are left with a sixfold integral to evaluate. This integral may be reduced to a threefold one by integrating analytically over the angular variables $\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}$, and $\phi$, the methods used differing slightly for various operators. The principal steps in each case are outlined in Appendix A, in which the resulting integrals over the remaining variables $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $r_{3}$ are also listed. The resulting threefold integrals consist of sums of terms of the form
$\int_{r_{1}=0}^{\infty} \int_{r_{2}=0}^{\infty} \int_{r_{3}=\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|}^{r_{1}+r_{2}} r_{1}{ }^{f} r_{2}{ }^{g} r_{3}{ }^{h} Y\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times Z\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) e^{-\lambda r_{1}-\mu r_{2}} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$Y\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=\sum_{i} B_{i} r_{1}{ }^{p i r_{2}}{ }^{q i} r_{3}{ }^{t_{i}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times \frac{\partial^{l_{i}+m_{i}+n_{i}}}{\partial r_{1}^{l_{i}} \partial r_{2}^{m_{i}} \partial r_{3}^{n_{i}}} P\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=\sum_{j} C_{j} r_{1}{ }^{p_{j}} r_{2} q_{j} r_{3} t_{j} \\
& \times \frac{\partial^{l_{j}+m_{j}+n_{j}}}{\partial r_{1}{ }^{l_{j} \partial r_{2}{ }^{m_{j}} \partial r_{3}{ }^{n_{j}}} Q\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) .} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

[^6]The $B_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ are constants. $P\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ is the function $M\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$, and $Q\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ is either the function $M\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$, in which case $\lambda=2 \xi$ and $\mu=2 \eta$, or the function $\tilde{M}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$, in which case $\lambda=\mu=\xi+\eta$. Both $Y\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ and $Z\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ are thus linear combinations of $M\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ and its derivatives multiplied by various powers of $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $r_{3}$, or a similar function of $\tilde{M}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$. The extra factor $r_{1}{ }^{f} r_{2}{ }^{g} r_{3}{ }^{h}$ could, of course, be incorporated into one of the functions $Y\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ or $Z\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$. The integral has been defined in the particular form (22) in order to correspond to the form in which it is evaluated by the computer program.

Integrals of the more general form $\mathcal{S}\left(O_{1} \psi_{A}\right)\left(O_{2} \psi_{B}\right) d \tau$, where $\psi_{A}$ and $\psi_{B}$ refer to two different states of a twoelectron atom, or ion, may also be reduced to the form (22) provided that the wave functions $\psi_{A}$ and $\psi_{B}$ are written in a form analogous to that assumed for the $P$-state functions in the present work, i.e., they consist of terms of the form $e^{-\xi r_{1}-\eta r_{2}} f\left(\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}, \phi\right) \sum D(l, m, n) r_{1}{ }^{l} r_{2}{ }^{m} r_{3}{ }^{n}$. In this case, the functions $P\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ and $Q\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ will be derived from the wave functions for states $A$ and $B$ respectively, and $\lambda$ and $\mu$ will be combinations of the corresponding coefficients $\xi_{A}, \eta_{A}, \xi_{B}, \eta_{B}$ occurring in the exponential factors. The computational scheme was therefore designed from the beginning to deal with the more general case, and was also used to obtain our previously reported results for the transition probabilities between $S$ and $P$ states in helium ${ }^{23}$ and for computing the fine-structure splittings of the $2^{3} P$ and $3^{3} P$ levels in this atom. ${ }^{1}$ The main contributions to the finestructure splittings had previously ${ }^{12}$ been calculated by transforming integrals of the type (22) from the ( $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ ) scheme to the variables $u, v, w$ defined in equations (7) and (8) above. However, in view of the complicated form of the integral to be evaluated in the present computation, especially for the expectation value of $p_{1}{ }^{4}$, it was decided to perform the integration directly in terms of the variables $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $r_{3}$. If we write the functions $P\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ and $Q\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ as triple series in $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $r_{3}$, the integral (22) may be written in the form $\sum C(a, b, c)$ $\times I(a, b, c)$, where
$I(a, b, c)=\int_{r_{1}=0}^{\infty} \int_{r_{2}=0}^{\infty} \int_{r_{3}=\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|}^{r_{1}+r_{2}} r_{1}{ }^{a} r_{2}{ }^{b} r_{3}{ }^{c}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times e^{-\lambda r_{1}-\mu r_{2}} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the coefficients $C(a, b, c)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}{ }^{f} r_{2}{ }^{j} r_{3}{ }^{h} Y\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) Z\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=\sum C(a, b, c) r_{1}{ }^{a} r_{2}{ }^{b} r_{3}{ }^{c} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It will be noted that some of the integrals to be evaluated contain powers of $r_{1}, r_{2}$, or $r_{3}$ in the denominator. Thus, there will be nonzero values of $C(a, b, c)$ for which $a, b$, or $c$ are less than minus one. However, it is found in such cases that the integrand always contains a factor which reduces the order of the singularity in such

[^7]a way that the integral itself is finite. For example, all integrals with a factor of $r_{3}{ }^{2}$ in the denominator are found to have a factor of $r_{1}-r_{2}$ in the numerator.

When wave functions containing a large number of terms were used, a severe loss of accuracy was encountered in evaluating integrals of the form (22) with $\lambda=2 \xi$ in the case of the higher excited states. This loss of accuracy is due to cancellation between the various contributions to the sum $\sum C(a, b, c) I(a, b, c)$, and can be understood as follows. Let us refer to the "outer" or " $p$ " electron as electron 1 . If $\xi$ is very much less than $\eta$, then in the region of greatest probability density, $r_{1}$ will be much greater than $r_{2}$, and hence $r_{3}$ will be almost equal to $r_{1}$. Thus the set of coordinates $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ is not the most suitable with which to express the wave function in this case. The difficulty was overcome by replacing the variable $r_{3}$ by the variable $\rho \equiv r_{3}-r_{1}$. It was indeed found that for the states with low values of $\xi$, the coefficients of the terms with high powers of $r_{1}$ in the expansion of the wave function in the ( $r_{1}, r_{2}, \rho$ ) scheme were considerably smaller than the corresponding coefficients in the ( $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ ) scheme. On evaluating the integrals (22) in the ( $r_{1}, r_{2}, \rho$ ) scheme, the degree of cancellation was found to be small. Of course, this scheme has the disadvantage that powers of $r_{3}$ in the denominator can no longer be divided out, and hence a different table of integrals has to be used for each negative power of $r_{3}$. The ( $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ ) scheme was still used, therefore, for the cases where no significant loss of accuracy occurred. The formulas for the integrals in the ( $r_{1}, r_{2}, \rho$ ) scheme analogous to the $I(a, b, c)$ are given in Appendix B.

## IV. THE NUMERICAL RESULTS

## A. Nonrelativistic Eigenvalues

The nonrelativistic energy is given by the eigenvalue $E=-\epsilon^{2}$ of the Schrödinger equation (1). $E$ is given in atomic units, and the corresponding ionization energy $\nu$ in units of $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ is calculated from $E$ by means of the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=-\left(2 E+Z^{2}\right) R=\left(2 \epsilon^{2}-Z^{2}\right) R . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In Refs. 1 and 12, this relation appears incorrectly with an extra factor of 2 . The results listed are unaffected.) For the Rydberg constant $R$, we used the values $R_{\mathrm{He}^{4}}=109722.267 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $R_{\mathrm{Li}^{7}}=109728.727$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the helium atom and the lithium ion respectively. In the C scheme, computations were carried out for the $2^{1} P, 2^{3} P, 3^{1} P$, and $3^{3} P$ states of helium, and up to $N=220$ terms were included in the expansion of the wave function. The ionization energies $\nu$ obtained with $N=84,120,165$, and 220 for each of the four states have been listed in a previous publication (see Table I of Ref. 12) together with the results of an extrapolation to infinite order. By comparison with the extrapolated values, it is estimated that the values at order 220 have converged to within an accuracy varying from about $0.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $2^{3} P$ state to $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $3^{3} P$ state.

Table I. Nonrelativistic ionization energy $\nu$ of the $2^{3} P$ state in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Comparison of results from C and D schemes.

| $\Omega$ | $N$ | C scheme | D scheme |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 20 | 29166.766 | 29210.757 |
| 4 | 35 | 29200.862 | 29221.312 |
| 5 | 56 | 29213.953 | 29221.792 |
| 6 | 84 | 29219.015 | 29222.096 |
| 7 | 120 | 29220.944 | 29222.133 |
| 8 | 165 | 29221.676 | 29222.148 |
| 9 | 220 | 29221.958 | 29222.152 |

In the D scheme, the eigenvalue is maximized with respect to the parameters $\xi$ and $r_{j}$. In view of the interest in the fine-structure splitting of the ${ }^{3} P$ levels, this scheme was first applied to the $2^{3} P$ and $3^{3} P$ states of helium. The ionization energies obtained for the $2^{3} P$ level using the C and D schemes are compared in Table $I$, and it will be seen that the use of the $D$ scheme leads to a considerable improvement in the results. We decided, therefore, to extend the D scheme computations up to order 560 , corresponding to $\Omega=13$. In view of the large amount of computer time required to calculate the value of a high order determinant, the optimization was only carried out up to order 120 (and also partially for order 165 in the case of the $2^{3} P$ state), the values of $\xi$ and $\eta$ for higher orders being estimated by extrapolation. This procedure is based on the observation that the eigenvalue is much more sensitive to changes in the number of terms in the expansion than to variations in the values of $\xi$ and $\eta$, provided that these parameters are not allowed to vary too widely from their optimum values. To illustrate this point, in Table II we have listed the eigenvalues obtained for the $2^{3} P$ state for order 84 using various values of $\xi$ and $\eta$ in the vicinity of their optimal values. We have also listed the C-scheme eigenvalue as the first entry in the table. It will be seen that, aside from the C -scheme result, the poorest eigenvalue listed ( $E=-2.1331638925$ with $\xi=0.81$, $\eta=1.85$ ) differs from the optimum ( $E=-2.1331639282$ with $\xi=0.8277, \eta=1.96016$ ) by roughly $3.6 \times 10^{-8}$, whereas the optimum eigenvalues for order $56(E$ $=-2.1331625437$ with $\xi=0.7914, \eta=2.0975$ ) and for

Table II. Nonrelativistic eigenvalues for the helium $2^{3} P$ state with 84 terms in the expansion using different values of the screening constants $\xi$ and $\eta$.

| $\xi$ | $\eta$ | $-E$ (atomic units) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $0.51604 \cdots(\mathrm{C})$ | 2 | 2.1331498878 |
| 0.81 | 1.85 | 2.1331638925 |
| 0.8 | 1.953 | 2.1331638940 |
| 0.81 | 1.87 | 2.1331639002 |
| 0.81 | 2 | 2.1331639099 |
| 0.81 | 1.92 | 2.1331639116 |
| 0.82 | 1.995 | 2.1331639225 |
| 0.82 | 1.953 | 2.1331639253 |
| 0.83 | 1.93 | 2.1331639258 |
| 0.83 | 1.986 | 2.1331639265 |
| 0.8325 | 1.96 | 2.1331639270 |
| 0.8277 | 1.96016 | 2.1331639282 |

Table III. Nonrelativistic eigenvalues for the $2^{3} P$ state of helium (in atomic units).

| $\Omega$ | $N$ | $\xi$ | $\eta$ | $-E$ | $\Omega$ | $N$ | $\xi$ | $\eta$ | $-E$ |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 20 | 0.725 | 2.066 | 2.133112254831 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 56 | 0.7914 | 2.0975 | 2.133162543742 | 4 | 35 | 0.77428 | 1.89513 | 2.133160354235 |
| 7 | 120 | 0.845 | 2.184 | 2.133164094685 | 6 | 84 | 0.8277 | 1.96016 | 2.133163928235 |
| 9 | 220 | 0.91 | 2.1 | 2.133164180840 | 8 | 165 | 0.895 | 2.1 | 2.133164164111 |
| 11 | 364 | 0.98 | 2.1 | 2.133164189423 | 10 | 286 | 0.95 | 2.1 | 2.133164187632 |
| 13 | 560 | 1.04 | 2.1 | 2.133164190534 | 12 | 455 | 1.01 | 2.1 | 2.133164190275 |
|  |  | Extrapolated (odd $\Omega$ ) | 2.133164190699 |  |  |  | Extrapolated (even $\Omega)$ | 2.133164190610 |  |

order $120(E=-2.1331640947$ with $\xi=0.845, \eta=2.184)$ differ from the order 84 optimum by amounts of $1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ and $1.7 \times 10^{-7}$, respectively. On the other hand, a much larger deviation of $\xi$ from its optimal value leads to a considerable change in the eigenvalue, as is shown by the C-scheme result for order 84 , which differs from the D -scheme optimum for this order by more than $1.4 \times 10^{-5}$.

Table IV. Nonrelativistic eigenvalues for the $3^{3} P$ state of helium (in atomic units).

| $\Omega$ | $N$ | $\xi$ | $-E$ | $\Omega$ | $N$ | $\xi$ | $-E$ |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 20 | 0.4427 | 2.05793548553 | 4 | 35 | 0.45059 | 2.05800234939 |
| 5 | 56 | 0.505 | 2.05806853141 | 6 | 84 | 0.5135 | 2.05807451076 |
| 7 | 120 | 0.5465 | 2.05808014010 | 8 | 165 | 0.5556 | 2.05808058907 |
| 9 | 220 | 0.5756 | 2.05808099094 | 10 | 286 | 0.59 | 2.05808103540 |
| 11 | 364 | 0.615 | 2.05808107031 | 12 | 455 | 0.62 | 2.05808107688 |
| 13 | 560 | 0.65 | 2.05808108165 |  |  |  |  |
| Extrapolated <br> (odd $\Omega$ ) | 2.05808108354 | Extrapolated <br> (even $\Omega$ ) |  |  |  | 2.05808108113 |  |

The optimum $\eta$ for the $2^{3} P$ state was found to be close to the value $\eta=Z$ for all of the orders investigated, and we therefore decided to take $\eta=Z$ and to optimize with respect to $\xi$ alone in all of the subsequent calculations. For a given state, the optimum value of $\xi$ did not appear to vary smoothly with $\Omega$, and the corresponding eigenvalues also showed an unsmooth behavior. However, the eigenvalues for odd values of $\Omega$ alone (i.e., for orders $20,56,120, \cdots$ ) did vary smoothly, and a similar re-f
mark applies to the results for even $\Omega$ alone. Tabulated in this way, the ratio of successive differences is quite small, taking on values between 0.09 and 0.18 for the highest orders considered. The eigenvalues have therefore been extrapolated, using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {extrapolated }}=E_{1}+\frac{\left(E_{1}-E_{0}\right)\left(E_{2}-E_{1}\right)}{2 E_{1}-E_{0}-E_{2}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{0}, E_{1}$, and $E_{2}$ are the values at order 220, 364, and 560 or at 165,286 , and 455 in the cases of odd and even $\Omega$, respectively. The optimum values of the parameter $\xi$ were also considered for the cases of even and odd $\Omega$ separately when estimating a suitable value of this parameter to use for the higher orders.

The eigenvalues obtained for the $2^{3} P$ and $3^{3} P$ states of helium are listed in Tables III and IV together with the optimum values of $\xi$ (and of $\eta$ in the case of $2^{3} P$ ). The results for odd and even values of $\Omega$ have been tabulated separately. It will be noted that there is close agreement between the extrapolated values derived from consideration of the results for odd and for even $\Omega$ alone. We therefore decided to compute the eigenvalues for the remaining states to be considered using odd values of $\Omega$ only. The ionization energies obtained for the helium $2^{1} P, 2^{3} P, 3^{1} P, 3^{3} P, 4^{1} P$, and $4^{3} P$ states and the $2^{1} P$ state of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$are listed in Table V , together with the extrapolated values, which give some indication of the degree of convergence achieved. The final values used for the parameter $\xi$ are listed in Table VI.

The only other published calculation of two-electron $P$-state wave functions of a comparable accuracy

Table V. Values of the nonrelativistic ionization energy $\nu \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{cm}^{-1}}$.

| $\boldsymbol{N}$ |  | $N$ | He $2^{1} P$ | He $2^{3} P$ | He $3^{1} P$ | He $3^{3} P$ | He $4^{1} P$ | He $4^{3} P$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

is that of Schwartz ${ }^{13}$ for the $2^{3} P$ state of helium. Schwartz used the fixed values $\xi=0.58$ and $\eta=2$ for the screening constants of the two electrons, and included terms with a factor of $\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ in the expansion of the wave function. The largest number of terms used in the expansion was 439, which gave an eigenvalue of -2.133164190626 , compared to the result of -2.133164190534 for order 560 obtained by the present authors. Schwartz's extrapolated value is -2.13316419080 , and thus lies $10^{-10}$ atomic units or $2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ deeper than our extrapolated value of -2.133164190699 for odd $\Omega$.

## B. The Total Ionization Energy

The results obtained for the total theoretical ionization energy $J_{\mathrm{th}}=\nu-\epsilon_{M}+E_{J}$ are listed, in units of $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$,

Table VI. Final values adopted for the screening constant $\xi$.

| $N$ | $\mathrm{He} 2^{1} P$ | $\mathrm{He} 2^{3} \mathrm{P}$ | He $3^{1} P$ | He $3^{3} P$ | $\mathrm{He} 4^{1} P$ | $\mathrm{He} 4^{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Li}^{+}{ }^{2} P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.696 | 0.725 | 0.398 | 0.4427 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 1.31 |
| 56 | 0.77 | 0.7914 | 0.4752 | 0.505 | 0.32 | 0.326 | 1.4471 |
| 120 | 0.834 | 0.845 | 0.528 | 0.5465 | 0.363 | 0.374 | 1.578 |
| 220 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.57 | 0.5756 | 0.40 | 0.406 | 1.70 |
| 364 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.61 | 0.615 | 0.435 | 0.44 | 1.835 |
| 560 | 1.02 | 1.04 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.47 | 0.475 |  |

in Tables VII-XIII for all of the states considered, together with the corresponding values of $\nu, \epsilon_{M}, E_{2}$, $C-5 D / 3$ (for ${ }^{3} P$ states) and $E_{J}$. In converting the three last-mentioned quantities from atomic units to $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, we used the values $\alpha^{2} R_{\mathrm{He}}{ }^{4}=5.842755$ and $\alpha^{2} R_{\mathrm{Li}^{7}}=5.843099$, while $\epsilon_{M}$ was computed using the values $2(m / M) R$

Table VII. The ionization energy and the expectation values of various operators for the $2^{1} P$ state of helium. a.u. stands for atomic units.

| $N$ | 56 | 120 | 220 | 364 | 560 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Omega$ | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 |
| $-E$ (a.u.) | 2.123840361561 | 2.123842867892 | 2.123843061318 | 2.123843082754 | 2.123843085800 |
| $\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 40.1176220 | 40.1171446 | 40.1170497 | 40.1170208 | 40.1170114 |
| $\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.27441973 | 1.27439914 | 1.27439472 | 1.27439326 | 1.27439295 |
| $\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 0.00076470 | 0.00074386 | 0.00073805 | 0.00073626 | 0.00073562 |
| - $E_{2}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.2377800 | 0.2376289 | 0.2375884 | 0.2375769 | 0.2375736 |
| $\left(2 \epsilon^{2}-4\right) R_{\mathrm{He}^{4}}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 27176.0904 | 27176.6404 | 27176.6829 | 27176.6876 | 27176.6883 |
| $-\epsilon_{M}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | -1.3855057 | -1.3851637 | $-1.3851940$ | -1.3851971 | -1.3851974 |
| $E_{J}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.466673 | 0.467404 | 0.467624 | 0.467701 | 0.467717 |
| $J_{\text {th }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 27175.1716 | 27175.7226 | 27175.7653 | 27175.7701 | 27175.7708 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.1231776734 | 1.1231775004 | 1.1231775084 | 1.1231775084 | 1.1231775097 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 2.91031109 | 2.91064761 | 2.91067957 | 2.91068368 | 2.91068423 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 15.756218 | 15.764634 | 15.765513 | 15.765634 | 15.765651 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 0.245029588 | 0.245024430 | 0.245023940 | 0.245023876 | 0.245023869 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 5.1375846 | 5.1382552 | 5.1383189 | 5.1383270 | 5.1383281 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 31.579783 | 31.596485 | 31.598234 | 31.598474 | 31.598508 |

Table VIII. The ionization energy and the expectation values of various operators for the $2^{3} P_{1}$ state of helium. a.u. stands for atomic units.

| $N$ | 56 | 120 | 220 | 364 | 560 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Omega$ | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 |
| $-E$ (a.u.) | 2.133162543742 | 2.133164094685 | 2.133164180840 | 2.133164189423 | 2.133164190534 |
| $\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 39.6476790 | 39.6482921 | 39.6483692 | 39.6483732 | 39.6483744 |
| $\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.25880725 | 1.25884810 | 1.25885950 | 1.25886022 | 1.25886047 |
| $-E_{2}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | -0.40962053 | -0.40992201 | -0.40993580 | -0.40993769 | -0.40993800 |
| $C-5 D / 3\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.0664061 | 0.0666466 | 0.0666287 | 0.0666227 | 0.0666223 |
| $\left(2 \epsilon^{2}-4\right) R_{\mathrm{He}^{4}}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 29221.7924 | 29222.1327 | 29222.1516 | 29222.1535 | 29222.1537 |
| $-\epsilon_{M}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 1.9409794 | 1.9425442 | 1.9425888 | 1.9425883 | 1.9425884 |
| $E_{J}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | -0.312826 | -0.314095 | -0.314739 | -0.314788 | -0.314803 |
| $J_{\text {th }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 29223.4206 | 29223.7611 | 29223.7795 | 29223.7813 | 29223.7815 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.1332433490 | 1.1332424572 | 1.1332424189 | 1.1332424226 | 1.1332424224 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 2.67369487 | 2.67394670 | 2.67396023 | 2.67396140 | 2.67396161 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 13.2053933 | 13.2113357 | 13.2116982 | 13.2117329 | 13.2117393 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 0.266648440 | 0.266641645 | 0.266641335 | 0.266641313 | 0.266641309 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 4.69942640 | 4.69992513 | 4.69995215 | 4.69995450 | 4.69995493 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 26.630174 | 26.641987 | 26.642709 | 26.642778 | 26.642791 |

Table IX. The ionization energy and the expectation values of various operators for the ${ }^{31} P$ state of helium. a.u. stands for atomic units.

| $N$ | 56 | 120 | 220 | 364 | 560 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Omega$ | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 |
| $-E$ (a.u.) | 2.0551262593 | 2.0551443030 | 2.0551461242 | 2.0551463263 | 2.0551463554 |
| $\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 40.045124 | 40.045739 | 40.045548 | 40.045525 | 40.045507 |
| $\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.2735413 | 1.2736525 | 1.2736272 | 1.2736286 | 1.2736283 |
| $\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 0.0003034 | 0.0002685 | 0.0002578 | 0.0002543 | 0.0002530 |
| $-E_{2}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.079086 | 0.078462 | 0.078314 | 0.078279 | 0.078268 |
| $\left(2 \epsilon^{2}-4\right) R_{\mathrm{He}^{4}}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 12097.156 | 12101.116 | 12101.516 | 12101.560 | 12101.566 |
| - $\epsilon_{M}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | -0.435292 | -0.437431 | -0.437668 | -0.437678 | -0.437668 |
| $E_{J}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.177618 | 0.171907 | 0.173448 | 0.173375 | 0.173380 |
| $J_{\text {th }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 12096.899 | 12100.850 | 12101.251 | 12101.296 | 12101.302 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.054967915 | 1.054972233 | 1.054972258 | 1.054972329 | 1.054972346 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 6.672466 | 6.678473 | 6.679421 | 6.679530 | 6.679544 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 91.5447 | 91.8202 | 91.8662 | 91.8719 | 91.8727 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 0.109623830 | 0.109601343 | 0.109597107 | 0.109596723 | 0.109596687 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 12.623871 | 12.635868 | 12.637764 | 12.637983 | 12.638011 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 183.1286 | 183.6810 | 183.7731 | 183.7846 | 183.7862 |

Table X. The ionization energy and the expectation values of various operators for the $3^{3} P_{1}$ state of helium. a.u. stands for atomic units.

| $N$ | 56 | 120 | 220 | 364 | 560 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Omega$ | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 |
| $-E$ (a.u.) | 2.0580685314 | 2.0580801401 | 2.0580809909 | 2.0580810703 | 2.0580810816 |
| $\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 39.914966 | 39.912292 | 39.912275 | 39.912301 | 39.912310 |
| $\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.2693069 | 1.2691112 | 1.2691154 | 1.2691193 | 1.2691211 |
| $-E_{2}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | -0.1196723 | -0.1207475 | -0.1208676 | -0.1208816 | -0.1208828 |
| $C-5 D / 3\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.016343 | 0.017461 | 0.017656 | 0.017680 | 0.017677 |
| $\left(2 \epsilon^{2}-4\right) R_{\mathrm{He}^{4}}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 12742.822 | 12745.369 | 12745.556 | 12745.573 | 12745.576 |
| $-\epsilon_{M}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.544743 | 0.551844 | 0.552520 | 0.552588 | 0.552601 |
| $E_{J}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | -0.06300 | $-0.05640$ | -0.05668 | -0.05688 | -0.05699 |
| $J_{\text {th }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 12743.304 | 12745.865 | 12746.052 | 12746.069 | 12746.072 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.058043836 | 1.058024390 | 1.058022753 | 1.058022786 | 1.058022785 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 6.3160668 | 6.3206196 | 6.3210987 | 6.3211210 | 6.3211269 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 81.88872 | 82.08559 | 82.10822 | 82.10950 | 82.10982 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 0.115968200 | 0.115932519 | 0.115929096 | 0.115929018 | 0.115928987 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 11.921071 | 11.930186 | 11.931144 | 11.931189 | 11.931201 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 163.85846 | 164.25411 | 164.29952 | 164.30209 | 164.30274 |

Table XI. The ionization energy and the expectation values of various operators for the $4^{1} P$ state of helium. a.u. stands for atomic units.

| $N$ | 56 | 120 | 220 | 364 | 560 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Omega$ | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 |
| $-E$ (a.u.) | 2.031027312 | 2.031061159 | 2.031068173 | 2.031069379 | 2.031069591 |
| $\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 40.02201 | 40.02231 | 40.02122 | 40.02131 | 40.02127 |
| $\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.273252 | 1.273493 | 1.273382 | 1.273409 | 1.273405 |
| $\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 0.0001569 | 0.0001316 | 0.0001198 | 0.0001149 | 0.0001129 |
| $-E_{2}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.034160 | 0.034169 | 0.034145 | 0.034122 | 0.034110 |
| $\left(2 \epsilon^{2}-4\right) R_{\text {He }}{ }^{4}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 6808.774 | 6816.202 | 6817.741 | 6818.005 | 6818.052 |
| - $\epsilon_{M}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | -0.182383 | -0.186699 | -0.187862 | -0.188132 | -0.188165 |
| $E_{J}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.09182 | 0.07588 | 0.08124 | 0.07975 | 0.07997 |
| $J_{\text {th }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 6808.683 | 6816.091 | 6817.634 | 6817.897 | 6817.944 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.0309996 | 1.0309985 | 1.0310004 | 1.0310005 | 1.0310004 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 11.93075 | 11.94160 | 11.94592 | 11.94721 | 11.94759 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 302.649 | 303.572 | 303.923 | 304.026 | 304.057 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 0.06185719 | 0.06186554 | 0.06186428 | 0.06186266 | 0.06186191 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 23.12776 | 23.14932 | 23.15794 | 23.16050 | 23.16126 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 605.332 | 607.171 | 607.872 | 608.078 | 608.139 |

Table XII. The ionization energy and the expectation values of various operators for the $4^{3} P_{1}$ state of helium. a.u. stands for atomic units.

| $N$ | 56 | 120 | 220 | 364 | 560 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Omega$ | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 |
| $-E$ (a.u.) | 2.032253057 | 2.032313079 | 2.032322903 | 2.032324173 | 2.032324325 |
| $\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 39.965632 | 39.964963 | 39.966209 | 39.966295 | 39.966317 |
| $\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.2715848 | 1.2714621 | 1.2715479 | 1.2715397 | 1.2715387 |
| $-E_{2}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | -0.045035 | -0.049133 | -0.050123 | -0.050322 | -0.050352 |
| $C-5 D / 3\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.004626 | 0.006378 | 0.006963 | 0.007141 | 0.007179 |
| $\left(2 \epsilon^{2}-4\right) R_{\text {He }}{ }^{4}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 7077.757 | 7090.929 | 7093.084 | 7093.363 | 7093.397 |
| - $\epsilon_{M}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.199044 | 0.221029 | 0.226298 | 0.227170 | 0.227279 |
| $E_{J}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | -0.01932 | -0.01461 | -0.01767 | -0.01684 | -0.01669 |
| $J_{\text {th }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 7077.937 | 7091.135 | 7093.293 | 7093.574 | 7093.607 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.0322673 | 1.0322926 | 1.0322889 | 1.0322888 | 1.0322886 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 11.42893 | 11.45556 | 11.46652 | 11.46823 | 11.46858 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 277.073 | 278.994 | 279.769 | 279.899 | 279.926 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 0.06460254 | 0.06454418 | 0.06451133 | 0.06450670 | 0.06450572 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 22.12820 | 22.18138 | 22.20326 | 22.20669 | 22.20738 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 554.199 | 558.034 | 559.581 | 559.841 | 559.895 |

Table XIII. The ionization energy and the expectation values of various operators for the $2^{1} P$ state of the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ion. a.u. stands for atomic units.

| $\Omega$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 120 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 220 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 364 \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-E$ (a.u.) | 4.9933482870 | 4.9933508749 | 4.9933510558 | 4.9933510746 |
| $\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 204.14771 | 204.14637 | 204.14604 | 204.14594 |
| $\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 4.30659110 | 4.30657103 | 4.30657111 | 4.30657134 |
| $\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 0.0099987 | 0.0098816 | 0.0098520 | 0.0098429 |
| $-E_{2}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 2.22345 | 2.22272 | 2.22257 | 2.22254 |
| $\left(2 \epsilon^{2}-9\right) R_{\mathrm{Li}^{7}}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 108268.9590 | 108269.5269 | 108269.5666 | 108269.5708 |
| - $\epsilon_{M}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | -4.3111022 | -4.3110606 | -4.3110638 | -4.3110642 |
| $E_{J}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 5.63407 | 5.63593 | 5.63591 | 5.63587 |
| $J_{\text {th }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | 108270.2820 | 108270.8518 | 108270.8914 | 108270.8956 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.746578823 | 1.746579487 | 1.746579531 | 1.746579541 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 1.52187696 | 1.52192741 | 1.52193161 | 1.52193201 |
| $\left\langle r_{1}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 4.0408638 | 4.0415579 | 4.0416206 | 4.0416271 |
| $\left\langle 1 / r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 0.492779764 | 0.492775482 | 0.492775131 | 0.492775102 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 2.5923595 | 2.5924596 | 2.5924679 | 2.5924687 |
| $\left\langle r_{3}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ (a.u.) | 8.072704 | 8.074071 | 8.074195 | 8.074208 |

Table XIV. Two-electron $P$-state ionization energies, comparison between theory and experiment. $\nu$ is the nonrelativistic ionization energy in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, and $J_{\text {th }}$ the total theoretical ionization energy including the mass polarization and relativistic corrections. The results tabulated are for $N=560$ in the case of helium, and $N=364$ in the case of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$. $J_{\text {exp }}$ is the experimental value.

|  | He $2^{1} P$ | He $2^{3} P_{1}$ | He $3^{1} P$ | $\mathrm{He} 3^{3} P_{1}$ | $\mathrm{He} 4^{1} P$ | $\mathrm{He} 4^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Li}^{+} 2^{1} P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\nu$ | 27176.69 | 29222.15 | 12101.57 | 12745.58 | 6818.05 | 7093.40 | 108269.57 |
| $J_{\text {th }}$ | 27175.77 | 29223.78 | 12101.30 | 12746.07 | 6817.94 | 7093.61 | 108270.90 |
| $J_{\text {exp }}$ | $27175.81{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $29223.86^{\text {a }}$ | 12 101.33a | $12746.13^{\text {b }}$ | $6817.99^{\text {b }}$ | $7093.6{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $108270.81^{\text {d }}$ |
| $J_{\text {exp }}-J_{\text {th }}$ | +0.04 | +0.08 | +0.03 | +0.06 | +0.05 | +0.06 | -0.09 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Reference 8.
b Reference 24.
o See Ref. 24. We have assumed that the term value listed by Martin corresponds to the $J=1$ level.
d Reference 9 .
$=30.08387$ for $\mathrm{He}^{4}$ and $2(m / M) R=17.163109$ for $\mathrm{Li}^{7}$. None of these constants are known to such an accuracy, and the results are only quoted in Tables VII-XIII to the number of significant figures given in order to exhibit
the rate of convergence in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, and to enable the original values in atomic units to be recovered if desired. The values of these constants are, however, known sufficiently accurately to enable $E_{J}$ and $\epsilon_{M}$ to be
computed in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ to at least four places after the decimal point in all cases, an accuracy sufficient for the present calculations. The tables also contain the values of $-E=\epsilon^{2}$ and the expectation values of $p_{1}{ }^{4}, \delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right)$, $\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{3}\right), 1 / r_{1}, r_{1}, r_{1}^{2}, 1 / r_{3}, r_{3}$, and $r_{3}{ }^{2}$ in atomic units. It will be seen that at $N=560$ (or $N=364$ in the case of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$), $J_{\text {th }}$ has converged to within an accuracy of $10^{-4} 10^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and is thus in all cases subject to an estimated uncertainty less than that of experiment. The results are compared with those of experiment in Table XIV, ${ }^{24}$ in which we have listed $\nu$ and $J_{\text {th }}$ for the highest value of $N$ in the case of each of the states considered, together with the experimental value $J_{\text {exp }}$. The difference $J_{\exp }-J_{\text {th }}$ is presumably accounted for by the contributions from the radiative terms, and is less than $0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in absolute magnitude in all cases.

There have been no calculations of the relativistic corrections for a two-electron $P$ state with which we may compare the results presently described, apart from Schwartz's calculation ${ }^{13}$ of the fine-structure splitting of the helium $2^{3} P$ level. The splitting is obtained by evaluating $\left\langle H_{3}+H_{5}\right\rangle$ [see Eq. (A33)], and our results have been compared with those of Schwartz in a previous publication, ${ }^{1}$ in which the excellent agreement between the results of the two calculations is demonstrated. Our results for the mass polarization correction $\epsilon_{M}$ agree well with the values of 1.3874 and $-1.9443 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ obtained for the $2^{1} P$ and $2^{3} P$ states of helium by Araki et al., ${ }^{25}$ and also with the values of 1.383 and -1.942 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ which may be derived from the results of recent calculations by Machacek et al. ${ }^{26}$ for these two states.

Our result for the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ion confirms the identification of the line at $9581.42 \AA$ as belonging to the $2^{1} S-2^{1} P$ transition. ${ }^{15}$ Combining our value of $J_{\text {th }}=108270.89$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for the $2^{1} P$ level with the theoretical ionization energy of $118704.88 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ obtained by one of us for the $2^{1} S$ level, ${ }^{14}$ we obtain the value $10433.99 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $2^{1} S-2^{1} P$ transition. This is in excellent agreement with Toresson and Edlén's experimental value of 10434.01 $\pm 0.10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{15}$
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## APPENDIX A: INTEGRATION OVER THE ANGULAR VARIABLES

## I. Mass Polarization

We have to evaluate $\int \nabla_{1} \psi \cdot \nabla_{2} \psi d \tau$. We write $F\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)=r_{1} G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)$. Then the wave function (4) may be written in the form $\psi=z_{1} G \pm z_{2} \widetilde{G}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1} \psi=z_{1} \nabla_{1} G \pm z_{2} \nabla_{1} \widetilde{G}+G \hat{z} \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{z}$ is the unit vector in the $z$ direction. $\nabla_{2} \psi$ may be expressed similarly, and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \nabla_{1} \psi \cdot \nabla_{2} \psi d \tau=\int\left\{2 z_{1}^{2} \nabla_{1} G \cdot \nabla_{2} G\right. \\
& +2 z_{2} \widetilde{G} \frac{\partial \widetilde{G}}{\partial z_{1}} \pm\left(G \widetilde{G}+2 z_{1} \widetilde{G}^{\partial G}+z_{1} z_{2} \nabla_{1} G \cdot \nabla_{2} \widetilde{G}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+z_{1} z_{2} \nabla_{1} \widetilde{G} \cdot \nabla_{2} G\right)\right\} d \tau \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have made use of the fact that certain terms give equal contributions when integrated, because of the symmetry of the region of integration with respect to the two electrons. We now transform the derivatives into the $r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta, \theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}, \phi$ scheme, using the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta_{2}=\cos \theta^{\prime} \cos \theta-\sin \theta^{\prime} \sin \theta \cos \phi \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} f\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)=\cos \theta^{\prime} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\sin \theta^{\prime} \cos \phi}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}, \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial f / \partial r_{1}$ denotes the derivative with $r_{2}$ and $\theta$ held constant, and $\partial f / \partial \theta$ similarly. Since $G$ is a function of $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $\theta$ only, the scalar products occurring in (A2) will be independent of the angular variables $\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}, \phi$, and we can thus integrate immediately over these variables to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \nabla_{1} \psi \cdot \nabla_{2} \psi d \tau=\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left\{2 r_{1}{ }^{2} \nabla_{1} G \cdot \nabla_{2} G\right. \\
&+2 r_{2} \cos \theta \widetilde{G} \frac{\partial \widetilde{G}}{\partial r_{1}}-2 \frac{r_{2} \sin \theta}{r_{1}} \widetilde{G} \frac{\partial \widetilde{G}}{\partial \theta} \pm\left[3 G \widetilde{G}+2 r_{1} \widetilde{G} \frac{\partial G}{\partial r_{1}}+r_{1} r_{2}\right. \\
&\left.\left.\times \cos \theta\left(\nabla_{1} G \cdot \nabla_{2} \widetilde{G}+\nabla_{1} \widetilde{G} \cdot \nabla_{2} G\right)\right]\right\} d V, \quad \text { (A5) } \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d V=r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2} \sin \theta d r_{1} d r_{2} d \theta=r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3}$. By taking suitable axes in the $r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta$ plane, one can easily show that the scalar product of the gradients of any two functions of $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $\theta$ alone may be written

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{1} f\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right) \cdot \nabla_{2} g\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial r_{1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial r_{2}}-\frac{1}{r_{1} r_{2}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta}\right) \cos \theta \\
&  \tag{A6}\\
& \quad-\left(\frac{1}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{1}{r_{2}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_{1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta}\right) \sin \theta
\end{align*}
$$

If we substitute $G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)=e^{-\xi r_{1}-\eta r_{2}} M\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ and transform from $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)$ to $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$, the integral may finally be written, after some algebra, in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \nabla_{1} \psi \cdot \nabla_{2} \psi d \tau=\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left\{r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{3} t_{3} M_{1} M_{2}-\left(r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2} t_{2} M_{1}+r_{1}{ }^{3} t_{1} M_{2}+2 r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{2} r_{3} M_{3}\right) M_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+M\left[-\eta r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{3} t_{3} M_{1}+\left(r_{1} r_{3} t_{3}-\xi r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{3} t_{3}\right) M_{2}+\left(\xi r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2} t_{2}+\eta r_{1}{ }^{3} t_{1}-r_{1} r_{2} t_{2}\right) M_{3}+\left(\xi \eta r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{3} t_{3}-\eta r_{1} r_{3} t_{3}\right) M\right]\right\} \\
& \times e^{-2 \xi r_{1}-2 \eta r_{2}} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3} \pm \frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left\{M_{1}\left(r_{3} t_{3}{ }^{2} \widetilde{M}_{2}-2 r_{2} t_{2} t_{3} \tilde{M}_{3}\right)+M_{2}\left(r_{3} t_{3}{ }^{2} \tilde{M}_{1}-2 r_{1} t_{1} t_{3} \tilde{M}_{3}\right)-4 r_{1} r_{2} t_{2} t_{3}\left(r_{3}\right)^{-1} M_{3} \tilde{M}_{3}\right. \\
& +\tilde{M}\left[\left(8 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2} r_{3}-2 \xi r_{3} t_{3}{ }^{2}\right) M_{1}-2 \eta r_{3} t_{3}{ }^{2} M_{2}+\left(4 r_{1} r_{2} t_{2}+2 \xi r_{1} t_{1} t_{3}+2 \eta r_{2} t_{2} t_{3}\right) M_{3}\right. \\
&  \tag{A7}\\
& \left.\left.+\left(12 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}-8 \xi r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2} r_{3}+\xi^{2} r_{3} t_{3}{ }^{2}+\eta^{2} r_{3} t_{3}{ }^{2}\right) M\right]\right\} e^{-(\xi+\eta)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3},
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{1}$ denotes $\partial M / \partial r_{1}$, and so on, and $t_{1}=-r_{1}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}, t_{2}=r_{1}{ }^{2}-r_{2}{ }^{2}+r_{3}{ }^{2}$, and $t_{3}=r_{1}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}-r_{3}{ }^{2}$. We have expressed the integral in this form, as a sum of integrals of the type (22), in view of the fact that an interpretive programming scheme has been developed for evaluating integrals of this type on the computer.

## II. $\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle=\int\left(\nabla_{1}{ }^{2} \psi\right)^{2} d \tau=\frac{1}{2} \int\left[\left(\nabla_{1}{ }^{2} \psi\right)^{2}+\left(\nabla_{2}{ }^{2} \psi\right)^{2}\right] d \tau \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the wave equation (1), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{1}{ }^{2} \psi\right)^{2}+\left(\nabla_{2}{ }^{2} \psi\right)^{2}=-2 \nabla_{1}{ }^{2} \psi \cdot \nabla_{2}{ }^{2} \psi+4[E-V]^{2} \psi^{2} \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V=-Z / r_{1}-Z / r_{2}+1 / r_{3}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle=\int \nabla_{1}{ }^{2} \psi \cdot \nabla_{2}{ }^{2} \psi d \tau-2 \int(E-V)^{2} \psi^{2} d \tau=I-2 J \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evaluation of $J$ is very simple. Again writing $\psi=z_{1} G \pm z_{2} \widetilde{G}$ and integrating over the variables $\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}, \phi$ we obtain the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{16 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left\{E^{2}+\frac{Z^{2}}{r_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{Z^{2}}{r_{2}{ }^{2}}+\frac{1}{r_{3}{ }^{2}}+\frac{2 E Z}{r_{1}}+\frac{2 E Z}{r_{2}}-\frac{2 E}{r_{3}}+\frac{2 Z^{2}}{r_{1} r_{2}}-\frac{2 Z}{r_{1} r_{3}}-\frac{2 Z}{r_{2} r_{3}}\right\}\left(r_{1}^{2} G^{2} \pm r_{1} r_{2} G \widetilde{G} \cos \theta\right) d V \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is then converted into the $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ scheme. To evaluate $I$, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1}{ }^{2} \psi=z_{1} \nabla_{1}{ }^{2} G \pm z_{2} \nabla_{1}^{2} \widetilde{G}+2\left(\partial G / \partial z_{1}\right) \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\nabla_{2}{ }^{2} \psi$ similarly, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int\left\{2 z_{1}{ }^{2} \nabla_{1}{ }^{2} G \cdot \nabla_{2}{ }^{2} G+4 z_{1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial z_{1}} \nabla_{2}{ }^{2} G\right\} d \tau \pm \int\left\{z_{1} z_{2}\left(\nabla_{1}{ }^{2} G \cdot \nabla_{2}{ }^{2} \widetilde{G}+\nabla_{1}^{2} \widetilde{G} \cdot \nabla_{2}{ }^{2} G\right)+4 \frac{\partial G}{\partial z_{1}} \frac{\partial \widetilde{G}}{\partial z_{2}}+4 z_{2} \nabla_{2}^{2} \widetilde{G} \frac{\partial G}{\partial z_{1}}\right\} d \tau \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (A3), (A4), and the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}} f\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)=\left(\cos \theta^{\prime} \cos \theta-\sin \theta^{\prime} \sin \theta \cos \phi\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_{2}}-\frac{\left(\cos \theta^{\prime} \sin \theta+\sin \theta^{\prime} \cos \theta \cos \phi\right)}{r_{2}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and making use of the fact that $\nabla_{1}{ }^{2} f\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)$ and $\nabla_{2}{ }^{2} f\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)$ are independent of $\theta^{\prime}$, $\phi^{\prime}$, and $\phi$, we may integrate over these angles to obtain the result

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
I=\frac{16 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left\{r_{1}{ }^{2} \nabla_{1}{ }^{2} G+2 r_{1} \frac{\partial G}{\partial r_{1}}\right\} & \nabla_{2}{ }^{2} G d V
\end{array}\right) \frac{4 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left\{\left[2 r_{1} r_{2} \cos \theta \nabla_{1}{ }^{2} G+8 r_{2} \cos \theta \frac{\partial G}{\partial r_{1}}-\frac{8 r_{2} \sin \theta}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta}\right] \nabla_{2}{ }^{2} \widetilde{G} .\right.
$$

Writing $G\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)=e^{-\xi r_{1}-\eta r_{2}} M\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ and going over to $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ making use of the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1}{ }^{2} M\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2} M}{\partial r_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{t_{2}}{r_{1} r_{3}} \frac{\partial^{2} M}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{3}}+\frac{\partial^{2} M}{\partial r_{3}{ }^{2}}+\frac{2}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial M}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{2}{r_{3}} \frac{\partial M}{\partial r_{3}} \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a similar relation for $\nabla_{2}{ }^{2} M\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ we obtain the final result

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left\langle p_{1}{ }^{4}\right\rangle=I-2 J=\frac{16 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left[( r _ { 3 } ) ^ { - 1 } \left\{r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{3} M_{11}+\left(4 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{3}-2 \xi r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{3}\right) M_{1}+r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{3} M_{33}+r_{1}{ }^{2} t_{2} M_{13}+\left(2 r_{1}{ }^{3}+r_{1} t_{2}-\xi r_{1}{ }^{2} t_{2}\right) M_{3}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\xi^{2} r_{1}^{3} r_{3}-4 \xi r_{1}^{2} r_{3}\right) M\right\}\left\{r_{2} r_{3} M_{22}+t_{1} M_{23}+r_{2} r_{3} M_{33}+\left(2 r_{3}-2 \eta r_{2} r_{3}\right) M_{2}+\left(2 r_{2}-\eta t_{1}\right) M_{3}+\left(\eta^{2} r_{2} r_{3}-2 \eta r_{3}\right) M\right\} \\
& +r_{1}\left(r_{2} r_{3}\right)^{-1}\left\{-2 E^{2} r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}-2 Z^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}-2 Z^{2} r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}-2 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2}-4 Z E r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}-4 Z E r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}+4 E r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-4 Z^{2} r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}+4 Z r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}+4 Z r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2} r_{3}\right\} M^{2}\right] e^{-2 \xi r_{1}-2 \eta r_{2}} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3} \pm \frac{4 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left[( r _ { 3 } ) ^ { - 1 } \left\{r_{1} r_{3} t_{3} M_{11}+t_{2} t_{3} M_{13}+r_{1} r_{3} t_{3} M_{33}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(6 r_{3} t_{3}-2 \xi r_{1} r_{3} t_{3}\right) M_{1}+\left(6 r_{1} t_{3}-8 r_{1} r_{2}^{2}-\xi t_{2} t_{3}\right) M_{3}+\left(\xi^{2} r_{1} r_{3} t_{3}-6 \xi r_{3} t_{3}\right) M\right\}\left\{r_{2} r_{3} \widetilde{M}_{22}+t_{1} \widetilde{M}_{23}+r_{2} r_{3} \widetilde{M}_{33}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(2 r_{3}-2 \xi r_{2} r_{3}\right) \widetilde{M}_{2}+\left(2 r_{2}-\xi t_{1}\right) \widetilde{M}_{3}+\left(\xi^{2} r_{2} r_{3}-2 \xi r_{3}\right) \widetilde{M}\right\}+\left(r_{3}\right)^{-1}\left\{r_{2} r_{3} t_{3} M_{22}+t_{1} t_{3} M_{23}+r_{2} r_{3} t_{3} M_{33}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(2 r_{3} t_{3}-2 \eta r_{2} r_{3} t_{3}\right) M_{2}+\left(2 r_{2} t_{3}-\eta t_{1} t_{3}\right) M_{3}+\left(\eta^{2} r_{2} r_{3} t_{3}-2 \eta r_{3} t_{3}\right) M\right\}\left\{r_{1} r_{3} \tilde{M}_{11}+t_{2} \tilde{M}_{13}+r_{1} r_{3} \tilde{M}_{33}+\left(2 r_{3}-2 \eta r_{1} r_{3}\right) \tilde{M}_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(2 r_{1}-\eta t_{2}\right) \widetilde{M}_{3}+\left(\eta^{2} r_{1} r_{3}-2 \eta r_{3}\right) \widetilde{M}\right\}+\left\{4 r_{3} t_{3} M_{1}-8 r_{1} t_{1} M_{3}-4 \xi r_{3} t_{3} M\right\}\left\{\widetilde{M}_{2}-\xi \widetilde{M}\right\}-8 r_{1} r_{2} t_{2}\left(r_{3}\right)^{-1} M_{3} \widetilde{M}_{3} \\
& +\left(r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\right)^{-1}\left\{-4 E^{2} r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2} t_{3}-4 Z^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2} t_{3}-4 Z^{2} r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2} t_{3}-4 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2} t_{3}-8 Z E r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2} t_{3}-8 Z E r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2} t_{3}+8 E r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3} t_{3}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-8 Z^{2} r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2} t_{3}+8 Z r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3} t_{3}+8 Z r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2} r_{3} t_{3}\right\} M \tilde{M}\right] e^{-(\xi+\eta)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3} . \tag{A17}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, we have written this integral in a form corresponding as closely as possible to that represented in the computer program.

## III. $E_{2}$

The complicated nature of the angular dependence of the operator $H_{2}$ necessitated the development of a general method for integrating over the angular variables. We shall outline the method in some detail, in view of its possible usefulness in other cases. Instead of, as heretofore, writing down the operators using the set of variables ( $r_{1}, \theta_{1}, \phi_{1}, r_{2}, \theta_{2}, \phi_{2}$ ) and then transforming into the set ( $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, \theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}, \phi$ ), we shall write the operators directly in terms of the latter set of coordinates. It will be remembered that $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $r_{3}$ define the shape of the triangle formed by the nucleus and the two electrons, and $\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}$, and $\phi$ are the Eulerian angles describing the orientation of this triangle with respect to a set of space axes $x, y, z$ (see Fig. 1).


Fig. 2. The coordinate system used in computing $E_{2}$. In order to evaluate the scalar products, it is easiest to resolve the various vectors into components in the $\mathbf{r}_{1}$, $\mathbf{r}_{2}$ plane and perpendicular to it. We therefore take a set of rectangular Cartesian coordinates with unit vectors $\mathbf{k}$ along $\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{j}$ perpendicular to the $\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}$ plane, and $\mathbf{i}$ in this plane in such a way that $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ form a righthanded orthogonal set, as shown in Fig. 2. This set may be brought into coincidence with the space axes $x, y$ and $z$ by successive rotations of $-\phi$ about the $\mathbf{k}$ axis, $-\theta^{\prime}$ about the (new) $\mathbf{j}$ axis, and $-\phi^{\prime}$ about the (new) $\mathbf{k}$ axis again. Thus it is easily shown that the compo-
nents of $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ with respect to the space axes $x, y$ and $z$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{i} & =\left(\cos \theta^{\prime} \cos \phi \cos \phi^{\prime}-\sin \phi \sin \phi^{\prime}, \cos \theta^{\prime} \cos \phi \sin \phi^{\prime}+\sin \phi \cos \phi^{\prime},-\sin \theta^{\prime} \cos \phi\right) \\
\mathbf{j} & =\left(-\cos \theta^{\prime} \sin \phi \cos \phi^{\prime}-\cos \phi \sin \phi^{\prime},-\cos \theta^{\prime} \sin \phi \sin \phi^{\prime}+\cos \phi \cos \phi^{\prime}, \sin \theta^{\prime} \sin \phi\right),  \tag{A18}\\
\mathbf{k} & =\left(\sin \theta^{\prime} \cos \phi^{\prime}, \sin \theta^{\prime} \sin \phi^{\prime}, \cos \theta^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The vectors which will be needed in the calculation may be expressed in terms of their components along the $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$, and $\mathbf{k}$ axes as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{r}_{1} \\
&=\left\{0,0, r_{1}\right\}, \\
& \mathbf{r}_{2}=\left\{r_{2} \sin \theta, 0, r_{2} \cos \theta\right\}, \\
& \mathbf{r}_{3}=\left\{r_{2} \sin \theta, 0, r_{2} \cos \theta-r_{1}\right\}, \\
& \nabla_{1}=\left\{\frac{\cos \phi}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}+\frac{\sin \phi}{r_{1} \sin \theta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}-\frac{\cot \theta^{\prime} \sin \phi}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}-\frac{r_{2} \sin \theta}{r_{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{3}},\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{\sin \phi}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}+\frac{\cos \phi}{r_{1} \sin \theta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^{\prime}}-\frac{\left(\cot \theta+\cot \theta^{\prime} \cos \phi\right)}{r_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(r_{1}-r_{2} \cos \theta\right)}{r_{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{3}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{2}=\left\{\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{r_{2} \sin \theta}{r_{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{3}}, \frac{1}{r_{2} \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{\left(r_{2} \cos \theta-r_{1}\right)}{r_{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{3}}\right\} . \tag{A19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the gradients of any function of $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $r_{3}$ alone lie in the $\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}$ plane, as we should expect. It will be seen that the expressions for the various components of the vectors contain terms involving $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$. These have just been inserted as a shorthand notation for the corresponding functions of $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ [e.g., $\cos \theta$ has been written for $\left.\left(r_{1}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}-r_{3}{ }^{2}\right) /\left(2 r_{1} r_{2}\right)\right]$. It is useful to retain them throughout part of the subsequent development, rather than to perform the tedious algebra which would result if the variable $\theta$ were to be eliminated completely at this stage. Expressions involving $\theta$ do not, of course, commute with the operation of differentiation with respect to $r_{1}, r_{2}$, or $r_{3}$, and the following relations are useful in this connection

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}} \cos \theta=\frac{t_{2}}{2 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}} \cos \theta=\frac{t_{1}}{2 r_{1} r_{2}^{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{3}} \cos \theta=\frac{-r_{3}}{r_{1} r_{2}}, \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}} \sin \theta=-\frac{t_{2} \cot \theta}{2 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}} \sin \theta=-\frac{t_{1} \cot \theta}{2 r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{3}} \sin \theta=\frac{r_{3} \cot \theta}{r_{1} r_{2}}, \tag{A20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial / \partial r_{1}$ denotes differentiation with respect to $r_{1}$ keeping $r_{2}, r_{3}, \theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}$, and $\phi$ constant, and so on.
We note that $E_{2}=\alpha^{2} X$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{2}}{r_{3}}+\frac{\mathbf{r}_{3}\left(\mathbf{r}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{2}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{1}}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\rangle=\int\left(F \cos \theta_{1} \pm \widetilde{F} \cos \theta_{2}\right)\left\{\frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{2}}{r_{3}}+\frac{\mathbf{r}_{3}\left(\mathbf{r}_{3} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{2}\right)}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\} \cdot \nabla_{1} F \cos \theta_{1} d \tau \tag{A21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $F\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right) \equiv H\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$ and using the formulas (A19), we see that the vector $\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{1}\left(F \cos \theta_{1}\right)$ may be written

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{1}\left(F \cos \theta_{1}\right) & =\cos \theta^{\prime} \nabla_{1} H+H\left\{-\frac{\sin \theta^{\prime} \cos \phi}{r_{1}}, \frac{\sin \theta^{\prime} \sin \phi}{r_{1}}, 0\right\} \\
& =\left\{-\frac{r_{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta^{\prime}}{r_{3}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r_{3}}-\frac{H \sin \theta^{\prime} \cos \phi}{r_{1}}, \frac{H \sin \theta^{\prime} \sin \phi}{r_{1}}, \cos \theta^{\prime} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r_{1}}+\frac{\left(r_{1}-r_{2} \cos \theta\right)}{r_{3}} \cos \theta^{\prime} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r_{3}}\right\} \tag{A22}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the operator $\nabla_{2} / r_{3}+\mathbf{r}_{3}\left(\mathbf{r}_{3} \cdot \nabla_{2}\right) / r_{3}{ }^{3}$ may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{\left(3 r_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{2}-r_{1}{ }^{2}\right)}{2 r_{3}{ }^{3}} \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{2 r_{2} \sin \theta}{r_{3}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{3}}, \frac{1}{r_{2} r_{3} \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \frac{\left(2 r_{3}{ }^{2} \cos \theta-r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)}{r_{3}{ }^{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{2}}+\frac{2\left(r_{2} \cos \theta-r_{1}\right)}{r_{3}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{3}}\right\} . \tag{A23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, taking the scalar product of (A23) and (A22) and making use of the relations (A20), and the fact that
$\partial \mathbf{i} / \partial \phi=\mathbf{j}, \partial \mathbf{j} / \partial \phi=-\mathbf{i}$, and $\partial \mathbf{k} / \partial \phi=0$, we have, after some algebra, the result

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\nabla_{2} / r_{3}+\mathbf{r}_{3}\left(\mathbf{r}_{3} \cdot \nabla_{2}\right) / r_{3}{ }^{3}\right\} \cdot \nabla_{1} F \cos \theta_{1}=\cos \theta^{\prime}\left\{\left(\frac{2 \cos \theta}{r_{3}}-\frac{r_{1} r_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{2}}+\frac{2\left(r_{2} \cos \theta-r_{1}\right)}{r_{3}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{3}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{2\left(r_{1} \cos \theta-r_{2}\right)}{r_{3}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r_{2} \partial r_{3}}-\frac{2}{r_{3}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r_{3}{ }^{2}}-\frac{2}{r_{3}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r_{3}}\right\}+\sin \theta^{\prime} \cos \phi\left\{\left(\frac{r_{1} r_{2} \cos \theta-r_{3}{ }^{2}-r_{2}{ }^{2}}{r_{1} r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right) \sin \theta \frac{\partial H}{\partial r_{2}}-\frac{2 r_{2} \sin \theta}{r_{1} r_{3}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r_{3}}\right\} . \tag{A24}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying the scalar product (A24) by $H \cos \theta^{\prime} \pm \widetilde{H} \cos \theta^{\prime} \cos \theta \mp \widetilde{H} \sin \theta^{\prime} \sin \theta \cos \phi$ and integrating, we have, after substituting for $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$ in terms of $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $r_{3}$, the result

$$
\begin{align*}
& X=\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left[\left\{\frac{t_{3}}{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}}-\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}+\frac{t_{3}{ }^{2}}{4 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{2}}-\frac{t_{2}}{r_{1} r_{3}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{3}}-\frac{t_{1}}{r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r_{2} \partial r_{3}}-\frac{2}{r_{3}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r_{3}{ }^{2}}-\frac{2}{r_{3}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r_{3}}\right] H d V \\
& \pm \frac{4 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left[\left\{\frac{t_{3}{ }^{2}}{r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}}-\frac{t_{3}}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}+\frac{t_{3}{ }^{3}}{4 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{2}}-\frac{t_{2} t_{3}}{r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r_{1} \partial r_{3}}-\frac{t_{1} t_{3}}{r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r_{2} \partial r_{3}}-\frac{2 t_{3}}{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial r_{3}{ }^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{\frac{4 r_{2}}{r_{1} r_{3}{ }^{2}}-\frac{2 t_{3}}{r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}}-\frac{t_{3}{ }^{2}}{r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}}\right\} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r_{3}}+\left\{\frac{2}{r_{1} r_{3}}+\frac{t_{1}}{r_{1} r_{3}{ }^{3}}-\frac{t_{3}{ }^{2}}{2 r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}}-\frac{t_{1} t_{3}{ }^{2}}{4 r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r_{2}}\right] \tilde{H} d V . \tag{A25}
\end{align*}
$$

If we write $H\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=r_{1} e^{-\xi r_{1}-\eta r_{2}} M\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$, we have finally

$$
\begin{align*}
X= & \frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left[\left(r_{3}\right)^{-2}\left\{r_{1}{ }^{2} M_{12}-\eta r_{1}{ }^{2} M_{1}+\left(r_{1}-\xi r_{1}{ }^{2}\right) M_{2}+\left(\xi \eta r_{1}{ }^{2}-\eta r_{1}\right) M\right\}\left\{\left(2 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}+2 r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}-3 r_{3}{ }^{4}+r_{1}{ }^{4}+r_{2}{ }^{4}-2 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2}\right) M\right\}\right. \\
& \left.-4 r_{1}\left(r_{3}\right)^{-1} M\left\{r_{1} r_{2} t_{2} M_{13}+r_{1}{ }^{2} t_{1} M_{23}+2 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2} r_{3} M_{33}+\left(r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}+3 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}-r_{2}{ }^{3}-\xi r_{1} r_{2} t_{2}-\eta r_{1}{ }^{2} t_{1}\right) M_{3}\right\}\right] e^{-2 \xi r_{1}-2 \eta r_{2}} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3} \\
& \pm \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \int\left[\left(r_{3}\right)^{-2}\left\{M_{12}-\eta M_{1}-\xi M_{2}+\xi \eta M\right\}\right. \\
& \quad \times\left\{\left(r_{1}{ }^{4} r_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{4} r_{3}{ }^{2}+3 r_{3}{ }^{6}+6 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}-5 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{4}-5 r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{4}+r_{1}{ }^{6}-r_{1}{ }^{4} r_{2}{ }^{2}-r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{4}+r_{2}{ }^{6}\right) \tilde{M}\right\} \\
& +4\left(r_{3}\right)^{-1}\left\{-2 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} t_{3} M_{33}-r_{2} t_{2} t_{3} M_{13}-r_{1} t_{1} t_{3} M_{23}+\left(\xi r_{2} t_{2} t_{3}+\eta r_{1} t_{1} t_{3}+4 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}-4 r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{2}\right) M_{3}\right\} \tilde{M} \\
& \left.+2\left(r_{3}\right)^{-2}\left\{\eta-M_{2}\right\}\left\{\left(2 r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{3}{ }^{2}-r_{1} r_{3}{ }^{4}-6 r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}-r_{1}{ }^{5}+2 r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{2}{ }^{2}-r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{4}\right) \tilde{M}\right\}\right] e^{-(\xi+\eta)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3} . \tag{A26}
\end{align*}
$$

## IV. The Spin-Dependent Operators $H_{3}$ and $H_{5}$

In the case of a singlet state, the total spin $S$ is zero, hence the expectation value of $H_{3}$ is zero. Since $\left\langle\mathbf{s}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{2}\right\rangle=-\frac{3}{4}$, the expectation value of the first term in $H_{5}$ is just $2 \pi \alpha^{2}\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\right\rangle$. Also, it can easily be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{\left(\mathbf{s}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{s}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{3}\right)}{r_{3}{ }^{5}}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\frac{1}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\rangle \tag{A27}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the expectation value of the remainder of $H_{5}$ is zero. Thus, for a singlet state, the expectation value of $H_{3}+H_{5}$ is equal to $2 \pi \alpha^{2}\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\right\rangle$.

Breit ${ }^{3}$ has solved the secular equation and determined the expectation values of $H_{3}$ and $H_{5}$ for ${ }^{3} P$ states in order to determine their fine structure splitting. For the $J=0, J=1$ and $J=2$ levels, the expectation values of $H_{3}$ are $-2 C,-C$, and $C$, respectively, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2}\left[Z C_{Z}-3 C_{e}\right] \tag{A28}
\end{equation*}
$$

in atomic units, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{Z}=\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{3} \int \frac{1}{r_{1}^{3}}\left[2 F(F-\widetilde{F} \cos \theta)+\sin \theta\left(F \frac{\partial \widetilde{F}}{\partial \theta}-\widetilde{F} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}\right)\right] d V, \\
& C_{e}=\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{3} \int \frac{1}{r_{3}^{3}}\left[2 F(F-\widetilde{F} \cos \theta)+r_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta\left(F \frac{\partial \widetilde{F}}{\partial r_{2}}-\widetilde{F} \frac{\partial F}{\partial r_{2}}\right)\right. \tag{A29}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left.+\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\left\{\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right) F \widetilde{F}-2 F^{2} \cos \theta+\sin \theta \cos \theta\left(\widetilde{F} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}-F \frac{\partial \widetilde{F}}{\partial \theta}\right)\right\}\right] d V
$$

We have introduced the extra factor $8 \pi^{2} / 3$ into Breit's formula because of a difference in the choice of normalization. Breit uses the function $\psi=\left(3 / 8 \pi^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(F \cos \theta_{1}-\widetilde{F} \cos \theta_{2}\right)$, for which $\mathcal{S}\left(F^{2}+\widetilde{F}^{2}-2 F \widetilde{F} \cos \theta\right) d V=1$, whereas we have used $\psi=F \cos \theta_{1}-\widetilde{F} \cos \theta_{2}$, for which this integral is equal to $3 / 8 \pi^{2}$.

We now consider $H_{5}$. For a triplet state, $\left\langle\delta\left(\mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\right\rangle$ is zero. The expectation value of $\mathbf{s}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{2}$ is equal to $\frac{1}{4}$ for all values of $J$, so that

$$
\alpha^{2}\left\langle\frac{\mathrm{~s}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{2}}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\rangle=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}\left\langle\frac{1}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\rangle .
$$

There remains the term $-3\left(\mathbf{s}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{3}\right)\left(\mathbf{s}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{3}\right) / r_{3}{ }^{5}$ in $H_{5}$. Breit has shown that the expectation value of this operator for the $J=0, J=1$ and $J=2$ levels is equal to $D^{\prime}-3 D, D^{\prime}+2 D$ and $D^{\prime}$ respectively, where the integrals $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are

$$
D=-\frac{2 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2}}{5} \int\left(r_{3}\right)^{-5}\left\{\left[4 r_{1}^{2}+\left(6 \cos ^{2} \theta-2\right) r_{2}^{2}-8 r_{1} r_{2} \cos \theta\right] F^{2}+\left[\left(6+2 \cos ^{2} \theta\right) r_{1} r_{2}-8 r_{1}^{2} \cos \theta\right] F \tilde{F}\right\} d V
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime}=-\frac{2 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2}}{5} \int\left(r_{3}\right)^{-5}\left\{\left[2 r_{1}{ }^{2}+\left(4-2 \cos ^{2} \theta\right) r_{2}{ }^{2}-4 r_{1} r_{2} \cos \theta\right] F^{2}+\left[\left(6 \cos ^{2} \theta-2\right) r_{1} r_{2}-4 r_{1}{ }^{2} \cos \theta\right] F \tilde{F}\right\} d V \tag{A30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{1}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\right\rangle=\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{3} \int \frac{1}{r_{3}{ }^{3}}\left(2 F^{2}-2 F \tilde{F} \cos \theta\right) d V \tag{A31}
\end{equation*}
$$

it may easily be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime}+\frac{1}{4} \alpha^{2}\left\langle 1 / r_{3}{ }^{3}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{3} D, \tag{A32}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the expectation value of $H_{5}$ is equal to $-10 D / 3,5 D / 3$ and $-D / 3$ for the $J=0, J=1$, and $J=2$ levels, respectively.

Thus, finally we have that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\langle H_{3}+H_{5}\right\rangle=-2 C-10 D / 3, \quad \text { for } J=0, \\
-C+5 D / 3, \quad \text { for } J=1,  \tag{A33}\\
C-D / 3, \quad \text { for } J=2
\end{array}
$$

If we write $F\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \theta\right)=r_{1} e^{-\xi r_{1}-\eta r_{2}} M\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$, the formulas (A29) and (A30) may be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{Z}=\frac{16 \pi^{2}}{3} \int r_{2} r_{3} M^{2} e^{-2 \xi r_{1}-2 \eta r_{2}} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3} \\
&+\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left(r_{1} r_{2}\right)^{-2} \widetilde{M}\left\{\left(4 r_{1}{ }^{5} r_{2}{ }^{2}-4 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{5}-r_{1}{ }^{3} t_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{2}{ }^{3} t_{3}{ }^{2}\right) M_{3}-\left(2 r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{3} t_{3}+2 r_{2}{ }^{3} r_{3} t_{3}\right) M\right\} e^{-(\xi+\eta)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
C_{e}=\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left(r_{3}\right)^{-2}\left(r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{2}-r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{3}+r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}\right) M^{2} e^{-2 \xi r_{1}-2 \eta r_{2}} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3}
$$

$$
+\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} \int\left(r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{3}\right)^{-1} \tilde{M}\left\{\left(4 r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3}{ }^{3}+4 \eta r_{1}{ }^{4} r_{2}{ }^{3} r_{3}-\eta r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3} t_{3}{ }^{2}-4 \xi r_{1}{ }^{4} r_{2}{ }^{3} r_{3}+\xi r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3} t_{3}{ }^{2}\right) M\right.
$$

and

$$
\left.+\left(4 r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{2}{ }^{4} r_{3}-r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{2} r_{3} t_{3}{ }^{2}\right) M_{1}+\left(r_{1}{ }^{2} r_{2} r_{3} t_{3}{ }^{2}-4 r_{1}{ }^{4} r_{2}{ }^{3} r_{3}\right) M_{2}\right\} e^{-(\xi+\eta)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D=-\frac{\pi^{2} \alpha^{2}}{5} \int\left(r_{3}\right)^{-4}\left(3 r_{1}{ }^{5} r_{2}+3 r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{5}-6 r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{2}{ }^{3}+2 r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}-6 r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{3} r_{3}{ }^{2}+3 r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{4}\right) M^{2} e^{-2 \xi r_{1}-2 \eta r_{2}} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3} \\
&-\frac{\pi^{2} \alpha^{2}}{5} \int\left(r_{3}\right)^{-4}\left(-3 r_{1}{ }^{5} r_{2}-3 r_{1} r_{2}{ }^{5}+6 r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{2}{ }^{3}+4 r_{1}{ }^{3} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{2}+r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}{ }^{4}\right) M \tilde{M} e^{-(\xi+\eta)\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)} d r_{1} d r_{2} d r_{3} \tag{A34}
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX B: CALCULATION OF THE THREE-DIMENSIONAL INTEGRALS IN THE ( $\left.r_{1}, r_{2}, \varrho\right)$ SCHEME

As explained in Sec. III, after integrating over the angular variables, we are left with the evaluation of threedimensional integrals over the variables ( $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ ) or ( $r_{1}, r_{2}, \rho$ ). We shall list below the formulas developed for evaluating the ( $r_{1}, r_{2}, \rho$ ) integrals, as they might be of some interest. Their derivation will be outlined in a forthcoming publication.

The integrals are evaluated with the aid of certain auxiliary quantities which are computed by means of the following recurrence relations, all of which are stable when used in the manner indicated.

$$
\begin{align*}
& K(p, q)=\frac{p}{\lambda} K(p-1, q)+\frac{(p+q)!}{\lambda(\lambda+\mu)^{p+q+1}}, \quad p, q \geqslant 0 . \\
& H(p, q)=\frac{q}{\mu} H(p, q-1)+\frac{(p+q)!}{\mu(\lambda+\mu)^{p+q+1}}, \quad \quad p, q \geqslant 0 . \\
& N(a, b, c)=\stackrel{b}{\mu} \stackrel{b}{-} N(a, b-1, c)+\stackrel{c}{-}{ }_{\mu} N(a, b, c-1)+\left[1+(-1)^{c+1}\right] \frac{(a+b+c)!}{\mu(\lambda+\mu)^{a+b+c+1}}, \quad a, b, c \geqslant 0 . \\
& L(a, b+1, c)=2 L(a+1, b, c)+L(a, b, c+1), \quad a, b, c \geqslant 0 . \\
& L(a, o, c)=\frac{a!c!}{(a+c)!(\lambda+2 \mu)^{a+c+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{c+i}{i} \frac{1}{(a+c+i+1)}\left(\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\lambda+2 \mu}\right)^{i}, \quad a, c \geqslant 0 . \\
& O(a+1, b, c)=\frac{1}{2}[O(a, b+1, c)+O(a, b, c+1)], \quad a, b, c \geqslant 0 .  \tag{B1}\\
& O(o, b, c)=\frac{b!c!}{(b+c)!(\lambda+\mu)^{c+1}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda+\mu\right)^{b}} \sum_{i=0}^{b-1}\binom{c+i}{i} \frac{1}{(b-i)}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} \lambda+\mu}{\lambda+\mu}\right)^{i}, \quad b, c \geqslant 0 . \\
& M_{1}(a, b+1, c)=2 M_{1}(a+1, b, c)+M_{1}(a, b, c+1), \quad a, b, c \geqslant 0 . \\
& M_{1}(a, o, c)=\frac{a!c!}{(a+c+1)!\mu^{c+1}(\lambda+2 \mu)^{a+1}}, \\
& M_{2}(a+1, b, c)=\frac{1}{2}\left[M_{2}(a, b+1, c)+M_{2}(a, b, c+1)\right], \quad a, b, c \geqslant 0 . \\
& M_{2}(o, b, c)=\frac{b!c!}{2!(b+c+1)!(\lambda+\mu)^{c+1}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda+\mu\right)^{b+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{b}\binom{c+i}{i}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} \lambda+\mu}{\lambda+\mu}\right)^{i}, \quad b, c \geqslant 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The formulas for the integrals are then as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{0}(a, b, c) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{1}{ }^{a} e^{-\lambda r_{1}} d r_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{2}{ }^{b} e^{-\mu r_{2}} d r_{2} \int_{\mid r_{1-r_{2} \mid-r_{1}}}^{r_{2}} \rho^{c} d \rho \\
&=\frac{1}{(c+1)} N(a, b, c+1)+\frac{\left[1+(-1)^{c}\right]}{c+1} K(a, b+c+1), \quad a, b, c \geqslant 0 \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

and for the case $b=-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(a,-1, c)=\frac{1}{(c+1)} F(a, c)+\frac{\left[1+(-1)^{c}\right]}{c+1} K(a, c), \quad a, c \geqslant 0 \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(a, c)=\frac{(a+c+1)!}{\mu^{a+c+2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{a+c+i+1}{i} \frac{(-\lambda / \mu)^{i}}{(a+i+1)}-\frac{a!(c+1)!}{2^{a+1} \mu^{a+c+2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{a+i}{i} \frac{(-\lambda / 2 \mu)^{i}}{(a+c+2+i)} \\
&+\frac{(-1)^{c}(a+c+1)!}{2^{a+1}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda+\mu\right)^{a+c+2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\binom{a+c+i+1}{i}\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{a}\binom{a}{j} \frac{1}{(c+i+j+2)}\right\}\left(\frac{-\lambda}{\lambda+2 \mu}\right)^{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$J_{1}(a, b, c) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{1}{ }^{a} e^{-\lambda r_{1}} d r_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{2}{ }^{b} e^{-\mu r_{2}} d r_{2} \int_{\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|-r_{1}}^{r_{2}} \frac{\rho^{c} d \rho}{\left(\rho+r_{1}\right)}=\frac{b}{\mu} J_{1}(a, b-1, c)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
+\frac{a!(b+c)!}{\mu^{a+b+c+2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{a+i}{i}}{(a+b+c+1+i)}\left(\frac{\mu-\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{i}+(-1)^{c}\left\{\frac{a!(b+c)!}{\mu \lambda^{a}(\lambda+\mu)^{b+c+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{a-1}\binom{b+c+i}{i} \frac{1}{(a-i)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu}\right)^{i}\right\} \\
-\quad-\frac{(a+b+c)!}{\mu}\left\{L(a, b, c)+(-1)^{c} O(a, b, c)+\frac{(-1)^{c}}{(\lambda+\mu)^{a+b+c+1}} \ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{2 \mu+\lambda}\right)\right\},  \tag{B4}\\
J_{2}(a, b, c) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{1}{ }^{a} e^{-\lambda r_{1}} d r_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{2}{ }^{b} e^{-\mu r_{2}} d r_{2} \int_{\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|-r_{1}}^{r_{2}} \frac{\rho^{c}\left(r_{1}{ }^{2}-r_{2}{ }^{2}\right) d \rho}{\left(\rho+r_{1}\right)^{2}}=c\left[J_{1}(a+2, b, c-1)-J_{1}(a, b+2, c-1)\right] \\
-M(a+1, b, c)-M(a, b+1, c)+H(a, b+c+1)-H(a+1, b+c)+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 K(a, b+c+1), \quad c \text { even } \\
-2 K(a+1, b+c), \\
\end{array} \quad c \text { odd },\right. \tag{B5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $M(a, b, c)=(a+b+c+1)!\left[M_{1}(a, b, c)+(-1)^{c} M_{2}(a, b, c)\right]$.
Inverse powers of $r_{3}$ greater than two appear only in the computation of the fine structure integral $D$, and it is convenient in this case to define the following integral:

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{D}(a, b, c) \equiv & \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{1}{ }^{a} e^{-\lambda r_{1}} d r_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{2}{ }^{b} e^{-\mu r_{2}} d r_{2} \int_{\left|r_{1}-r_{2}\right|-r_{1}}^{r_{2}} \rho^{c} d \rho\left\{\frac{3\left(r_{1}{ }^{2}-r_{2}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\rho+r_{1}\right)^{4}}+\frac{2\left(r_{1}{ }^{2}-3 r_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(\rho+r_{1}\right)^{2}}\right\} \\
= & \frac{c(c-1)}{2}\left[J_{2}(a+2, b, c-2)-J_{2}(a, b+2, c-2)\right]+2 c\left[J_{1}(a+2, b, c-1)-3 J_{1}(a, b+2, c-1)\right] \\
& +3 N(a+1, b, c)+5 N(a, b+1, c)-\frac{1}{2} c N(a+2, b, c-1)-c N(a+1, b+1, c-1)-\frac{1}{2} c N(a, b+2, c-1) \\
& +\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(2 c-6)(a+1)!(b+c)!/ \lambda^{a+2} \mu^{b+c+1}, \quad c \text { odd } \\
-c K(a+2, b+c-1)+(2 c-6) H(a+1, b+c)+(10-c) K(a, b+c+1), \quad c \text { even. }
\end{array}\right. \tag{B6}
\end{align*}
$$
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