

of these direct interaction processes would be of considerable interest, since one might hope to learn more of the details of the particle substructure of nuclei from them.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his thanks to Dr. Walter Barkas for his help and continued guidance throughout the course of this work, and to Dr. Harry

H. Heckman and Dr. William G. Simon for numerous helpful discussions.

Thanks are also due the Visual Measurements group under Dr. Heckman, who did the emulsion scanning, C. Cole for his help in the emulsion processing, and Dr. Edward L. Hubbard and the crew of the Berkeley Hilac for their help in setting up and running the experiment.

The author also would like to thank Dr. Franz Plasil for making the results of his calculations available.

### Further Evidence for the Nonexistence of Particle-Stable Tetraneutrons

S. CIERJACKS, G. MARKUS, W. MICHAELIS, AND W. PÖNITZ

*Institut für Angewandte Kernphysik, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany*

(Received 9 September 1964)

A search was made for the occurrence of particle-stable tetraneutrons in the fast-deuteron-induced fission of uranium. This process is known to give a high yield of alphas and tritons. In order to deduce the presence of tetraneutrons, the following hypothetical reactions were investigated:  $N^{14}(n^4, n)N^{17}$ ,  $O^{16}(n^4, t)N^{17}$ ,  $Mg^{26}(n^4, 2n)Mg^{28}$ ,  $Rh^{103}(n^4, 2n)Rh^{105}$ ,  $Bi^{209}(n^4, n)Bi^{212}$  and  $Bi^{209}(n^4, 2n)Bi^{211}$ . No evidence for tetraneutrons was found. The upper limits of tetraneutron yields per alpha obtained from the above reactions are:  $2 \times 10^{-8}$ ,  $3 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $3 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $3 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ , and  $1 \times 10^{-8}$ , respectively. It seems reasonable to conclude from these results that the existence of tetraneutrons is most unlikely.

AS a consequence of experimental results from the  $He^4(\gamma, \pi^+) \rightarrow t+n$  reaction, it has been suggested that there is a low-lying resonant state in the  $n-t$  system at about 4 MeV above binding.<sup>1</sup> Since this state could not be observed in  $n-t$  scattering,<sup>2</sup> it has been interpreted as a state with isotopic spin<sup>3</sup>  $T=2$ . On the basis of this conclusion one would expect the existence of a particle-stable system of four neutrons bound by about<sup>3</sup> 4.5 MeV. However, reinterpretation of the experimental results shows that it is difficult to deduce from the hitherto existing data whether or not there is an  $H^4$  state present in the reaction products.<sup>4,5</sup> In a recent experiment, an upper limit of 15% was obtained for the production of an  $H^4$  final state.<sup>6</sup> The possible occurrence of  $He^8$  and pairing energy arguments cast some doubt upon the stability of the tetraneutron, although the suggestion in favor of it cannot be rejected entirely.<sup>7</sup> Symmetry considerations allow the conclusion

that the proposed  $T=2$  resonance state implies the  $T=1$  state of  $H^4$  to be bound.<sup>8</sup> However, no  $H^4$  was found in several searches.<sup>9</sup>

The problem of the states  $n^4$  and  $H^4$  is closely connected with the problem of the excited states of the  $He^4$  nucleus and the existence of<sup>7,10</sup>  $H^8$ . A  $He^4$  level at about<sup>11,12</sup> 20.1 MeV with<sup>13</sup>  $T=0$  seems to be well established. In a recent paper a second excited state has been proposed at about<sup>12</sup> 21.2 MeV. It can be either a  $T=0$  or a  $T=1$  state. On account of isotopic spin conservation, all experiments up till now concerning the  $He^4$  level structure cannot provide information on

<sup>8</sup> J. P. Schiffer and R. Vandenbosch, *Phys. Letters* **5**, 292 (1963) (see footnote).

<sup>9</sup> R. R. Carlson, E. Norbeck, and V. Hart, *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **9**, 419 (1964). B. M. K. Nefkens and G. Moscati, *Phys. Rev.* **133**, B17 (1964). R. V. Popić, B. Z. Stepančić, and N. R. Aleksić, *Phys. Letters* **10**, 79 (1964). P. C. Rogers and R. H. Stokes, *Phys. Letters* **8**, 320 (1964) and references cited therein.

<sup>10</sup> V. I. Goldanskii, *Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz.* **38**, 1637 (1960) [English transl.: *Soviet Phys.—JETP* **11**, 1179 (1960)].

<sup>11</sup> P. G. Young and G. G. Ohlsen, *Physics Letters* **8**, 124 (1964) and references cited therein. S. Hayakawa, N. Horikawa, R. Kajikawa, K. Kikuchi, H. Kobayakawa, K. Matsuda, S. Nagata, and Y. Sumi, *Phys. Letters* **8**, 333 (1964).

<sup>12</sup> J. F. Mollenauer, *Proceedings of the EANDC Conference on the Automatic Acquisition and Reduction of Nuclear Data*, Karlsruhe, 1964 (unpublished), p. 205.

<sup>13</sup> C. Werntz, *Phys. Rev.* **128**, 1336 (1962). C. Werntz and J. C. Brennan, *Phys. Letters* **6**, 113 (1963). T. Stovall and M. Danos, *Phys. Letters* **7**, 278 (1963). H. Hackenbroich, *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **9**, 505 (1964).

<sup>1</sup> P. E. Argan, G. Bendiscioli, A. Piazzoli, V. Bisi, M. I. Ferrero, and G. Piragino, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **9**, 405 (1962).

<sup>2</sup> T. C. Griffith and E. A. Power, *Nuclear Forces and the Few Nucleon Problems* (Pergamon Press, London, 1960), Vol. I, pp. 473, 481, 511, and 517.

<sup>3</sup> P. E. Argan and A. Piazzoli, *Phys. Letters* **4**, 350 (1963).

<sup>4</sup> E. Lehmann, H. Meyer, and H. O. Wüster, *Phys. Letters* **6**, 216 (1963).

<sup>5</sup> F. von Hippel and P. P. Divakaran, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **12**, 128 (1964) [see also erratum, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **12**, 497 (1964)].

<sup>6</sup> J. H. Smith, L. Criegee, G. Moscati, and B. M. K. Nefkens, *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **9**, 420 (1964).

<sup>7</sup> V. I. Goldanskii, *Phys. Letters* **9**, 184 (1964).

states with  $T=2$ . With one exception<sup>14</sup> searches for particle-stable  $H^5$  were unsuccessful.<sup>15</sup>

In this note a search for the occurrence of tetra-neutrons in the fast-deuteron-induced fission of uranium is described. The measurements may be of use in clarifying the experimental situation for the four-nucleon system. A search for tetra-neutrons in the thermal-fission process had a negative result.<sup>8</sup> If tetra-neutrons exist at all, the yield in the fast deuteron-induced fission is expected to be about two orders of magnitude higher than in thermal fission. This assumption is reasonable because of the much higher yield of alphas and tritons.<sup>16</sup>

A natural-uranium target was bombarded with  $4 \mu\text{A}$  of 50-MeV deuterons in the Karlsruhe isochronous cyclotron. In order to deduce the presence of  $n^4$  the following hypothetical reactions were investigated:  $N^{14}(n^4, n)N^{17}$ ,  $O^{16}(n^4, t)N^{17}$ ,  $Mg^{26}(n^4, 2n)Mg^{28}$ ,  $Rh^{103}(n^4, 2n)Rh^{105}$ ,  $Bi^{209}(n^4, n)Bi^{212}$  and  $Bi^{209}(n^4, 2n)Bi^{211}$ . In none of these experiments was any evidence found for the existence of particle-stable tetra-neutrons. The only information we are able to deduce from the experimental data is an upper limit of the number of  $n^4$  produced per fission. In Table I the results are summarized

TABLE I. Upper limits of tetra-neutron yields.

No.	Reaction	Assumed $\sigma_{n^4, x}$ (mb)	$n^4$ yield per fission	$n^4$ yield per alpha	$n^4$ yield per triton
1	$N^{14}(n^4, n)$	50	$<5 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$<2 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$<5 \cdot 10^{-7}$
2	$O^{16}(n^4, t)$	40	$<1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$<3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$<1 \cdot 10^{-2}$
3	$Mg^{26}(n^4, 2n)$	100	$<1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$<3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$<1 \cdot 10^{-3}$
4	$Rh^{103}(n^4, 2n)$	500	$<1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$<3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$<1 \cdot 10^{-2}$
5	$Bi^{209}(n^4, n)$	50	$<4 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$<1 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$<4 \cdot 10^{-5}$
6	$Bi^{209}(n^4, 2n)$	500	$<3 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$<1 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$<3 \cdot 10^{-7}$
1 <sup>a</sup>	$N^{14}(n^4, n)$	50	$<2 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$<4 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$<2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
2 <sup>a</sup>	$Al^{27}(n^4, t)$	40	$<5 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$<1 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$<5 \cdot 10^{-5}$

<sup>a</sup> Observed for thermal fission (Ref. 8).

together with the assumed  $n^4$  cross sections. Taking into account the influence of binding energy the cross section values seem to be reasonable from  $(\alpha, n)$ ,  $(\alpha, p)$ ,  $(\alpha, t)$ , and  $(\alpha, 2n)$  cross sections in the mass region of the target nuclei.

In the first experiment, nitrogen samples were irradiated in the form of tetrazole  $N_4CH_2$  and ammonium azide  $NH_4N_3$ . The occurrence of the  $N^{14}(n^4, n)N^{17}$  reaction could be examined by looking for the 4.1-sec delayed-neutron activity of  $N^{17}$ . This technique pro-

vides excellent discrimination against other reaction products. In a pneumatically operated rabbit system, 1-g samples in polyethylene containers were irradiated for 20 sec at a point 3.3 cm from the uranium target. The samples were counted in a distant low-background assembly at 2.6-sec intervals. The neutron detector consisted of 17  $B^{10}F_3$  counters in a paraffin pile having an over-all efficiency of about 7%.

For the  $O^{16}(n^4, t)N^{17}$  reaction, the same technique was applied. In order to determine the interference from the  $(n, p)$  and  $(n, d)$  reactions on the rare isotopes  $O^{17}$  and  $O^{18}$ , two "rabbits" containing  $D_2O$  of different oxygen isotopic composition were irradiated alternately. The accuracy of this experiment was limited by the uncertainties in the average  $(n, p)$  and  $(n, d)$  cross sections.

In the third experiment, a 5-g sample of  $MgO$  was irradiated for 5 h at a point 4.5 cm from the uranium target. A radiochemical separation of  $Mg$  was then performed to eliminate the high  $Na^{24}$  activity produced by the  $(n, p)$  process on  $Mg^{24}$ . The occurrence of the  $Mg^{26}(n^4, 2n)Mg^{28}$  reaction was examined by looking for the 1.35- and 1.78-MeV  $\gamma$  transitions following the  $\beta$  decay of 21.3-h  $Mg^{28}$  and 2.3-min  $Al^{28}$ , respectively. The sample was counted for 8 h with a 4-in.  $\times$  5-in.  $NaI(Tl)$  scintillation detector.

For studying the  $Rh^{103}(n^4, 2n)Rh^{105}$  reaction a  $Rh$  foil, 60  $\mu$  thick and 1.6 cm in diameter, was irradiated for 5 h at a position 3 cm from the cyclotron target. The beta- and gamma-ray spectra of the sample were followed for a period of several days in a beta proportional counter and a 3-in.  $\times$  3-in.  $NaI(Tl)$  detector, respectively. In the beta measurement, interference was observed from the 5%  $\beta^+$  activity of 21-h  $Rh^{100}$  produced by the  $(n, 4n)$  reaction. The accuracy of the  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum analysis was limited by the presence of 4.5-day  $Rh^{101}$  which results from the  $(n, 3n)$  process and which has a gamma line very close to the 319 keV transition following the  $\beta$  decay of 35-h  $Rh^{105}$ .

The occurrence of the  $Bi^{209}$  reactions was examined by looking for the  $\alpha$  activity of the product nuclei 2.15-min  $Bi^{211}$  and 60.5-min  $Bi^{212}$ . The target nuclide  $Bi^{209}$  has the advantage that short-time neutron irradiation cannot induce measurable  $\alpha$  activities. Samples were prepared by evaporating layers of  $Bi$  about 100  $\mu$  thick on thin 4  $\times$  4-cm copper foils. After 10 min of irradiation at a point 3.3 cm from the uranium target, these foils were counted for 8 min with a thin 2-in.-diam  $ZnS(Ag)$  scintillation screen.

Considering the absence of a Coulomb barrier for the tetra-neutron, this particle should occur with a frequency comparable with that of alphas and tritons in spite of the much lower binding energy.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, it seems reasonable to conclude from Table I that the existence of tetra-neutrons is most unlikely. As a consequence, the observed resonance state<sup>1,3</sup> in  $H^4$ , if it exists at all, most probably is not a  $T=2$  state. Furthermore, the first  $He^4$  state with  $T=2$  should have an energy  $>29$  MeV.

<sup>14</sup> B. M. K. Nefkens, Phys. Rev. Letters **10**, 55 (1963).

<sup>15</sup> P. Cence and C. Waddell, Phys. Rev. **128**, 1788 (1962). A. Schwarzschild, A. M. Poskanzer, G. T. Emery, and M. Goldhaber, Phys. Rev. **133**, B1 (1964). V. N. Andreev and S. M. Sirotkin, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. (to be published). N. K. Sherman and P. Barreau, Phys. Letters **9**, 151 (1964).

<sup>16</sup> V. P. Shamov, Atomnaja Energija Suppl. **1**, 129 (1957) and references cited therein. R. W. Deutsch, Phys. Rev. **97**, 1117 (1955). E. N. Sloth, D. L. Horrocks, E. J. Boyce, and M. H. Studier, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **24**, 337 (1962).