# Measurement of the L Absorption Spectra of Xenon<sup>\*</sup>

TAKESHI WATANABE

Laboratory of Atomic and Solid State Physics and Department of Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York (Received 7 October 1964)

The x-ray  $L_{I}$ ,  $L_{II}$ , and  $L_{III}$  absorption spectra of gaseous xenon were measured with a two-crystal x-ray spectrometer. Absolute values of the absorption coefficients were determined on both sides of each edge. It was found that the  $L_{II}$  and  $L_{III}$  spectra have similar structural characteristics at the edge, each having a resonance absorption peak, while the absorption coefficient at the  $L_{\rm I}$  edge increases smoothly and does not exhibit the absorption peak. The jump ratios were found to be 1.12, 1.38, and 2.60 for the LI, LII, and LIII edges, respectively. An estimate was made of the oscillator strengths for the bound-bound transitions.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

HE gross features of the  $L_{III}$  absorption edge of gaseous xenon have been investigated by Brogren<sup>1</sup> and Barinskii.<sup>2</sup> Both made some qualitative analyses by considering the similarity between the x-ray excited xenon spectrum and the optically excited cesium spectrum. However, there is a distinct difference in the experimental shapes of these two measurements, and neither of these measurements gives the absolute value of the absorption coefficients which could be quantitatively compared with theoretical calculations.

The study of the L absorption edges of neighboring elements has been carried out by several investigators. Nordfors<sup>3</sup> has investigated elements from silver to tellurium, and determined the jump ratio at the  $L_{I}$ ,  $L_{\rm II}$ , and  $L_{\rm III}$  edges for these elements. Parratt,<sup>4</sup> Meisel and Nefedow,<sup>5</sup> and Blokhin and Sachenko<sup>6</sup> have made systematic analyses of the  $L_{III}$  state width with empirical formulas, and their results are consistent with each other. However, between the two experimental values of the  $L_{\rm III}$  state width estimated by Brogren and Barinskii for xenon there exists a discrepancy which is more than the experimental uncertainty.

The difficulty in a direct measurement of the state width in an absorption spectrum arises from the fact that the width itself is greater than the energy separation between two adjacent empty bound orbitals outside the filled 5p orbital, and as a consequence the total absorption spectrum is given as the composite of several (in principle) resonance absorption lines. Moreover, since the initial states of the  $L_{II}$  and  $L_{III}$  absorption have *p*-type symmetry, the final state should be either d or s type. Therefore, although the absorption due to the p-to-d transition is usually an order of magnitude greater than that of the p to s transition, both types of transitions contribute to the total absorption spectrum.

In the present study the mass absorption coefficients were measured near the  $L_{I}$ ,  $L_{II}$ , and  $L_{III}$  edges of xenon with a two-crystal x-ray spectrometer. The jump ratios were obtained at each edge, and they are consistent with the results of Nordfors<sup>3</sup> for neighboring elements. With the assumptions that the oscillator density of the continuum matches smoothly the fictitious oscillator density below the absorption edge and that the energies of x-ray excited states can be approximated by those of the optically excitated state of cesium, the oscillator strengths of some of the L to bound-state transitions were estimated.

## **II. EXPERIMENTAL**

The apparatus in the present experiment consists of, in addition to the two-crystal spectrometer, a regulated dc voltage supply to the x-ray tube, an x-ray tube current regulator, and a system for measuring x-ray intensities. The details of the instrument can be found elsewhere.7 In order to lower the spurious counts due to the secondary x rays from the second crystal, quartz crystals are used with the (1101) reflection planes. The dispersions are 21.10, 24.06, and 27.56"/eV at the  $L_{\rm I}$ ,  $L_{\rm II}$ , and  $L_{\rm III}$  edges, respectively.

The x-ray tube has a platinum target, and continuous x-ray radiation is used in the experiment. The x-ray plate voltage and the x-ray current are about  $17\pm0.02$ kV and  $50\pm0.05$  mA, respectively. The x-ray tube is separated from the main vacuum tank of the spectrometer by a beryllium foil and the pressure in the tube is kept at less than  $10^{-7}$  mm Hg. With these operational conditions the x-ray intensity, after reflection from the second crystal, is about 150 counts/sec with no absorber.

The Xe absorber, which could be alternately inserted in or removed from the x-ray beam, is located between the x-ray tube and the first crystal. The absorbing path length is 2.54 cm, and the xenon pressure in the cell is controlled during each run. The pressure is kept at 100 mm Hg for the  $L_{\rm I}$  and  $L_{\rm II}$  absorption edges, at 60 mm Hg for the  $L_{\rm III}$  edge. With a laboratory-made

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research, by the National Science Foundation, and by the Advanced Research Projects Agency through the Materials Science Center at Cornell University. <sup>1</sup>G. Brogren, Nova Acta Regiae Soc. Sci. Upsaliansis 14, No. 4

<sup>(1948).</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> R. W. Barinskii, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR 25, 958 (1961) (in English).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> B. Nordfors, Arkiv. Fysik 20, 25 (1961).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> L. G. Parratt, Rev. Mod. Phys. 31, 616 (1959).
<sup>6</sup> A. Meisel and W. Nefedow, Ann. Physik 7, 48 (1961).
<sup>6</sup> M. A. Blokhin and V. P. Sachenko, Izv. Akad. Nauk. USSR

<sup>21, 1343 (1957).</sup> 

<sup>7</sup> H. W. Schnopper, Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, 1962 (to be published).

	This work	Nordfors
r(I,II)	$1.12 \pm 0.1$	1.15
r(II,III)	$1.32 \pm 0.1$	1.38
r(III,M)	$2.36 \pm 0.2$	

TABLE I. Xenon L jump ratios.

flow proportional counter mentioned below the direct and transmitted x-ray intensities,  $I_0$  and I, are measured alternately. Correction is made for the absorption in the container window (1-mil-thick Be foil), which amounts to about 6% in the present experiment.

A gas flow proportional counter with 90% argon and 10% methane is used as the detector. The counter gas pressure is kept at 660 mm Hg, and with this pressure about 95% of the incident x rays are absorbed in the chosen energy range. The counter voltage is maintained at about 1800 V so that the main portion of the pulse height distribution is between 15 and 35 V. The electronic gain in the linear amplifier is about 6000.

As an approximation for the instrumental window function, the (1, -1) rocking curve is recorded. A typical curve at the  $L_{II}$  absorption edge ( $\lambda = 2.43$  Å) is shown in Fig. 1, from which the full window width at half-maximum is estimated to be 0.34 eV. For comparison, the Lorentzian curve with the same width and height as the (1, -1) curve is also shown. For the  $L_{I}$ and  $L_{III}$  edges the widths, defined here as the energy interval between the  $\frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{3}{4}$  points, were found to be about 0.30 and 0.37 eV, respectively.

The measurements were made every 5" in the vicinity of the  $L_{\rm I}$ ,  $L_{\rm II}$ , and  $L_{\rm III}$  edges with a counting time of 200 sec for each intensity measurement. Alternate measurements of I and  $I_0$  reduced the effects of fluctuations in counting rate due to changes in the counter gas gain caused by temperature change. For each of the three edges three runs were made, and the average values of  $I_0/I$  were calculated for each energy setting.

After corrections were made for the absorption in the gas cell window, the mass absorption coefficients were







FIG. 2. The xenon L absorption spectra near  $L_{I}$ ,  $L_{II}$ , and  $L_{III}$  edges.

plotted against energy (Fig. 2). The standard error (standard deviation divided by the square root of the number of counts) is indicated by the short vertical bars.

# III. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION Jump Ratio

The absorption jump is determined by the ratio of the absorption coefficients just above and below the absorption edge. At the  $L_{\rm I}$  edge the ratio  $r({\rm II},{\rm I})$  is estimated to be  $1.12\pm0.1$ , which is consistent with the values for the neighboring elements.<sup>3</sup> At the  $L_{\rm II}$  and  $L_{\rm III}$  edges the ratios are taken at the flat portions of the spectra, and r (III,II) and r (M,III) are estimated to be  $1.32\pm0.1$  and  $2.36\pm0.2$ . If the peak values of these spectra were used, the ratios would become  $1.38\pm0.1$  and  $2.60\pm0.2$ , respectively.

The previous experimental values for the neighboring elements obtained by Nordfors<sup>3</sup> are about 5% higher than the present values for the  $L_{\rm I}$  and  $L_{\rm II}$  jumps, and about 15% higher for the  $L_{\rm III}$  jump. However, his measurements were carried out with solid samples. For comparison his mean values and the present values of  $r({\rm III,I})$  and  $r({\rm III,II})$  are tabulated in Table I.

## **Oscillator Strength**

In the one-electron model the x-ray absorption by a rare-gas atom is interpreted as the excitation of an inner electron to some bound orbital outside the closed electron core or to an unbound hyperbolic orbital (i.e., to a continuum state). For the resonance absorption to the *n*th bound orbital an oscillator strength  $f_n$  is assigned, and, in principle, there exists an infinite number of oscillator strengths, one for each resonance absorption transition, below the continuum edge.

np	. 7p	8 <i>p</i>	9 <i>p</i>	10 <i>p</i>	
 $\Delta E_n$ $f_{np}$	0.0651 0.37×10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0265 0.15×10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.0136 0.79×10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.0080 0.45×10 <sup>-5</sup>	

TABLE II.  $\Delta E_{np}$  and  $f_{np}$  associated with the  $L_{I}$  absorption.

Introduce the fictitious oscillator density below the edge by

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial E}\right)_n = \frac{2f_n}{|E_{n-1} - E_{n+1}|} \quad E \leq 0,$$

where  $E_n$  is the energy of the *n*th bound orbital. It can be proved<sup>8</sup> analytically that for hydrogenic wave functions this quantity matches smoothly the oscillator density of the continuum at the absorption edge, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \ln \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} \right)_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \ln \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} \right)_{\text{continuum}} \Big|_{E=0^+}.$$

Cooper<sup>9</sup> and Watanabe<sup>10</sup> showed that this continuity relation is satisfied approximately for an argon atom, and Payne and Levinger<sup>11</sup> found that in the nonrelativistic case the oscillator density for the L, M, and Qshells of lead extrapolates smoothly to the continuum value at the series limit given by the Stobbe formula. Although the absorption line is smeared due mainly to the lifetime of the inner hole, if the linewidth is large compared with the energy separation between two neighboring empty orbitals outside the closed xenon core, the continuity relation still holds at the threshold.

In the present case it is assumed that the energies  $E_n$ of the x-ray excited states of xenon can be approximated by those of the corresponding optical excited states of cesium.<sup>12</sup> Then, the use of the continuity relation gives the oscillator strength  $f_n$  approximately as

$$f_n \simeq 1.24 \times 10^{17} (A/N_0) \mu_m \Delta E_n$$

where A and  $N_0$  are the atomic weight of xenon and Avogadro's number.  $\Delta E_n$  is given by

$$\Delta E_n = \frac{1}{2} |E_{n-1} - E_{n+1}| (in Ry).$$

The mass absorption coefficient  $\mu_m$  for the  $L_{\rm I}$  absorption is estimated to be 70  $\text{cm}^2/\text{g}$ . The final positions of the  $L_{\rm I}$  x-ray excited electron are np orbitals with  $n \ge 6$ . Therefore,  $2\Delta E_{np}$ 's are approximated by the energy

difference between  $5p^6(n-1)p$  and  $5p^6(n+1)p$  configurations of a cesium atom. Some values are listed in Table II. With these values of  $\Delta E_{np}$  some of the oscillator strengths associated with the  $L_{I}$  absorption are estimated and also listed in Table II.

The  $L_{II}$  and  $L_{III}$  absorption spectra are given by the composite of  $p \rightarrow s$  and  $p \rightarrow d$  transitions. Therefore, unless the relative intensity of these transitions is known fairly accurately, the above analysis is not applicable. The use of the hydrogenic wave function for both the initial and final states could give a rough estimate. However, since the calculation is very sensitive to choice of the effective nuclear charge and the validity of the use of this wave function is not certain, the estimate of the oscillator strength associated with  $L_{\rm II}$  and  $L_{\rm III}$  absorption is not made.

# State Width

Based on the assumption that the resonant absorptions can be approximated by shifting the energy position of the edge, the  $L_{\rm I}$  state width is estimated by fitting an arctangent curve to the  $L_{I}$  absorption spectrum near the edge. It is found to be  $1.1\pm0.3$  eV, which is close to the value obtained by Brogren. As to the  $L_{II}$ and  $L_{III}$  state widths, it is difficult to obtain the unique values from these spectra. Within the limit of the present analysis, perhaps  $\pm 0.7$  eV, they are approximately 2 eV.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The mass absorption coefficients of xenon were measured near the  $L_{\rm I}$ ,  $L_{\rm II}$ , and  $L_{\rm III}$  absorption edges. It was found that the  $L_{II}$  and  $L_{III}$  spectra have a broad resonance structure, while the  $L_{I}$  spectrum feature of the present measurement differs from the one obtained by the counter efficiency method (by Brogren<sup>1</sup>) and supports Barinskii's photographic result.

The values of the absorption jump were experimentally determined and compared with those for the neighboring elements. Since the present sample consists entirely of free atoms, the present values should be useful for comparison with the atomic theoretical calculations.

Based on the simplified theoretical assumption, the oscillator strengths for some of the  $L_{I}$  x-ray absorption transitions were estimated. Although the assumption requires further justification, the method applied here is probably the only way to estimate the x-ray oscillator strength of those transitions with a short lifetime.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank Professor L. G. Parratt, Professor J. A. Krumhansl, and Professor C. Horie for stimulating and useful discussion on the subject.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Y. Sugiura, J. Phys. Radium 8, 113 (1927).
<sup>9</sup> J. W. Cooper, Phys. Rev. 128, 681 (1962).
<sup>10</sup> T. Watanabe, Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University, 1964 (to be published).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> W. B. Payne and J. Levinger, Phys. Rev. **101**, 1020 (1956). <sup>12</sup> C. E. Moore, Natl. Bur. Std. (U.S.) Circ. No. 467, Vol. III (1958).